‘Blake’s Pride’ Pear
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‘Blake’s Pride’ pear (Pyrus communis L.) is a new cultivar that combines a high degree of resistance to fire blight with aromatic, juicy fruit and consistent yield. Fire blight, caused by the bacterium Erwinia amylovora (Burr.) Winstl. et al., is the most serious disease of pears, and is presently endemic in most production regions of the northern hemisphere (van der Zwart and Beer, 1999). All major cultivars of commercial importance, as well as most of those available to home orchardists, are highly susceptible to this devastating bacterial disease (Bell, 1991; van der Zwart and Beer, 1999). The high degree of resistance observed in response to epiphytotic infections has been confirmed by artificial inoculation tests. ‘Blake’s Pride’ was approved for joint release by the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and the Ohio State Univ., Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center (OARDC), in 1998 as a fresh-market pear for commercial growers and home orchards. It was named in honor of Dr. Roland Blake, who participated in the USDA, ARS pear breeding program at OARDC from 1967 to 1984.

Origin

‘Blake’s Pride’ is derived from a cross of US 446 x US 505, made in 1965 by H.J. Brooks (Fig. 1). The original seedling tree, identified by the progeny-seedling number OHUS 66131-021, was selected in 1976 from a seedling orchard at OARDC, Wooster, Ohio, by R.C. Blake and T. van der Zwart. ‘Blake’s Pride’ is derived entirely from Pyrus communis germplasm. The original source of fire blight resistance for this cultivar is the old American cultivar, ‘Seekel’. Subsequently, ‘Blake’s Pride’ has been evaluated for fruit quality, fire blight resistance, and productivity in a replicated, but nonrandomized, second trial of four trees propagated on ‘Bartlett’ seedling rootstock, and planted in 1978 at the USDA, ARS Appalachian Fruit Research Station (AFRS), Kearneysville, W.Va. (Bell and van der Zwart, 1993). It is currently being evaluated in a randomized trial at AFRS, and by 10 cooperators at experiment stations and commercial orchards throughout the United States for range of adaptation, productivity, and postharvest fruit quality under a variety of environmental conditions and management systems.

Description

Fruit traits. The fruit of ‘Blake’s Pride’ are symmetrical, and pyriform to round-pyriform in shape, equivalent to International Board for Plant Genetic Resources (IBPGR) shape ratings of 5.2 and 5.2 (Thibault et al., 1983; Fig. 2). Fruits are moderate in size, averaging 65.7 mm in diameter, and 79.5 mm in length (Table 1), similar to ‘Bartlett’. Maximum values for the median of a sample for fruit diameter was 82 mm, with a corresponding maximum length of 92 mm. Mean individual fruit weight is similar to ‘Bartlett’ (Table 1). The stem is of medium length, of medium thickness, and upright. Skin undercolor when ripe is yellow, the finish is glossy, and 20% to 40% of the fruit surface is covered with a smooth, light tan, attractive russet, which is usually concentrated at the stem end. Harvest maturity at AFRS has occurred on 7 Sept., 2 weeks after ‘Bartlett’. The fruit will keep in air storage at −1 °C for at least 3 months without core breakdown, superficial scald, or senescent scald. The maximum observed storage life of ‘Blake’s Pride’ was 109 d, while that observed for ‘Bartlett’ was 92 d. The flesh texture is moderately fine, juicy, and buttery. Grit cells are moderately small and occur primarily around the core and in a thin layer near the skin, similar to ‘Bartlett’. The flavor is subacid to sweet and, like ‘Bartlett’, ‘Blake’s Pride’ is moderately aromatic; the character of the flavor and aroma is more similar to ‘Doyenné du Comice’ than ‘Bartlett’. The fruit of ‘Blake’s Pride’ and ‘Bartlett’ ripen to a soft, juicy, and buttery texture within 4 to 7 d following removal from at least 3 weeks of cold storage. In a single test in 1992, fruit of ‘Blake’s Pride’ placed in a 20 °C ripening room immediately after harvest required 13 to 17 d, depending on the harvest date, to ripen.

Tree and production traits. The tree is moderate in vigor on ‘Bartlett’ seedling rootstock, and upright-spreading in habit. Flowers have white petals, and the anthers are pink to red. Full bloom at AFRS occurs 1 to 4 d before ‘Bartlett’. ‘Blake’s Pride’ is self-incompatible, but reciprocally pollen-compatible with ‘Bartlett’, ‘Beurre Bosc’, ‘Harrow Delight’, and ‘Packham’s Triumph’. Pollen compatibility with other commercial cultivars has not been tested. Subjective yield ratings have been moderate to moderately high, with first crop 3 to 4 years after planting. Fruit are borne primarily on spurs, but also on terminal blossoms of lateral shoots, especially on young trees.

Disease Resistance. Epiphytotic (i.e., natural) infection in four individual trees planted in 1978 has been scored almost yearly, from 3 to 22 years of age, using the scoring system devised for mature trees by van der Zwart et al. (1970). Fire blight resistance is significantly greater than ‘Bartlett’, with rare infections extending no further than 1-year-old growth (Table 2). The worst individual tree score recorded in any year was 8, with infection extending into 1-year-old wood.

Artificial inoculations were performed in the orchard at AFRS in 4 years using single isolates or mixtures of strains at equal concentrations. Inoculum preparation and inoculation procedures have been previously published (Bell et al., 1996). Details on isolates and concentrations, as well as data analysis, are given in Table 2. Frequencies of ‘Bartlett’ shoot infection were 100% in 1987, 1999, and 2000, and 95% in 1993, while values for ‘Blake’s Pride’ were 50%, 50%, 90%, and 80% for 1987, 1993, 1999, and 2000, respectively. Mean percent lesion length and mean age of infected wood did not vary significantly.

Table 1. Yield of ‘Blake’s Pride’.


Fig. 1. Pedigree of ‘Blake’s Pride’ pear.
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Fig. 2. Fruit of ‘Blake’s Pride’.

Table 1. Fruit quality traits and harvest date of ‘Blake’s Pride’ and ‘Bartlett’ pears.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Blake’s Pride</th>
<th>Bartlett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td>202 ± 2.5</td>
<td>195 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>65.7 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>79.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>80.7 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core diameter (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>21.3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor*</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aroma*</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grit*</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture*</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juiciness*</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russet*</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blush (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance*</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest date</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage life (days)</td>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data for ‘Blake’s Pride’ are from 3 years of seedling data at Wooster, Ohio, and 6 years of data from four unhinned trees at Kearneysville, W.Va., with a total of 39 to 45 samples per trait. Data for ‘Bartlett’ are based upon 2 years at Wooster, Ohio and 11 years at Kearneysville, W.Va., with a total of 37 to 51 samples per trait. Fruit samples of six to 10 fruit were harvested at random on two to three dates per year, 5 to 10 d apart. Samples were stored from 0 to 140 d for ‘Bartlett’, and from 0 to 134 d for ‘Blake’s Pride’.

1Least square means and standard errors for 1999, 2000, and 2001, are based upon 1 to 3 harvest date samples of 5 or 10 fruit. Standard errors are those appropriate to differences among years. Least-square means and standard errors were computed using SAS PROC GLM (SAS Institute, 1990c).

Flavor, grit, texture, and appearance were rated using hedonic scoring systems, with 1 representing poor, 4 representing acceptable, and 9 representing excellent. Aroma scores were: 0 = none, 1 = light, 2 = moderate, and 3 = intense. Juiciness scores were based upon a scale of increasing intensity, with 1 = very dry and 9 = very juicy. Scores were assigned to samples of five to 10 fruit; mean of evaluations of best harvest date samples per year, performed by two trained evaluators.

Russet scores of 1 to 9 were assigned according to a scale based primarily upon the percentage of fruit surface covered, but also taking into consideration location and uniformity.

Mean percentage of fruit surface.

Storage life is the maximum number of days in air storage at –1 °C after which the fruit harvested at or close to optimal maturity ripened without core or flesh breakdown, superficial scald, or senescent scald.

Among years for ‘Blake’s Pride’. For ‘Bartlett’, mean percent lesion length was significantly greater in 1993 (167%) than in the other 3 years (80%, 104%, and 106%). Infection of ‘Bartlett’ wood was particularly severe in the year 2000, with all infections spreading into 3-year-old wood. In spite of the significant interactions, only the 4-year means are presented in Table 2. Even after 4 or 8 weeks, progression of most infections in ‘Blake’s Pride’ had ceased. In comparison to the susceptible standard, ‘Bartlett’, shoot infections in ‘Blake’s Pride’ were consistently significantly shorter, ceased progressing earlier, and only progressed into 1-year-old wood in a single shoot.

In 1999, blossoms of ‘Blake’s Pride’ were artificially inoculated, and in 2000, blossoms of ‘Blake’s Pride’ and ‘Bartlett’ were artificially inoculated. Details of the inoculation techniques and analysis of severity data are given in Table 3. In 1999, frequency of infection (defined as the number of infected blossoms expressed as a percent of total number of inoculated blossoms) and severity were recorded 12 d after inoculation. In 2000, frequency and severity of infection were scored at 11 d after inoculation, and severity was scored 21, 48, and 152 d after inoculation. A blossom was considered infected if even just the hypanthium showed necrosis. Frequency of blossom infection in year 2000 was analyzed using the chi-square test of SAS PROC FREQ (SAS Institute, 1990b).

Only one of 10 blossom clusters of ‘Blake’s Pride’ was uninfected in both 1999 and 2000. In 2000, all blossoms on each of the 40 clusters of ‘Bartlett’ were infected, while all blossoms in 38 of 40 ‘Blake’s Pride’ clusters were infected. The chi-square test indicated no significant difference in frequency of blossom infection between the two cultivars. While the blossoms of ‘Blake’s Pride’ are susceptible to infection, at least 50% of the infections progressed no further than the pedicel (Table 3), and at a slower rate than that observed in ‘Bartlett’ (data not presented), while all inoculations of ‘Bartlett’ progressed into wood at least 2 years old. Thus, the potential for loss of fruiting wood of ‘Blake’s Pride’ is minimal.

Orchard survey data indicates that the cultivar is moderately resistant, but not immune to pear scab (Venturia pirina Aderh.), and is susceptible to Fabrea leaf spot (Fabraea maculata Atk.) (data not presented). The ‘Blake’s Pride’ budwood source tree at OARDC was tested by National Research Support Project No. 5 and found to be free of known viruses and the pear decline phytoplasma (W. Howell, personal communication).

Availability

Budwood of ‘Blake’s Pride’ is limited and trees are not available from the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. Interested nurseries and researchers should send requests for noncertified budwood to R.L.B. Pathogen-free certified budwood will be available from NRSP-5. Genetic material of this release will be deposited in the National Plant Germplasm System, where it will be available for research pur-
Table 2. Fire blight development in response to epiphytic and artificial shoot inoculation of ‘Blake’s Pride’ and ‘Bartlett’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection type</th>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Blake’s Pride</th>
<th>Bartlett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epiphytic</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.0 a</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoot inoculation</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>68 ± 10 b</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Lesion length</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>14 ± 2 a</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of infected wood</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01 b</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lowest, i.e., most severe, rating of epiphytic (i.e., natural) infection according to van der Zet et al. (1970) in which 1 = dead and 10 = no symptoms. The number corresponding to 0 refers to the number of trees observed over a period of 12 years.

Mean separation between cultivars was according to Fisher’s protected t test, following one-way analysis of variance performed with SAS PROC GLM (SAS Institute, 1990c).

Table 3. Fire blight infection in response to artificial blossom inoculation of ‘Blake’s Pride’ and ‘Bartlett’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Infection severity score</th>
<th>Mean severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Blake’s Pride</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Blake’s Pride</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bartlett</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1999, five recently opened blossoms on each of 10 clusters were spray-inoculated with an equal mixture of E. amylovora isolates E2002 and E273, on 20 May 1993 using isolates MG-E-9, E273, and AFRS 581, on 6 June and 25 June of 1999 using isolates AFRS 554 and AFRS 581, and on 7 June 2000 using AFRS 554. Inoculum concentration was adjusted to 5 x 10^7 cfu·mL^-1 in 1987, and to 1 x 10^8 cfu·mL^-1 concentration in all other years. Total shoot length, lesion length and age of infected wood were measured biweekly up to 8 weeks after inoculation in 1987 and 1993, after 16 weeks in 1999, and 21 weeks in 2000, after necrosis had ceased to progress. Final lesion length was divided by total shoot length to derive percent lesion length. Lesion length, percent lesion length, and arc-sine transformed percentage data were analyzed for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test in the NORMAL option of SAS PROC UNIVARIATE (SAS Institute, 1990c). A factorial treatment design with years and cultivars as fixed effects was used, least-square means and standard errors for the main effects and interactions were computed, and differences between the two cultivars tested by Fisher’s protected t test.

% Lesion length = (lesion length / total shoot length)

Severity scale based upon tissue infected: 0 = no infection; 1 = receptacle; 2 = pedicel; 3 = basal tissue of cluster; 4 = spur or 1-year-old wood; 5 = spur bearing or 2-year-old wood; 6 = wood 3 years or older. Severity scores were analyzed using the Wilcoxon two-sample test of SAS/PROC NPAR1WAY (SAS Institute, 1990c).

Mean separation between cultivars for year 2000 (within column) according to the nonparametric analysis of variance F test.
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