Transplant Growth Control through Water Deficit Stress—A Review

Albert Liptay,1 Peter Sikkema,2 and William Fonteno3

ADDITIONAL INDEX WORDS. hardening, height control, specific leaf area, photosynthesis, cell growth

SUMMARY. The theme of this review is modulation of extension growth in transplant production through restraint of watering of the seedlings. The purpose of the modulation is to produce transplants of 1) appropriate height for ease of field setting and 2) adequate stress tolerance to withstand outdoor environmental conditions. Physiological responses of the plant are discussed in relation to the degree of water deficit stress and are related to the degree of hardening or stress tolerance development in the transplants. Optimal stress tolerance or techniques for measuring same have not been fully defined in the literature. However, stress tolerance in seedlings is necessary to withstand environmental forces such as wind and sandblasting after the seedlings are transplanted in the field. It is also imperative that the seedlings undertake a rapid and sustained rate of growth after outdoor transplanting. Water deficit stress applied to plants elicits many different physiological responses. For example, as leaf water potential begins to decrease, leaf enlargement is inhibited before photosynthesis or respiration is affected, with the result of a higher rate of dry matter accumulation per unit leaf area. The cause of the reduced leaf area may be a result of reduced K uptake by the roots with a concomitant reduction in cell expansion. Severe water deficits however, result in overstressed seedlings with stunted growth and poor establishment when transplanted into the field. In transplant production systems, appropriate levels of water deficit stress can be used as a management tool to produce seedlings conducive to the transplanting process.

The scope of this review encompasses seedling water management practices and the effects of varying degrees of water deficit stress on the physical, physiological and mechanical stem strength attributes of transplants. It also details the implications of slight or severe water deficit stress on hardening or stress tolerance development in transplants. The overall objective of water management in transplant production is to modulate growth in order that the seedlings withstand the temporal physical conditions in which they are transplanted and after establishment undertake a rapid and sustained rate of new root and shoot growth.

Stress-tolerant transplants

Transplant producers growing seedlings in the greenhouse intuitively regulate watering level to restrain excessive growth. The restraint is necessary for survival of the seedlings in the field after outdoor transplanting (Latimer, 1990; Riviere et al., 1990) and for easy and proper seedling placement during the transplanting procedure.

¹Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Greenhouse and Processing Crops Research Centre, Harrow, Ontario, NOR 1G0, Canada.

²University of Guelph, Ridgetown College, Ridgetown, Ontario, N0P 2C0, Canada.

³Horticulture Department, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-7609

Water restraint can result in hardened or stress-tolerant seedlings. This stress tolerance is important for holding transplants when field establishment cannot be done immediately (Marr and Jirak, 1990), for a high percentage survival in the field (Liptay, 1987), and a more rapid rate of establishment (Liptay and Nicholls, 1993). The goal in transplant production is to achieve an optimal seedling size with the appropriate level of stress tolerance to withstand environmental or other stresses when the plugs are transplanted into the field.

Varying water deficit stress

Water deficit stress can be applied in varying degrees to seedlings or plants. One effect of water deficit stress at moderate levels is a reduction in plant leaf area. Frensch and Hsiao (1994) reported that the rate of solute flow into maize (Zea mays) plants can become rate limiting for cell expansion under conditions of mild water deficit stress. This also was shown to occur in soybeans (Glycine max L. Mer.) and sunflower (Helianthus annus L.) at about -400 KPa (Boyer, 1970). However, when plants were rewatered following drought stress the leaf growth rate did not recover to the original rate of enlargement. Photosynthesis and respiration were not inhibited until more severe water deficit stress was imposed.

Muchowetal. (1986) also reported similar results for soybean. In addition, at very low water potential, where biomass production was reduced, the decrease was associated with lower stomatal conductance but was not associated with specific leaf N content. Schulze and Bloom (1984) reported similar results for Raphanus raphanistrum L., a wild type of radish and tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.). In white clover (Trifolium repens L.), short drought periods reduced leaf area and the number of stolons but had no effect on leaf number. With longer drought periods the number of leaves was also decreased (Belaygue et al., 1996). The decrease in shoot growth (Kuchenbuch et al., 1986b), was linear with decreasing water content of soils for onions (Allium sativum L.).

Sharkey and Seemann (1989) reported that reductions in whole leaf photosynthesis was primarily the result of stomatal closure rather than damage to chloroplasts. Ben et al. (1987) reporting on varying levels of water deficit

stress, indicated that the CO₂ saturation rate of photosynthesis under high light conditions was the most sensitive stress parameter. Furthermore, mild water deficit stress did not affect quantum yield of photosynthesis but, acute water deficit stress damaged chloroplasts. Thus there appear to be several degrees of water deficit stress; the less severe being desirable for transplant production while the more severe are too restrictive on growth.

Water stress and morphology

The primary root of maize continued slow growth at low water potentials which completely inhibited shoot growth (Sharp et al., 1988; Saab et al., 1990). Root growth in tomato seedlings behaved in a similar manner (Liptay and Tan, 1985). However, in all cases the roots had lower rates of volume expansion and were very thin. Wu et al. (1994) reported that an increase in cell wall loosening contributed to the maintenance of primary root elongation at these low water potentials. Moreover, low water potentials had no effect on osmoticum deposition close to the apex but did decrease deposition in the more mature tissues (Sharp et al., 1990). Wu et al. (1996) reported that growth in the root apical region at low water potentials involved an increase in cell wall extension properties such as an accumulation of expansin. Sharp (1996) indicated that abscisic acid accumulation may help maintain primary root growth and inhibit shoot growth under low water potentials. Mulholland et al. (1996) demonstrated that leaf expansion can be enhanced by exogenous application of synthetic abscisic acid either to the rooting environment or directly to the xylem sap. Moreover, Sharp (1996) recommended caution when interpreting the effects of hormones applied to well-watered plants because hormonal sensitivity or response of tissues varies with water status.

Plasma membranes of living cells have been shown to be freely permeable to water while creating a barrier to other molecules (Chrispeels and Maurel, 1994). The water channels were proteins called aquaporins which allowed water to pass freely while excluding ions and metabolites. In plants, aquaporins are in the vacuolar membranes (tonoplast) and may be present in the plasma membrane. The driving forces of water, which cause irreversible expansion of

cells, are hydraulic and osmotic. Maize plants have been shown to respond to water deficits by hydraulic signals from the roots and by hardening of the cell walls (Chazen and Neumann, 1994). There is a different response between the differentiating tissue and the more mature tissue and also among organs (e.g., the root vs the shoot).

Mechanical properties

Water deficit stress has an effect on the mechanical strength of a seedling or plant stem and this response varies with plant species (Niklas, 1991). Niklas and Moon (1988) used elastic modulus as a measure of the bending strength of a stem that can support a weight on the stem tip or continue to grow vertically. The elastic modulus of a stem depended on stem water content (Niklas, 1989b) and also on the ratio of cell wall to protoplasm (Niklas, 1989a). Buckling of the stem in chives (Allium schoenoprasnumvar.schoenoprasnumL.) occurred at -1300 KPa (Niklas and O'Rourke, 1987). Nonami and Boyer (1990) discussed elastic and plastic properties of cell walls. They found elastic deformation was instantaneous, reversible, independent of time, and was present only when the force applied to the plant tissue changed and followed Hooke's law. Plastic deformation was not instantaneous, not reversible, occured continuously at a rate proportional to the force applied and was Newtonian in nature. At low water potentials the plastic properties and conductance of water of the cell walls decreased, but, there was little effect on the elastic properties. From a practical point of view, the reversibility of deformation, part of the elastic properties discussed above, is a desirable and necessary feature of stress tolerance. The property allows the plant to return to and retain its basic shape even after severe bending caused by wind or other forces. Thus specific water deficit stress could result in desirable improvements to the strength of the transplant and the seedlings' stress tolerance.

Growing medium and water deficit stress

Decreasing the water content in a soil decreased K uptake by onion roots; the drought resulted in an increasingly steep K gradient around the root (Kuchenbuch et al., 1986b). In soybean, the K content of the xylem sap decreased with decreasing water po-

tential (McQuate et al., 1986). This osmotic adjustment was different in different plants. Kuchenbuch et al. (1986a) also found similar results for onion plants. Less K uptake under lower water potentials may explain reduced leaf area (Boyer, 1970), as a result of reduced cell expansion at reduced K levels in the cells (Marschner, 1986).

Transpiration

Increased field survival in hardened or stress-tolerant transplants may result from their altered stomatal regulation. For example, Spence et al. (1986) reported that plant stomata, adapted to drought stress, maintain stomatal opening at lower plant water potentials than nonadapted plants. Stomata from drought-stressed plants were smaller, had a different shape and had a mechanical advantage over nonstressed plants in opening. The pores of stomata of drought-stressed plants required only 1.9 times the turgor pressure of the surrounding epidermis to initiate opening vs. 2.4 times for pores of the guard cells from wellwatered plants.

Plants may use several mechanisms in response to drought stress. Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) plants delayed the onset of plant water deficit by producing more xylem vessels (Fernandez and McCree, 1991). Tomato plants have more and larger stomata than black locust (Robinia pseudoacacia L.) and are more prone to drought stress (Hinckley, 1973). Gu et al. (1996) reported that upon rewatering, after a drought stress event, tomato plant transpiration returned to normal but growth rate did not.

Physiology

Water deficit did not appear to promote ethylene synthesis in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.), bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.), or rose (Rosa hybrisa L., 'Bluesette') (Morgan et al., 1990). Though leaf area was smaller in plants experiencing drought stress, the biomass of the seedlings was greater per unit area than for nondrought-stressed plants (Latimer, 1990). The larger biomass may be part of the development of stress tolerance in plants. Solute concentration in tissue increased after growth rate had fallen. Glutathione reductase, an indicator enzyme of drought stress, increased under stress conditions (Burke and Hatfield, 1987).

Guralnick and Ting (1987) reported the time required to restore various physiological processes after a prolonged drought for portulaca as follows: water potential and CO2 uptake were normal after 24 h; ribulose diphosphate carboxylase was normal after 3 d; the crassulacean acid metabolism pathway was normal after 5 d; chlorophyll levels were higher than normal after 5 d; phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase and phosphoenol pyruvate carboxykinase activity were normal after 6 d; the chlorophyll a/b ratios returned to normal levels only after 27 d. Sells and Koeppe (1981) reported that proline oxidase activity decreased in mitochondria after only a slight water deficit stress while proline increased in drought-stressed plants (Stewart et al., 1977). Another factor associated with water deficit stress may be antioxidant concentrations. Gogorcena et al. (1995) reported a decline in antioxidant levels associated with drought stress in peas. However, Castillo and Layzell (1995) reported that oxygen limitation plays only a minor role during drought stress. There are a number of scientists experimenting with the role of antioxidants in relation to development of stress tolerance in plants.

Incident radiation and water deficit stress

The volume of water used by plants in the greenhouse is generally related to incoming radiation and air movement (Hesse, 1985; Musard and Dupuy, 1972; Stanhill and Albers, 1974). There appears to be a linear relationship between the amount of water consumed by the plants and the amount of incoming solar radiation plants received. Wiertz and Richter (1987) have developed an irrigation model which includes this relationship.

Conclusions

Seedling growth control for transplant production in greenhouses can be achieved by regulating the amount of water available to the plants. The severity of water restriction is critical. A desirable level of water restriction results in stocky, stress resistant seedlings able to withstand environmental stresses after transplanting outdoors. If water restriction is too severe, seedlings die or are overhardened, slowing new shoot and root growth. The dif-

ference in the severity of stress tolerance is related to various levels of physiological restraint on growth, the more severe being detrimental to plant development. In conclusion, more studies are needed to quantify and understand the physiological responses of the plants related to water deficit stress.

For commercial practices, though, the physiological studies, support the concept of limited water application to achieve an acceptable restrained shoot growth and also a level of stress tolerance. This level of stress tolerance does not appear to limit rapid new root and shoot growth once the seedling is transplanted outdoors into the field.

Literature cited

Belaygue, C., J. Wery, A.A. Cowan, and F. Tardieu. 1996. Contribution of leaf expansion, rate of leaf appearance and stolon branching to growth of plant leaf area under water deficit in white clover. Crop Sci. 36:1240–1246.

Ben, G.Y., C.B. Osmond, and T.D. Sharkey. 1987. Comparisons of photosynthetic responses of *Xanthium strumarium* and *Helianthus annus* to chronic and acute water stress in sun and shade. Plant Physiol. 84:476–482.

Boyer J.S. 1970. Leaf enlargement and metabolic rates in corn, soybean and sunflower at various leaf water potentials. Plant Physiol. 46:233–235.

Burke, J.J. and J.L. Hatfield. 1987. Plant morphological and biochemical responses to field water deficits. III. Effect of foliage temperature on the potential activity of glutathione reductase. Plant Physiol. 85:100–103.

Castillo, L.D. and D.B. Layzell. 1995. Drought stress, permeability to O₂ diffusion and the respiratory kinetics of soybean root nodules. Plant Physiol. 107:1187–1194.

Chazen, O. and P.M. Newmann. 1994. Hydraulic signals from the roots and rapid cell wall hardening in growing maize (*Zea mays* L.) leaves are primary responses to polyethylene glycol-induced water deficits. Plant Physiol. 104:1385–1392.

Chrispeels, M.J. and C. Maurel. 1994. Aquaporins: The molecular basis of facilitated water movement through living plant cells. Plant Physiol. 105:9–13.

Fernandez, C.J. and K.J. McCree. 1991. Visualizing differences in plant water dynamics with a simulation model. Crop Sci. 31:399–404.

Frensch, J. and T.C. Hsiao. 1994. Transient responses of cell turgor and growth of

maize roots as affected by changes in water potential. Plant Physiol. 104:247–254.

Gogorcena, Y., I. Iturbe-Ormaetxe, P.R. Escuredo, and M. Becana. 1995. Antioxidant defenses against activated oxygen in pea nodules subjected to water stress. Plant Physiol. 108:753–759.

Gu, S., L.H. Fuchigami, S.H. Guak, and C. Shin. 1996. Effects of short-term water stress, hydrophilic polymer amendment and antitranspirant on stomatal status, transpiration, water loss and growth in 'Better Boy' tomato plants. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 121:831–837.

Guralnick, L.J. and I.P. Ting. 1987. Physiological changes in *Portulaca afra* (L.) Jacq. during a summer drought and rewatering. Plant Physiol. 85:481–486.

Hesse, N. 1985. Wasserbedarf von Tomaten unter Glas. Gemuse 21:233–234.

Hinckley, T.M. 1973. Responses of black locust and tomato plants after water stress. HortScience 8:405–407.

Kuchenbuch, R., N. Claasen, and A. Jungk. 1986a. Potassium availability in relation to soil moisture. I. Effect of soil moisture on potassium diffusion, root growth and potassium uptake of onion plants. Plant and Soil, 95:221–231.

Kuchenbuch, R., N. Claasen, and A. Jungk. 1986b. Potassium availability in relation to soil moisture. II. Calculations by means of a mathematical simulation model. Plant and Soil, 95:233–243.

Latimer, J.G. 1990. Drought or mechanical stress affects broccoli transplant growth and establishment but not yield. HortScience 25:1233–1235.

Liptay, A. and C.S. Tan. 1985. Effect of various levels of available water on germination of polyethylene glycol (PEG) pretreated or untreated tomato seeds. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 110:748–751.

Liptay, A. 1987. Field survival and establishment of tomato transplants of various age and size. Acta Hort. 220:203–209.

Liptay, A. and S. Nicholls. 1993. Nitrogen supply during greenhouse transplant production affects subsequent tomato root growth in the field. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 118:339–342.

Marr, C.W. and M. Jirak. 1990. Holding tomato transplants in plug trays. HortScience 25:173–176.

Marschner, H. 1986. Mineral nutrition in higher plants. Academic Press, New York. p. 254–267.

McQuate, G.T., P.F. Germann, and E.F. Connor. 1986. Soybean cell sap response to water deficits. J. Plant Physiol. 125:105–114.

Morgan, P.W., C.J. He, J.A. DeGreef, and M.P. DeProft. 1990. Does water stress promote ethylene synthesis by intact plants? Plant Physiol. 94:1616–1624.

Muchow, R.C., T.R. Sinclair, J.M. Bennett, and L.C. Hammond. 1986. Response of leaf growth, leaf nitrogen and stomatal conductance to water deficits during vegetative growth of field-grown soybean. Crop Sci. 26:1190–1195.

Mulholland, B.J., I.B. Taylor, C.R. Black, and J.A. Roberts. 1996. Effect of soil compaction on barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) growth II. Are increased xylem sap ABA concentrations involved in maintaining leaf expansion in compacted soils? J. Expt. Bot. 47:551–556.

Musard, N. and M. Dupuy. 1972. Etudes des besoins en eau de la tomate de printemps en serre a l'aide de cases lysimetriques. Pepinieristes, Horticulteurs, Maraichers 127:29–32.

Niklas, K.J. and F.C. Moon. 1988. Flexural stiffness and modulus of elasticity of flower stalks from *Allium sativum* as measured by multiple resonance frequency spectra. Amer. J. Bot. 75:1517–1525.

Niklas, K.J. and T.D. O'Rourke. 1987. Flexural rigidity of chive and its response to water potential. Amer. J. Bot. 74:1033–1044.

Niklas, K.J. 1989a. Nodal septa and the rigidity of aerial shoots of *Equisetum hyenale*. Amer. J. Bot. 76:521–531.

Niklas, K.J. 1989b. Mechanical behaviour of plant tissues as inferred from the theory of pressurized cellular solids. Amer. J. Bot. 76:929–937.

Niklas, K.J. 1991. Biomechanical responses of *Chamaedorea* and *Spathiphyllum* petioles to tissue dehydration. Ann. Bot. 67:67–76.

Nonami, H. and J.S. Boyer. 1990. Primary events regulating stem growth at low water potentials. Plant Physiol. 94:1601–1609.

Riviere, L.M., J.C. Foucard, and F. Lemaire. 1990. Irrigation of container crops according to the substrate. Scientia Hort. 43:339–349.

Saab, I.M., R.E. Sharp, J. Pritchard, and G.S. Voetberg. 1990. Increased endogenous abscisic acid maintains primary root growth and inhibits shoot growth of maize seedlings at low water potentials. Plant Physiol. 93:1329–1336.

Schultze, E.D. and A.J. Bloom. 1984. Relationship between mineral nitrogen influx and transpiration in radish and tomato. Plant Physiol. 76:827–828.

Sells, G.D. and D.E. Koeppe. 1981. Oxidation of proline by mitochondria isolated from water-stressed maize shoots. Plant Physiol. 68:1058–1063.

Sharkey, T.D. and J.R. Seemann. 1989. Mild water stress effects on carbon-reduction-cycle intermediates, ribulose biphosphate carboxylase activity and spatial homogeneity of photosynthesis in intact leaves. Plant Physiol. 89:1060–1065.

Sharp, R.E., W.K. Silk, and T.C. Hsiao. 1988. Growth of the maize primary root at low water potentials. I. Spatial distribution of expansive growth. Plant Physiol. 87:50–57.

Sharp, R.E., T.C. Hsiao, and W. Silk. 1990. Growth of the primary root at low water potentials. II. Role of growth and deposition of hexose and potassium in osmotic adjustment. Plant Physiol. 93:1337–1346.

Sharp, R.E. 1996. Regulation of plant growth responses to low soil water potentials. HortScience 31:36–39.

Spence, R.D., H. Wu, P.J. H. Sharpe, and K.G. Clark. 1986. Water stress effects on guard cell anatomy and the mechanical advantage of the epidermal cells. Plant Cell Environ. 9:197–202.

Stanhill, G. and J.S. Albers. 1974. Solar radiation and water loss from greenhouse roses. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 99:107–110.

Stewart, C.R., S.F. Bogess, D. Aspinall, and L.G. Paleg. 1977. Inhibition of proline oxidation by water stress. Plant Physiol. 59:930–932.

Wiertz, R. and O. Richter. 1987. Model for control of irrigation for *Capsicum annum* in greenhouses. Gartenbauwissenschaft. 52:227–233.

Wu, Y., W.G. Spollen, R.E. Sharp, P.R. Hetherington, and S.C. Fry. 1994. Root growth maintenance at low water potentials. Increased activity of xyloglucan endotransglycosylase and its possible regulation by abscisic acid. Plant Physiol. 106:607–615.

Wu, Y., R.E. Sharp, D.M. Duracho, and D.J. Cosgrove. 1996. Growth maintenance of the maize primary root at low water potentials involves increases in cell wall extension properties, expansin activity and wall susceptibility to expansins. Plant Physiol. 111:765–772.