Growth, Free Amino Acids, and Mineral Composition of Tomato Plants in Relation to Nitrogen Form and Growing Media

Jose R. Magalhaes¹ and G.E. Wilcox

Department of Horticulture, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907

Additional index words. NH₄, NO₃, peat vermiculite, sand, solution culture

Abstract. Tomato plants 'Campbell 1327' grown in peat with NH_4 nutrition had no visible symptoms of NH_4 toxicity, while severe symptoms of NH_4 toxicity were displayed in solution or sand culture. Growth of plants was much better with NO_3 -N than NH_4 -N in sand, vermiculite, or solution culture; but in peat, growth of NH_4 -treated plants equalled or exceeded that of NO_3 -treated plants in sand, vermiculite, and peat. The total dry weight of NH_4 -treated plants grown in peat was 2, 2.5, and 3.4 times higher than plants grown in vermiculite, sand, and solution culture, respectively. Content of uncomplexed NH_4^+ in NH_4 -treated plants grown in peat was reduced markedly compared with other media. NH_4 -treated plants grown in sand, vermiculite, and solution culture, displaying NH_4 toxicity symptoms, had a total amino acid:free NH_4^+ molar ratio < 2, compared to 6–8 with NO_3 . For NH_4 -treated plants grown in peat were 3.5 and 11.3 times higher than with NO_3 -N, indicating a high efficiency in detoxification of NH_4 through incorporation into these amino acids. The medium on which a plant is grown can have a marked influence on the plant response to N form.

N is often the most limiting of factors influencing plant growth in many cropping systems. Less than 50% of the N fertilizer applied may be utilized by the crop (1) due to loss by leaching and denitrification. Application of NH₄-N fertilizer could increase N utilization efficiency because NH₄⁺ is not as readily leached nor denitrified. Inhibitors of nitrification have been used to prevent conversion of NH₄⁺ to NO₃⁻ and to increase the utilization efficiency when losses of N by leaching or denitrification were high (19, 26, 27).

Although growing plants assimilate N in fully reduced form, NH_4 -N ions are toxic to many plants (8, 21, 22). The toxicity of NH_4 -N to higher plants generally has been demonstrated in experiments carried out in sand or solution cultures. The physical and chemical properties of soil and soilless media used as horticultural substrates can differ from solution or sand in their influence on plant growth (20, 28). Water and sand culture have little or no buffering power or cation exchange capacity. In contrast, peat, the main ingredient in soilless mixes, and soil have high cation exchange capacity (28). Therefore, this high cation exchange capacity could influence response to N forms by plants growing in these substrates.

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of N forms (NO_3 -N and NH_4 -N) on growth, N metabolism, and nutrient uptake by tomato plants growing in different media.

Material and Methods

'Campbell-1327' was seeded in flats of vermiculite, and seedlings were transplanted 8 days after emergence into 2.8-liter, black, plastic pots filled with silica sand, vermiculite, sphagnum peatmoss, or aerated solution culture. The peat used was pH 3.5 with 120 mg/100 g extracted acid, 133 mg/100 g CEC. and a 9.3% base saturation. The peat substrate received 5 g/pot CaCO₃ before transplanting to adjust the pH. The seedlings in all media received 500 ml \cdot pot⁻¹ \cdot day⁻¹ modified Hoagland's solution at 112 ppm N as NO₃ for 10 days prior to the initiation of the N form treatments.

Prior to starting the treatments, the sand-, vermiculite-, and peat-filled pots were leached with dionized water at 2 liters pot⁻¹. The solution culture pots were refilled with a new solution. The N form treatment solutions contained 112 ppm N as either NO₃ or NH₄ with KH₂PO₄ at 2 mM for both solutions. The solutions for both treatments were adjusted to pH 5.7.

Two, 120-liter, black, plastic tanks were used as reservoirs for the NO₃ and NH₄ solutions, respectively. A Little Giant water pump delivered each nutrient solution to the pots through a spaghetti-tube system. The solutions were pumped for 5 min every 30 min for 12 hr a day at a flow rate of 10 ml min⁻¹ per tube, 1200 ml \cdot pot⁻¹ \cdot day⁻¹. The nutrient solutions were not recirculated to the respective reservoirs but were leached through the pots, including those filled with solution culture. Leachate was collected every 4 days for pH and NO₃ analysis.

This experiment was conducted in the greenhouse during Oct. and Nov., 1982. Plants were grown in normal daylight supplemented with 12 hr of fluorescent light daily at 24° to 28°C temperatures. The experimental design was a completely randomized design with 4 growing media (sand, vermiculite, peat, and water) and two N forms (NO₃ and NH₄) with 5 replications and 1 plant per pot.

Plants were harvested 12 days after starting treatments. Shoots and roots were taken as separate samples, and their fresh and dry weights were obtained. Root length (L) was measured by using the Tennant method (25). The mean root radius. ro, was calculated as: ro = (FW/L)1/2, where FW was root fresh weight in g and L was the root length in cm. This formula assumes root specific gravity of 1.0 and that the root is cylindrical in shape, according to Classen and Barber (5). The root surface, RS, was calculated from: RS = 2 roL, with ro and L as defined previously.

Received for publication 10 June 1983. Journal Paper No. 9469 of Purdue Univ. Agriculture Experimental Station, West Lafayette, Ind. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper therefore must be hereby marked *advertisement* solely to indicate this fact.

¹C.P.H. EMBRAPA, C. Postal (11) 1316, 70.000 Brasilia DF, Brazil.

Table I. pł	H change and N as NO:	in the leached	solution for tomato	growing on	different media	and N forms.
-------------	-----------------------	----------------	---------------------	------------	-----------------	--------------

Day	Leachate composition ²										
of sample	Solution		Sand		Vermi	culite	Pe	at			
	NO ₃	NH_4	NO ₃	NH_4	NO ₃	NH_4	NO ₃	NH ₄			
				p	H						
4	6.0	5.7	6.0	5.7	6.6	6.0	4.6	4.5			
8	6.2	5.7	6.2	5.6	6.6	6.0	4.3	4.0			
12	6.2	5.7	6.2	5.6	6.6	5.9	4.2	4.0			
				N as N	$O_3 ppm$						
8	114	0.0	114	0.0	110	0.0	105	0.0			
12	114	0.0	113	0.0	108	0.0	100	0.0			

²Leachate a composite of replicates at each sampling.

Tissue samples were dried in a forced-air oven at 70°C and ground to pass a 20-mesh screen in a Wiley Mill. Ground tissue samples (100 mg) were digested in 1.0 ml of concentrated H_2SO_4 , oxidized with H₂O₄, and diluted to 50 ml with distilled water. The solutions were analyzed for N, P, K, Ca, and Mg. The K, Ca, and Mg concentrations were determined with a Unicam SP-90 AA spectrophotometer. N was determined by Nesslerization and P was determined by an ammonium molybdate-amino naphthol sulfonic acid reduction procedure, using a Bausch and Lomb Spectronic-20. Nitrate and NH₄-N determinations were made from 500 mg of dried ground tissue shaken 30 min in 50 ml of distilled water and filtered with No. 1 filter paper. NO₃-N was determined with an Orion Nitrate Electrode Model 92-07 and NH₄-N was determined with an Orion Ammonia Electrode Model 90-10 using Ionanalyzer Specific Ion Meter Model 401.

For amino acid analysis, 500 mg of ground tissue were homogenized in 12 ml 3.5% sulfosalicylic acid using a polytron. The homoginate was centrifuged for 20 min at 5000 rpm in an automatic, refrigerated centrifuge at 3°C. The clear supernatent was diluted 3 times with citrate buffer, and the amino acid was determined using a Beckman 119 CL Amino Acid Analyzer. The method used was single-column physiological analysis, W3P type resin (from Beckman) with 22 cm resin bed height and column temperatures from 40° to 65°, starting at 20 min.

The elution profile was made for 66 min of pH 2.83, 0.20 N Lithium citrate (A); followed by 99 min of pH 3.70, 0.20 N Lithium citrate (B); followed by 102 min of pH 3.75, 1.00 N Lithium citrate (C); followed by 5 min of 0.30N LiDH (D); followed by 15 min of pH 2.83, 0.20 N Lithium citrate (A) for re-equilibration.

All buffers were purchased from Beckman Instrument Bioproducts Division and prepared as per instructions, except for buffer C (pH 3.75, 1.00 N Lithium citrate) which was made with 3% 2-Propanol.

The developing reagent was Ninhydrin reagent prepared as per Beckman's instructions using Peirce brand Crystal Ninhydrin reagent.

Results

Leachate composition. The nutrient feed system used in this experiment avoided pH change and nitrification in the substrate media (Table 1). The NO₃-N level in NH₄-N leaching solutions was nil, while in NO₃-N leaching solutions it was about the same as the source solution during the course of the experiment. The pH of the leaching solution did not vary during the experimental period for any treatment, although the pH of the leaching solutions reflected the effect of N source and media.

Growth. Growth of plants in solution, sand, and vermiculite culture was much better with N supplied as NO_3 than with NH_4 (Table 2). However, growth of NH_4 -treated plants in peatmoss equalled or exceeded that of those with NO_3 -N treatment in sand, vermiculite, or peat. The total dry weight of NH_4 -treated plants

TT '.		Growth									
of measurement	Solu	Solution		nd	Vermi	culite	Pe	eat	L S D Z		
	NO ₃	NH ₄	NO ₃	NH ₄	NO ₃	NH_4	NO ₃	NH ₄	5%		
				g per	plant						
Shoot fresh wt	25.20	8.10	16.60	4.53	12.47	9.80	16.93	18.13	2.07		
Shoot dry wt	1.47	0.39	1.00	0.28	0.73	0.51	1.00	1.06	0.12		
Root dry wt	0.18	0.08	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.02		
Total dry wt	1.65	0.47	1.12	0.34	0.80	0.57	1.10	1.17	0.12		
				,	n						
Root length	38.27	11.03	16.60	6.91	12.83	9.26	26.97	29.84	3.98		
				ст	n^2						
Root area	368	122	215	93	124	90	200	217	28		

Table 2. Tomato growth as influenced by N form and growing media.

^zAll interactions significant.

Table 3. N fraction in different parts of tomato plants as influenced by N form and growing media.

					Tissue co	mposition				
N	Dlant	Solution		Sand		Vermicu	Vermiculite			$1.5D^2$
fraction	part	NO ₃	NH_{+}	NO ₃	$\rm NH_4$	NO ₃	$\rm NH_4$	NO ₃	$\rm NH_4$	5%
		<u>,, </u>		Micromo	les per g d	ry wt				
NO 2	Root	0.29	0.25	0.49	0.07	0.45	0.08	0.41	0.05	0.07
Free NH	Shoot	35	500	35	270	39	197	53	113	45
	Root	25	123	35	141	27	85	17	46	10
Total amino acid	Shoot	227	300	219	325	202	311	235	553	31
					Ratio					
Total amino ac Free NH ₄	id	7.79	0.60	6.53	1.44	6.55	1.73	6.57	5.55	2.25

²All interactions were significant for N fractions except for Total N (shoot and root) and NO₃ (shoot). Total N (shoot) media means: solution 5.18; sand 5.21; vermiculite 5.31; peat 5.93; LSD p = 5%, 0.22. N form means total N shoot: NO₃ 5.32; NH₄ 5.49; LSD p = 5%, 0.16. Total N (root) media means: solution 3.31; sand 3.42; vermiculite 3.32; peat 3.58; LSD p = 5%, 0.14. N form means, total N (root): NO₃ 3.28; NH₄ 3.54; LSD p = 0.10. NO₃ (shoot) media means—NS. N form means, NO₃ (shoot): NO₃ 1.90; NH₄ 0.22; LSD p = 5%, 0.05.

grown in peat was 2, 2.5, and 3.4 times higher than NH_4 -treated plants grown in vermiculite, solution culture, and sand, respectively. NH_4 -N treatment tended to decrease root length and root surface area in all media except peat.

culture, sand, and vermiculite, respectively; whereas, NO3-treated

surface area in all media except peat. *N* fractions. NH_4 -treated plants tended to have a slightly higher reduced nitrogen content in shoots and roots than with NO_3 -N nutrition, regardless of the growing medium (Table 3). N content in tissue was highest for plants grown in peat. The content of free NH_4 in shoots and roots of NH_4 -treated plants grown in peat was much less than that of plants grown in solution 5.

plants had very low levels of free NH_4 in shoots and roots, with little effect of growing media.

The sum of free amino acid in shoots of NH_4 -treated plants was significantly higher than in plants with NO_3 -N nutrition, with the greatest effect of N form on plants grown in peat. NH_4 treated plants exhibiting NH_4 toxicity symptoms had a total amino acids: free NH_4 ratio in the range of 0.6 to 1.7 when grown in sand, vermiculite, or solution culture. However, for plants grown in peat with no visible NH_4 toxicity symptoms, this ratio was 5.6, which was close to that for NO_3 -treated plants grown in any medium.

Table 4. Amino acid content in shoots of tomato plants as influenced by N form and growing media.

	Amino acid content in shoot										
Amino	Solu	ition	Sa	nd	Vermi	culite	Pe	eat	LSD^2		
acid	NO ₃	$\rm NH_4$	NO ₃	NH_4	NO ₃	NH_4	NO ₃	NH₊	5%		
				Micromoles	s per g dry	wt					
Asparagine	32.50	42.00	22.50	61.50	16.00	45.50	37.00	128.00	16.14		
Glutamine	8.79	26.02	8.63	49.11	7.51	25.83	8.40	95.02	3.85		
Aspartate	23.41	6.02	24.09	7.46	20.81	8.91	22.14	14.62	5.70		
Glutamate	3.23	2.37	3.29	3.22	3.20	4.00	2.89	8.21	0.39		
GABAy	58.53	53.15	54.11	50.90	56.54	51.40	54.39	93.98	22.70		
Glycine	2.34	3.79	2.80	3.11	2.68	6.17	2.58	6.65	0.70		
Serine	9.13	27.11	9.01	22.61	8.16	26.63	9.81	34.92	1.75		
Thresnine	6.45	6.19	7.07	5.96	6.91	7.10	6.30	12.01	1.38		
Proline	7.18	9.76	7.38	9.20	6.49	10.78	7.84	10.10	2.60		
Alanine	15.99	22.61	19.24	28.79	20.57	24.14	15.37	36.34	2.38		
Valine	9.70	12.21	9.87	10.83	8.09	16.85	11.12	16.58	1.50		
Leucine	10.56	13.76	11.05	11.92	9.82	18.14	12.29	18.12	1.79		
Isoleucine	6.44	8.56	6.36	8.19	5.49	10.05	7.33	10.22	0.85		
Arginine	4.38	20.88	4.75	16.53	4.20	13.75	5.11	21.57	0.68		
Lysine	6.34	12.56	7.28	10.61	6.21	13.20	7.54	13.08	1.36		
Tyrosine	3.26	6.27	3.99	5.44	3.45	5.57	4.24	6.97	0.58		
Phenylalanine	6.11	6.78	5.83	6.21	5.18	8.21	6.92	9.10	0.65		
Tryptophane	1.49	3.03	1.42	2.53	0.94	1.41	1.70	2.28	0.17		
Ethanolamine	7.07	9.23	6.10	4.96	5.56	6.40	7.50	7.92	0.50		
Histidine	1.78	4.82	1.61	3.44	1.53	2.74	2.02	3.20	0.35		
Methionine	0.99	0.86	1.02	0.54	1.11	2.52	1.15	2.03	0.44		
P-Serine ^x	1.49	2.75	1.48	2.22	1.47	2.41	1.34	1.71	0.33		

^zAll interactions significant.

 $^{y}GAGA = Gamma amino butyric acid.$

*P-Serine = Phosphorous serine.

Fat	ole :	5	Mineral	composition of	f tomato	plants as	influenced b	y N	form and	growing media.
-----	-------	---	---------	----------------	----------	-----------	--------------	-----	----------	----------------

	Plant	Nutrient content in tissue (% dry wt)								
		Plant Solution		Sa	nd	Verm	iculite	Pe	at	1 SD^2
Element	part	NO ₃	NH_4	NO_3	NH_4	NO ₃	NH_4	NO_3	NH_4	5%
Р	Shoot Root	1.05 0.70	1.35 1.88	0.97 0.66	1.44 1.09	1.04 0.61	1.51 0.63	0.97 0.53	1.32 0.56	0.54 0.10
K	Shoot Root	6.61 2.35	5.51 2.15	6.41 3.05	5.13 2.67	6.37 3.17	6.09 2.13	6.33 1.97	6.10 1.37	0.63 0.44
Ca	Shoot Root	3.60 0.69	1.46 0.40	3.29 1.17	1.40 0.38	2.47 0.76	1.15 0.35	3.34 0.81	2.37 0.51	0.18 0.15
Mg	Shoot Root	$\begin{array}{c} 0.78\\ 0.46\end{array}$	0.58 0.24	0.77 0.43	0.49 0.23	1.10 0.46	0.90 0.26	0.63 0.34	0.43 0.19	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05\\ 0.03\end{array}$

^zAll interactions significant.

Amino-acid content. Ammonium-treated plants grown in peat had higher levels of free amino acids than in other growing media. In general, amino acid content of shoots of NH_4 -treated plants was higher than for NO_3 -treated plants, regardless of the growing media (Table 4). An exception was noted for aspartate on all media and for GABA on all media except peat. The amino acid contents in NO_3 -treated plants were not affected greatly by the growing media, with the exception of asparagine, which was markedly higher on peat than on the other media. Asparagine alone, one of the major components of amino acid pool of tomatoes, accounted for 23% of the total amino acids in NH_4 treated plants grown in peat. Asparagine and glutamine in NH_4 treated plants grown in peat were 3.5 and 11.3 times higher than with NO_3 -N nutrition.

P content. P content in the shoot was higher in plants treated with NH_4 compared to NO_3 (Table 5). The growing media did not significantly affect the P content of the shoot, but in roots, P content was greatest in solution culture and least in peat.

K content. K content in the shoot of plants grown in sand and solution culture was lower with NH_4 -N compared to NO_3 -N, but K content was not significantly affected by N form in peat or vermiculite (Table 5). K content in roots of NO_3 -treated plants was slightly higher than in NH_4 -treated plants for all media. Roots of plants growing in peat had a lower K content compared to vermiculite, sand, or solution culture for both N forms.

Ca content. Ca contents in roots and in shoots of NH_4 -treated plants grown in vermiculite, sand, and solution culture were about half that for NO₃-treated plants (Table 5). However, Ca content in tissue of plants grown in peat was less affected by N form than in the other media.

Mg content. The Mg content of the shoot and root tissue was reduced from 20% to 40% with NH₄-N nutrition (Table 5).

Mg composition of the tissue was highest in vermiculite and lowest in peat. The Mg composition of the roots was about 50% that of the shoot tissue.

Total nutrient uptake. The total N and P uptake were decreased with NH_4 -N compared to NO_3 -N nutrition for plants growing in vermiculite, sand, and solution culture, reflecting the decreased dry matter yield (Table 6). The plants grown in peat medium had higher N and P uptake with NH_4 -nutrition. K, Ca, and Mg uptake by plants grown in peat was not affected greatly by N source, whereas NH_4 -N nutrition markedly reduced the uptake of K, Ca, and Mg by plants grown in solution, sand, and vermiculite media.

Root uptake efficiency. Uptake of P per unit area of root surface increased slightly with NH₄-N nutrition in all media (Table 7). Ca uptake was reduced by about 70% in sand and solution culture while in peat it was reduced only about 30% and just over 50% in vermiculite. Mg uptake per unit area of root was reduced 40% and 60% in solution and sand culture, respectively, while reduction was 20% and 30% in vermiculite and peat for plants on NH₄ nutrition.

Discussion

Different plant responses to N forms have been reported that could be attributed to different media on which the plants were grown. A preliminary experiment prior to this study showed that tomato plants grown in peat-vermiculite medium were much less sensitive to NH_4 -N than plants grown in sand. The incorporation of CaCO₃ in sand culture to maintain solution near pH 6.0 decreased the detrimental effect of NH_4 , agreeing with previously published data (4, 6, 15, 23, 24). However, the total dry weight was 47% less than for NH_4 -treated plants grown in peatvermiculite with low pH. Apparent contradictory results as reported by Morris and Giddens (16) and Bennet et al. (2) for the

Table 6. Total nutrient uptake by tomato plants as influenced by N form and growing media.

		Nutrient uptake (mg/plant)										
	Solution		Sa	Sand		Vermiculite		eat	1 SD^2			
Element	NO ₃	NH ₄	NO ₃	NH_4	NO ₃	NH_4	NO ₃	NH ₄	5%			
N	81.20	22.99	56.20	16.68	39.95	29.91	61.43	68.81	6.69			
Р	16.67	6.80	10.55	4.65	8.03	8.08	10.27	14.62	1.38			
К	99.60	23.08	68.16	15.91	48.90	32.51	65.53	66.12	11.20			
Ca	54.00	6.16	34.57	4.13	18.62	6.09	34.35	25.71	3.92			
Mg	12.25	2.44	8.23	1.51	8.37	4.77	6.68	4.77	0.87			

^zAll interactions significant.

Table 7.	Nutrient uptake	efficiency	as influenced	by N	form a	and growin	g media.
----------	-----------------	------------	---------------	------	--------	------------	----------

			Nutrie	nt uptake p	er root surfac	ce area			
	Solu	Solution		Sand		Vermiculite		Peat	
Element	NO ₃	NH ₄	NO ₃	NH ₄	NO ₃	NH_4	NO ₃	NH_4	5%
				Microgra	m/cm ² root				
P Ca Mg	45.30 146.70 33.26	55.73 50.49 20.00	48.96 160.40 38.20	50.12 45.50 16.32	65.03 151.50 67.79	89.81 67.70 53.04	51.83 173.20 33.78	68.07 119.7 22.12	9.33 25.70 8.14

⁴All interactions significant.

same plant species could be explained based on different methodology. The former used fumigated clay loam soil, and corn grew equally well with NH₄-N and NO₃-N; the latter used solution culture, and NH₄-N was detrimental to growth of corn plants.

 NH_4 -N nutrition suppressed growth in solution and sand culture, in agreement with previous reports (6, 7, 12, 18). However, growth of NH_4 -treated plants in pure peat was slightly better than that recorded for the NO_3 -N treatment. Root diameter of NH_4 -treated plants was greater in sand than in solution culture, consistent with results reported by Peterson and Barber (17), with the smallest root diameter in peat media.

Higher free amino acid accumulation in NH₄-treated plants. compared to NO₃-N nutrition, has been reported previously as being associated with NH₄ toxicity (1, 8, 10, 13, 14, 22). This effect was observed in this experiment for plants grown in sand, vermiculite, and solution. However, the NH4-treated plants grown in peat contained almost twice as much free amino acids as plants grown in sand, vermiculite, or solution culture, but did not show any visible symptoms of NH4 toxicity. In contrast, the content of uncomplexed NH₄ in shoot and root of NH₄-treated plants grown in peat was reduced markedly compared to that of plants grown in the other media. The total amino acids:free NH₄ ratio was from 0.6 to 1.7 for NH₄-fed plants exhibiting NH₄ toxicity symptoms when grown in sand, vermiculite, or solution culture. However, the ratio for NH₄-treated plants was 5.6 when grown in peat. This ratio was similar to that of the NO₃-treated plants, suggesting it to be a good indicator of NH₄ toxicity. Asparagine alone accounted for 23% of the total amino acids in NH₄-treated plants grown in peat. Asparagine and glutamine in NH₄-treated plants grown in peat were 3.5 and 11.3 times higher than with NO₃-N, indicating high efficiency in detoxifying NH₄ through incorporation into these amino acids (8, 14).

In agreement with results reported for several species (3, 7, 9, 11, 12, 18), NH₄-N suppressed K, Ca, and Mg content in tissue. However, K and Ca contents in tissue of plants grown in peat were not affected greatly by N form. NH₄-treated plants grown in peat contained almost twice as much Ca as in any other medium. Thus, the medium on which a plant is grown can have a marked influence on the plant response to N form.

Literature Cited

- 1. Barker, A.V. and H.A. Mills. 1980. Ammonium and nitrate nutrition of horticultural crops, p. 395–423. In: J. Janick (ed.). Horticultural reviews, Vol. 2. AVI, West Port, Conn.
- Bennett, W.F., J. Pesek, and J. Hanway. 1964. Effect of nitrate and ammonium on growth of corn in nutrient solution culture. Agron. J. 56:342–345.
- 3. Blair, J.G., M.H. Miller, and W.A. Mitchell. 1970. Nitrate and ammonium as sources of nitrogen for corn and their influence on the uptake of other ions. Agron. J. 62:530–532.

- 4. Burdine, H.W. 1976. Radish response to nitrogen source. Soil and Crop Sci. Soc. of Florida Proc. 35:59–63.
- Claassen, N. and S.A. Barber. 1976. Simulation model for nutrient uptake from soil by a growing plant root system. Agron. J. 68:961–964.
- Claassen, M.E.T. de and G.E. Wilcox. 1974. Effect of nitrogen form on growth and composition of tomato and pea tissue. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 99(2):171–174.
- DeKock, P.C., A. Hall, R. Boggic, and R.H.E. Inkson. 1982. The effect of water stress and form of nitrogen on the incidence of blossom end rot in tomatoes. J. Sci. Food Agri. 33:509–515.
- Givan, C.V. 1979. Metabolic detoxification of ammonia in tissues of higher plants. Phytochemistry 18:375–382.
- Goyal, S.S., O.A. Lorenz, and R.C. Huffaker. 1982. Inhibitory effects of ammoniacal nitrogen on growth of radish plants. I. Characterization of toxic effects of NH₄⁺ on growth and its alleviation by NO₃⁻. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 107(1):125–129.
- Kato, T. 1980. Nitrogen assimilation by a citrus tree. 2. Assimilation of labelled ammonium and nitrate by detached leaves in light and dark. Physiol. Plant. 50:304–308.
- 11. Kirkby, E.A. and K. Mengel. 1967. Ionic balance in different tissue of the tomato plant in relation to nitrate. urea, or ammonium nutrition. Plant Physiol. 42:6–14.
- 12. Kuruitz, A. and E.A. Kirkby. 1980. The uptake of nutrients by sunflower plants growing in a continuous flowing culture system, supplied with nitrate or ammonium as nitrogen source. Zeitschrift fur Pflanzenernahrung and Bodenkunde. 143(2):140–149.
- Lea, P.J. and B.J. Miflin. 1980. Transport and metabolism of asparagine and other nitrogen compounds within the plant, p. 569– 607. In: P.K. Stampf and E.E. Conn (eds.). The Biochemistry of plants. Vol. 5. Academic Press, New York.
- Lorens, H. 1975. Free amino acids in tomato plants in relation to form and concentration of nitrogen in the rooting medium. Plant & Soil 45:163–168.
- Maynard, D.N. and A.V. Barker. 1969. Studies on the tolerance of plants to ammonium nutrition. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 94(3):235–239.
- Morris, H.D. and J. Giddens. 1963. Responses of several crops to ammonium and nitrate forms of nitrogen as influenced by soil fumigation and liming. Agron. J. 55:372–374.
- 17. Peterson, W.R. and S.A. Barber. 1981. Soybean root morphology and K uptake. Agron. J. 73:316–319.
- Polizotto, K.R., G.E. Wilcox, and C.M. Jones Response of growth and mineral composition of potato to nitrate and ammonium nitrogen. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 100(2):165–168.
- 19. Prasad, R., G.B. Rajale, and B.A. Lekhdive. 1971. Nitrification retarders. Adv. Agron. 23:337–383.
- 20. Prasad, M. 1980. Retention of nutrients by peat and wood wastes. Scientia Hort. 12:203–209.
- Puritch, G.S. and A.V. Barker. 1967. Structure and function of tomato leaf chloroplasts during ammonium toxicity. Plant Physiol. 42:1229–1238.
- Reisenauer, H.M. 1978. Absorption and utilization of ammonium nitrogen by plants, p 157–189. In: D.R. Nielsen and J.G. MacDonald (eds.). Nitrogen in the environment. Vol. 2. Academic Press, New York.

- Sander, D.J. and A.V. Barker. 1978. Comparative toxicity of nitrapyrin and 6-chloropicolinic acid to radish and cucumber under different N nutrition regmines. Agron. J. 70:295–298.
- Ta, T.C., and K. Ohira. 1981. Effects of various environmental and medium conditions on the response of Indica and Japonica rice plants to ammonium and nitrate nitrogen. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 27:347–355.
- Tennant, D. 1975. A test of a modified line intersect method of estimating root length. J. Appl. Ecol. 63:996–1000.
- 26. Tills, A.R. and B.J. Alloway. 1981. The effect of ammonium and nitrate nitrogen sources on copper uptake and amino acid status of cereals. Plant & Soil 62:279–290.
- 27. Tsai, C.Y., D.M. Huber, and H.L. Warren. 1978. Relationship of the kernel sink for N to maize productivity. Crop Science 18:399-404.
- Verdonck, O., D. DeVleeschauwer, and M. DeBoodt. 1981. The influence of the substrate to plant growth. Acta Hort. 126:251– 258.

J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 109(3):411–415. 1984. Evaluation of Combining Ability, Heterosis, and Genetic Variance for Fruit Quality Characteristics in Bush Muskmelon

Thomas J. Kalb II¹ and D.W. Davis²

Department of Horticultural Science and Landscape Architecture, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108

Additional index words. Cucumis melo, cantaloupe, short internode, dwarf plant types, vegetable breeding

Abstract. A 6-parent diallel was established in 1981 at Excelsior, Minn. and at Santa Paula, Calif. to analyze combining ability and heterosis for fruit quality of traits in bush muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.). GCA variance exceeded SCA variance for all traits. Minnesota breeding lines were superior in GCA for most interior quality traits, but inferior to Florida and California lines in exterior quality. Correlations between the performance of parents and the average of their hybrids were consistently positive, and often significant. Favorable heterosis over the midparent was shown for soluble solids, net density, and net rope, and, to a lesser extent, for flesh amount, rind thickness, cavity amount, and cavity dryness. A 3×10 design II at Excelsior showed estimates of additive variance exceeding those of dominance variance for all traits except fruit weight, shape index, and vein tract. The large estimates of additive variance provided for moderately high (40–70%) estimates of heritability for most traits.

The development of bush or short internode cultivars is a new advance in the genetic improvement of muskmelon. The compact plant habit will allow home gardeners to culture melons in limited space. For commercial growers, the bush types may be used to increase plant populations and to concentrate the setting of fruit near the crown of the plant, both desirable qualities where onceover and (perhaps in the future) mechanical harvesting is practiced (21).

The bush character in muskmelon is reported to be controlled by a major recessive gene, and at least 2 modifier genes (8). Bush-type breeding lines have recently been developed at landgrant universities in California, Florida, and Minnesota (6, 12, 25, 26). Each program, however, has used different selection criteria and different germplasm under different environmental stresses, all leading to the development of breeding lines with much diversity among them. This genetic diversity may be utilized in a hybrid breeding program. The improvement of fruit quality in muskmelon through hybridization has been well-documented (3, 9, 17, 20).

These diverse lines grouped together also represent a reference population from which genetic variance components are esti-

¹Graduate Student.

mated. The significance of additive and dominance gene action within a breeding population may be estimated through the variances of general and specific combining ability. Studies of muskmelon with the dominant, normal plant habit have revealed that general combining ability (GCA) is significant for all fruit quality characteristics evaluated (2, 17), indicating the importance of additive effects. The specific combining ability (SCA) variance in these studies was consistently of less magnitude than the GCA, but nonetheless, was also significant for many characteristics.

Besides the nature of the genetic variance, the degree to which genetic effects are expressed in the phenotype may influence selection methods. Heritability estimates for fruit quality characteristics have been reported as moderately high for normalvined muskmelon (7, 16). Whether these estimates are representative of heritability in bush muskmelon may depend in part upon the linkage and pleiotropic effects of the genes responsible for the bush habit. Such effects have been reported for these genes (19, 21).

The first objective of this study was to analyze the effects of hybridization in bush muskmelon. This analysis involved the estimation of the combining ability for 6 breeding lines, and the computation of heterosis. Our other objectives were to evaluate the genetic variance of bush muskmelon, and to determine the degree to which genetic effects are expressed in the phenotype.

Materials and Methods

Six breeding lines, 2 from each of the university breeding programs of Minnesota, Florida, and California, were selected for use in a complete diallel. These lines were Minnesota 101

Received for publication 29 Sept. 1983. Paper No. 13,600 of the Scientific Journal Series, Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station. Research supported in part by W. Atlee Burpee Co. The authors wish to express their gratitude to R.E. Stucker, Dept. of Agronomy and Plant Genetics, for his assistance in statistical analyses. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper therefore must be hereby marked *advertisement* solely to indicate this fact.

²Professor.