Photoperiod Responses of Phaseolus Plant Introductions in Hawaii¹

Richard W. Hartmann University of Hawaii, Honolulu

Abstract. Tropical lines of Phaseolus spp. planted in Hawaii in spring or early summer included types which bloomed in the usual 30–45 days and types which did not bloom until days had begun to shorten in the fall. Short-day types bloomed at daylengths between 113/4 and 131/4 hr, with the majority blooming between 121/2 and 13 hr. P. vulgaris, P. lunatus, and P. calcaratus included both short-day and day-neutral types. All lines of P. aconitifolius, P. acutifolius, and P. angularis were day-neutral. Single lines of P. erythroloma and P. cf. stenolobus were short-day, and single lines of P. pilosus, P. radiatus, and P. bracteatus were day-neutral.

Introduction

In recent years the United States Department of Agriculture has placed increasing emphasis on the introduction of species of *Phaseolus*, particularly from Latin America, their center of origin (5). Unlike cultivars grown in temperate regions, many tropical lines will flower only under short-day conditions. At Pullman, Washington, the location of the Regional Plant Introduction Station with primary responsibility for increasing and distributing bean introductions, inductive daylengths occur too late in the growing season to permit seed production of short-day lines. For this reason, Western Regional Project W-6 has supported a project to grow beans in Hawaii, since short-day types will flower in the winter when the temperature is still favorable for growth and maturation of the seed.

Photoperiod responses in various P. vulgaris cultivars adapted to the temperate zone have generally been found to be absent or of a quantitative nature in which flowering is delayed under increased lengths of photoperiod (1, 2, 3, 4). Allard and Zaumeyer (1) subjected to various photoperiods 79 lines of P. vulgaris L., 11 lines of P. lunatus L. (lima bean), 1 line of P. coccineus L. (scarlet runner bean), 1 line of *P. aconitifolius* Jacq. (moth bean), 1 line of *P. acutifolius* var. *latifolius* G. Freeman (tepary bean), 1 line of *P. angularis* (Willd.) W. F. Wight (adzuki bean), 1 line of P. aureus Roxb. (mung bean), 1 line of P. calcaratus Roxb. (rice bean), and 2 lines of P. mungo L. (urd bean). They classified 25 of the P. vulgaris lines tested as short-day, and 54 as day-neutral. All lima beans were day-neutral. P. coccineus was a long-day species and all others were short-day except for one strain of P. mungo, which was day-neutral. All classifications were based on whether flowering was delayed under longer or shorter photoperiods.

Although Allard and Zaumeyer thoroughly surveyed the cultivars of *P. vulgaris* and *P. lunatus* in the United States in the early 1940's, their materials would not be expected to represent the range of photoperiod responses possible in any of the species reported here. Cultivars with a strong short-day response would not be successful in most parts of the United States, because they would not bloom early enough to mature before frost in the fall of the year. Other than *P. vulgaris* and *P. lunatus*, only

1 or 2 lines of each species were tested. Growing numerous tropical lines of most of these species in Hawaii has made it possible to detect different responses within some of the species, as well as to estimate the critical daylength required for flowering in the short-day types.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Lines of Phaseolus from the Regional Plant Introduction Station at Pullman, Washington were planted directly in the field at the Manoa Experimental Farm in Honolulu. Since the only information transmitted from Pullman is the species and country of origin, and since growth and seed production are usually better in the summer, the seeds were planted as soon as possible after receipt. This paper reports the blooming dates (date of first open flower) of lines included in 2 plantings, one on July 15, 1965, and the other on May 1, 1967. In both plantings there were a large number of lines which bloomed in the usual 30-45 days from planting and were presumably day-neutral, and others which did not bloom until the days had become shorter in the fall and were considered to be short-day types. Also reported are some lines planted January 24, 1967 which bloomed for a short period in the spring, and then stopped blooming during the summer. New blooming dates in the fall were not recorded for those lines still alive, however.

In Honolulu (Lat. 21° N) the daylength, including civil twilight, when the sun is 6° below the horizon, varies from a little more than 14 hr in June to a little less than 12 hr in December. The daylengths and temperatures for the period when the short-day lines bloomed (September 1 to November 20) are given in Table 1. The mean temperature varied from 75.9° to 81.7° F during the 2 years covered in this table.

Included in the 2 plantings were the following numbers of *Phaseolus* lines: *P. aconitifolius*-19, *P. acutifolius*-9, *P. angularis*-12, *P. bracteatus*-1, *P. calcaratus*-17, *P. erythroloma*-1, *P. lunatus*-120, *P. pilosus*-1, *P. radiatus*-1, *P. vulgaris*-126. All lines of *P. aconitifolius* and *P. angularis* were bushes. All other lines were vines except for 8 lines of *P. vulgaris*, *P.I.* Nos. 282,005; 282,007; 282,093; 282,100; 282,101; 282,105; 282,117; 298,108.

Table 1. Daylengths (including civil twilight) and temperatures at Honolulu (Lat. 21° N) from September 2 to November 13.

Date	Daylength (hr)		Maximuma Temp.	Mean ^a Temp.	Minimum ^a Temp.
September 2	131/4	1965 1967	84.7 89.5	77.9 81.5	71.1 73.5
September 15	13	1965 1967	86.6 89.4	79.7 81.7	72.7 73.9
September 29	123/4	1965 1967	86.3 88.9	78.9 80.9	71.5 72.9
October 13	12½	1965 1967	85.4 86.6	78.4 78.9	71.4 71.2
October 27	121/4	1965 1967	84.0 86.1	75.9 79.3	67.7 72.4
November 13	12	1965 1967	81.6 83.8	76.4 76.9	71.2 69.9

^{*}Average for 2-week period.

¹Received for publication December 18, 1968. Published with the approval of the Director of the Hawaii Agricultural Experiment Station as Journal Series No. 1057.

Table 2. Daylengths (including civil twilight) at which Phaseolus vulgaris lines bloomed.

12 H	ours	121/4	hours	12½ l	nours	123/4	hours	13 H	ours	131/4	hours	Day	neutral
P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin
298,822a 298,824a	Peru Peru	291,006 298,821a 304,109b	Peru Peru El Salvador	203,916 203,921 203,923 290,998 291,001 291,002	Mexico Mexico Mexico Peru Peru Peru	202,081 203,914 203,924 290,999	Mexico Mexico Mexico Peru	202,831 203,919	Mexico Mexico	202,832° 202,834° 282,095° 282,106° 282,112° 291,005° 304,115° 304,118°	Mexico Mexico Chile Chile Chile Peru El Salvador El Salvador	150,414 193,672 201,489 204,963 205,361 209,621 282,005 282,007 282,097 282,097 282,099 282,100 282,101 282,104 282,105 282,108 282,108 282,108 282,117 282,117 298,114 298,114 304,116 304,117	El Salvador Ethiopia Mexico Guatemala Guatemala Guatemala Cuba Chile

^aRelated lines 298, 819, 820, 823 died before blooming. ^bDid not bloom before plowed up October 25.

Table 3. Daylengths (including civil twilight) at which Phaseolus lunatus lines bloomed.

121/4	hours	121/2	hours	123/4	hours	13 I	nours	131/4	hours	Shor	t-daya	Da	/-neutral
P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin
299,386	Brazil	186,986 188,697 195,344 195,345 249,021 249,022 249,033 249,036 249,038 249,039 249,042 249,044 256,393 256,397 299,381 299,383 299,384 299,385 299,385 310,625 310,625	Gold Coast Africa Guatemala Guatemala Nigeria Sigeria Nigeria Nigeria Rigeria Nigeria Rigeria Rigeria Rigeria Rigeria Rigeria Rigeria Guatemala Guatemala Guatemala	195,342 249,024 249,024 249,035 249,045 249,061 249,066 256,382 256,384 256,388 256,389 256,399 256,399 256,390 256,400 256,401 256,402 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,403 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,405 256,406 256,405 256,40	Guatemala Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria El Salvador El Salvador	194,314 195,339 249,031 249,056 249,059 256,399 256,404 256,848 299,385 310,620	Ethiopia Guatemala Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria El Salvador El Salvador Peru Brazil Guatemala	249,027 249,030 249,034 249,041 249,041 249,043 249,057 249,063 309,694	Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Nigeria Mexico	249,026 249,029 249,032 249,037 249,045 249,054 249,054 249,052 256,385 256,407– 256,423 256,423 256,806 256,807 256,811 256,811 256,812 256,822 256,823 256,835 256,8	El Salvador Colombia Colombia Colombia Colombia Colombia Colombia Colombia Ecuador	164,891 180,324 189,070 209,051 214,170	Guatemala India Belgian Cong Cuba India

^aShort-day, but daylength requirement not determined.

Table 4. Daylengths (including civil twilight) at which *Phaseolus* calcaratus lines bloomed.

12 hours		13 hours		13¼ hours		Day neutral		
P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	P.I. No.	Origin	
195,331 304,149 311,156 311,157	Guatemala Honduras Guatemala Guatemala	194,783	India	208,460	India	247,686 247,687 247,688 247,699 247,691 247,693 251,948 286,299 286,300	Belgian Cong Belgian Cong Belgian Cong Belgian Cong Belgian Cong Belgian Cong Belgian Cong Belgian Cong Belgian Cong Ivory Coast	

RESULTS

In Tables 2, 3, and 4 are listed the lines of *P. vulgaris*, *P. lunatus*, and *P. calcaratus* which bloomed at various daylengths. All 3 species included both short-day and day-neutral lines. No *P. vulgaris* or *P. lunatus* lines from temperate areas are listed, because all these lines have shown a day-neutral response under Hawaiian conditions. All of the *P. vulgaris* lines were planted July 15, 1965. There was thus a small amount of overlap between lateblooming day-neutral lines and the short-day lines which bloomed under 131/4 hr daylengths. All the rest of the lines except the *P. lunatus* from Brazil were planted May 1, 1967. In this planting there was no overlap between late day-neutral lines and short-day lines.

Possibly day-neutral.

Table 5. Lines of Phaseolus aconitifolius, P. acutifolius, and P. angularis which all were day-neutral.

Species	P.I. Number	Origin	
P. aconitifolius	164,419	India	
<i>y</i>	164,444	India	
	164,530	India	
	165,382	India	
	173,931	India	
	182,976	India	
	213,013-014	India	
	214,332	Oklahoma	
	214,333	India	
	215,648-649	India	
	216,038	India	
	218,101-102	Pakistan	
	223,521	Afghanistan	
	223,801	Afghanistan	
	271,399	India	
	271,489	India	
P. acutifolius	200,749	El Salvador	
	200,902	El Salvador	
	201,268	Mexico	
	239,056	Morocco	
	269,378	Chile	
	310,800-803	Nicaragua	
P. angularis	157,625-626	Korea	
	157,628	Korea	
	157,633	Korea	
	157,640-643	Korea	
	157,649	Korea	
	175,240	India	
	196,173	Korea	
	221,974	Japan	

In Table 5 are listed all the lines of *P. aconitifolius*, *P. acutifolius*, and *P. angularis* which were grown. None of these lines showed a short-day behavior. The *P. aconitifolius* and *P. angularis* lines are all from more or less temperate areas, but the *P. acutifolius* lines are mostly from Central and South America and might have been expected to have a short-day response.

In addition to the species reported in Tables 2–5, single lines of several other species were grown. *P. erythroloma* (P.I. 164,047) from Brazil showed a short-day response. Planted January 24, 1967, it bloomed April 10 for about one month, and then remained vegetative during the summer, blooming again in the fall. *P.* cf. stenolobus (P.I. 311,151) from Honduras, also planted January 24, 1967, grew very slowly at first, and did not bloom until November 22, at a daylength of about 113/4 hr. By that time it had made a large, vigorous vine. *P. pilosus* (P.I. 306,376) from Costa Rica, *P. radiatus* (P.I. 291,366) from China, and *P. bracteatus* (P.I. 158,831) from Paraguay were all day-neutral.

DISCUSSION

For plant breeders desirous of using introduced germplasm in their breeding programs, particularly germplasm from the center of origin of the species, difficulties may arise if the introduced lines will not bloom under the normal growing conditions available to the breeder. An attempt has been made here primarily to report the daylength requirements for lines of *P. vulgaris* and *P. lunatus* introduced from tropical areas which may be used by plant breeders in the United States. The lines reported in this paper are not a random sample, however, since the majority would be lines that could not be successfully matured in the field at Pullman, Washington.

Although some short-day lines bloomed under a daylength of 13½ hr, most required a shorter daylength, the majority between 12½ and 13 hr. All lines with the exception of *P*. cf. *stenolobus* (P.I. 311,151), were in bloom once the daylength had reached 12 hr. It should be noted that considerable variation occurred from line to line from the same country. The *P. lunatus* lines from Nigeria (P.I. 249,020–249,066) showed a range from 12½ to 13½

hr. Likewise, the *P. lunatus* lines from El Salvador (P.I. 256,382–256,406) showed a range of $12\frac{1}{2}$ to 13 hr, but the majority of these lines (16 out of 20) bloomed at $12\frac{3}{4}$ hr.

The day-neutral responses of P. aconitifolius, P. acutifolius, and P. angularis do not agree with the short-day response reported by Allard and Zaumeyer (1). However, they listed the latter 2 species as short-day types because of a delay in flowering under extremely long days. Neither species showed a delay under a 141/2 hr daylength, longer than the longest daylength obtainable naturally in Hawaii. P. aconitifolius, however, began to show a delay in flowering under the 13 and 131/2 hour daylengths. In Hawaii, however, all the P. aconitifolius lines, planted May 1, bloomed from June 1 to June 21, when daylengths would have been at their maximum, about 14 hr. Allard and Zaumeyer reported that P. aconitifolius took 98 days to flower at a 14-hr daylength, so there must be more variability in this species than is present in the lines reported in this paper.

Several lines of *P. coccineus* from numerous countries have been grown successfully in other plantings in both winter and summer with no evidence of a long-day response as reported by Allard and Zaumeyer (1). But Allard and Zaumeyer based their conclusions on a delay in flowering under a 10-hr photoperiod. They reported no delay under a 12-hr photoperiod, a daylength which is attained in Hawaii for only about 2 months in the winter and which is only a little longer than the shortest day.

Nearly all the lines exhibiting a short-day response were introduced from Central or South America. The only exceptions are the large number of *P. lunatus* lines from Nigeria, 3 other *P. lunatus* from Africa, and the 2 *P. calcaratus* lines from India. A likely explanation for this would be that most beans introduced into Africa or Asia were introduced via Europe, rather than directly from their Latin American center of origin. Thus, only day-neutral types that could mature in Europe would be likely to be introduced by this route. However, there has also probably been some direct introduction as evidenced by the large number of short-day lines of *P. lunatus* which came from Nigeria.

Previous studies² (2) have shown that the photoperiod responses in beans may be influenced by temperature factors. No attempt has been made here to evaluate any response to temperature, but the relatively small temperature variation during the period that these lines were blooming (Table 1) makes it unlikely that this was a major factor for the response of these lines. These temperatures are fairly similar to those available during the summer in most areas where beans are grown and these short-day lines could probably be expected to remain vegetative unless subjected to a daylength of 12–13 hr or less.

If a plant breeder in continental United States wishes to use a short-day line in his breeding program, he should have no difficulty growing the plants in a greenhouse under natural winter daylengths to make his crosses. However, he would waste a great deal of effort if he should try to evaluate any of these lines in the field during the summer, since they would probably not mature any pods before they were killed by frost. Problems may also arise in evaluating segregating progenies, since a

²Mack, H. J., and W. A. Frazier. 1967. Effects of temperature and photoperiod on flowering and fruiting of snapbean varieties and breeding lines. Abstracts 64th Ann. Mtg. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.; 8. Padda, D. S., and H. M. Munger. 1967. Physiological-genetic studies of photoperiod response in beans, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Abstracts 64th Ann. Mtg. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.: 9.

Variable Branching Patterns in the Strawberry Inflorescence¹

James C. Foster and Jules Janick Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana

Abstract. The selection 'La. 9-1158' as grown in Louisiana bears each fruit in what appears to be a cluster of individual flower stems arising from the crown in contrast to the branched inflorescence of most cultivars. This character was found to vary with environment. Under Indiana conditions inflorescences initiated during the winter in the greenhouse or under short days in climate chambers appear unbranched. However, inflorescences initiated on plants grown in the greenhouse during the summer and on field grown plants that flower in the spring are normally branched. Elongation of winterinitiated inflorescences by use of gibberellic acid indicates that the apparent unbranching inflorescence represents an extreme type of "basal branching," i.e. each of the flower stems is a branch of a single inflorescence that has compressed internodes. The growth habit of the inflorescence is influenced by photoperiod. In the greenhouse, fruit size and achene number decrease with the ripening sequence in unbranched inflorescences of 'La. 9-1158' the same as in normally branched cultivars.

Introduction

NIFORMLY large fruit size is one of the important breeding objectives in the strawberry. The decline in fruit size through the harvest season is related to the ripening sequence down the inflorescence (4). The creation of selections that appear to produce a single fruit per peduncle and thus uniform size (2) prompted the present study of inflorescence branching.

The selection 'La. 9-1158' as grown in the field at Baton Rouge, Louisiana produces an inflorescence markedly different from other cultivars. Within each inflorescence, each flower appears to arise on a single peduncle

number would be expected to show the short-day character and not bloom until too late in the season for evaluation. However, it can be expected that lines from the center of origin may carry resistance to diseases not found in other regions and the need for this resistance may make it necessary to use these short-day lines.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. ALLARD, H. A., and W. J. ZAUMEYER. 1944. Responses of beans (Phaseolus) and other legumes to length of day. U.S. Dept. Agr. Tech. Bul. 867:1-24.
- 2. COYNE, D. P. 1966. The genetics of photoperiodism and the effect of temperature on the photoperiodic response for time of flowering in Phaseolus vulgaris L. varieties. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 89:350-360.

- Hort. Sci. 89:350-360.
 ————. 1967. Photoperiodism: inheritance and linkage studies in Phaseolus vulgaris. J. Hered. 58:313-14.
 ————., and R. H. MATTSON. 1964. Inheritance of time of flowering and length of blooming period in Phaseolus vulgaris. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 85:366-373.
 VAVILOV, N. I. 1951. The origin, variation, immunity, and breeding of cultivated plants. Transl. from Russian by K. S. Chester. Reprinted from Chron. Bot. Ronald Press Co., New York 364 pp. illus York, 364 pp. illus.

from a common point in the crown, although branching may increase near the end of the season.

Dormant plants of 'La. 9-1158' sent from Louisiana to Indiana in the spring of 1967 quickly flowered in the greenhouse and the subsequent inflorescences appeared unbranched as observed in Louisiana. However, the inflorescences of plants that reflowered during the summer in the greenhouse were branched as in ordinary cultivars. Subsequent studies were carried out in Indiana to determine what factors affect the variable branching pattern in this selection.

PROCEDURE, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Branching behavior of 'La. 9-1158'. This selection was grown in the field, greenhouse, and in climate control chambers at Lafayette during 1967 and 1968. The behavior under these conditions is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Inflorescence branching of La. 9-1158.

Location	Flowering date	Inflorescence type
Baton Rouge, Louisiana		
Field planting	3/67	Unbranched
Field planting	3/68	Unbranched
Lafayette, Indiana		
Greenhouse ^a	2/67	Unbranched
Greenhouse	7/67	Branched
Environmental control chamber		
(12 hour day-length)	2/68	Unbranched
Greenhouse	3/68	Unbranched
Field planting (1967)	6/68	Branched
Greenhouse	6/68	Branched

*Dormant plants received from Louisiana 12/67. These plants provided the stock for all future plantings at Lafayette.

At Lafayette the inflorescences are unbranched when flowers are initiated during the winter in the greenhouse or under short days in climate control chambers as under Louisiana field conditions. However, in Indiana when the selection initiates flowers during the summer in the greenhouse or when field grown and blooming in the spring from flowers initiated in the previous summer or fall, the

Table 2. Effect of gibberellic acid on the internode length of the branch bearing the primary flower of 'La. 9-1158'.

T	Internode length (cm) ^a peduncle							
Treatment	1	2	3	Total				
Control ^b	0.0	0.0	9.6	9.6				
Gibberellic acid	19.9	21.5	8.1	49.5				
	12.0	10.9	15.8	38.7				
	21.5	8.5	4.5	34.5				
	20.0	3.5	4.8	28.3				
	21.8	1.9 1.7	3.6	27.3				
	0.0	1.7	20.5	22.2				
	1.7	3.1	16.7	21.5				
	16.0	1.0	2.2	19.2				
	1.6	4.2	12.9	18.7				
	0.0	0.9	17.7	18.6				
	14.0	0.6	2.8	17.4				
	1.0	4.3	11.9	17.2				
	0.0	0.9	14.8	15.7				
	0.0	0.0	14.4	14.4				
	0.0	0.3	12.6	12.9				
	0.0	0.0	10.2	10.2				
	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0				
	0.0	0.1	9.2	9.3				

aInternode designation as below: flower 3 ^bAverage of 15 inflorescences.

I. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 94:440–443. 1969.

¹Received for publication December 30, 1968. Journal Paper No. 3570 of the Purdue Agricultural Experiment Station, Lafayette,

The authors wish to acknowledge Professor P. L. Hawthorne, Louisiana State University, the originator of 'La. 9-1158', for his generosity in providing plants for this study.