TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 Heat-tolerant Dry Bean Germplasm

Timothy G. Porch1

USDA-ARS Tropical Agriculture Research Station, 2200 P.A. Campos Avenue, Suite 201, Mayaguez, PR 00680

James R. Smith

USDA-ARS, 141 Experiment Station Road, JWDSRC, Stoneville, MS 38776-0345

James S. Beaver

Department of Agro-Environmental Sciences, University of Puerto Rico, Recinto Universitario de Mayaguez, Call Box 9000, Mayaguez, PR 00681-9000

Phillip D. Griffiths

Department of Horticultural Sciences, New York State Agricultural Experiment Station, 630 West North Street, Geneva, NY 14456

Craig H. Canaday

Entomology and Plant Pathology Department, The University of Tennessee, 605 Airways Boulevard, Jackson, TN 38301

Additional index words. common bacterial blight, heat stress, high ambient temperature, Phaseolus vulgaris, Xanthomonas axonopodis

High average maximum daytime (greater than 30 °C) and minimum nighttime (greater than 20 °C) temperatures can significantly affect common bean yields in the lowland (less than 650 masl) tropics (Singh et al., 2000). High-temperature stress results in excessive abortion of buds, flowers, and pods, and in the abortion of seed within the pod, resulting in the formation of small seedless common bean pods and dramatic yield reduction. TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 are heat-tolerant dark red and light red, respectively, kidney beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) with tolerance to hightemperature stress in both temperate and humid tropical environments. The lines were developed cooperatively by the USDA-ARS Tropical Agriculture Research Station (TARS), the University of Puerto Rico (UPR), Cornell University, and the University of Tennessee. TARS-HT2 is tolerant to high daytime temperature stress and moderate nighttime temperature stress, whereas TARS-HT1 is tolerant to high daytime and nighttime temperature stress.

Origin

TARS-HT1 (tested as 98059-6-2-1) and TARS-HT2 (tested as 98059-10-2-1) were

Received for publication 5 Mar. 2010. Accepted

for publication 1 June 2010.

derived from a single cross between IJR (Indeterminate Jamaica Red) and 'Montcalm'. IJR is grown in the Caribbean but was collected in India (PI 163122, G 8088). IJR is a mottled light red kidney with a Type III growth habit and heat tolerance (Baiges et al., 1996; Miklas et al., 2000a). Montcalm is a dark red kidney cultivar with a Type I growth habit developed at Michigan State University from a single cross between GN No.1 and 'Dark Red Kidney' (Copeland and Erdmann, 1977). Montcalm has the SAP6 SCAR marker linked to a QTL for common bacterial blight (CBB) resistance; the I gene, which provides resistance to Bean common mosaic virus (BCMV); and is resistant to halo blight and anthracnose.

Hybridizations were made and F₁ plants grown in a screen house at TARS in Mayaguez, PR, from Oct. to Dec. 1998 and from Jan. to Mar. 1999, respectively. Single F₂ plants were selected under high-temperature and humidity conditions in Aug. 1999 at the UPR Substation in Juana Diaz, PR, whereas single F₃ plants were selected in a nursery at Isabela, PR, in Feb. 2000. During the summer months (July through September) of 2000 in Mayaguez, F₄ plants were grown in rigorous high-temperature greenhouse conditions with mean maximum and minimum temperatures of 39 °C and 24 °C, respectively. Heat-tolerant F_{4:5} lines, 98059-6-2-1 and 98059-10-2-1. were selected in this environment. Seed was bulked and increased at Isabela from Jan. to Mar. 2001. Preliminary yield tests were conducted at Juana Diaz from June to Aug. 2001 using F_{4:6} seed, at which time both lines were selected for further testing.

TARS-HT1 (98059-6-2-1) and TARS-HT2 (98059-10-2-1) were evaluated in the field under high-temperature and non-stress conditions in two distinct climatic zones (Table 1). High-temperature, temperate climatic zone, field trials were conducted at the University of Tennessee in Jackson, TN, in 2002 and 2004. High-temperature, subtropical climatic zone trials were conducted at the UPR Juana Diaz Substation during the warmer summer months in 2004 and 2008. Drought stress was avoided in Tennessee and Puerto Rico through adequate lateral boom and drip irrigation, respectively, to supplement rainfall. Non-stress trials were conducted during the cooler winter months at the UPR Isabela Substation and at the TARS Station, both located in Isabela, in 2008 and 2009, respectively, and were watered with overhead irrigation. Both lines were also evaluated in the greenhouse under moderate day and high nighttime temperature conditions in 2005 and 2007 at Cornell University in Geneva, NY. In 2005, they were evaluated in concurrent trials under stress and nonstress conditions, whereas in 2007, they were evaluated under high-temperature conditions only. Yield and maturity data were collected in stress and non-stress trials. A randomized complete block design (RCBD) was used with five replications in the 2008 Isabela field trial. A RCBD with six or eight replications was used in the Jackson, TN, trials in 2002 and 2004, respectively, whereas three replications in a RCBD were used in the other trials. Least significant differences at $P \le 0.05$ were used to compare means for seed yield.

TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 were tested for the presence of SCAR markers linked to QTL for CBB resistance and for BCMV resistance (I gene) using the SAP6 (Miklas et al., 2000b) and SW13 (Melotto et al., 1996) SCAR markers, respectively. In addition, both lines were tested for the presence of the I gene by inoculating the plants in the greenhouse with the NL3 strain of BCMV and recording the presence of the hypersensitive resistance response. Phenotypic testing for CBB resistance was completed through use of the multiple needle technique in the greenhouse (Zapata et al., 2007) using Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. phaseoli (Xap) strains 484a and 3353 and through natural infection in the field. At 7, 14, and 21 d after inoculation, leaves were scored for CBB on a 1 to 9 scale (1, no symptoms; 9, complete infection of the leaf) (CIAT, 1987). A randomized complete block design was used with five replications in the CBB-inoculated greenhouse trial. Least significant differences at $P \le$ 0.05 were used to compare means for CBB reaction.

Description and Performance

The performance of TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 under high-temperature conditions in the field was evaluated in Jackson, TN, and in Juana Diaz, PR. Additional sources of stress were present in these field trials as noted (Table 2) resulting in multiple

Mention of trade names or commercial products in this article is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

¹To whom reprint requests should be addressed; e-mail timothy.porch@ars.usda.gov.

sources of stress affecting yield. TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 showed a significantly higher seed yield than Montcalm in the Juana Diaz (2004) stress trial (Table 2), whereas TARS-HT2 had a significantly higher seed vield than Montcalm in the 2002 heat stress trial in Jackson, TN, and a significantly higher yield than 'Redhawk' in the non-stress Isabela 2008 trial. Furthermore, TARS-HT2 yielded more than CELRK in 2004 in Jackson, TN, and had a significantly higher yield than TARS-HT1 in both years in Tennessee. The mechanisms for the yield advantage over the control cultivars under these high-temperature conditions were increased numbers of pods per plant and higher seed weight per plant (data not shown). Pod number was previously shown to be important in the genetic response to high-temperature stress (Porch et al., 2004). In addition, TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 showed a reduction in the symptoms characteristic of high-temperature stress such as excessive bud and flower abortion, development of small seedless pods, and pod deformation resulting from seed abortion or poor seed fill as compared with the control genotypes.

The Jackson, TN, 2002 trial was a lowfertility trial and resulted in lower yields as compared with the 2004 trial (Table 2). Rates of nitrogen (N) fertilization in 2004 were 90 kg N/ha compared with 39 kg ha-1 in 2002, whereas phosphorus (P) fertilization was 14 kg P/ha in 2004 and 0 kg·ha⁻¹ in 2002. Furthermore, 2002 was slightly hotter and received less rain than 2004: 4 cm water (rain + irrigation) during flowering and pod set in 2002 versus 10 cm water in 2004. The relatively poor yields of the 2004 Juana Diaz trial, compared with those of 2008, were likely caused by an increased prevalence of southern blight caused by Sclerotium rolfsii Sacc. and higher temperature conditions. Seed vields of both TARS-HT2 and 'Montcalm' were considerably reduced compared with the yields obtained in the other trials.

The moderate day and high nighttime temperature conditions in the 2005 and 2007 greenhouse trials at Geneva were particularly stressful as a result of the high night temperatures used. Common bean has been shown to be especially susceptible to high night temperatures (Kigel et al., 1991; Konsens et al., 1991). TARS-HT1 experienced an average of 0% decrease in pod number and a 22% decrease in seed number in the high nighttime temperature conditions as compared with the non-stress treatment and was the most heat-tolerant of the 24 breeding lines tested in the trial (data not shown). The heatsusceptible controls, ICA Pijao and 'Hystyle', showed a 100% and 45% average reduction in pod number and a 100% and 74% reduction in seed number, respectively (data not shown). In 2007, under the 32/27 °C temperature conditions, TARS-HT1 produced a mean of 13 pods and 7.4 g of seed per plant. The control, 'Hystyle', produced a mean of one pod and 0.3 g of seed weight. In both greenhouse trials, TARS-HT2 showed a susceptible response and did not produce pods or

seeds, whereas TARS-HT1 showed tolerance to high nighttime temperature stress (data not shown).

TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 showed moderate susceptibility to common bacterial blight under natural infection in Isabela, whereas they were both more resistant than 'Redhawk' or USDK CBB-15 (Table 3). In addition, TARS-HT1 showed more resistance than 'Redhawk' and TARS-HT2 to inoculation with common bacterial blight in the greenhouse in Mayaguez using *Xap* strains 484A and 3353 (Table 4). Neither carried the SAP6 marker. In the greenhouse, a hypersensitive response to the *NL3* strain of *Bean common mosaic necrotic virus* indicated segregation for the *I* gene in TARS-HT1 and absence of the *I* gene in TARS-HT2.

These results for the presence of the *I* gene were confirmed with the SW13 SCAR marker

TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 are F_4 -derived determinate bush beans with Type I growth habits. TARS-HT1 matures at 71 d after planting in Puerto Rico and 92 d in Tennessee and TARS-HT2 matures at 72 d after planting in Puerto Rico and 106 d in Tennessee. Both TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 have kidney-shaped seeds with good plant architecture and good seed quality (Table 3) that is commercially acceptable in Puerto Rico and the United States. Under non-stress conditions, TARS-HT1 averages 48 g/100 seed and has a dark red seedcoat color, and TARS-HT2 averages 50 g/100 seed and has a light red seedcoat color.

Table 1. Ambient air temperatures in non-stress and stress greenhouse and field trials.

				Avg daily temperatures ^z (°C)		
Trial	Yr	Treatment	Location	Maximum	Minimum	
Jackson, TN	2002	Stress	Field	37	18	
Jackson, TN	2004	Stress	Field	35	16	
Juana Diaz, PR	2004	Stress	Field	33	24	
Geneva, NY	2005	Stress	Greenhouse	32	27	
Geneva, NY	2005	Non-stress	Greenhouse	24	20	
Geneva, NY	2007	Stress	Greenhouse	32	27	
Juana Diaz, PR	2008	Stress	Field	32	23	
Isabela, PR	2008	Non-stress	Field	26	19	
Isabela, PR	2009	Non-stress	Field	27	19	

^zAverage daily temperatures during reproductive development, from flowering through pod fill.

Table 2. Mean seed yield (kg·ha⁻¹) under stress in Jackson, TN, in 2002 and 2004, under stress in Juana Diaz, PR, in 2004 and 2008, and under non-stress conditions in 2008 and 2009 in Isabela, PR.

	Stress ^z					_
	HT, LF	HT	HT, SB	HT, SB		
	Jackson,	Jackson,	Juana Diaz,	Juana Diaz,	Non-stress	
	TN	TN	PR	PR	Isabela, PR	Isabela, PR
Genotype	2002	2004	2004	2008	2008	2009
TARS-HT1 (DRK) ^y	681	1518	813	1032	1467	1097
TARS-HT2 (LRK)	1065	2052	534	1320	1586	1126
CELRK (LRK)	1052	1680	NT	NT	NT	NT
Montcalm (DRK)	576	1318	138	592	1266	878
Redhawk (DRK)	NT^x	NT	NT	656	1170	710
Mean	844	1642	495	900	1372	953
Least significant difference (0.05)	147	207	321	788	385	536

^zStress conditions in field trials: HT = high temperature; SB = Southern blight caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii*; LF = low fertility.

Table 3. Quality characteristics and common bacterial blight reaction of kidney bean lines under non-stress field conditions in Isabela, PR, Oct. 2008.

Line	Mean common blight score ^z	Mean phenotypic score ^y	Mean seed quality score ^x
TARS-HT1 (DRK) ^w	5.2	5.2	3.2
TARS-HT2 (LRK)	5.2	6.4	3.2
Montcalm (DRK)	5.0	5.4	3.0
Redhawk (DRK)	7.0	5.8	3.4
USDK CBB-15 (DRK)	7.8	6.8	5.0
Mean	6.0	5.9	3.6
Least significant difference (0.05)	1.1	0.8	0.8

²Rated on a scale from 1 to 9 in which 1 = no symptoms and 9 = very severe symptoms of common bacterial blight caused by natural infection.

^ySeed type: DRK = dark red kidney; LRK = light red kidney.

 $^{^{}x}NT = not tested.$

^yRated on a scale from 1 to 9 in which 1 = erect plants with good pod set and 9 = undesirable plant type. ^xRated on a scale from 1 to 9 in which 1 = excellent commercial seed quality and 9 = non-commercial seed quality.

Seed type: DRK = dark red kidney; LRK = light red kidney.

Table 4. Common bacterial blight (Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. phaseoli) disease progression on red kidney cultivars, germplasm, and VAX 6-resistant control inoculated in the greenhouse in Mayaguez, PR.

	Day 7		Day 14		Da	Day 21	
		Common bacterial blight strain					
Genotype	3353	484A	3353	484A	3353	484A	
TARS-HT1 (DRK) ^z	2.8 ^y	2.0	5.2	3.0	7.2	4.3	
TARS-HT2 (LRK)	4.4	2.5	7.0	4.5	9.0	7.3	
Montcalm (DRK)	2.8	2.0	6.2	2.8	8.0	3.8	
Redhawk (DRK)	3.8	2.4	8.0	4.4	9.0	7.0	
USDK CBB-15 (DRK)	2.2	2.0	4.2	2.4	7.4	3.8	
VAX 6 (small red)	1.5	1.5	2.3	1.5	3.5	2.0	
Mean	2.9	2.0	5.5	3.1	7.4	4.7	
Least significant difference (0.05)	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.1	

^zSeed type: DRK = dark red kidney; LRK = light red kidney.

Availability

Small amounts of TARS-HT1 and TARS-HT2 are available as F₄-derived germplasm lines from the corresponding author. This germplasm release is publicly available.

Literature Cited

Baiges, S., J.S. Beaver, P.N. Miklas, and J.C. Rosas. 1996. Evaluation and selection of dry beans for heat tolerance. Ann. Rep. of the Bean Improv. Coop. 39:88–89. CIAT (Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical). 1987. Standard system for the evaluation of bean germplasm. van Schoonhoven, A. and M.A. Pastor-Corrales (eds.). Cali, Colombia.

Copeland, L.O. and M.H. Erdmann. 1977. Montcalm and Mecosta, halo blight tolerant kidney bean varieties for Michigan. Ext. Bull. 957. Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI

Kigel, J., I. Konsens, and M. Ofir. 1991. Branching, flowering and pod-set patterns in snap-bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as affected by temperature. Can. J. Plant Sci. 71:1233–1242. Konsens, I., M. Ofir, and J. Kigel. 1991. The effect of temperature on the production and abscission of flowers and pods in snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Ann. Bot. (Lond.) 67:391–399.

Melotto, M., L. Afanador, and J.D. Kelly. 1996.

Development of a SCAR marker linked to the *I* gene in common bean. Genome 39:1216–1219

Miklas, P.N., R. Hannan, J.R. Smith, J.S. Beaver, R. Riley, and S. Antonius. 2000a. Transferring heat tolerance and indeterminacy from indeterminate Jamaica red (PI 163122) to kidney bean. Annu. Rep. Bean Improv. Coop. 43:68–69.

Miklas, P.N., V. Stone, M.J. Daly, J.R. Stavely, J.R. Steadman, M.J. Bassett, R. Delorme, and J.S. Beaver. 2000b. Bacterial, fungal and viral disease resistance loci mapped in a recombinant inbred common bean population ('Dorado'/XAN 176). J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 125:476–481.

Porch, T.G., M.H. Dickson, M.C. Long, D.R. Viands, and M. Jahn. 2004. General Combining ability effects for reproductive heat tolerance in snap bean. J. Agr. Univ. Puerto Rico 88:161–164.

Singh, S.P., F.J. Morales, P.N. Miklas, and H. Terán. 2000. Selection for bean golden mosaic resistance in intra- and interracial bean population. Crop Sci. 40:1565–1572.

Zapata, M., J. Beaver, and T. Porch. 2007. Foliage, pod and internal seed infection of selected common bean lines when inoculated with two strains of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *pha*seoli Ann. Rep. Bean Improv. Coop. 50:117– 118.

^yRated on a scale (CIAT, 1987) from 1 to 9 in which 1 = no symptoms and 9 = very severe symptoms of common bacterial blight.