Inheritance of Purple Petiole in Carrot, Daucus carota var. sativa¹

F. F. Angell² and W. H. Gabelman University of Wisconsin, Madison

Abstract. Dominant monogenic control of purple petiole (G) over green (g) was found in the carrot. Variations observed in intensity of purple suggested presence of modifier genes. Plants having purple petioles were easily identified at all stages of growth following true-leaf formation.

Marker genes have been utilized in many ways to attain greater efficiency in genetic studies and breeding programs. The purple petiole characteristic observed in carrot (Daucus carota var. sativa L.) is a potentially useful marker. Laferriere and Gabelman (1) stated that purple petiole was controlled by a single dominant gene. They used the purple petiole to detect hybrid progeny in their genetic study of root color. The study reported herein was conducted to determine genetic control of purple petiole and stages of plant growth exhibiting purple petiole.

The inbred carrot lines used as parents for the inheritance study were purple petiole TS1, derived from the cultivar 'Tendersweet', and green petiole WCR1, derived from the cross ('Yellow Belgian' x 'Imperator') x ('Waltham Hi-Color' x 'Tendersweet').

Cross pollinations were made in the greenhouse during winter and early spring. Male sterility was not present in either parent; thus, protandry and emasculation were used to obtain crosses. An umbel from each plant of a cross was enclosed in a cylindrical wire cage covered with 128 gauge white muslin. Fly pupae were placed in each cage twice weekly for a period of 3-4 weeks to effect cross pollination. Self pollination of single plants was done in the same manner except that 2-4 umbels were enclosed in a cage. Each plant in a cross was simultaneously self-pollinated to determine if it was true breeding for petiole color.

The parents, F₁ hybrids, and F₂ and backcross progenies were grown in the field and in the greenhouse. Standard commercial practices for carrot production on peat soil were used in the field. In the greenhouse, the carrots were grown in vermiculite in porcelain crocks. Nutrients were supplied to the seedlings by a twice weekly application of Hoagland nutrient solution.

The field-grown carrots were classified for petiole color when root diameter was about 1/4 inch and at harvest time. Those grown in the greenhouse were classified when seedlings were in the 2-3 true-leaf stage.

classification for petiole color for parents, F₁ hybrids and F₂ and backcross populations are presented in Table 1. There were no differences in the data from the 2 times of petiole classification in the field; thus, only one set of data is presented. Complete basis of petiole color from the purple modifer genes could possibly reciprocal crosses. There were no petioles. significant (5% level) deviations from the 3:1 ratio in F₂ progenies and the 1.1 ratio in test cross progenies. The homogeneity Chi-squares for pooled data for F₂ progenies were 1. non-significant at the 5% level. The 4 green petiole plants from the backcross to the purple parent possibly resulted

from pollen contamination or from seed mixture during time of seed cleaning or planting in the field. The symbol "g" is proposed for the recessive allele (green) and "G" for the dominant allele (purple).

The complete agreement between the data from the greenhouse and the field indicated that purple petiole could be used as a marker at any stage of plant growth after true-leaf formation. In this study purple petiole plants were easily identified at the 2-3 true-leaf stage, when root diameter was approximated 1/4 inch, and at harvest time. The presence of the purple petiole characteristic throughout the entire growth period of the plant makes it more valuable as a marker for carrot studies.

There were variations in intensity of The data from greenhouse and field purple in the parent TS1 and in progeny having purple petioles. This was observed in both the greenhouse and the field. This variation indicates the possible presence of modifer genes in addition to the one major gene controlling the presence or absence of purple (anthocyanin) in the petioles. In dominance of purple to green petiole is the presence of a dominant allele, the shown in both the greenhouse and field modifer genes apparently control the data. All F₁ plants had purple petioles quantity of anthocyanin produced. and could not be distinguished on the Additional information concerning the parent. Maternal effects were not obtained from quantitative observed in any of the progeny from measurements of anthocyanin in the

Literature Cited

Laferriere, L., and W. H. Gabelman. 1968. Inheritance of color, total carotenoids, alpha-carotene, and beta-carotene in carrots, Daucus carota. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 93:408-418.

Table 1. Inheritance of purple petiole in carrot.

			No. of Plants					
		No. of	Greenhouse ^b		Chi	Field ^c		Chi
Parents	Generation	$progenies^{\underline{a}}$	Purple	Green	$Square^d$	Purple	Green	Squared
TS1	P _{1p}		38	0		80	0	
WCR1	$P_{1\sigma}$		0	65		0	28	
P _{1p} selfed	S_{1p}		85	0		175	0	
P _{1g} selfed	S_{1g}^{P}		0	112		0	137	
$P_{1g} \times P_{1p}$	$\mathbf{F_1}^{p}$		148	0		176	0	
$P_{1p} \times P_{1g}$	$\mathbf{F_1}$		208	0		110	0	
$(P_{1g} \times P_{1p})$ selfed	F_2	10	602	183	1.19	641	230	0.92
$(P_{1p} \times P_{1g})$ selfed	F_2	6	358	114	0.18	392	133	0.03
$(P_{1g} \times P_{1p}) \times P_{1g}$	BC ₁	1				36	29	0.75
$P_{1g}x (P_{1g}x P_{1p})$	BC_1	1				18	24	0.86
$(P_{1g} \times P_{1p}) \times P_{1p}$	BC_1	2	44	0		163	4	

^aNumber of plants selfed or number of backcrosses.

^bClassified when seedlings were in 2-3 true-leaf stage.

^cClassified when root diameter was about 1/4 inch and at harvest time.

dChi-square tests for 3:1 ratio in F₂ and 1:1 ratio in test crosses. F₂ test calculated on basis of pooled data (homogeneity chi-square's for pooled data non-significant at 5% level). All values non-significant at 5% level.

¹Received for publication January 15, 1970. Published with the approval of the Director of the Wisconsin Agricultural Experiment Station. Research supported in part by a grant from the Research Committee of the Graduate School from funds supplied by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation.

²Present address: Dept. of Horticulture, University of Maryland, College Park.