Analysis of the Impact of Climatic Conditions on Floral Transformation in Hydrangea macrophylla ‘Leuchtfeuer’
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Abstract. Hydrangea macrophylla is a horticultural plant of considerable commercial interest that has been widely studied with the aim to more effectively control the different stages of its development during production. However, although floral transformation is a key factor underlying the commercial quality of the product, it remains difficult to control despite these efforts. The floral transformation sequence consists of three successive phases: floral induction (B1), floral evocation (B2), and floral organogenesis (B3). The first is a phase of vegetative organogenesis without elongation leading to the formation of a bud composed of eight phytomer primordia under inductive climatic conditions. This work shows that climatic conditions favorable to floral transformation must be continuously applied without interruption throughout phase B2 to ensure the formation of the floral bud in Hydrangea macrophylla ‘Leuchtfeuer’. In the opposite case, floral transformation is stopped and vegetative growth begins once again.

Hydrangea macrophylla is an ornamental horticultural plant grown in pots for indoor and outdoor use. The commercial quality of potted plants is defined by the number of flowering axes, their morphometric homogeneity, and the synchronization of flower bloom. The flowering axis develops over 2 years of growth (Galopin, 1995). Continuous plant growth over the first year ensures the formation of the stem. It is followed by floral transformation that is morphologically identifiable by the formation of a mixed bud composed of scale leaves, phytomer primordia, and floral primordia (Galopin et al., 2008; Uemachi and Nishio, 2000; Zhou and Hara, 1988). This floral transformation occurs at the end of the summer in temperate climates. It is followed by the onset of endodormancy of the bud that requires cooler temperatures to restore growth capability (Fuchigami and Wisniewski, 1997; Wallerstein, 1981). The second year, terminal flowering is ensured by the elongation of all of the vegetative and floral organs preformed in the mixed bud.

When plants are in production, we frequently observe either heterogeneous flowering axes at the top of the plant or axes without inflorescences that totally degrade the quality of the product. Improved knowledge of the morphogenetic processes involved in floral transformation and, in particular, inductive environmental factors would make it possible to avoid these losses in quality.

Many researchers have attempted to identify the climatic factors favorable to floral induction. Thus, temperatures below 18 °C (Bailey and Weiler, 1984; Post, 1942) and a short photoperiod of less than 12 h (Guo et al., 1995; Morita et al., 1980; Shanks et al., 1986) are favorable to floral transformation. Despite some interesting results, this research has not yet made it possible to control floral transformation during production. More recent studies have led to a detailed and chronological description of floral transformation in H. macrophylla (Fig. 1). The floral transformation sequence consists of three successive phases: 1) floral induction with the formation of the first phytomer primordia in the bud, and the floral stage. Thus, temperatures below 18 °C during the day and 20 °C at night, and a relative humidity greater than 80%. The quantity of light energy in the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) per day was 1200, 1000, 950, 950, and 820 kJ for the 5 months from October to February, respectively. The sample consisted of 500 plants. The control plants (T0) were maintained in the greenhouse throughout the experiment. The plants of treatments T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5 were transferred to a climatic chamber when the number of phytomer primordia in the bud was equal to four, five, six, seven, and eight, respectively. The climatic conditions in the chamber were favorable to vegetative growth with a photoperiod of 16 h, a thermoperiod of 22 °C during the day and 20 °C at night, and a relative humidity greater than 80%. The quantity of light energy in the PAR was 955 kJ with a photon flux density of 70 μmol·m−2·s−1 using fluorescent tubes (Sylvania T8, F58W). The plants were irrigated by localized fertigation with a nutrient solution consisting of the following major elements in mol·m−3: 1.7N–0.38P–2.4K–1Ca–0.4Mg (pH 6.5).

Measurements and expression of results. Analysis of vegetative and floral organogenesis was carried out on the basis of destructive observations and measurements made once a week on 12 plants for T0 and on six plants for T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5. It was carried out on three morphological components: the number of visible phytomers, the number of phytomer primordia in the bud, and the floral stage. The phytomer consists of an internode, a node,
two axillary buds, and a pair of leaves (Barlow, 1989; White, 1979). The floral stage was ranked from 1 to 7 in relation to the stage of organogenesis reached (1 = vegetative stage; 2 = one inflorescent meristematic dome; 3 = three meristematic domes; 4 = nine meristematic domes; 5 = a large number of inflorescent meristematic domes; 6 = differentiation of floral primordia; 7 = differentiation of floral organs) (Galopin et al., 2008). These measurements allowed us to calculate the time necessary for true leaf initiation, known as the true plastochron, which corresponds to the time between the formation of the two successive phytomer primordia by the meristem and is calculated using a linear regression curve.

Statistical analyses were made on the basis of the calculation of the mean with a confidence interval of 5%.

**Results**

*Evolution of vegetative and floral organogenesis in the mixed bud under climatic conditions favorable to floral transformation (T0).* Apical organogenesis is characterized by the evolution of the number of phytomer primordia and the floral stage in the mixed bud (Fig. 2). The number of phytomer primordia in the vegetative bud was 3 ± 0.0 on Day 0 and increased up to Day 83 when it reached the value of 8.42 ± 0.79. From the first to the 27th day, the true plastochron increased linearly according to the equation curve, \( y = 0.1154x + 3.1237 \) \((R^2 = 0.98; y = \) number of primordia; \( x = \) number of days) with an average value of 8.6 d. From the 33rd to the 83rd day, the true plastochron increased according to the equation curve, \( y = 0.0478x + 4.1115 \) \((R^2 = 0.87)\), with an average value of 20.9 d. During this period, the number of visible phytomers remained stable and equal to

![Fig. 1. The development sequences of the floral axis of *Hydrangea macrophylla*. The floral axis is composed of two morphogenesis units (VGU and VFGU) and four development sequences (A, B, C, D) (Galopin et al., 2010). The floral transformation sequence is composed of three phases (B1, B2, B3).](image)

![Fig. 2. Evolution of vegetative and floral organogenesis in the bud under climatic conditions favorable to floral transformation (T0). Number of phytomer primordia in the terminal bud; number of visible phytomers; floral stage.](image)
4.12 ± 0.33. The end of vegetative organogenesis took place from the 91st day to the 125th day. Floral organogenesis began on the 104th day and evolved to the 0.86 ± 0.58 stage until the 125th day. This accumulation of leaves and floral primordia at the apical level led to the formation of a mixed bud.

Evolution of vegetative organogenesis in the bud under climatic conditions favorable to vegetative growth (T1 to T5). For treatment T1, the stem lengthened with an increase in the number of visible phytomers that corresponded to the growth of external phytomer primordia preformed in the bud (Fig. 3). Of the 3.83 ± 0.41 phytomer primordia preformed in the bud, only the most external one lengthened; the other three formed a permanent primordia stock that was regularly renewed by meristematic activity. Growth then continued with continuous organogenesis and the regular emergence of new phytomers (Fig. 4).

For treatments T2, T3, T4 and T5, the same evolution was observed. The number of phytomer primordia preformed in the bud, observed at the time of the plant transition to conditions favorable to vegetative growth (Day 0), was 4.83 ± 0.41, 6.17 ± 0.41, 7.17 ± 0.41, and 8.0 ± 0.0, respectively (Fig. 4). It then rapidly decreased to three for all of the treatments coupled with a proportional increase in the number of visible phytomers (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

The quantitative and dynamic analysis of the organogenesis and growth of Hydrangea macrophylla requires a large number of measurements, some of which are destructive. Data quality was ensured by the homogeneity of...
young plants resulting from asexual and clonal reproduction using mother microplant culture (Galopin et al., 1996).

Under climatic conditions favorable to floral induction in treatment T0 (Bailey, 1989), the phytomer primordial stock in the bud increased from three to eight in 83 d with an evolution of the real plastochron. It was equal to 8.6 d until the 27th day. This value is close to 7.7 d, which is the real plastochron observed during the organogenesis phase of vegetative growth (A) (Galopin et al., 2010). During this period, meristematic functioning was therefore slightly modified. In contrast, as of the 28th day, the plastochron considerably increased, revealing a modification of the functioning of the vegetative meristem before floral evocation (Phase B1). Phase B1 was followed by Phases B2 and B3 with the appearance of the first inflorescent primordia as of Day 104. The application of climatic conditions favorable to vegetative growth during Phase B1 with treatments T1 to T5 led to a decrease of the number of phytomer primordia in the bud until it reached three, characteristic of the growing vegetative bud. In this case, the most external phytomer primordia in the bud lengthened to form visible phytomers, leading to elongation of the stem. Phase B2 was not reached and the floral transformation process came to an end.

This experiment therefore shows that a change in climatic conditions during Phase B1 when the number of phytomer primordia in the bud is less than or equal to eight leads to the end of the floral transformation process and a resumption of vegetative development. However, this is really proof of floral reversal in H. macrophylla as was demonstrated in Impatiens balsamina and Glycine (Hempe1 et al., 2000; Washburn and Thomas, 2000) in which the meristem can once again form vegetative organs after the formation of floral organs? We observed that climatic conditions favorable to vegetative growth (temperature greater than 18 °C; photoperiod = 16 h) did not interrupt the floral transformation process in the case of H. macrophylla plants that had reached floral Stage 2 (beginning of Phase B2). After 57 d of culture, the number of phytomer primordia in the bud was equal to 6.92 ± 0.51 and the floral stage had evolved from Stage 2 to Stage 5/6. Therefore, no evidence of floral reversal in H. macrophylla could be observed, only a possible interruption of floral induction in Phase B1.

Our study clearly shows that climatic conditions favorable to floral transformation must be continuously applied without interruption during the entire floral induction phase (B1) to ensure the formation of the floral bud in Hydrangea macrophylla. The number of phytomer primordia present in the bud is a good indicator for monitoring development in Phase B1. This indicator can be used during production to predict plant development in relation to climatic conditions.
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