Molecular Analysis of Hybrids among the Ornamental Eucalypts *Eucalyptus macrocarpa*, *E. pyriformis*, and *E. youngiana*
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**Abstract.** The potential for hybridization among three species of *Eucalyptus* L’Hér in the Series *Macrocarpae*, *E. macrocarpa* Hook (Mottlecah), *E. pyriformis* Turcz. (pear-fruited mallee), and *E. youngiana* F. Muell. (large-fruited mallee), was investigated using molecular data generated by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD–PCR) analysis. Samples of DNA from seedlings derived from controlled pollinations, and from different individuals from each species, were amplified with six different 10-mer primers. The presence or absence of RAPD fragments was used to generate a dendrogram based on genetic similarity, an ordination derived by multidimensional scaling (MDS), and a minimum spanning tree (MST) to show the relative links and dissimilarities between the individuals tested. Two clusters were identified on the unweighted pair-group method arithmetric average dendrogram. The first included all of the *E. macrocarpa* genotypes and all but one of the *E. macrocarpa* hybrids. The second included all of the *E. youngiana* and *E. pyriformis* genotypes and their hybrids. The MDS ordinations placed the hybrid seedlings between the parent species. From the 30 progeny investigated, 28 were assessed from the molecular data to be hybrids from controlled pollinations. The remaining two seedlings appeared to be derived from self-pollination. The parentage of two mature trees, thought to be natural hybrids involving the three species, was also investigated. One was confirmed as a cross between *E. youngiana* and *E. pyriformis*, but the second was less certain because of its low genetic similarity to all other individuals, and may be a hybrid involving species not included in this study.

To be successful commercially, ornamental trees should possess features such as attractive flowers, unique and colorful fruits, unusually shaped or colored foliage, or an attractive trunk and bark. One or more of these features is present in many eucalypts, particularly those in the Series *Macrocarpae*, which includes *Eucalyptus macrocarpa* (Mottlecah), *E. pyriformis* (pear-fruited mallee), and *E. youngiana* (large-fruited mallee). These three species comprise some of the most striking ornamentals, with large showy flowers up to 4 cm in diameter, and colored stamens.

Identification of eucalypt species and determination of possible hybrid status is achieved by measurements of plant form and bark type, and the morphology and color of foliage, flowers, fruit, and seeds (Williams and Brooker, 1997). However, the characters of closely related species are often similar and may be further altered by environmental factors, tree maturity, and seasonal variability in flowering times. Amplification of specific sequences in genomic DNA has recently been used to produce unique fingerprints of individuals of a range of genera that are independent of these factors, and provides an ideal method of identification (Mekuria et al., 1999). Thus, identification of eucalypts and the occurrence and parental determination of hybrids could be achieved using molecular methods.

The primary aim of this study was to determine the degree of hybridization that could occur among the three ornamental eucalypt species, *E. macrocarpa*, *E. youngiana*, and *E. pyriformis*, using molecular techniques. A secondary aim was to determine whether two ornamental eucalypts located in the Waite Arboretum, Urrbrae, South Australia, were hybrids. This study contributes to an ongoing program to develop eucalypts as ornamentals (Ellis et al., 1991, Wirthensohn et al., 1999).

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials.** Forty-seven trees were included in the analysis. Five trees of each of the three species *E. macrocarpa*, *E. youngiana*, and *E. pyriformis* were selected from the Waite Arboretum and the Laidlaw Plantation, of the Waite Campus of the University of Adelaide, Urrbrae, South Australia, and the Monarto Woodland, Callington, South Australia. Preference was given to those plants used as parents in the controlled pollinations (Delaporte, 2000). Two putative natural hybrids between *E. youngiana* and *E. pyriformis* and *E. youngiana* and *E. macrocarpa* (designated hybrid 1 and 2, respectively) were selected in the Waite Arboretum, Urrbrae, South Australia. In addition, five seedlings from each possible combination in both directions from controlled pollinations between the three species were selected. These seedlings demonstrated morphological characters that were intermediate between those of the parents as determined by multivariate analysis (Delaporte, 2000).

**RAPD technique.** Fully expanded leaves were collected and stored at ~20 °C until required. DNA was extracted using the protocol reported by Lamboy and Alpha (1998). The quality of the DNA was estimated by calculating the ratios of absorbances...
at 260 and 230 nm and at 260 and 280 nm (Mekuria et al., 1999). DNA samples with values of 1.7 or higher were used for further analysis.

Fifteen decamer oligodeoxyribonucleotide primers (Operon Technologies, Alameda, Calif.) were screened using DNA extracted from one representative from each of the three parent species. Six of these primers (A01, A07, B05, B12, D05 and D06) were selected on the basis of those revealing the highest number of clear, polymorphic bands. These same six primers were used on all parents and hybrid combinations, both natural and artificial.

Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed using protocols described by Bradley et al. (1996) and Wirthensohn et al. (1999). The PCR program consisted of an initial denaturation of 2 min at 94 °C, followed by 41 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C, 1 min at 36 °C, 2 min at 72 °C, with a final extension step of 5 min at 72 °C. Amplified DNA fragments were mixed with 10x loading buffer and separated on 1.5% Seakem genetic technology grade (GTG) agarose gels in Tris-borate electrophoresis (TBE) buffer for 40 min at a constant current of 80 mA. A 100 base pair (bp) molecular-weight marker ladder (GeneWorks, Adelaide, Australia) was loaded into wells on each side of the gel to aid interpretation of band identity. PCR products were stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 µg·mL⁻¹), visualized under ultraviolet (UV) light, and photographed with Polaroid 667 film (Polaroid, Hertfordshire, U.K.). Digital images were captured with Tekcap (Version 1.0, Tekram Corp., Fremont, Calif.) and visualized with Paint Shop Pro (Version 5.0, Jasc Software Inc., Minneapolis, Minn.). Duplicate and if necessary triplicate amplifications were conducted to ensure reproducible results. All reproducible bands were used for data analysis.

DATA ANALYSIS. Digital images of the gels were analyzed using Gel-Pro Analyzer (Version 3.1, Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, Md.) based on the sizes of fragments in the 100 bp DNA ladder. PCR products were scored as present (1) or absent (0) for all individuals, ignoring faint or nonreproducible bands, and the data recorded in a binary matrix.

Binary matrices were analyzed using the program NTSYS-pc (Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System, Version 2.02j, Exeter Software, Setauket, N.Y.). Genetic similarities between the different plants were obtained by performing pairwise comparisons of all individuals using the simple matching coefficient (Bradley et al., 1996). Cluster analysis was performed using the unweighted pair-group method arithmetic average (UPGMA), a widely used clustering algorithm providing equal weighting between individuals as they fuse to form groups (Belbin, 1991, 1994), and a dendrogram indicating genetic similarities was constructed.

Nonhierarchical distance multivariate analysis with multidimensional scaling (ordination) was generated from the binary matrices with the PATN computer analysis program (Belbin, 1994). Genetic dissimilarities between individuals or operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were calculated using Gowers Metric (MC), generating an association matrix between the objects (ASO). Gowers Metric, a range standardized Manhattan distance, corresponds to the simple matching coefficient when used for presence or absence of data such as the binomial data generated from molecular analysis (Belbin, 1994). The resulting matrix was analyzed using UPGMA.

Semistrong hybrid multidimensional scaling (SSH) was used to ordinate the ASO dissimilarities with 100 repeats to reduce the effects of localized suboptimal minima (Nicolle and Conran, 1999). The stress level was recorded, indicating the level of distortion applied by the SSH to the dendrogram. The resultant ordination points were plotted as a three dimensional scatter plot (Sigmplot 4.0 for Windows, SPSS Science, Chicago, Ill.).
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Fig. 1. Dendrogram showing genetic similarity between 47 eucalypt individuals of *Eucalyptus macrocarpa*, *E. pyriformis*, and *E. youngiana* as artificial and putative hybrids, using six primers, the simple matching coefficient, and UPGMA clustering (Em = *E. macrocarpa*, Ep = *E. pyriformis*, Ey = *E. youngiana*, and PutHy = putative hybrid).
Results

RAPD POLYMORPHISMS. The six decamer primers selected for RAPD–PCR analysis produced a total of 115 bands, of which 92 were polymorphic, with 23 being monomorphic. Individual primers produced between 16 and 22 bands varying in size from 270 to 3000 bp.

HIERARCHICAL DISTANCE ANALYSIS. The dendrogram from the analysis of 47 eucalypt individuals after RAPD–PCR is shown in Fig. 1. There were two clusters with 69% similarity. One cluster included the five genotypes of *E. macrocarpa* as well as all but one seedling plant from controlled pollinations involving *E. macrocarpa*. The second cluster contained the five genotypes of both *E. pyriformis* and *E. youngiana*, as well as all seedlings from controlled pollinations between the two species, *E. pyriformis* and *E. youngiana*. Putative hybrid 1 was also associated with this cluster. Putative hybrid 2 was distinct from both clusters with a genetic similarity of 68%.

Within the *E. macrocarpa* cluster, there were five subclusters, four of which were very consistent in their complement. One included all but one of the *E. macrocarpa* genotypes. Another included all of the *E. youngiana* × *E. macrocarpa* hybrids. Three of the five *E. macrocarpa* × *E. youngiana* hybrids comprised one subcluster, with four of the five *E. pyriformis* × *E. macrocarpa* hybrids in another subcluster. The more variable subcluster included all of the *E. macrocarpa* × *E. pyriformis* hybrids, two of the *E. macrocarpa* × *E. youngiana* hybrids, and one of the *E. macrocarpa* genotypes.

The *E. pyriformis* × *E. youngiana* cluster also included five subclusters, three of which were consistent in their complement. One included all of the *E. youngiana* × *E. pyriformis* hybrids, another included all but one of the *E. youngiana* genotypes, and another all but one of the *E. pyriformis* × *E. youngiana* hybrids. One of the two variable subclusters included three of the five *E. pyriformis* genotypes, and one each of *E. pyriformis* × *E. youngiana* and *E. pyriformis* × *E. macrocarpa* hybrids. The other included the remaining two *E. pyriformis* genotypes, the remaining one *E. youngiana* genotype and putative hybrid 1.

NONHIERARCHICAL DISTANCE ANALYSIS. The SSH ordination of all individuals into three dimensions (Fig. 2) showed 11 point clusters—*E. macrocarpa* × *E. youngiana*, *E. pyriformis* × *E. macrocarpa*, *E. macrocarpa* × *E. pyriformis*, putative hybrid 2, *E. pyriformis*, *E. pyriformis* × *E. youngiana*, putative hybrid 1, *E. youngiana*, *E. youngiana* × *E. pyriformis*, and *E. youngiana* × *E. macrocarpa*, similar to the clusters identified by the dendrogram. The stress level for the ordination is 19.6%, which is within the maximum acceptable level of 20% (Belbin, 1994).

The three species, *E. macrocarpa*, *E. pyriformis*, and *E. youngiana* formed individual groups clearly distinct from each other. All of the seedlings that were generated when *E. macrocarpa* was used as either the male or female parent (except for one *E. pyriformis* × *E. macrocarpa* outlier) formed distinct groups, which had close associations with the *E. macrocarpa* group. The *E. youngiana* × *E. macrocarpa* seedling group, although most closely associated with the *E. macrocarpa* group, showed intermediacy with the *E. youngiana* group. Similarly, the group containing the *E. pyriformis* × *E. youngiana* seedlings showed intermediacy between both species; whereas the *E. youngiana* × *E. pyriformis* seedlings formed a group which was more closely associated with the *E. youngiana* cluster.

The high degree of overlap shown in the ordination plot reflects the close relationship between the three species and shows that seedlings located intermediate between adult groups with some degree of overlap are most likely to be hybrids between the two species.

Discussion

This study has shown that hierarchical and nonhierarchical analysis of molecular data confirmed the success of both natural and artificial interspecific hybridization between the three *Eucalyptus* species, *E. macrocarpa*, *E. pyriformis*, and *E. youngiana*. From the thirty progeny investigated in this study, 28 were assessed to be hybrids from controlled pollinations, while the remaining two appear to be derived from self-pollination. Although flowers were bagged immediately after emasculation, the detection of self-pollinated progeny indicates that some pollen was probably shed during removal of the anthers.

*Eucalyptus macrocarpa* and its putative hybrids formed a genetic cluster distinct from crosses involving *E. youngiana* and *E. pyriformis*, indicating that *E. macrocarpa* can be more readily distinguished genetically from the other two species. All seedlings derived from *E. macrocarpa* in the controlled pollinations have a closer genetic similarity with *E. macrocarpa* than with any other parent. The only exception to this is the Ep × Em1 seedling.
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which grouped within the *E. youngiana* and *E. pyriformis* cluster. *Ep × Em1*, and also *Ep × Ey1*, are linked directly to *E. pyriformis* 5, which was the female parent used in the cross, indicating that these seedlings may be derived from self-pollination rather than cross-pollination. Although eucalypts preferentially outcross, thereby favoring pollination from another source, they are also commonly self-compatible (Sedgley, 1989).

Hybrid eucalypts generally show characteristics that are intermediate between the parent species, unless there is an expression of allelic dominance (Ashton and Sandiford, 1988). The clustering of *E. macrocarpa* hybrid seedlings closer to *E. macrocarpa*, regardless of whether *E. macrocarpa* was used as the male or female parent, indicates that *E. macrocarpa* displays genetic dominance over both *E. youngiana* and *E. pyriformis*. Although seedlings from controlled pollinations involving *E. macrocarpa* cluster closest to the *E. macrocarpa* individuals, nonhierarchical analysis of all individuals showed that these seedlings form a group intermediate between the parental species. Such clustering of offspring closest to one or both parents is an indicator of hybrid status (McDade, 1997). All *E. macrocarpa* seedlings have genetic similarities closer to *E. macrocarpa* 1, than to the other four *E. macrocarpa* individuals. This is because *E. macrocarpa* 1 was used as a pollen source for the artificial hybridizations, in addition to being the female parent in all controlled pollinations involving this species. Hybrids can also be distinguished from within the *E. macrocarpa* cluster as belonging to a particular cross. For example, seedlings of crosses between *E. youngiana* and *E. macrocarpa* form a cluster with a genetic similarity of ≥83%, and distinct from all other individuals.

The hierarchical analysis grouped *E. pyriformis* and *E. youngiana* to a cluster separate from *E. macrocarpa*. Within this cluster, hybrids where *E. youngiana* was used as the female parent formed a group close to the *E. youngiana* representatives. Similarly, hybrids where *E. pyriformis* was used as the female parent, grouped closest to the *E. pyriformis* representatives. Seedlings from crosses involving *E. youngiana* and *E. pyriformis* appear to be dominated by genes from the female rather than the male parent, indicating that neither species displays dominance over the other. Nonhierarchical analysis of individuals from molecular data indicates that seedlings from controlled pollinations between *E. pyriformis* and *E. youngiana* display characters intermediate between their parental species. The three *E. pyriformis* individuals (*E. pyriformis* 3, *E. pyriformis* 4, and *E. pyriformis* 5) located at the Monarto Woodland formed a cluster with genetic similarity of only 71% to the other *E. pyriformis* individuals, indicating a high degree of genetic diversity between certain individuals. Similarly, *E. youngiana* trees located at Monarto Woodland and the Waite Arboretum are genetically closer than *E. youngiana* 5 located in the Laidlaw plantation. Seed for *E. youngiana* 5 was obtained from a commercial supplier and is most likely to have been collected from native stands, whereas the source of the other *E. youngiana* trees is unknown. *Eucalyptus youngiana* is sparsely distributed from Western Australia to South Australia, which may account for the diversity identified within the species.

A second objective of this study was to determine the most likely identity of two highly ornamental putative hybrids located in the Waite Arboretum. Putative hybrid 1 is most probably a hybrid between *E. pyriformis* and *E. youngiana*. For putative hybrid 2, its low genetic similarity of 68% to all other individuals suggests that this tree is not representative of any of the three species investigated. Other related species with the potential to form interspecific hybrids, such as *E. drummondii* Benth. or *E. sessilis* (Maiden) Blakely (Griffin et al., 1988), may be the parental species of putative hybrid 2.