Diversity of Wild *Pyrus communis* **Based on Microsatellite Analyses**

Gayle M. Volk¹, Christopher M. Richards, Adam D. Henk, and Ann A. Reilley

National Center for Genetic Resources Preservation, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1111 S. Mason Street, Fort Collins, CO 80521

Nahla V. Bassil and Joseph D. Postman

National Clonal Germplasm Repository, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 33447 Peoria Road, Corvallis, OR 97333

ADDITIONAL INDEX WORDS. pear, simple sequence repeat, genetic

ABSTRACT. Edible european pears (*Pyrus communis* L. ssp. *communis*) are derived from wild relatives native to the Caucasus Mountain region and eastern Europe. Microsatellite markers (13 loci) were used to determine the relationships among 145 wild and cultivated individuals of *P. communis* maintained in the National Plant Germplasm System (NPGS). A Bayesian clustering method grouped the individual pear genotypes into 12 clusters. *Pyrus communis* ssp. *caucasica* (Fed.) Browicz, native to the Caucasus Mountains of Russia, Crimea, and Armenia, can be genetically differentiated from *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster* L. native to eastern European countries. The domesticated pears cluster closely together and are most closely related to a group of genotypes that are intermediate to the *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster* and the *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica* groups. Based on the high number of unique alleles and heterozygosity in each of the 12 clusters, we conclude that genetic diversity of wild *P. communis* is not fully represented at the NPGS. Additional diversity may be present in seed accessions stored in the NPGS and more pear diversity could be captured through supplementary collection trips to eastern Europe, the Caucasus Mountains, and the surrounding countries.

Edible european pears were selected and bred from wild *P. communis* trees with small, nearly round, hard, gritty, sour, and astringent fruit (Hedrick, 1921). Large and medium-fruited edible pears were cultivated by Greeks and Romans as long as 2500 years ago and French monks and German botanists maintained ancient cultivars until the 16th and 17th centuries. Most modern cultivars originated from breeding efforts in Belgium and England in the 1700s (Hedrick, 1921; Lombard et al., 1980).

In the 1930s, Nicolai Vavilov recognized that Asia Minor (Trans-Caucasia, Iran, and Turkmenistan) represented a center of diversity for wild P. communis (Vavilov, 1994). The Caucasus Mountains provide diverse habitats that support highly variable germplasm (Vavilov, 1994). Over the past 50 years, seeds from wild P. communis trees were collected from natural or naturalized stands in the Caucasus Mountains, Crimea (Ukraine), Armenia, Turkey, the Balkans, and other European countries. While these individuals tend to have unacceptable fruiting qualities, they may provide valuable genetic diversity for the breeding of disease resistance to fire blight (Erwinia amylovora Burrill), pear psylla (Cacopsylla pyricola Foerster), and wooly pear aphid (Eriosoma pyricola Bak. and David.). Resistance to diseases and pests is a priority in pear breeding programs (Bell, 1982, 1992; van der Zwet et al., 1983; Westwood and Westigard, 1969). Multiple subspecies designations have been described for types of P. communis. Domesticated cultivars of P. communis ssp. communis have hybrid ancestry with wild P. communis subspecies and P. nivalis Jacquin, the snow pear. Also, P. communis ssp. pyraster and P. communis ssp. caucasica are thought to be most likely ancestors of the cultivated european pear (Challice and Westwood, 1973).

Received for publication 19 Sept. 2005. Accepted for publication 11 Feb. 2006. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this article is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture.

Phenotypically, *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster* and *P. communis* ssp. caucasica are similar (Aldasoro et al., 1996). These subspecies have been largely classified according to their geographical distribution. P. communis ssp. pyraster originates from areas west and south of the Black Sea such as the Balkan countries, Turkey and other European countries while wild forms of P. communis from areas around and east of the Caucasus Mountains (southwestern Russia, Crimea, Georgia, Armenia, and Azerbaijan) are classified as P. communis ssp. caucasica (Fig. 1). P. nivalis originates from Europe and Asia Minor. Selections and hybrids of P. nivalis species have been grown for hundreds of years throughout western Europe and especially in France and England for perry production (Challice and Westwood, 1973). Taxonomist Alfred Rehder considered P. korshinskyi Litv. (synonym P. bucharica Litv.) a related species of P. communis. P. korshinskyi is native to central Asia and may have arisen from hybridization between P. communis and P. regelii Rehder (Rehder, 1940).

Taxonomic studies of species within the genus Pyrus L. show that differentiation is highly correlated with geographical origin. Using ordination analysis of morphological and chemotaxonomic characters, Challice and Westwood (1973) refined the concept of differentiation among the east Asian, west Asian, and European species and speculated on their phylogenetic origin. The authors were able to distinguish between the western European P. communis types, east Asian pea pears [P. betulifolia Bge., P. fauriei Schneider, P. dimorphophylla (Mak.) Koidz., P. callervana Dcne.], and larger-fruited east Asian pears [P. pyrifolia (Burm. f.) Nakai, P. hondoensis Kikuchi & Nakai, P. ussuriensis Maxim.]. The east Asian pears grouped with the wild European P. cordata (Desv.) Schneider, the wild north African P. longipes Henry, and the wild Asian P. pashia D. Don (Challice and Westwood, 1973). While work was able to distinguish broad taxonomic boundaries among Asian and European Pyrus species, attempts at delineating the subspecies of P. communis that are most closely related to domesticated pear were not successful.

¹Corresponding author; e-mail gvolk@lamar.colostate.edu.

Microsatellites have been used for cultivar identification within both Asian (*P. pyrifolia*) and European (*P. communis*) pear species (Kimura et al., 2002; Yamamoto et al., 2002b, 2002c). In addition, many microsatellite loci show a high degree of synteny between apple (*Malus* Mill.) and *Pyrus* genomes (Yamamoto et al., 2001; Pierantoni et al., 2004), facilitating the use of apple markers in pear and simplifying the process of mapping the pear genome (Hayashi and Yamamoto, 2002; Hemmat et al., 2003; Katayama and Uematsu, 2003; Pierantoni et al., 2004; Yamamoto et al., 2001). Pear genetic linkage maps are valuable for breeding programs (Yamamoto et al., 2002a, 2005) as well as population genetic studies of diversity.

This study is aimed at examining the differentiation and diversity of *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster* and *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica* within an orchard collection at the National Plant Germplasm System (NPGS) National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) in Corvallis, Ore. The purpose of this work is to describe the extent of *P. communis* genetic diversity collected from Asia Minor and eastern Europe and use these data for germplasm management including suggestions for future collecting trips.

Materials and Methods

PLANT MATERIALS. A total of 145 *P. communis* individuals were used for this study. Exploration trips to eastern European and Caucasus regions have brought a diverse collection of wild *P. communis* clones and seeds to the United States. Much of this germplasm was channeled to the NCGR by H. Waterworth of the Plant Quarantine Station, Glenn Dale, Md., and M. Westwood, formerly a pomologist at Oregon State Univ. and is documented in the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture's Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN). Phenotypic and historical information for each individual is available by querying GRIN using plant introduction (PI) numbers listed in Table 1 (USDA, 2005).

Several historical exploration trips returned to the United States with wild *Pyrus* germplasm from the Trans-Caucasus region. In 1967, H. Brooks traveled to Crimea, Ukraine, and the Caucasus mountains between Teberda, Pyatigorsk, and Stavropol in the former USSR (Brooks, 1968). In 1977, D.R. Dewey and A.P. Plummer from Utah State Univ. collected wild *P. communis* seeds near Stavropol and Svetlograd, in the Russian Federation. Seedlots of *P. communis* were also collected from Armenia by S. Gasparian (Science Research Center of Viticulture, Fruit Growing, and Wine Making in Merdzavan, Armenia) in Fall 2003 and sent to the NCGR.

Collectors gathered seeds of diverse materials from eastern Europe. J.L. Creech and D.H. Scott collected P. communis seeds from trees in Moldova (formerly Moldavia, USSR) and Crimea, Ukraine (formerly USSR). T. van der Zwet published details of his collection trips to gather scions from named P. communis cultivar trees and landraces in Serbia, Yugoslavia, Romania, Macedonia, Czech Republic, and Poland (van der Zwet et al., 1983, 1989). T. Dimitrovski of the Univ. of Skopje, Macedonia, collected seeds from wild P. communis trees from Leva Reka, Stip, and Gorna Bosava-Kavadarci, Macedonia in 1969, 1971, and 1972, respectively, and provided cuttings to the NCGR in the 1980s. Additional P. communis seed collections were made in Turkey for M. Westwood by his colleague H. Olez in 1963 and in Kyrgyzstan by Maxine Thompson in 1994. Other individuals of P. communis selected for this project were unnamed largefruited types, rootstocks, and trees without specific collection localities, collected by M. Westwood and A. Rehder from the

1940s to 1960s. Collection details for individuals are provided in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

PHENOTYPIC OBSERVATIONS. Many of the wild *P. communis* trees in the NPGS are more than 30 years old. Subspecies designations were assigned by the curator (J.D. Postman) using fruit and foliage characteristics as well as original geographic source data. Data were collected on fruit and leaves during the 2005 season at Corvallis, Ore. Quantitative phenotypic data included fruit size and peduncle length. Qualitative phenotypic data was collected for fruit shape, russetting, lenticel size, leaf shape, and peduncle thickness.

MOLECULAR ANALYSIS. Duplicate samples of genomic DNA were isolated from young leaf tissue of 145 *P. communis* individuals using the PUREGENEkit (Gentra Systems, Minneapolis, Minn.). Thirteen microsatellite primers were selected from the literature (Table 2). These markers were unlinked and produced a maximum of two bands per reaction. Forward primers, labeled with either IRD 700 or IRD 800, were obtained from MWG-Biotech (High Point, N.C.). Unlabeled reverse primers were purchased from Integrated Technologies (Coralville, Iowa).

Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were carried out in 15 μ L total volume. For each reaction, 10 to 50 ng DNA template and 0.3 to 0.7 pM of primers were combined with 1.5 units Taq Polymerase (Promega, Madison, Wis.), 1X Promega magnesium free buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, and 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma, St. Louis), 0.25 mM MgCl₂, and 0.25 mM dNTP (Promega)]. PCR amplifications were carried out using a PTC200 thermocycler (MJ Research, Reno, Nev.) The PCR program had an initial denaturation step of 2 min at 95 °C followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at the published primer-specific annealing temperature (Table 2), 15 s at 72 °C and ending with a final extension step of 2 min at 72 °C. Completed PCR reactions were diluted 1:1 in 95% formamide, 50 mM EDTA, bromophenol blue loading dye, and denatured at 95 °C for 3 min. Gels (6.5% LI-COR KB Plus acrylamide; LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebr.) were run in 1X TBE (89 mM Tris, 89 mM boric acid, 20 mM EDTA) buffer for 1 h 45 min at 1500 V, 40 W, 40 mA, and 45 °C on a LI-COR 4200 DNA Sequencer (LI-COR) Digital images were collected from the sequencer using LI-COR Saga Generation2 software and were manually analyzed using the Saga software. Alleles from replicate samples were examined at each locus, and when alleles for replicates were not identical, data for that locus were entered as "missing" in subsequent analyses. Allele sizes were calibrated by comparing values with data collected from P. pyrifolia (cultivar Hosui) and three Malus × domestica Borkh. individuals (PI 590184, PI 588853, PI 588850).

DATA ANALYSES. We used complimentary approaches to cluster, estimate diversity and display genetic differentiation in the set of pear individuals using SSR data. Initially a Bayesian clustering analysis was conducted using the software STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al., 2000). This approach uses a model-based clustering algorithm to identify clusters of individuals that have distinctive allelic frequencies. Individuals are assigned to clusters based on their allelic frequencies without a priori information such as geographic origin or parentage. The model assumes kgroups, linkage equilibrium among markers, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium within a group. The parameter k was determined by simulating a range of values of k and the posterior probability of each value was assessed. Posterior probabilities were estimated using a Markov Chain, Monte Carlo (MCMC) method based on 50,000 iterations of each chain following a 30,000 iteration burnin period. Each MCMC chain for each value of k (ranging from 1

Table 1. *Pyrus communis* accessions maintained by the U.S. National Plant Germplasm System (NPGS) that were included in SSR analyses have been organized by assigned cluster (as determined using Bayesian clustering analyses). Plant introduction (PI) and Corvallis, Ore. *Pyrus* local (CPYR) identification numbers are provided. *Pyrus communis* ssp. *communis* assignments were based on fruit size and *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica* and *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster* assignments were based on collection location. Collection information includes donor (to the NPGS), collector, collection date, general source location, specific latitude and longitude, and approximate elevation. Q fit describes the membership coefficient of an individual for its assigned cluster.

		Acces-	I	Local			Davalopar/						
Clust	ter	no.	1	no.	Species	Donor	collector	Year	Source	Lat.	Long.	Elev.	Q fit
A I	PI	300693	CPYR	38.001	P. communis ssp. communis	M.N. Westwood	J. Stair	1770	Aldermaston, England				0.968
A I	PI	205464	CPYR	706.002	P. communis	H. Waterworth			England, UK				0.945
ΑI	PI	324130	CPYR	711.001	P. communis	H. Waterworth			Rome, Italy				0.780
ΑI	PI	541389	CPYR	1221.001	P. communis ssp. communis	M.N. Westwood							0.963
ΑI	PI	541437	CPYR	1489.001	P. communis ssp. communis	M.N. Westwood			Europe				0.956
ΑI	PI	541449	CPYR	1584.001	P. communis (rootstock)	M.N. Westwood							0.919
ΑI	PI	541450	CPYR	1585.001	P. communis (rootstock)	M.N. Westwood							0.962
ΑI	PI	541451	CPYR	1586.001	P. communis (rootstock)	M.N. Westwood							0.915
ΑI	PI	293833	CPYR	2067.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	J.L. Creech, D.H. Scott		Moldova	48.833	28.833		0.852
ΑI	PI	617607	CPYR	2532.001	P. communis	H. Barrett		1920's	Botanical garden, St. Petersburg,				0.870
									Russia				
A ^z I	PI	541441	CPYR	700.001	P. communis ssp. communis	P. Fridlund			Collection at Prosser, Wash.				0.389
ΒI	PI	324030	CPYR	2039.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Stavropol, Russia	44.833	42.167	660	0.954
ΒI	PI	324029	CPYR	2038.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Stavropol, Russia	44.833	42.167	660	0.952
ΒI	PI	337439	CPYR	689.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	H.J. Brooks	H.J. Brooks	1967	Stavropol, Russia	44.833	41.833	600	0.885
ΒI	PI	312147	CPYR	1576.001	P. communis hybrid with a Asian	H. Waterworth		1966	Alma-ata, Kazakhstan				0.811
					species								
ΒI	PI	322286	CPYR	685.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	H.J. Brooks	H.J. Brooks	1967	Ukraine	44.55	34		0.799
ΒI	PI	483386	CPYR	1540.001	P. communis ssp. communis	T. van der Zwet			Baligrod, Poland	49.3	22.4		0.642
ΒI	PI	483381	CPYR	1535.002	P. communis	T. van der Zwet			Czechoslovakia	50.083	14.416		0.633
ΒI	PI	506373	CPYR	1674.002	P. communis ssp. communis	T. van der Zwet			Serbia	43.83	21		0.517
B ^z I	PI	322710	CPYR	902.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	H.J. Brooks	H.J. Brooks	1967	Askiniya-Nova Bot Garden, Ukraine				0.277
CI	PI	313929	CPYR	680.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	H. H. Waterworth		1966	Vavilov Institute, Russia				0.916
CI	PI	541563	CPYR	681.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	J. Magness		Russia				0.653
CI	PI	337438	CPYR	688.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	H.J. Brooks	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.833	41.833	600	0.609
CI	PI	337441	CPYR	690.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	H.J. Brooks	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	43.3	41.633	1600	0.942
CI	PI	440629	CPYR	717.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	45.033	41.967	0	0.963
							Plummer						
CI	PI	440629	CPYR	718.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	45.033	41.967	0	0.688
							Plummer						
CI	PI	440629	CPYR	719.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	45.033	41.967	0	0.955
							Plummer						
CI	PI	440629	CPYR	720.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	45.033	41.967	0	0.955
							Plummer						
CI	PI	440629	CPYR	721.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	45.033	41.967	0	0.830
							Plummer						
CI	PI	324028	CPYR	1192.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.75	41.833	600	0.730
CI	PI	324032	CPYR	1193.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.833	42.167	660	0.580
CI	PI	324037	CPYR	1194.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	43.583	41.833	1600	0.688
CI	PI	324042	CPYR	1195.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	43.667	41.917	1200	0.927
CI	PI	541564	CPYR	1602.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	Polish researchers		Caucasus Mountains, Russia				0.898
CI	PI	324031	CPYR	2040.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.833	42.167	660	0.787
											contin	ued nex	t page

J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 131(3):408-417. 2006.

Table 1. Continued.

Chi	ster	Acces- sion	Local ident.	Species	Donor	Developer/	loper/ ector Year Source		Lat.	Long.	Elev.	O fit
- -	ы	224025	CBVB 2042.001	P communic can caucaging	M.N. Wastwood	H I Proska	1067	Caucacus Mountains Pussia	42.067	42.017	000	0.840
c	PI	324033	CPVR 2045.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H J Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	43.507	42.917	1600	0.840
c	DI	324030	CPVR 2049.001	P communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	43.565	41.055	1200	0.942
С	PI	324045	CPYR 2050.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	43.667	41.917	1200	0.947
С	PI	324047	CPYR 2053.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.167	42.5	540	0.851
С	PI	324048	CPYR 2054.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.167	42.5	540	0.916
С	PI	324050	CPYR 2056.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.133	43	930	0.540
С	PI	293838	CPYR 2060.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	J.L. Creech, D.H. Scott		Crimea, Ukraine	44.83	34.5		0.642
С	PI	617598	CPYR 2522.001	P. communis ssp. korshinskyi	M. Thompson	M. Thompson	1994	Experiment station, Ak-Terek,	41.25	72.833	1600	0.885
								Kyrgyzstan				
С	PI	617598	CPYR 2522.003	P. communis ssp. korshinskyi	M. Thompson	M. Thompson	1994	Experiment station, Ak-Terek,	41.25	72.833	1600	0.951
								Kyrgyzstan				
С	PI	617598	CPYR 2522.004	P. communis ssp. korshinskyi	M. Thompson	M. Thompson	1994	Experiment station, Ak-Terek,	41.25	72.833	1600	0.714
								Kyrgyzstan				
С	ΡI	617598	CPYR 2522.005	P. communis ssp. korshinskyi	M. Thompson	M. Thompson	1994	Experiment station, Ak-Terek,	41.25	72.833	1600	0.971
								Kyrgyzstan				
С	ΡI	617598	CPYR 2522.006	P. communis ssp. korshinskyi	M. Thompson	M. Thompson	1994	Experiment station, Ak-Terek,	41.25	72.833	1600	0.918
								Kyrgyzstan				
С	ΡI	617598	CPYR 2522.007	P. communis ssp. korshinskyi	M. Thompson	M. Thompson	1994	Experiment station, Ak-Terek,	41.25	72.833	1600	0.891
								Kyrgyzstan				
С	PI	617598	CPYR 2522.008	P. communis ssp. korshinskyi	M. Thompson	M. Thompson	1994	Experiment station, Ak-Terek,	41.25	72.833	1600	0.882
~								Kyrgyzstan				
С	PI	617598	CPYR 2522.009	P. communis ssp. korshinskyi	M. Thompson	M. Thompson	1994	Experiment station, Ak-Terek,	41.25	72.833	1600	0.798
C	ы	(20005	CDVD 2012 001	D	6. C		2002	Kyrgyzstan	40.117	44.022		0.725
c	PI	638005	CPYR 2813.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.117	44.855		0.725
c	PI DI	638000	CPVR 2815.002	P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.8425	44.3625		0.824
C ^z	ГI рі	337/37	CPVP 687.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	H L Brooks	H I Brooks	1067	Caucasus Mountains Pussia	40.0423	44.3023	600	0.385
C ^z	PI	324027	CPYR 2037.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	45.083	41.917	570	0.445
cz	PI	293834	CPYR 2066.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	J.L. Creech, D.H. Scott		Crimea, Ukraine				0.470
C^{z}	PI	617598	CPYR 2522.002	P. communis ssp. korshinskyi	M. Thompson	M. Thompson	1994	Experiment station, Ak-Terek,	41.25	72.833	1600	0.479
								Kyrgyzstan				
D	ы	440630	CPYR 727 001	P communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey A P	1977	Caucasus Mountains Russia	44 683	42.483	400	0.867
						Plummer		,				
D	PI	440630	CPYR 728.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.683	42.483	400	0.952
					,	Plummer		,				
D	PI	440630	CPYR 729.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.683	42.483	400	0.970
				*		Plummer						
D	PI	541321	CPYR 731.001	P. communis	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Caucasus Mountains, Russia	44.683	42.483	400	0.971
						Plummer						
Е	PI	440631	CPYR 694.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Svetlograd, Russia	45.067	43.033	300	0.955
						Plummer						
Е	PI	440631	CPYR 695.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Svetlograd, Russia	45.067	43.033	300	0.965
						Plummer						
Е	PI	440632	CPYR 697.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Svetlograd, Russia	45.067	43.033	300	0.906
						Plummer				aont:-	und	t n
										comm	ιεα πεχι	i page

Table 1. Continued.

	Acce	s- Local									
Cluste	sion r no.	no.	Species	Donor	collector	Year	Source	Lat.	Long.	Elev.	Q fit
ΕP	I 4406	32 CPYR 697.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Svetlograd, Russia	45.067	43.033	300	0.906
					Plummer						
ΕP	I 4406	32 CPYR 698.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	D.R. Dewey	D.R. Dewey, A.P.	1977	Svetlograd, Russia	45.067	43.033	300	0.961
					Plummer						
E ^z P	I 2938	42 CPYR 2059.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	J.L. Creech, D.H. Scott		Moldova	48.833	28.833	300	0.374
FΡ	I 6380	04 CPYR 2812.00	2 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.731
FΡ	I 6380	05 CPYR 2813.00	2 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.945
FΡ	I 6380	05 CPYR 2813.00	3 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.536
FΡ	I 6380	05 CPYR 2813.00	4 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.944
FΡ	I 6380	07 CPYR 2815.00	1 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.974
FΡ	I 6380	07 CPYR 2815.00	2 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.727
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.00	1 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.958
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.00	2 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.947
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.00	3 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.980
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.00	4 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.978
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.00	5 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.832
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.00	6 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.955
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.00	7 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.974
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.00	8 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.976
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.00	9 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.976
FΡ	I 6380	08 CPYR 2816.01	0 P. communis ssp. caucasica	S. Gasparian		2003	Armenia	40.842	5 44.3625		0.980
G P	I 5412	91 CPYR 693.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey	38.2	28.6		0.928
G P	I 5412	92 CPYR 710.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey				0.907
G P	I 5413	23 CPYR 712.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey				0.915
G P	I 3698	81 CPYR 986.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1971	Macedonia	41.733	22.183		0.729
G P	I 3698	81 CPYR 986.002	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1971	Macedonia	41.733	22.183		0.522
G P	I 3698	81 CPYR 986.005	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1971	Macedonia	41.733	22.183		0.708
G P	I 5413	91 CPYR 1248.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey	38.2	28.6		0.937
G P	I 5413	92 CPYR 1249.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey	38.2	28.6		0.927
G P	I 5413	93 CPYR 1250.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey	38.2	28.6		0.578
G P	I 5413	94 CPYR 1251.00	2 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood			France				0.684
G P	I 5413	95 CPYR 1252.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey	38.2	28.6		0.875
G P	I 5414	35 CPYR 1465.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey	38.2	28.6		0.936
G P	I 5414	36 CPYR 1466.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey	38.2	28.6		0.841
G P	I 6175	21 CPYR 1467.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey	38.2	28.6		0.681
G P	I 5063	80 CPYR 1671.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	T. van der Zwet			Valsoara, Romania				0.585
G P	I 5414	90 CPYR 2057.00	1 P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	H. Olez	1963	Turkey				0.868
Н Р	I 3490	26 CPYR 989.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.923
Н Р	I 3490	26 CPYR 989.002	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.957
Н Р	I 3490	26 CPYR 989.003	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.831
Н Р	I 3490	26 CPYR 989.004	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.901
Н Р	I 3490	26 CPYR 989.005	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.971
Н Р	I 3490	26 CPYR 989.006	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.597
Н Р	I 3490	26 CPYR 989.007	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.557

continued next page

Table 1. Continued.

		Acces-	s- Local										
Clus	ter	sion no.	ic	ient. no.	Species	Donor	Developer/ collector	Year	Source	Lat.	Long.	Elev.	Q fit
Н	PI	349026	CPYR	989.008	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.910
Н	PI	349026	CPYR	989.009	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.964
Н	PI	349026	CPYR	989.010	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.841
н	PI	349026	CPYR	989.011	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.884
н	PI	349026	CPYR	989.012	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Izvor, Macedonia	41.555	41.833		0.912
H^{z}	PI	483383	CPYR	1537.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	T. van der Zwet	T. van der Zwet		Poland				0.327
I	PI	541440	CPYR	699.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	P. Fridlund			Unknown				0.838
I	ΡI	349027	CPYR	991.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Leva Reca, Macedonia				0.929
I	PI	349027	CPYR	991.002	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Leva Reca, Macedonia				0.967
I	PI	349027	CPYR	991.003	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Leva Reca, Macedonia				0.964
Ι	PI	349027	CPYR	991.004	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Leva Reca, Macedonia				0.922
Ι	PI	349027	CPYR	991.005	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Leva Reca, Macedonia				0.946
Ι	PI	349027	CPYR	991.006	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Leva Reca, Macedonia				0.927
I	PI	502178	CPYR	1638.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	T. van der Zwet	T. van der Zwet		Macedonia	41.883	21.667		0.559
I^{z}	PI	541434	CPYR	1421.001	P. communis	M.N. Westwood							0.435
I^{z}	PI	506379	CPYR	1684.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	T. van der Zwet	T. van der Zwet		Romania				0.310
J	ΡI	541567	CPYR	881.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	P. Fridlund, M.N.			Collection at Prosser, Wash.				0.967
						Westwood							
J	ΡI	349028	CPYR	993.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Mavrovo, Macedonia	41.417	20.833		0.947
J	PI	349028	CPYR	993.002	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Mavrovo, Macedonia	41.417	20.833		0.909
J	ΡI	349028	CPYR	993.003	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Mavrovo, Macedonia	41.417	20.833		0.974
J	ΡI	349028	CPYR	993.004	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Mavrovo, Macedonia	41.417	20.833		0.922
J	PI	349028	CPYR	993.005	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Mavrovo, Macedonia	41.417	20.833		0.958
J	PI	349028	CPYR	993.006	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Mavrovo, Macedonia	41.417	20.833		0.977
J	ΡI	349028	CPYR	993.007	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	T. Dimitrovski	1969	Mavrovo, Macedonia	41.417	20.833		0.957
\mathbf{J}^{z}	ΡI	502173	CPYR	1633.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	T. van der Zwet	T. van der Zwet		Poland	50	22		0.287
K	ΡI	541319	CPYR	714.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	P. Fridlund, M.N.			Europe				0.952
						Westwood							
K	ΡI	541452	CPYR	1592.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood			Europe				0.837
K	ΡI	541453	CPYR	1607.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood			France				0.852
K	ΡI	502180	CPYR	1640.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	T. van der Zwet	T. van der Zwet	1978	Macedonia	41.833	21.667		0.689
К	PI	293837	CPYR	2064.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	J.L. Creech, D.H. Scott		Crimea, Ukraine	44.833	34.5		0.918
K ^z	ΡI	324172	CPYR	1191.001	P. communis ssp. caucasica	M.N. Westwood	H.J. Brooks	1967	Crimea, Ukraine	44.5	34.166		0.410
K	ΡI	293841	CPYR	2068.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	J.L. Creech, D.H. Scott		Kholorash Region, Moldova				0.369
L	ΡI	132094	CPYR	1288.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	A. Rehder	1939	Iran				0.972
L	ΡI	541568	CPYR	1289.003	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	A. Rehder		Hungary				0.974
L z	ΡI	541569	CPYR	1292.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	M.N. Westwood	A. Rehder		Hungary				0.956
Ĺ	ΡI	322285	CPYR	684.001	P. communis ssp. communis	H.J. Brooks	H.J. Brooks	1967	Crimea, Ukraine	44.517	34		0.495
Ľ	ΡI	377611	CPYR	883.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	N.W. Callan	T. Dimitrovski	1972	Macedonia	41.35	22.067		0.498
L ^z	PI	483385	CPYR	1539.001	P. communis ssp. pyraster	T. van der Zwet	T. van der Zwet		Poland				0.267

 ^{z}Q fit is <0.5.

statis- ity, the scaled	Downloaded from https://prime-pdf
Allelic	-wat
richness 3.28	erma
3.99	ark
2.90	.pri
3.64	me
3.52	-pr
2.52	od
3.93	pul
3.47	bfac
3.35	oto
3.93	.~
3.14	ön
2.82	n⁄ at
	20
a Tha	25
c. The	-07
iduale	0
ridiza-	
using	af
using	ree
	ac
	cess

Ta	ble 2. Microsate	llite loci v	were used to	amplify	pear DN	A. The o	original cited	name	, range o	of allele s	izes
	(in base pairs),	number	of amplified	alleles,	genetic	mapping	information,	and	original	citations	are
	provided for ea	ch locus.									

	Allele size	Alleles	Chromosomal	
Microsatellite	(bp)	amplified (no.)	linkage group no.	Source
NH009b	134–154	9	13	Yamamoto et al., 2005
NH015a	95–127	14	17	Yamamoto et al., 2005
CH01D08	226-300	14	15	Liebhard et al., 2002, 2003
CH01D09	118–172	23	12	Liebhard et al., 2002, 2003
CH01F07A	176–226	19	10	Liebhard et al., 2002, 2003
CH01H01	97–123	11	17	Liebhard et al., 2002, 2003
CH02B10	113–159	18	2	Liebhard et al., 2002, 2003
CH02D12	213–255	23	11	Liebhard et al., 2002, 2003
CH05E03	151–221	33	2	Liebhard et al., 2002, 2003
GD12	136–170	18	10	Hemmat et al., 2003
GD142	136–188	26	3	Hemmat et al., 2003
GD96	141–175	18	1	Hemmat et al., 2003
GD147	120-148	9	4	Hemmat et al., 2003

Table 3. Descriptive information is provided for each of the 12 clusters of genotypes identified by Bayesian clustering analyses. Summary statistics are given for each cluster as a whole. Summary statistics include the number of individuals in each cluster (N), Nei's gene diversity, the number of alleles unique to the identified cluster and the total number of alleles scored across all microsatellite loci. Allelic richness is a scaled measure of allelic diversity that controls for cluster size.

				Unique					Alleles	at each SSR	locus (no.)							Total	
Cluster	Origin	N	Gene diversity	alleles (no.)	CH01D08	CH01d09	CH01F07A	Ch01h01	CH02b10	CH02d12	CH05e03	GD12	GD96	GD142	GD147	NH009b	NH015a	- alleles (no.)	Allelic
А	Domesticated	11	0.64	3	7	6	6	4	6	6	8	5	5	6	2	2	7	70	3.28
В	Various	9	0.75	5	5	7	8	7	8	8	8	7	8	8	5	6	7	92	3.99
С	Caucasus, Ukraine	38	0.68	11	7	16	10	7	8	17	22	11	13	15	7	4	8	145	2.90
D	Caucasus	4	0.49	0	1	4	3	2	2	4	2	3	3	4	4	1	3	36	3.64
Е	Caucasus	5	0.60	0	2	6	3	2	4	8	2	2	4	4	4	2	4	47	3.52
F	Armenia	17	0.57	3	3	6	5	6	5	9	7	4	5	4	2	3	4	63	2.52
G	Turkey, Macedonia	16	0.75	8	8	11	8	6	5	10	11	4	11	16	5	6	6	107	3.93
Н	Macedonia	13	0.67	7	3	8	7	6	7	11	9	4	5	9	7	5	6	87	3.47
Ι	Macedonia	10	0.70	7	5	8	6	5	8	7	5	4	6	8	4	5	6	77	3.35
J	Macedonia	9	0.62	0	3	6	5	3	6	8	5	1	2	4	5	4	5	57	3.93
K	Europe, various	7	0.74	3	5	8	5	4	8	9	9	4	7	4	5	3	8	79	3.14
L	Various	6	0.62	3	4	8	5	5	4	3	5	2	3	6	4	5	7	61	2.82

to 40) was run 10 times. The method allows for individuals with ancestry from more than one group. These individuals are fractionally assigned to multiple groups using a membership coefficient (Q) which sums to 1 across all groups. Individual assignments can vary across runs when there is a weak genetic basis for assigning an individual to a cluster. To address this variation, we ran 100 separate MCMC chains at the most probable value of k to look for similarity among assignments (Rosenberg et al., 2002).

Descriptive statistics, including variation between groups (F_{st}), and diversity within groups including Nei's gene diversity (Nei, 1987), number of polymorphic alleles and allelic richness (El Mousadik and Petit, 1996) were estimated from genotypic data using the software package GDA (Lewis and Zaykin, 2001) and FSTAT (Goudet, 1995). Pairwise F_{st} values were tested for significance using a permutation test. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was carried out using the software ARLEQUIN ver. 2.0 (Schneider et al., 2000).

Groups were plotted as nodes in a minimum spanning network using MINSPNET, a module within ARLEQUIN (Schneider et

al., 2000) using pairwise F_{st} values as the distance metric. The network display has a number of advantages over a bifurcation tree structure, especially when the pedigrees of the individuals are reticulate (characterized by ancestral interspecific hybridization). The minimum spanning tree was manually drawn using computer outputs.

Results

Genotypic data were collected for 145 *P. communis* individuals. Microsatellites provided between 9 and 33 alleles per locus (Table 2). A total of 235 microsatellite alleles were scored within the dataset.

PHENOTYPIC OBSERVATIONS. Morphological data were used in an attempt to differentiate individuals according to *P. communis* ssp. *communis* (domesticated), *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster* (south and west of the Black Sea), and *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica* (north and east of the Black Sea) (Fig. 1). Floral and fruit characteristics could not distinguish between *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster* and *P.*

414

communis ssp. *caucasica* [data not shown, but available online (USDA, ARS, National Genetic Resources Program, 2005)]. The *P. communis* ssp. *communis* individuals (including hybrids between cultivated and wildtypes) have larger fruit than individuals in the other subspecies of *P. communis*. In 2005, accessions PI 300693, PI 541389, PI 541437, PI 293833, PI 324030, PI 324029, PI 337439, PI 322286, and PI 506373 had large fruit, characteristic of cultivated-type pears.

GENETIC ANALYSIS. Posterior probabilities of Bayesian clustering analysis across a range of k identified (k = 12) as the most probable clusters within the dataset. Among the subsequent 100 separate MCMC chains run with k = 12, individual assignments to groups were highly correlated (>0.85) among runs. All clusters showed varying degrees of admixture reflecting the relatedness of individuals (such as sibling collections) (Table 3). Sixteen individuals (11%) had membership coefficients, Q, less than 0.5. While the affinity of these individuals to their assigned cluster was low, their placement in a cluster reflects consistent assignments among runs and represents the best fit of the data. Phenotypic observations of fruit and leaf characteristics of these individuals revealed that seven of these 16 individuals exhibited large fruit or serrated leaf margins. Hybridization between wildtype P. communis and domesticated P. communis ssp. communis could result in large fruit, while hybridization between P. communis and another Pyrus species (such as P. nivalis) could result in serrated leaf margins and low membership coefficients.

Genetic diversity data were calculated by cluster. Nei's gene diversity ranged from 0.49 to 0.74, revealing a high level of heterozygous individuals. Each of the 12 clusters identified was significantly differentiated with an average F_{st} value of 0.18. AMOVA results indicated that the within cluster variance component accounted for 82% of total variation, indicative of a highly variable, outcrossing species (Table 3).

The number of alleles represented across each of the 13 molecular markers was high (235), with most markers providing many diverse alleles. We used allelic diversity (El Mousadik and Petit, 1996) which employs a statistical scaling method to make direct comparisons among clusters composed of different numbers of individuals (*N*). In this data set, the sample size is set to the smallest cluster size where N = 4. Cluster B showed the highest allelic diversity, followed by clusters G and J. Cluster size did not correlate to measures of genetic diversity. The largest cluster C with N = 38 individuals) had one of the lowest measures of allelic richness based upon Nei's gene diversity score (Table 3).

CLUSTERING OF INDIVIDUALS. Outputs were used to create a minimum spanning tree that graphically displays the genetic differentiation among the 12 clusters identified in Bayesian analysis (Fig. 2). Each node in the network corresponds to a particular cluster of genotypes. Distances between each connected cluster on the diagram represent F_{st} values. Each node is displayed as a pie chart where node diameters correlate to the number of individuals within the cluster (Fig. 2). Pie chart shading represents the number of individuals identified as either *P. communis* ssp. *communis*, *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster*, or *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica*. Pairwise F_{st} values among clusters were significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.

The broad structure of the network shows a central cluster (B) connected to genotypes of domesticated lineages (node A) and two other clusters. Each of these clusters (G and C) forms the center of two distinct star groups. Clusters C–F (predominantly *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica*) are genetically differentiated from clusters G–L (predominantly *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster*). These data support the genetic differentiation of *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster* from *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica*.

Clusters A and B both include individuals with large domesticated-type fruit characteristic of *P. communis* ssp. *communis*. Cluster A contains mostly domesticated cultivars including the



Fig. 1. Map of the countries of origin for the wild Pyrus communis individuals from the U.S. National Plant Germplasm System collection.



Fig. 2. A minimum spanning network for the wild Pyrus communis individuals from the U.S. National Plant Germplasm System collection. Distances among connected nodes represent F_{st} values. Pie chart nodes represent proportions of individuals from P. communis ssp. communis, P. communis ssp. caucasica, and P. communis ssp. pyraster in the clusters identified through Bayesian analysis. The diameter of each node reflects the number of individuals within the cluster.

cultivar Bartlett as well as some domesticated rootstock cultivars. Cluster B contains a mixture of *P. communis* ssp. *communis* and *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica*. Individuals in cluster B were collected by Brooks from the northern side of the Caucasus Mountains and Ukraine and by van der Zwet in Poland, Serbia, and the Czech Republic (Table 1).

Clusters C–F contain individuals classified as *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica* that were acquired from several collection trips in the Armenia, Crimea, and Caucasus Mountain regions. These include collection trips by Creech and Scott in Crimea, Brooks as well as Dewey and Plummer in the Caucasus Mountains of Russia and Thompson in Kyrgyzstan (Table 1). Thompson's seedlot from Kyrgyzstan was originally classified as *P. communis* ssp. *korshinskyi*; however, genotypic data suggest that these individuals should be reclassified as *P. communis* ssp. *caucasica*.

Clusters G–L contain accessions mostly classified as *P. communis* ssp. *pyraster*. These clusters include individuals from European, Balkan, and western Turkish locations. Cluster G contains 10 individuals from Turkey, a sibship of three individuals from Macedonia, and seedling selections from France and Romania. Clusters H, I, and J are primarily comprised of sibling populations from Macedonia. Cluster H has 12 siblings from Izvor, Macedonia, and one individual from Poland. Cluster I includes six siblings from Leva Reca, Macedonia, one individual each from van der Zwet's trips to Macedonia and Romania, and two individuals of unknown origins. Cluster J contains seven siblings from Mavrovo, Macedonia, and two other individuals of unknown origin. Cluster K is comprised of a cultivar of seedling selections donated by Westwood that came from seedlots from France, Europe, Crimea, Macedonia, and Moldova. Cluster L originates from a variety of sources. Three individuals came from A. Rehder and originated in Hungary and Iran. Other cluster L individuals had poor correlations and originated from Crimea, Macedonia, and Poland.

Discussion

Genetic analysis at 13 microsatellite loci revealed significant differentiation between the two wild subspecies considered the progenitors of the domesticated european pear. Morphological variation in fruit and floral characters did not distinguish these subspecies since the results were based on a limited number of characters. Moreover, the variation in trait values among wild populations for characters associated with domestication is often low and may be a poor predictor of genetic potential in these taxa (Tanksley and McCouch, 1997).

Pyrus communis ssp. caucasica individuals from the Caucasus, Ukraine, and Armenia group together (Fig. 2, clusters C-F) and may represent the wild center of diversity for domesticated pear, Pyrus communis ssp. communis. Pyrus communis ssp. pyraster individuals from Turkey, Macedonia and other European countries cluster separately in the network (Fig. 2, clusters G–L). These individuals display a high level of diversity that could have partially arisen through gene flow and introgressive hybridization with cooccurring congeneric species found in Europe. The differentiation of P. communis ssp. pyraster from P. communis ssp. caucasica could result from human migrations from the Trans-Caucasia region through Turkey and into the Balkan region over thousands of years (Gamkrelidze and Ivanov, 1990). In some cases, open pollinated sibling individuals did not differentiate into the same cluster. This is not surprising since seeds could have been fertilized by pollen from highly diverse trees in the wild.

Some individuals do not clearly fit into any of the 12 identified clusters. Hybridization is prevalent in *Pyrus* and some of these individuals appear to have a hybrid lineage, as indicated by the presence of serrated leaves. Many of the wild pears, particularly of European origin, could be escapes from cultivation or hybrids between wild *P. communis* and native european pear species, such as *P. nivalis* (Aldasoro et al., 1996; Paganova, 2003). More accurate determination of this would be possible with increased sampling in the region of the putative hybridization.

The broad diversity of the NPGS wild *P. communis* collection is evident through genetic analyses of molecular markers, but not readily apparent by morphological characterizations. Our study of 145 individual trees represents a conservative estimate of the natural diversity. Both the number and identity of alleles measured through allelic richness indicate that each genetic cluster, regardless of its size, adds measurable molecular diversity to the overall collection. Although leaves and fruits are morphologically similar, further morphological characterization of additional sibling populations will likely reveal novel phenotypic traits of interest to pear breeders. Increased sampling intensity, either by pursuing new collection trips or by sampling additional clones or seeds from previous collection trips from eastern Europe and Asia Minor, is critical to adequately evaluate natural genetic diversity of wild *P. communis*.

Literature Cited

Aldasoro, J.J., C. Aedo, and F. Munoz Garmendia. 1996. The genus *Pyrus* L. (Rosaceae) in south–west Europe and North Africa. Bot. J. Linnean Soc. 121:143–158.

- Bell, R.L. 1982. Pear genetics and germplasm—Priorities for breeding. Acta Hort. 124:13–20.
- Bell, R.L. 1992. Additional east European *Pyrus* germplasm with resistance to pear *Psylla* nymphal feeding. HortScience 27:412–413.
- Brooks, H.J. 1968. Collecting wild fruits in the USSR. HortScience 3:258–260.
- Challice, J.S. and M.N. Westwood. 1973. Numerical taxonomic studies of the genus *Pyrus* using both chemical and botanical characters. Bot. J. Linnean Soc. 67:121–148.
- El Mousadik, A. and R.H. Petit. 1996. High level of genetic differentiation for allelic richness among populations of the argan tree [*Argania spinosa* (L.) Skeels] endemic of Morocco. Theor. Appl. Genet. 92:832–839.
- Gamkrelidze, T.V. and V.V. Ivanov. 1990. The early history of Indo-European languages. Sci. Amer. 262(3):110–116.
- Goudet, J. 1995. FSTAT, a program for IBM PC compatibles to calculate Weir and Cockerham's (1984) estimators of F-statistics. J. Hered. 86:485–486.
- Hayashi, T. and T. Yamamoto. 2002. Genome research on peach and pear. J. Plant Biotech. 4:45–52.
- Hedrick, U.P. 1921. The pears of New York. J.B. Lyon, New York.
- Hemmat, M., N.F. Weeden, and S.K. Brown. 2003. Mapping and evaluation of *Malus ×domestica* microsatellites in apple and pear. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 128:515–520.
- Katayama, H. and C. Uematsu. 2003. Comparative analysis of chloroplast DNA in *Pyrus* species: Physical map and gene localization. Theor. Appl. Genet. 106:303–310.
- Kimura, T., Y.Z. Shi, M. Shoda, K. Kotobuki, N. Matsuta, T. Hayashi, Y. Ban, and T. Yamamoto. 2002. Identification of Asian pear varieties by SSR analysis. Breeding Sci. 52:115–121.
- Lewis, P.O. and D. Zaykin. 2001. GDA user's manual. 19 Oct. 2005. http://hydrodictyon.eeb.uconn.edu/people/plewis/software.php.
- Liebhard, R., L. Gianfranceschi, B. Koller, C.D. Ryder, R. Tarchini, E. Van de Weg, and C Gessler. 2002. Development and characterization of 140 new microsatellites in apple (*Malus ×domestica* Borkh.). Mol. Breeding 10:217–241.
- Liebhard, R., M. Kellerhals, W. Pfammatter, M. Jertmini, and C. Gessler. 2003. Mapping quantitative physiological traits in apple (*Malus ×do-mestica* Borkh.). Plant Mol. Biol. 52:511–526.
- Lombard, P., J. Hull, and M.N. Westwood. 1980. Pear cultivars of North America. Fruit Var. J. 34:74–83.
- Nei, M. 1987. Molecular evolutionary genetics. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Paganova, V. 2003. Taxonomic reliability of leaf and fruit morphological characteristics of the *Pyrus* L. taxa in Slovakia. Zahradnictvi (Horticultural Science, Prague) 30:98–107.
- Pierantoni, L., K.-H. Cho, I.-S. Shin, R. Chiodini, S. Tartarini, L. Dondini, S.-J. Kang, and S. Sansavini. 2004. Characterisation and transferability

of apple SSRs to two European pear F_1 populations. Theor. Appl. Genet. 109:1519–1524.

- Pritchard, J.K., M. Stephens, and P. Donnelly. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155:945–959.
- Rehder, A. 1940. Manual of cultivated trees and shrubs hardy in North America, exclusive of the subtropical and warmer temperate regions. Macmillan, New York. Rosenberg, N.A., J.K. Pritchard, J.L. Weber, H.M. Cann, K.K. Kidd, L.A. Zhivotovsky, and M.W. Feldman. 2002. Genetic structure of human populations. Science 298:2381–2385.
- Schneider, S., D. Roessli, and L. Excoffier. 2000. Arlequin ver. 2.000: A software for population genetics data analysis. Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, Univ. of Geneva, Switzerland.
- Tanksley, S.D. and S.R. McCouch. 1997. Seed banks and molecular maps: Unlocking genetic potential from the wild. Science 277:1063–1066.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2005. Germplasm Resources Information Network–(GRIN). Accession area queries. USDA, ARS, National Genetic Resources Program. 19 Oct. 2005. http://www.ars-grin.gov/npgs/acc/acc_queries.html.
- van der Zwet, T., V. Cociu, B. Czarnecki, J. Nyeki, and J. Blazek. 1989. Collecting *Pyrus* germplasm in Romania, Poland, Hungary, and Czechoslovakia. HortScience 24:420–424.
- van der Zwet, T., D. Stankovic, and V. Cociu. 1983. Collecting *Pyrus* germplasm in eastern Europe and its significance to the USDA pear breeding program. Acta Hort. 140:43–45.
- Vavilov, N.I. 1994. Origin and geography of cultivated plants. D. Love (translator). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England.
- Westwood, M.N. and P.H. Westigard. 1969. Degree of resistance among pear species to the woolly pear aphid, *Eriosoma pyricola*. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 94:91–93.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, Y. Sawamura, K. Kotobuki, Y. Ban, T. Hayashi, and N. Matsuta. 2001. SSRs isolated from apple can identify polymorphism and genetic diversity in pear. Theor. Appl. Genet. 102:865–870.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, M. Shoda, T. Imai, T. Saito, Y. Sawamura, K. Kotobuki, T. Hayashi, and N. Matsuta. 2002a. Genetic linkage maps constructed by using an interspecific cross between japanese and european pears. Theor. Appl. Genet. 106:9–18.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, M. Shoda, Y. Ban, T. Hayashi, and N. Matsuta. 2002b. Development of microsatellite markers in the japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai). Mol. Ecol. Notes. 2:14–16.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, Y. Sawamura, T. Manabe, K. Kotobuki, T. Hayashi, Y. Ban, and N. Matsuta. 2002c. Simple sequence repeats for genetic analysis in pear. Euphytica 124:129–137.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, Y. Sawamura, C. Nishitani, S. Ohta, Y. Adachi, T. Hirabayashi, R. Liebhard, C. Gessler, W.E. van de Weg, and T. Hayashi. 2005. Genetic linkage maps of european and japanese pears. Plant Animal Genome XIII. p. 198. (Abstr.)