Progress in Tree Fruit Improvement Through Molecular Genetics

Ralph Scorza
U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service, Appalachian Fruit Research Station, 45 Wiltshire Road, Kearneysville, WV 25443

The new biotechnologies being developed and used for the genetic improvement of plant species have the potential to revolutionize tree fruit breeding. Development of new tree fruit cultivars has been plagued by generation cycles of 3–20 years, high levels of heterozygosity, severe inbreeding depression, complex intraspecific incompatibility relationships, and nucellar embryony. The production of many major fruit crops, including apple (Malus xdomestica Borkh.), citrus, and pear (Pyrus communis L.), is based on a rather limited number of cultivars, and in many cases these cultivars were selected many years ago as chance seedlings. Because of the long-term nature of tree fruit breeding, the vast majority of tree fruit breeding programs are publicly funded and the funds available for these programs continues to decline. The application of new technologies that will speed the pace of tree improvement is critically needed if tree fruit breeding is to remain a viable endeavor.

Notwithstanding the current debate concerning the acceptance of transgenic food crops (de Kathe, 1998; Hoban, 1997; Hoban and Katic, 1998; Mann, 1999), the technology for development and release of new transgenic cultivars and the acreage covered by them continue to increase. Genetic mapping continues to progress at an ever increasing pace, providing breeders with the tools to make rapid progress in crop improvement, and “functional genomics” promises to provide insights into genetic regulation of plant function and the means for isolating genes that can be manipulated in transgenic plants. In a limited way, these advances in plant improvement have begun to be used for tree fruits, but, for the most part, work in these crops lags far behind that in many of the herbaceous species. Of the 5894 field releases of transgenic plants in the United States from 1987 to Apr. 2000, only 33 have been temperate tree fruit species (www.aphis.usda.gov/bbep/bb; www.aphis.usda.gov/biotech). Tree fruit biotechnology has been the topic of several reviews (Oliveira et al., 1996; Schuerman and Dandekar, 1993; Scorza, 1991; Singh and Sansavini, 1998; Srinivasan and Scorza, 1999; Sung and An, 1997). The following discussion of tree fruit biotechnology outlines some of the research in progress. It is not meant to be exhaustive, but rather to provide an overall view of work in this area, citing relevant examples from a few of the leading programs.

TRANSGENICS

The difficulty in regeneration of many tree fruit species and/or cultivars is one of the most serious hindrances to the application of gene transfer technologies to these crops. In those species that can be reliably transformed, a careful reading of the literature generally reveals that few genotypes of a particular species are being transformed, and, in some cases, these genotypes are not commercially important cultivars. In some species, transformation has been obtained only from seedling material (da Câmara Machado and da Câmara Machado, 1995; Mante et al., 1991; Smigocki and Hammerschlag, 1991). The time between initiating a transformation experiment and the evaluation of phenotype is generally much longer for tree fruits than for herbaceous crops (Sherman and Lyrene, 1983). In the case of fruit-specific traits, evaluation typically takes place years after the initial transformation. The space requirements for evaluation of trees can be significant, and, coupled with the need for multiple-year evaluation in different growing areas, make evaluation of transgenic tree fruits expensive and time-consuming. The requirements for evaluating the performance of new transgenic cultivars of tree fruits are the same as those required for conventional cultivar development; thus, the incorporation of molecular genetics within existing breeding programs is perhaps the most efficient approach to the development of improved transgenic tree fruit cultivars.

Difficulties notwithstanding, there are several inherent advantages in the use of gene transfer for tree fruit improvement. Once a useful transformant is isolated, assuming stability of transgene expression (and this assumption has yet to be adequately tested for tree fruits), vegetative propagation—the normal route of multiplying tree fruits—provides for virtually unlimited production of the desired transgenic line. Fixation through the sexual cycle is not required. The dominance of a few major cultivars in many tree fruit crops such as pear, apple, sour cherry (Prunus cerasus L.), and citrus maximizes the impact that an improved transgenic cultivar can have. For example, over 90% of U.S. pear production can be accounted for by three cultivars, ‘Bartlett’, ‘Beurre Bosc’, and ‘Anjou’. An improvement in any of these three, particularly ‘Bartlett’, which accounts for ≈50% of the North American crop (O’Rourke, 1999), can have a significant impact on production. Currently, almost all of the sour cherry production in the United States is based on ‘Montmorency’. Over 50% of the world and U.S. apple crop is based on ‘Red Delicious’, ‘Golden Delicious’, ‘Granny Smith’, ‘Gala’, and ‘Fuji’ (O’Rourke, 1998). While this heavy reliance on a few major cultivars is not favorable in terms of genetic vulnerability, it is, in fact, the current situation, although breeding programs are releasing new cultivars that will broaden the genetic base. The current major cultivars, though, can potentially be improved in fruit quality and made less vulnerable to insects and diseases through transformation.

Most work in transformation of perennial tree fruits is concentrated in apple, citrus, pear and Prunus. Research focuses on disease and insect resistance, manipulation of fruit ripening/softening, and alteration of tree architecture.

Apple

Transgenic apples (‘Royal Gala’, ‘Galaxy’) expressing the lytic peptide attacin E have been produced, and tests indicate that some transformants are more resistant to fireblight [caused by Erwinia amylovora ([Burr.] Winslow et al.,) (Ko et al., 2000). A research group at Cornell Univ. is pursuing resistance to scab [caused by Venturia inaequalis (Cooke) G. Wint.] and apple insect pests using chitinase genes from various sources. Delayed fruit softening is being pursued through expression of sense and antisense 1-aminocyclopropane 1-carboxylic acid (ACC)-synthase and polygalacturonase genes. Evaluation of fire blight resistance, delayed fruit softening, and scab resistance are in the field-test stage (Bolar et al., 2000).

Work at the Univ. of California at U.C. Davis by Dandekar and co-workers on apple improvement is at the field test stage and focuses on improving fruit shelf life through the manipulation of ethylene biosynthesis. Transgenic apple trees that incorporate sense or antisense cDNA encoding ACC-synthase and ACC-oxidase from apple are under field-test (Dandekar, pers. comm.). Resistance to codling moth [Cydia pomonella (L.)], using a chemically synthesized version of the cry1Ab gene from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) with improved codon usage pattern, has been successfully field tested (Dandekar, pers. comm.), and is currently being commercialized by Dry Creek Labora-
Sorbitol is the major product of photosynthesis in apple and many other members of the Rosaceae, and its role in carbon metabolism is being evaluated by studying transgenic apple trees expressing sense/antisense cDNA that encodes sorbitol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, the enzyme that catalyzes the key step in sorbitol biosynthesis. Trees with alterations in sorbitol metabolism are currently being field tested (Dandekar, pers. comm.) to evaluate the role of sorbitol in growth, photosynthetic efficiency, and productivity of trees and quality and yield of fruit.

Research on the regulation of apple and pear ripening through down regulation of ethylene biosynthesis is being undertaken by researchers at Agritope, Inc., Beaverton, Ore., where the sam-k gene encoding S-adenosylmethionine hydrodrolase (SAMase) has been engineered into ‘Gale Gala’ apple and ‘Bartlett’ pear (Bommineni et al., 2000). Transgenic plants are under field evaluation. The effects of this gene on ripening have not yet been evaluated.

The growth of apple trees has been altered by the expression of the rolA gene isolated from Agrobacterium rhizogenes. M.26 apple rootstocks transformed with rolA had reduced internode length, reduced leaf area, and lower dry weights than did controls (Holefors et al., 1998). Grafting ‘Gravenstein’ onto transgenic M.26 stocks expressing rolA reduced stem and internode length of the scion, but the transformed rootstocks did not influence relative growth rate, leaf area ratio, specific leaf area, or dry matter allocation of the scion (Zhu and Welander, 1999).

**Pear**

As with apple, resistance to fire blight is a major goal of pear improvement through transformation. Transgenic pears expressing the *attacin E* lytic peptide gene have been produced (Reynold et al., 1999). Eleven transgenic ‘Passe Crassane’ clones were obtained, with eight showing a high level of transcription. In comparison with the nontransformed control, necrosis was significantly reduced in six clones, but symptoms were still about six times as severe as those shown by the highly resistant cultivar Old Home. Researchers at USDA–ARS, Kearneysville, W.Va., are currently testing transgenic ‘Bartlett’ pear plants expressing lytic peptide genes for resistance to fire blight (Bell et al., pers. comm.).

There is also a critical need for smaller pear trees for high-density production systems. Transgenic dwarf pears have been produced through the introduction of the rolC gene from *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* (Bell et al., 1999). Greenhouse tests of three transgenic clones showed significant reductions in height, number of nodes, internode length, and leaf area in comparison with nontransformed ‘Beurre Bosc’ controls. These trees are currently under field evaluation as scions and rootstocks, and additional transgenic trees are being produced using rolC and other genes that cause dwarfing in other species.

**Citrus**

The development of highly efficient citrus transformation systems has led the way to the testing of many genes in this economically important genus (Cervera et al., 1998; Luth and Moore, 1999; Moore et al., 1992; Peña et al., 1995). Traits under study for improvement include resistance to citrus tristeza virus (CTV) using CTV-derived genes (Dominguez et al., 1999), PR protein genes for resistance to *Phytophthora, Arabidopsis* floral genes, such as *Leafy* (LFY) and *Apetala 1* (AP1), to shorten the juvenile period, genes derived from citrus to control tree growth, and yeast-derived genes for salt tolerance (Cervera et al., 2000). Many of these transgenic trees are currently under greenhouse test at Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias, Valencia.

**Prunus**

Although *Prunus* species account for $1.5 billion in revenue in the United States alone, transformation is far from routine for most species. Almond [*Prunus dulcis* (Mill.) D.A. Webb], apricot (*P. armeniaca* L.), cherry, peach, and plum (*P. domestica* L.) have been transformed, but most published papers have been single reports involving only the introduction of marker genes (da Cámara Machado et al., 1995; da Câmara Machado and da Câmara Machado, 1995; Mante et al., 1991; Miguel and Oliveira, 1999; Negri et al., 1998; Smigocki and Hammerschlag, 1991). Transformation from mature somatic tissues (i.e., cultivars) is even more rare (da Cámara Machado et al., 1995; Miguel and Oliveira, 1999; Negri et al., 1998). The improvement goals for *Prunus* sp. are similar to those for other tree fruit species and include disease and insect resistance, delayed softening, and manipulation of tree architecture. Transgenic peach seedlings expressing the ms328: Tn5-cytokinin gene from *A. tumefaciens* were reduced in height and/or branched more than did controls (Hammerschlag and Smigocki, 1998). Transgenic cherry plants expressing the phytochrome A (*Phy A*) gene, which alters growth habit, are currently under test (Negri et al., 1998). Transformation of seed-derived explants of European plum with the plum pox virus (PPV) coat protein gene has produced trees highly resistant to this virus in both greenhouse and field tests (Raveland et al., 1997; Scorzal et al., 1994). Hybrids produced from transgenic, resistant parents carrying the PPV-CP insert were also PPV-resistant (Scorzal et al., 1998). Seed-derived apricot has also been transformed with the PPV coat protein gene (da Câmara Machado and da Câmara Machado, 1995).

Manipulation of fruit ripening/softening is being pursued at USDA/ARS Kearneysville by transformation of *P. domestica* with antisense ACC-oxidase. Transgenic trees are under field test (Callahan, pers. comm.). Application of this technology to peach is being pursued.

**MARKER-ASSISTED SELECTION**

Molecular markers have the potential for speeding the breeding process and reducing costs. The prospect of selecting promising seedlings at an early stage of growth in the greenhouse by using a saturated map to tag quantitative traits, as well as those controlled by single genes, and planting only these promising genotypes in the field, is an attractive prospect. Also the possibility of using molecular markers as tools for map-based cloning of genes presents new exciting possibilities for tree fruit breeders.

Linkage maps in apple, peach, peach x almond hybrids, sour cherry, and sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) have been published (Abbott et al., 1998; Chaparro et al., 1994; Conner et al., 1997; Davis and Yu, 1997; Dirlewanger and Bodo, 1994; Foolad et al., 1995; Hemmat et al., 1994; Joobeur et al., 1998; Maliepaard et al., 1998; Rajapakse et al., 1995; Sosinski et al., 1998; Stockinger et al., 1996; Viruel et al., 1995; Wang et al., 1998).

In peach, the eight linkage groups have been identified and RFLP probes have been shared among *Prunus* mapping groups so that homologous linkage groups can be identified. Apple maps of 1120 and 984 cM have been developed representing the 17 linkage groups (Hemmat et al., 1994; Maliepaard et al., 1998).

The European community has published a general *Prunus* linkage map based on an interspecific cross between peach and almond (Joobeur et al., 1998). This map contains 213 mapped genomic and cDNA clones from a number of different *Prunus* species. No linkage maps are available for pear or plum.

In almond, eight linkage groups covering 394 cM with an average of 4.2 cM between markers were identified (Viruel et al., 1995). The sour cherry map consists of 18 linkage groups covering 508 cM (Wang et al., 1998). In sweet cherry the map consists of 10 linkage groups covering 503 cM.

Economically important genes have been tagged in peach and apple. In peach, three markers were found to be closely linked (1.3 cM) to Freestone (F), the locus controlling the stone/flesh adherence trait (Sosinski et al., 1998). Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers have been identified in peach that are 3.4 cM and 6.0 cM away from the two genes for nematode resistance (*Mi* and *Mij*) (Lu et al., 1998, 1999), and 1 cM away from the “Evergreen” locus (Wang et al., 2000b). In apple, markers flanking the *Vf* gene for scab resistance have been identified (Patocchi et al., 1999), as well as a simple sequence repeat (SSR) for the *columnar* (*Col*) growth habit (Hemmat et al., 1997).

Within apple, peach, and cherry, quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have
The use of biolistics and Meristem transformation using biolistics (Sautter et al., 1995) or a genetic improvement of tree fruits will depend on the development of fruit species, is quite limited. Presently, the availability of potentially useful genes, especially from carefully chosen promoters that provide expression at the required development of useful transgenic tree fruits will require the use of the Rosaceae is the comparison of the sour cherry map with the peach/ almond map (Wang et al., 1998).

The production, maintenance, and evaluation of useful mapping populations are critical to map development. Field work must be completely integrated into mapping studies and funded accordingly. Without field programs, the benefits of the new transformation and genomics technologies will not be available to the industry and consumers.

**Conclusions**

Rapid progress in the practical application of transformation for the genetic improvement of tree fruits will depend on the development of reliable, efficient, genotype-independent transformation systems. Meristem transformation using biolistics (Sautter et al., 1995) or a combination of biologies and Agrobacterium (Zimmerman and Scorzó, 1996), appear to be promising approaches, but will require a considerable investment of effort. As with transformation of any species, the development of useful transgenic tree fruits will require the use of carefully chosen promoters that provide expression at the required time and in the required tissue. Gene discovery will be important. Presently, the availability of potentially useful genes, especially from fruit species, is quite limited.

As with transformation research, the most efficient use of genomics research will occur when these technologies are integrated into active breeding programs. In the case of marker development, the markers are only as accurate as the field evaluation of the traits marked. The production, maintenance, and evaluation of useful mapping populations are critical to map development. Field work must be completely integrated into mapping studies and funded accordingly. Without field programs, the benefits of the new transformation and genomics technologies will not be available to the industry and consumers.
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