

8. Hall, W. C. and P. W. Morgan. 1964. Auxin-ethylene interrelationships. p. 727-745. In: *Regulateurs Naturels de la Croissance Vétale*. Ed. J. P. Nitsch. CNRS., Paris.
9. Leach, R. W. A. and P. F. Wareing. 1967. Distribution of auxin in horizontal woody stems in relation to gravimorphism. *Nature* 314:1025-1027.
10. Leather, G. R., L. E. Forrence and F. B. Abeles. 1972. Increased ethylene production during clinostat experiments may cause leaf epinasty. *Plant Physiol.* 49:183-186.
11. Leopold, A. C., K. M. Brown and F. H. Emerson. 1972. Ethylene in the wood of stressed trees. *Hortscience* 7:175.
12. Lyon, C. J. 1963. Auxin factor in branch epinasty. *Plant Physiol.* 38:145-152.
13. ——— 1970. Ethylene inhibition of auxin transport by gravity in leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 45:644-646.
14. ——— 1972. Auxin control for orientation of pea roots grown on a clinostat or exposed to ethylene. *Plant Physiol.* 50:417-420.
15. MacIntyre, G. I. 1964. Mechanism of apical dominance in plants. *Nature* 203:1190-1191.
16. Mitchell, Cary A., C. J. Severson, J. A. Wott and P. A. Hammer. 1975. Seismomorphogenic regulation of plant growth. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 100:161-165.
17. Mullins, M. G. 1964. Studies of the effects of gravity, stem poise and bending on the growth and flowering of some fruit plants. Ph.D. thesis, University of London.
18. ——— and W. S. Rogers. 1971. Growth in horizontal apple shoots: effects of stem orientation and bud position. *J. Hort. Sci.* 46:313-321.
19. Necessany, V. 1958. Effect of B-indoleacetic acid on the formation of reaction wood. *Phyton* 11: 117-127.
20. Neel, P. L. and R. W. Harris. 1971. Motion-induced inhibition of elongation and induction of dormancy in *Liquidambar*. *Science* 173:58-59.
21. Overbeek, J. Van and H. J. Cruzado. 1948. Flower formation in the pineapple plant by geotropic stimulation. *Amer. J. Bot.* 35:410-412.
22. Palmer, J. H. and D. M. Halsall. 1969. Effect of transverse gravity stimulation, gibberellin and indoleacetic acid upon polar transport of IAA-<sup>14</sup>C in the stem of *Helianthus annuus*. *Physiol. Plant.* 22:59-67.
23. Robitaille, H. A. and A. C. Leopold. 1974. Ethylene and the regulation of apple stress. *Physiol. Plant.* 32:301-304.
24. Rodriguez, A. B. 1932. Smoke and ethylene in fruiting of pineapple. *J. Puerto Rico Dept. Agr.* 26:5-18.
25. Vochtung, H. 1884. *Über Organbildung in Pflanzenreich*. Bonn.
26. Wareing, P. F. and T. Nasr. 1958. Gravimorphism in trees. *Nature* 182:379-380.

## Carbohydrates in Two *Rhododendron* Cultivars<sup>1</sup>

R. D. Wright and L. H. Aung

*Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg*

**Abstract.** Determinations of carbohydrates in the plant organs of *Rhododendron* spp. cv. 'Sweetheart Supreme' and 'Hexe', were made by chemical analysis, thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and gas-liquid chromatography (GLC). Reducing sugar content was 1.5 times higher in buds than in leaves for 'Hexe' with no significant differences for 'Sweetheart Supreme'. Reducing sugars were also higher in the roots than stems with both cultivars. Sucrose content was 1.4 times greater in leaves than in buds of 'Hexe' and 1.6 times greater in 'Sweetheart Supreme'. Starch was significantly higher in leaves and buds than in stems and roots. The predominant soluble sugars identified by TLC and GLC were sucrose, glucose, and fructose. Small but detectable amounts of raffinose and maltose and an unidentified compound were also found in the plant organs.

Studies of the identification and distribution of carbohydrates in woody plant material have dealt primarily with forest trees. Wargo (10) reported the presence of starch, sucrose, glucose, fructose, stachyose, raffinose and maltose in the roots of sugar maple. In white pine stems, Parkerson and Whitmore (8) showed the occurrence of starch, sucrose, glucose and fructose but no stachyose or raffinose. However, Zimmerman (11) found traces of sucrose, raffinose and stachyose in the sieve-tube exudates of forest trees. Similar information on woody ornamental shrubs is limited, although Jeremias (3) reported the presence of sucrose, raffinose, glucose, stachyose and sedoheptulose in the bark of *Picea abies* and wood of *Euonymus europaeus*. The purpose of this study was to determine the amount and identity of the major sugars and starch in the different organs of 2 *Rhododendron* cultivars.

### Materials and Methods

Leaves, buds, roots and stems of 2 fully budded field grown *Rhododendron* cultivars were purchased from a nursery on Feb. 15, 1975, and used for carbohydrate determinations. Tissues from 4 replicates with 3 plants per replicate of each cultivar were lyophilized for 36 hrs and ground in a Wiley mill to pass a 40-mesh sieve. A 200 mg composite sample from 3 plants of each replicate and for each of the organs was extracted with 80% ethanol for 4 hours in a micro-Soxhlet apparatus. The alcoholic extracts were evaporated to a cloudy aqueous phase *in vacuo* at 40°C, diluted to 15 ml with distilled water and centrifuged. The supernatants were then used for quantita-

tive and qualitative analysis of the soluble carbohydrates in the plant organs. Starch extractions were made on the residue remaining after ethanol extraction according to Aung et al. (1).

Quantitative determination of sugars in the ethanolic extracts was made by Nelson's method (7) with glucose as a standard. Sucrose was hydrolyzed to reducing sugars by adding an equal volume of 0.05 M sodium acetate buffer, pH 4.7, containing 0.2 mg/ml of yeast invertase to each sample and incubating for 30 min at 25°C. The amount of sucrose was calculated from the difference between total reducing sugar after invertase treatment and reducing sugar before enzyme hydrolysis.

Qualitative examination of the sugars in the ethanolic extracts from the roots of 'Hexe' was made by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and gas-liquid chromatography (GLC). For TLC, 20 x 20 cm glass plates were coated with a 250  $\mu$  layer of either Kieselguhr G or silica gel G. The plates were developed to 15 cm at 26°C using solvent A consisting of n-butanol, acetone and 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 5.0 (4:5:1 v/v), and solvent B consisting of 60 ml ethylacetate, and 35 ml mixture of isopropanol and water (2:1 v/v). For detection of the known and unknown sugar spots, the developed Kieselguhr G plates were sprayed with a reagent mixture of 9 ml 95% ethanol, 0.5 ml concentrated sulfuric acid and 0.5 ml of anisaldehyde and the silica gel G plates a reagent mixture of equal volumes of 20% sulfuric acid and an alcoholic 0.2% naphthoresorcinol. Plates were dried at 105°C for 5-10 min. Sugars for analysis by GLC were obtained from an alcoholic extract from 25 mg of dry root tissue. This extract was chromatographed on Kieselguhr G plates developed with solvent A. Areas corresponding in  $R_f$  to authentic raffinose and maltose were

<sup>1</sup> Received for publication May 15, 1974

removed from the plates and eluted with 80% ethanol for further analysis by GLC. For this purpose, a Bendix 2500 gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector and U-shaped glass column 1.82 m x 4 mm i.d. packed with a 3% OV-17 on 80/100 mesh Gas Chrom Q (Supelco, Inc., Bellefonte, PA) was used. GLC was performed under the following conditions: 5 min isothermal operation at 220°C and then linearly programmed at 4°/min to 250°C; detector at 250°C, inlet at 240°C and He<sub>2</sub> flow rate at 40 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. The trimethylsilyl derivatives of authentic sugars and the n-dried TLC eluates of the unknown samples were prepared by direct trimethylsilylation using N,O-Bis-(trimethylsilyl)-acetamide (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL). A 1-3 µl sample of this solution was injected for GLC analysis after 30 min at 60°C.

## Results

TLC examination of the leaves, buds, stems and root extracts of 2 *Rhododendron* cultivars indicated the predominant sugars to be fructose, glucose, sucrose and lesser amounts of raffinose and maltose. But since the sugars of the extracts did not differ in kinds by only in amounts, and raffinose and maltose were more prevalent in the roots than leaves, stems and buds, only the root extract was studied

mg/g dry wt of tissue <sup>z</sup>						
Organs	Reducing sugars		Sucrose		Starch	
	'Sweet-heart Su- preme'	'Hexe'	'Sweet-heart Su- preme'	'Hexe'	'Sweet-heart Su- preme'	'Hexe'
Leaves	88**	54	21**	43	20	18
Buds	83	80	13**	31	19	18
Stems	34	33	14	18	7	8
Roots	47	52	10*	17	8	7
Values for significance between organs						
5%	11		10		4	
1%	8		7		3	

Table 2. Thin-layer chromatography of *Rhododendron*, cv. Hexe, root extract on Kieselguhr G in solvent systems<sup>z</sup>

<sup>z</sup> Solvent A; n-butanol:acetone:phosphate buffer, pH 5.0 (4:5:1 v/v). Solvent B; 60 ml ethylacetate:35 ml mixture of isopropanol and water (2:1 v/v).

Authentic standards	Unknown TLC spots <sup>y</sup>	
	Maltose	Raffinose
Sucrose (9.3)	9.4	—
Maltose $\alpha$ (10.0)	—	—
$\beta$ (10.6)	10.7	—
Raffinose (31.2)	12.2	31.2

further and data presented (Table 2). Data obtained by silica gel G were not presented since resolution of the unknown sugars in the organ extracts with the 2 solvent systems was unsatisfactory.

## Discussion

in accord with the observation that raffinose occurs in relative greater abundance when growth is slow and disappears with growth resumption (9).

Maltose was also found in leaves, stems, buds and roots of *Rhododendron* but was more abundant in roots. Similar observations have been made in sugar maple roots where maltose occurs from late summer to late spring (10). The metabolic role of maltose in *Rhododendron* is unknown but it is probably a breakdown product of starch.

Based upon its slow migration rate in TLC and long GLC retention time, and unidentified sugar in *Rhododendron* appears to be a relatively large molecule resembling either a trisaccharide or a tetrasaccharide. Like raffinose, it is probably also a storage sugar.

#### Literature Cited

1. Aung, L. H., F. Tognoni and A. A. DeHertogh. 1973. Changes in the carbohydrates of tulip bulbs during development. *Hort Science* 8:207-208.
2. Hepting, G. H. 1945. Reserve food storage in shortleaf pine in relation to little-leaf disease. *Phytopathology* 35:106-119.
3. Jeremias, K. 1972. Zur winterlichen zuckeranhaeuung in vegetativen pflanzenteilen. *Biol. Abstr.* 53 (2):1068.
4. Jones, C. H. and J. L. Bradlee. 1933. The carbohydrate contents of the maple tree. *VT Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul.* 358.
5. McNair, H. M. and E. J. Bonelli. 1968. Basic gas chromatography. Varian Aerograph, Walnut Creek, CA.
6. Murneek, A. E. 1942. Quantitative distribution of nitrogen and carbohydrates in apple tree. *MO Agr. Expt. Sta. Res. Bul.* 348.
7. Nelson, N. 1944. Photometric adaptation of the Somogyi method for the detection of glucose. *J. Biol. Chem.* 153:375-380.
8. Parkerson, R. H. and F. W. Whitmore. 1972. A correlation of stem sugars, starch and liquid with wood formation in Eastern White pine. *Forest Sci.* 18:178-183.
9. Pazar, J. H., M. Shadahsharasaany and G. E. Meidell. 1962. The metabolism of oligosaccharides in germinating soybean, *Glycine max.* *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 99:78-85.
10. Wargo, P. M. 1971. Seasonal changes in carbohydrates levels in roots of sugar maple. *U. S. Forest Ser. Res. Pap. N. E.* 213:1-8.
11. Zimmerman, M. H. 1957. Translocation of organic substances in trees. I. The nature of the sugars in the sieve tube exudates of trees. *Plant Physiol.* 32:288-291.

## An Economic Evaluation of Consumer Characteristics Affecting Sweet Potato Consumption<sup>1</sup>

Gene A. Mathia<sup>2,3</sup>

North Carolina State University, Raleigh

**Abstract.** The purpose of this report was to relate several economic and social characteristics of sweet potato consumers to level of fresh sweet potato consumption. National cross-sectional data were used to identify consumption patterns using the least squares regression procedure. Relationships were estimated for white and non-white households.

The relationships for white households indicated that price of sweet potatoes, family income, number of meals eaten-at-home, family size, and expenditures for white potatoes were important determinants of weekly sweet potato consumption. Regional, urbanization, and seasonal differences were also apparent for white households. Education, age, and employment status were not critical in determining consumption patterns.

The relationship for the non-white households was similar structurally to the white household relationship but the sample size was not sufficiently large to yield statistically significant coefficients for some of the variables found important in the white household. Nevertheless, price, age and number of households did exhibit statistically significant coefficient. Seasonal and urbanization differences were noted.

Sweet potato merchants should find the relationships useful in market segmentation. Sales efforts should take into account at least regional, seasonal, and urbanization differences.

Per capita consumption of sweet potatoes has been declining for several years. Annual consumption of fresh sweet potatoes has declined from around 15 pounds in the mid-1940's to about 3 pounds per capita today (3). This change in consumption level has resulted from a decline in number of households purchasing sweet potatoes, and the amount of potatoes each household purchases per week. For example, a national survey of 6,060 households in the spring of 1955 indicated that 7.1 percent of households purchased sweet potatoes. The average weekly purchase in the spring of 1955 amounted to .16 pounds per household for all households. Weekly per capita consumption was .67 pounds for those household actually purchasing sweet potatoes (2). The situation changed considerably during the next 10 years. The 1965 spring survey of 7,532 households detected only 4.7 percent of all households purchasing sweet potatoes. Weekly household consumption dropped to .09 pounds for all households.

Weekly per capita consumption was .58 pound for those households actually purchasing sweet potatoes (4).

Population in the United States has increased at a rate which has tended to offset the effects of downward per capita consumption leaving total consumption of sweet potatoes almost unchanged over the period. Prices have increased during the period but not rapidly enough to yield increasing real prices after taking account of inflation (1).

Several economic and social characteristics of consumers are no doubt important in explaining the downward trend of per capita consumption of sweet potatoes. The 1965 National Consumer Survey of Household Consumption is the most recent source of data which can be used to measure the importance of these characteristics on consumption. The purpose of this report is to present the results of an analysis of these data to identify factors that are important in determining 1) whether consumers buy sweet potatoes and 2) what amount is consumed if the decision is to purchase.

#### Materials and Methods

Consumption relationships were estimated by ordinary least squares regression using cross-sectional data. These data, obtained

<sup>1</sup> Received for publication June 2, 1974. Journal Series Paper 4786, North Carolina State Agricultural Experiment Station.

<sup>2</sup> Professor of Economics.

<sup>3</sup> The author gratefully acknowledges helpful suggestions from several members of the Departments of Economics and Horticulture.