Significant Variation Exists Among Laboratories Measuring Onion Bulb Quality Traits

Michael J. Havey
Vegetable Crops Unit, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Department of Horticulture, 1575 Linden Drive, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706

Marita Cantwell
Department of Vegetable Crops, University of California, Davis, CA 95616

Meriel G. Jones
Plant Science and Fungal Molecular Biology Research Group, School of Biological Sciences, Donnan Laboratories, The University of Liverpool, Crown Street, Liverpool L69 7ZD, United Kingdom

Richard W. Jones
Seminis Vegetable Seeds, 1500 Research Parkway, Suite A120, College Station, TX 77845

Norman E. Schmidt
Department of Chemistry, P.O. Box 8064, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30460

John Uhlig
Seminis Vegetable Seeds, 37437 State Highway 16, Woodland, CA 95695

J.F. Watson
Sunseeds, 8850 99th Avenue N.E., Brooks, OR 97305

Kil Sun Yoo
Vegetable Improvement Center, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

Abstract. Onion pungency is a major quality attribute with many consumers demanding less pungent onions. In recent years, some growers and retailers have attempted to measure pungency of onions produced in different regions to guarantee a desired level of pungency. However, there are few data on the variability among laboratories using standardized protocols to estimate relative levels of pungency. Onion cultivars were grown in replicated trials at three locations. Random samples of bulbs from each experimental unit were harvested and shipped to at least three cooperating laboratories, each of which measured suspended solids content (SSC) and pyruvemics using the same techniques. As expected, cultivars and environments showed significant ($P < 0.001$) differences. For all three trials, laboratories were a highly significant source of variation ($P < 0.024$ to $0.001$) for measurements of SSC and pungency. Therefore, one cannot make recommendations on relative pungencies of the same lots of onions measured by different labs. The onion research community must identify specific procedures to reduce variation among laboratories to develop a more repeatable standardized assay for the measurement of onion pungency.
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Materials and Methods

Onion cultivars were grown in field plots with three replications at locations where each cultivar was adapted. In Summer 2000, ‘SXI429’ and ‘Tesoro’ (SunSeeds) were grown at Brooks, Oregon, and Parma, Idaho; ‘Barrage’ and ‘Sweet Sandwich’ (Seminis Seed Company) were grown at Palmyra and Randolph, Wis. In Fall 2000, ‘Ebano’ and ‘Texas Legend’ (Seminis Seed Co.) were planted during the third week of September and again during the second week of October in Lower Rio Grande Valley of Texas. Bulb production was under field conditions using standard horticultural practices. Bulbs were harvested when mature and at least 12 bulbs from each replication were shipped in Nov. 2000 (Oregon-Idaho and Wisconsin trials) and June 2001 (Texas trial) by overnight express to each of the participating laboratories. The experimental unit consisted of a random sample of 10 bulbs from each replication of each cultivar (Randall, 1992).

Bulbs were cut into quarters from top to bottom and outer dry scales removed. The quarters of 10 bulbs were weighed together, combined with an equal volume (gram weight to mL volume) of water, and blended in a commercial blender or juiced by passage through a commercial juicer. The onion juice was used to measure SSC by refractometry (Mann and Hoyle, 1945) and corrected for the 2-fold dilution. Pycnometric evaluations were completed on the diluted juice according to Schwimmer and Weston (1961). At least three laboratories completed analyses on the 2000 summer trials and five laboratories on the 2001 Texas trial. SSC (percent total dissolved solids) and pycnometric concentrations (mmoles of pycnometric per gram fresh weight) were measured for each replication and data from each laboratory were sent to the senior author for analyses. Environments (locations or planting dates), laboratories, and cultivars were all con-
Table 1. Least square means ± standard errors for laboratories measuring soluble solids content and pyruvate concentrations using bulbs from onion cultivars grown in replicated trials in Idaho-Oregon, Wisconsin, and Texas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Soluble solids content*</th>
<th>Pyruvate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Idaho-Oregon</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>SX</td>
<td>TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percent total dissolved solids of onion juice.
†Micromoles pyruvate per gram fresh weight.
‡Cultivars are ‘SXD1429’ (SX), ‘Tesoro’ (TS), ‘Barrage’ (BA), ‘Sweet Sandwich’ (SS), ‘Ebano’ (EB), and ‘Texas Legend’ (TL).

Results and Discussion

The analyses of variance for SSC and pungencies for the ID-OR, WI, and TX trials revealed low coefficients of variation (~6%), indicating a good experiment. There were significant differences for SSC among cultivars (P < 0.001) and environments (P < 0.001) in the ID-OR and WI trials (Table 1). No differences among cultivars or environments for SSC were revealed in the TX trial. The cultivar × environment interaction was significant for SSC (P < 0.042) for the ID-OR trial. For all three trials, laboratories were a highly significant (P < 0.001) source of variation (Table 1), revealing that refractometry measurements were not consistent among laboratories.

Laboratories represented by far the largest source of variation for pyruvate concentrations (P = 0.024 to <0.001) across all trials (Table 1). The cultivar × environment interaction was significant (P < 0.071) for pyruvate concentrations. The laboratory × cultivar interaction for pyruvate concentrations was significant (P < 0.023) in the TX trial, indicating that groups did not consistently measure pyruvate concentrations across cultivars. Bulbs possessing less than 5.0 mmoles of enzymatically derived pyruvate per ml fresh weight are often classified by the onion industry as “sweet”. The results of the TX trial revealed that two labs (1 and 5) would have labeled onions from cultivars ‘Ebano’ and ‘Texas Legend’ as “sweet”, while labs 2, 3, and 4 would have classified the same lots of onions outside of this “sweet” category (Table 1). This study clearly demonstrates that one cannot make recommendations on relative pungencies, as estimated by enzymatically derived pyruvate concentrations, of the same lots of onions measured by different laboratories. This may not be due to inherent variability in the Schwimmer and Weston (1961) protocol, but variation among laboratories due to people, chemicals, etc. Another significant source of variation could be differences in the methods used to juice or blend the onion samples. It is unlikely that significant variation was due to sampling errors associated with 10 randomly selected onion bulbs, because of the low coefficients of variability for all measured traits. Based on these results, the onion research community must identify specific procedures within the Schwimmer and Weston (1961) protocol to reduce variation among laboratories or develop a more repeatable standardized assay for the measurement of onion pyruvate.

Literature Cited