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SUMMARY. Most deciduous fruit crops in Italy are grown in the north and especially in the eastern part of the Po River Valley (mainly in the Emilia Romagna and Veneto regions) and in the Adige River Valley (South Tyrol and Trento provinces). Soils in the wide Po River Valley, where pear (Pyrus communis), peach and nectarine (Prunus persica), kiwifruit (Actinidia deliciosa), plum (Prunus domestica and P. insititia), apricot (Prunus armeniaca), cherry (Prunus avium), and apple (Malus domestica) are grown, are alluvial, generally fertile, fine textured, alkaline, often calcareous and well enriched with Ca. Apple plantings are concentrated in the Adige Valley and located on a variety of soil types, including sandy loam, loamy sand soils or sandy clay, sometimes calcareous. Integrated fruit production is gaining importance and represents more than 80% of apple production in South Tyrol and about 60% of peach and nectarine production in Emilia Romagna. Under these conditions, the main objectives of mineral nutrition are to reconcile production and environmental concerns (minimize nutrient leaching, soil pollution, volatile emissions). In particular, fertilization aims to improve external and internal fruit quality and storage ability, reduce production costs, maintain soil fertility, avoid nutrient deficiency and excess and control tree vigor. Nitrogen applications have strongly decreased in recent years and there is a need to improve the efficiency of N fertilizers while avoiding deficiencies. Research is focussing on application technology, timing of N uptake, internal cycling of N and methods for assessing the need for N application (e.g., using estimates of native soil N availability). Early diagnosis of bitter pit is recommended for guiding applications of Ca sprays. Iron deficiency and chlorosis is a major problem in pear, peach and kiwifruit grown in alkaline and calcareous soils and Fe chelates are usually applied annually to the soil or to the canopy. Current research is focused on agronomic means for controlling the problem and on developing rootstocks tolerant to Fe deficiency.

Italy, with more than 2.5 million ha (6.2 millions acres) of plantings (Sansavini, 1998), is a major producer of fruit trees and grapes (Vitis sp.), especially if we take into account its relatively small size. Due to the presence of two separate climatic conditions, Mediterranean and temperate, both evergreen and deciduous fruit crops are cultivated; while citrus (Citrus sp.) and olive (Olea europaea) are mainly located, with few exceptions, in the central and southern part of Italy, in the northern part of Italy is located a significant fraction of total deciduous fruit tree and wine grape production (Table 1).
The apple industry is mainly concentrated in the Trentino Alto Adige region, and most of the production of pears, kiwifruit, peach and nectarine, plums, cherries and apricots in northern Italy is located in the central and eastern part of the Po Valley and especially in the plains of the Emilia Romagna region.

A current trend in fruit tree management is to consider mineral nutrition as a major tool for optimizing fruit yield and quality. This feeling also is present at the grower and field advisor levels. Local governments, in line with European Union policy, have been pushing for the adoption of sustainable ways to fertilize orchards. In general, apple and pear orchard systems are planned at higher densities and are more intensively managed than stone fruits. In modern orchards, some fruit trees are grafted on dwarf rootstocks (‘Malling 9’ (M.9) for apple, quince (Cydonia oblonga) for pear) and are frequently irrigated while orchard alleys are usually grassed with weed control achieved by in row herbicide use.

Although excessive vegetative growth is often a problem in stone fruits, they are usually grafted on medium to high is often a problem in stone fruits, they are usually grafted on medium to high cation exchange capacity, often saturated with Ca > magnesium (Mg) > potassium (K) > sodium (Na). Soils are usually subalkaline to alkaline and calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) content varies from being almost absent to levels (up to 15% total CaCO₃) that deeply affect mineral nutrition of trees, especially impairing Fe uptake. Soil organic matter content is usually low (Regione Emilia Romagna, 1994).

Most apple production in Italy is located in the Trentino Alto Adige region and concentrated in the Adige Valley or in lateral mountain valleys, such as Val di Non, that has a worldwide recognition for high quality ‘Golden Delicious’ and ‘Renetta’ apples. South Tyrol, the upper Adige Valley, has about 18,000 ha (44,500 acres) of modern apple plantings mainly located (about 80%) in sandy loam soils or loamy sand soils (clay 85% to 15%), with pH ranging from 5.5 to 6.0, generally low in Ca but rich in humus content (3% to 4%) as a result of widespread application of mulches and, previously, of manure. These soils are poorly endowed with Ca and pH ranges between 5.5 to 6.5. (Drahorad, 1999). The remaining soils developed from dolomite sediments (pH = 7 to 8).

The southern part of Trentino Alto Adige region, the Trento Province, has about 12,000 ha (29,640 acres) apples mainly on alluvial, sandy loam soils, with high water tables (Failla et al., 1993), and are neutral or slightly alkaline and well endowed with organic matter, phosphorous (P) and K. Plantings on mountain slopes are on sandy-clay, calcareous soils with high organic matter content.

**Climate characteristics**

The climate in northern Italy is temperate-subcontinental, with cold winters (frost may occur 40 to 50 d per year) and hot summers; the average annual temperature is 12 to 14 °C (53.6 to 57.2 °F), rainfall 600 to 800 mm (24 to 31 inches), and is mainly concentrated in spring and fall. Evapotranspiration is greater than precipitation during the growing season.

### Nutrient management under integrated fruit production

Integrated fruit production has been largely adopted in orchards of north Italy. About 60% of peach and nectarine orchards in Emilia Romagna and 85% of apple orchards in South Tyrol follow the guidelines of integrated fruit production.

Correct nutrient supply under these conditions is expected to reconcile yields (including quality characteristics and storage issues) and environmental concerns (minimize leaching, soil pollution, volatile emissions). Growers feel that by fine tuning nutrient supply they may improve external and internal fruit quality, fruit storage life, lower production costs (by adopting more efficient supply methods), maintain or improve soil fertility and partially control tree vigor. The major obligations to be met under integrated fruit production in the principal fruit growing areas of northern Italy include annual soil and leaf analysis and upper limit to annual fertilizer applications.

### Fertilization programs

Fertilization programs vary as a result of several factors: species, rootstocks, expected yields, soil chemical and physical conditions, and soil management. The presence of irrigation and the possibility of adding nu-

---

**Table 1. Deciduous fruit and nut production area and yield in Italy, 1996 (from Sansavini, 1998).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Area (1,000 ha)</th>
<th>Yield (1,000 t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Immature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apricot</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherry</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiwifruit</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nectarines</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pear</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plum</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>307.3</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1,000 ha = 2.471 acres.

1,000 t = 1,102 tons.
trients to the irrigation water (by drip or microjets) has also a great impact on fertilization programs and especially on amounts, type of fertilizer, timing and frequency of application.

**NITROGEN.** Nitrogen application rates in orchards have been significantly reduced in the last 15 years, before which excessive rates, often exceeding 200 kg·ha⁻¹ (178 lb/acre) of N were used. Standard recommendations for fertilization now suggest less than 60 kg·ha⁻¹ (53 lb/acre) of N for pome fruits and 100 kg·ha⁻¹ (89 lb/acre) of N for peach. Clearly there is a need to enhance the efficiency of N fertilizers, improve application technology and fine tune supplies to avoid the occurrence of N deficiency symptoms (Tagliavini et al., 1996b). As a result of the significant decrease in N rates, excessive vegetative growth, that reduced fruit quality, is less frequent than in the past.

Significant progress has resulted from improved knowledge of the key role of the internal cycling of N (Tagliavini et al., 1997 and 1998; Toselli et al., 2000) on fruit set the following year.

Main recommendations for N fertilization include the following.

1) Postponing spring N supply until flowering or fruit set (when reliable estimates of current year yield can be made), with small amounts recommended at bud burst only when trees are still young, are grafted on dwarf rootstocks, are planted in infertile soils, or carried high yields the previous year.

2) Providing enough N to the roots throughout the season (often a second N application is required, e.g. in peach at the time of pit hardening), but avoiding excesses.

3) Favoring N storage for remobilization the following year by adding limited amounts of N through the soil [20 to 40 kg·ha⁻¹ (18 to 36 lb/acre)] or the canopy (single sprays of urea at 5% or 3% in pome and stone fruit, respectively) at the end of summer–early fall. Such late N supply is not recommended in fertile soils, when leaf diagnosis indicates high leaf N concentration in summer or when fruit yields are low and excessive shoot elongation growth has occurred.

Under most conditions, soil N fertilization is not advised after the end of September as it might increase the risk of nitrate leaching into the ground water and interfere with normal shoot hardening before winter frosts.

Several N fertilizer sources are used by growers; mainly ammonium nitrate, potassium nitrate, urea. Regardless of the form of N applied, the addition of mineral N fertilizers results in an increase only in the nitrate N fraction in the soil solution (Tagliavini et al., 1995) that brings about a rhizosphere pH increase, due to plant absorption, with adverse effects on availability and uptake of some micronutrients.

**POTASSIUM.** Potassium fertilization is usually recommended in several fruit crops, unless soil are very well endowed with K (>150 µg·g⁻¹ (ppm) extractable K). The role of K has been underestimated for decades for at least two reasons: 1) the assumption that soil K availability in orchards, as measured by soil testing, was sufficiently high and 2) the poor response of trees following the addition of K fertilizers in a granular form by broadcast applications on the soil surface. Withdrawing K for decades from fertilization programs has caused the reoccurrence of symptoms of K deficiency in kiwifruit and grape (Tagliavini et al., 1996a) on soils rich in clay, that was overcome only by resaturating exchange sites with K.

Efficient K supply in fine textured soils is not easy to achieve with conventional broadcast applications, although fertigation appears to be a promising technique for increasing K availability in the root zone. Peach and apple grown in the eastern part of the Po Valley benefit from efficient K supply [50 to 100 kg·ha⁻¹ (44.5 to 89 lb/acre) of K] as evidenced by increased fruit size, sugar content and improved fruit color (Table 2) (Rombolà et al., 2000; Zavalloni et al., 1998). In apple, K is applied either during midstages of fruit development or, in soils rich in clay, at the end of the season. Single spring application of K is usually avoided because of potential adverse effects on Ca nutrition. Excessive K supply in pear and apple, suggested years ago by private advisors operating in northern Europe, did not cause further improvement in K nutrition but triggered the occurrence of Mg deficiency symptoms such as early leaf drop in summer.

**CALCIUM.** Calcium nutrition is a major concern for apple growers, especially for some cultivars (e.g., 'Braeburn', 'Red Delicious' and 'Renetta') in soils poorly endowed with Ca, such as those of the South Tyrol and Trentino areas. The main goal of Ca applications is to reduce bitter pit, Jonathan spot, scald and to improve fruit storage life. While cultural practices, such as fruit thinning, reducing K and N applications, pruning and consistent watering are considered to be very important for optimizing fruit partitioning of root absorbed Ca, calcium fertilizers are mainly applied on the canopy in order to be directly taken up by fruits, thereby increasing the Ca concentration in outer fruit layers. Application of Ca sprays has been tested in other fruit crops such as peach, kiwifruit, and pears, with relatively inconsistent results (Scudellari et al., 1998a). However, Ca sprays applied to nectarine trees reduced the incidence of skin russetting, therefore
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**Table 2. Effect of the form of nutrient supply on potassium (K) nutrition and fruit characteristics in two nectarine cultivars (from Zavalloni et al., 1998); 1 g = 0.035 oz; 1 kg = 2.2 lb.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fruit wt (g/fruit)</th>
<th>Fruit skin/LeafK conc (%)</th>
<th>LeafK conc (%)</th>
<th>Fruit wt (g/fruit)</th>
<th>Fruit yield (kg/tree)</th>
<th>LeafK conc (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'Caldesi 84'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfertilized control</td>
<td>120 b'</td>
<td>72 a</td>
<td>1.35 b</td>
<td>128 c</td>
<td>27 c</td>
<td>1.60 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-P-K in granular form</td>
<td>125 ab</td>
<td>62 b</td>
<td>1.42 b</td>
<td>146 b</td>
<td>28.5 b</td>
<td>1.84 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-P-K by fertigation</td>
<td>131 a</td>
<td>77 a</td>
<td>1.55 a</td>
<td>163 a</td>
<td>31.2 a</td>
<td>1.90 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Caldesi 2000'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfertilized control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-P-K in granular form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-P-K by fertigation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean separation within column by Duncan test (P = 0.05).*
improving fruit external quality appearance (Scudellari et al., 1998a), although penetration of Monilia laxa (the causal agent of brown rot) was not prevented (Quartieri et al., 2000).

Recent evidence obtained in apple orchards in the Po Valley on soils well endowed with Ca (Zavalloni et al., 2001), indicates that fruit partitioning of root absorbed Ca is not limited to a short 4- to 6-week period after full bloom but rather occurs throughout the season including close to fruit harvest.

A predictive test for bitter pit in apples has been developed by the South Tyrolean Advisory Service for Fruit and Wine Growing and by the Research Station of Laimburg. The test includes sampling fruitlets when their fresh weight is 50 to 70 g (1.76 to 2.47 oz), analyzing their mineral concentration and estimating the K/Ca fruit ratio at harvest on the basis of prediction models (Drahordial and Aichner, 2001). Although a variety of Ca-based compounds have been tested, calcium chloride and calcium nitrate are still considered the most efficient products; the number of Ca sprays suggested vary from 1 to 2 on ‘Gala’ to 6 to 8 on ‘Braeburn’ (Drahordial, 1999).

Iron. A significant part of the fruit tree industry in the Po Valley area is located on calcareous or alkaline soils that favor the occurrence of Fe chlorosis. This is likely the main nutritional constraint in growing peach, pear and kiwifruit plantings in this region. Chlorosis causes severe yield reduction (Tagliavini et al., 2000). If pear tree trees, for example, exhibit chlorosis symptoms at bloom, fruit set and yields are compromised and trees are likely to fall into biennial bearing.

### Table 3. Effect of rate and method of nutrient application on leaf nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) concentration of ‘Gala’ and ‘Fuji’ apples (Rombola et al., unpublished).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Leaf N (% dry wt)</th>
<th>Leaf K (% dry wt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional (N–P–K) full rate</td>
<td>2.55 a†</td>
<td>0.98 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertigation (N–P–K) full rate</td>
<td>2.58 a</td>
<td>1.10 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertigation (N–P–K) half rate</td>
<td>2.40 b</td>
<td>1.09 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar × treatment</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*pMean separation by Student–Newman–Keuls’ test (P = 0.05).

†, ‡Significance *** NS, ***Nonsignificant or significant at P = 0.01, respectively.

Fruits from chlorotic plantings may also have poor internal quality, less sugar and reduced acid content. Chlorotic symptom also vary from year to year as a result of several tree and environmental variables, including heavy yields, low temperature and high precipitation. Iron chlorosis may be more severe than expected on the basis of soil pH and the concentration of CaCO₃ if soil has a poor structure (e.g., due to compaction) and is saturated with water and if HCO₃ concentration increases around the roots. Soil management may also affect chlorosis development and symptoms are usually less severe if the orchard floor is grassed than if it is cultivated, a fact generally attributed to a mechanical damage of shallow roots resulting from cultivation.

Although the best way to avoid chlorosis in fruit trees is likely to choose tolerant rootstocks (Socias i Company et al., 1995), this is not possible for all fruit crops. For peach, grafting on the peach x almond (Prunus dulcis) hybrid ‘GF 677’, now adopted as the main rootstock (Sansavini et al., 1999) significantly reduced the incidence of Fe chlorosis in Emilia Romagna. In other crops, rootstocks resistant to Fe chlorosis are not as attractive since they often induce excessive growth in the scion, as in the case of Pyrus communis genotypes for pear cultivars. Breeding programmes in Italy are making progress in rootstock selection either by exploring the variability within a species or within a genus and by breeding properly chosen parents. The genetic approach will probably be successful in the long term, but until then the growers must rely on agronomic or chemical means to prevent or cure the Fe deficiency induced chlorosis (Tagliavini and Rombola, 2000).

In the last decades, to prevent and cure Fe chlorosis, fruit growers in the Po Valley have relied on synthetic Fe chelates that are usually effective but are expensive. Estimates indicate that their cost approaches 60% of total fertilizer costs and amounts to more than $230/ha ($93/acre) per year. Soil applied Fe chelates also do not provide a long-term solution to the chlorosis problem because being soluble, they are likely leached out of the root zone and need to be applied every year. Moreover, there is increasing concern about the fate of chelating agents in soil and ground water and there is a
need for reliable alternatives to Fe chelates. This may be achieved by enhancement of soil Fe availability (e.g., through the addition of organic matter composted with Fe salts, the presence of phytosiderophore releasing grasses, or the amelioration of soil structure) through the reduction of rhizosphere and soil pH (by switching from nitrate-N to ammonium-N nutrition). Iron availability in the leaves might be increased by lowering apoplastic pH by sprays of acid compounds (Tagliavini et al., 2000).

**Application technology**

Technology related to products and application techniques has been changing in orchards in north Italy. An increasing number of growers is adopting fertigation as the primary way to supply nutrients in order to reduce fertilizer application rates by enhancing the efficiency of fertilizer use. Solutions adopted vary from inexpensive adaptation of preexisting drip irrigation systems, to computer controlled systems. A large number of companies on the market sell either pure and highly soluble salts (e.g., potassium nitrate, urea-phosphate, monoammonium phosphate, monopotassium phosphate, potassium sulphate, etc.) or premixed products in soluble, ready to use, forms. Fertigation often requires lowering the pH of the solution to avoid precipitation of salts within the lines. Depending on the crop and the fertility of the soils, the adoption of fertigation may reasonably reduce up to 30% the amount of major nutrients applied to the crops. Our experience in apple (Rombolà et al., 2000) indicates that for ‘Gala’ a 50% reduction of N by fertigation [40 kg·ha⁻¹ (36 lb/acre) of N] resulted in decreasing leaf N concentration and potential N deficiency in the long run (Table 3). In contrast, leaf K concentration in apple trees, usually lower under our orchard conditions as compared to U.S. standards, was more affected by the method of K supply (efficient for fertigation) than by the amounts applied (Table 3).

In Italy, foliar nutrient applications are mainly adopted for 1) improving micronutrient nutrition, 2) enhancing nutrient storage before leaf senescence, and 3) sustaining leaf metabolism after growth resumption in the spring. We feel that there is a great need for improved knowledge regarding conditions affecting the effectiveness of foliar fertilizers.

Slow release fertilizers, either in an organic or in a mineral form, are often supplied in orchards where irrigation is not possible. They are frequently applied one time per year, often at the end of winter. We do not recommend their application in autumn under conditions that may allow the release of N when plant uptake can be low or absent.

**Monitoring and testing**

**Leaf diagnosis.** Major progress in leaf diagnosis has been achieved through studies at the Istituto Agrario S. Michele a Adige in cooperation with the University of Milan (Failla et al., 1993, 2001) and by the Laimburg Experiment Station. The results obtained from thousands of leaf analyses resulted in the development of local standards for leaf analysis interpretation, and criteria for certification of low input fertilization strategies and agroecological evaluation and zoning. Preliminary reference standards for leaf nutrients for pear and peach have been obtained in the Po Valley (Scudellari et al., 1999; Toselli et al., 2001). Major work is also needed to standardize sample digestion and analysis procedures so that results from different laboratories can be readily compared. Main objectives in the future include determination of indices for early diagnosis (early summer or spring) and the possible development of new analytical and diagnostic tools (e.g., not destructive, ready to use and inexpensive methods).

**Soil monitoring.** A monitoring procedure for assessing soil N availability has been developed (Tagliavini et al., 1994) and tested (Scudellari et al., 1998b, 2000). The basic idea of the procedure is to use data to integrate information of amount and kinetics of N uptake so that growers will only apply the difference between actual tree N needs and amounts available in orchard soil. Several preliminary trials indicated that under our conditions, almost all N is present in the root zone in the form of nitrate while ammonium concentration is negligible. The method includes 1) soil sampling prior to timings designated for N fertilization (e.g., in peach, flowering, fruit thinning, postharvest) from zones of most intense root development; 2) extraction and determination of nitrate-N; 3) conversion of nitrate concentration to amounts of available N per hectare and 4) recommendation of orchard N fertilizer needs. By this procedure N mineralization occurring after soil collection is not accounted for, so slight overestimation of N needs in spring can occur. This, however, can be compensated by lower N recommendations later in the season. The adoption of this method in pear orchards has restricted the N supply only...
to situations of real need (Figs. 1 and 2) and theoretically can minimize any residual N, likely subjected to leaching if present in the soil at the end of the season.

**Final remarks and outlooks**

Current trends are for restriction in the amounts of mineral nutrients to be applied in orchards, resulting from increasing regulation at the European Union and local level. This will encourage better use of technology for supplying nutrients that will in turn only be achieved by specific research projects. Monitoring nutritional status will become crucial for adjusting nutrient supply to avoid unnecessary applications as well as to avoid the development of nutrient deficiencies. New, rapid and inexpensive monitoring techniques both for soil and trees should be developed. Major research is needed for optimizing the use of natural resources for mineral nutrients within the orchard ecosystem, including abscised leaves, pruning wood, mowed grasses, N-fixing bacteria and mycorrhizae, or from beyond the orchard. Compacted soils, N-fixing bacteria and grasses, compost, sewage sludge, etc. Current research projects should also focus on the availability of nutrients under organic farming and on the interactions between nutrition and tree pathogens and pests.
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