

- plication of paclobutrazol. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 112:18–21.
5. Marquard, R.D. 1985. Chemical growth regulation of pecan seedlings. HortScience 20:919–921.
 6. Quinlan, J.D. and P.J. Richardson. 1984. Effect of paclobutrazol (PP 333) on apple shoot growth. Acta Hort. 146:105–111.
 7. Sparks, D. 1979. Physiology-site, growth, flowering, fruiting, and nutrition, p. 211–239. In: R.A. Jaynes (ed.). Nut tree culture in North America. North Nut Growers Assn. Hamden, Conn.
 8. Steffens, G.L., S.Y. Wang, M. Faust, and J.K. Byun. 1985. Growth, carbohydrates and mineral element status of shoot and spur leaves and fruit of ‘Spartan’ apple trees treated with paclobutrazol. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 110:850–855.
 9. Wood, B.W. 1984. Influence of paclobutrazol on selected growth and chemical characteristics of young pecan seedlings. HortScience 19:837–839.
 10. Worley, R.E. 1984. Effect of pruning on three southeastern pecan cultivars. Southeast. Pecan Growers Assn. 77:105–108.

HORTSCIENCE 23(2):343–345. 1988.

Impact of Ten Spray Adjuvants on Leaf Gas Exchange of Pecan, Blueberry, Photinia, and Azalea

P.C. Andersen, R.F. Mizell III, and G.W. Knox
Agricultural Research and Education Center, IFAS, Rt. 4, Box 63,
Monticello, FL 32344

Additional index words. net CO₂ assimilation rate, leaf conductance, transpiration rate, *Carya illinoensis*, *Vaccinium ashei*, *Photinia* × *Fraseri*, *Rhododendron* × *species*, Bond, Leaf Act 80A, Nu-Film-17, Ortho X-77, Penetrator 3, Plyac, Sorba Spray ZNP, Sun Spray 7E, Triton CS-7, Triton B-1956

Abstract. Three separate factorial experiments were designed to evaluate the effect of 10 adjuvants on net CO₂ assimilation rate (A), leaf conductance to water vapor (g_i), and transpiration rate (E) of pecan [*Carya illinoensis* (Wagenh.) C. Koch] ‘Elliott’, blueberry (*Vaccinium ashei* Reade) ‘Chaucer’, red top photinia (*Photinia* × *Fraseri* Dress), and azalea (*Rhododendron* × ‘Pink Ruffles’). Single applications of Bond, Leaf Act 80A, Nu-Film-17, Ortho X-77, Penetrator 3, Plyac, Sorba Spray ZNP, Sun Spray 7E, Triton CS-7, or Triton B-1956 at recommended rates did not affect A, g_i, or E compared to a water spray. The main effect of plant species was highly significant in all three studies without adjuvant–species interactions. A significant adjuvant effect on A occurred with a second application of Nu-Film-17, Plyac, and Triton B-1956. The only significant effect, when treatments were analyzed separately by species, was that A of Plyac-treated blueberry was less than the control.

Adjuvants are a diverse group of compounds added to pesticide sprays that are imprecisely classified according to their purported effect (i.e., spreader, wetting agent, surfactant, emulsifier, sticker-extender, activator, compatibility agent, acidifying agent, etc.) (13, 15).

Certain pesticides applied to apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh) (3, 5, 6), sour cherry (*Prunus cerasus* L.) (9), orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.) (15), peach (*Prunus persica* L. Batsch) (2), pecan (17, 18), lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) (13), strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) (8), and chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramot) (7) have been reported to affect photosynthesis and/or transpiration. Yield reductions have occurred with the following crop/pesticide combinations: apple, benomyl and oil (11); lettuce, methyl parathion (13); grapes (*Vitis labruscana* L.),

Bordeaux mixture (12); grapefruit (*Citrus paradisi* Macf.), various oil sprays (4); and strawberry, formetanate hydrochloride and propargite (8). However, the effects of the components of a pesticide mixture on plant physiology rarely have been isolated.

Although oil and emulsifiable concentrate formulations have been reported to depress photosynthesis more than wettable powder formulation of a given pesticide (3, 5, 17), few studies have assessed the effects of adjuvants alone on photosynthesis (5).

The objective of this study was to compare the effect of 10 adjuvants on leaf gas exchange of pecan, blueberry, photinia, and azalea.

The following container-grown plant material was used in all experiments: ‘Elliott’ pecan, ‘Chaucer’ blueberry, ‘Fraiser’ photinia, and ‘Pink Ruffles’ azalea. The plants were obtained from local nurseries and were subjected to standard culture and management practices. All plants were in an active state of growth, except pecan, which had ceased growth in mid-summer. Plants were placed on plastic mulch in direct sun and were irrigated with 1.5 cm of water daily.

Ten adjuvants were applied at recom-

mended rates (Table 1) in three separate experiments during September and October. Abaxial and adaxial leaf surfaces were sprayed to runoff with a Solo backpack sprayer between 8:00 and 10:00 AM. Nu-Film-17, Plyac, Triton B-1956, and water were sprayed in the first study on 11 Sept. and again on 23 Sept. 1986. Bond, Ortho X-77, Triton CS-7, and water were applied in the second study on 23 Sept. 1986. Leaf Act 80A, Penetrator 3, Sorba-Spray ZNP, Sunspray 7E, and water were applied in the third study on 7 Oct. 1986.

Leaf CO₂ and H₂O vapor exchange were measured on abaxial leaf surfaces on one or two mature fully expanded leaves per plant between 10:00 AM and 2:00 PM, as described previously (2). Net CO₂ assimilation rate (A) was measured with a portable open-system LCA-2 Analytical Development Corporation (ADC Hoddesdon, U.K.) infrared gas analyzer. Leaf conductance to water vapor (g_i), transpiration rate (E), leaf temperature, air temperature, relative humidity, and photosynthetic photon flux were determined with a LI-COR 1600M steady-state diffusion porometer on the same leaf immediately after CO₂ exchange measurements. Preliminary experiments have shown that CO₂ exchange measurements did not significantly affect subsequent determinations of H₂O vapor exchange.

Each adjuvant/species combination was replicated four times, with one replication represented in each of four blocks. Leaf gas exchange was measured on one or two leaves of each plant. Data were analyzed as a 4 × 4 factorial (studies 1 and 2) or 5 × 4 factorial (study 3) by SAS (Cary, N.C.). When a significant treatment effect occurred, treatment means were compared to the control by Dunnett’s *t* test.

Phytotoxicity symptoms did not occur as a result of any adjuvant spray on any species. The first application of Nu-Film-17, Plyac, and Triton B-1956 did not significantly affect A, g_i, or E of any plant species (Table 2). Species differences in leaf gas exchange were highly significant. Net CO₂ assimilation rate, g_i, and E were highest for pecan, intermediate for photinia, and lowest for blueberry and azalea in this and the two studies to follow. The second application of the same compounds resulted in a significant adjuvant effect (*P* < 0.022) on A when all species were combined. When species were analyzed separately, the only significant effect was that the A of Plyac-treated blueberries was less than the control. No significant

Received for publication 15 May 1987. Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Journal Series no. 8685. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper therefore must be hereby marked *advertisement* solely to indicate this fact.

Table 1. Trade name, chemical name, adjuvant classification, and rates of application of spray adjuvants used in this study.

| Trade name | Chemical name [major ingredient(s)] | Adjuvant classification | Concn (ml·liter ⁻¹) |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Bond (Loveland Industries Inc.) | Synthetic latex, primary aliphatic oxyalkylated alcohol | Sticker/extender/deposition agent | 1.88 |
| Leaf Act 80A (Pure-Gro Co.) | Alkyl polyoxyethylene glycols, modified phthalic glycerol, alkyl resins and isopropanol | Spreader/activator | 0.78 |
| Nu-Film-17 (Miller Chemical & Fertilizer Co) | Pinolene (di-l-p-menthene) | Spreader/extender (antitranspirant) | 0.39 |
| Ortho X-77 (Chevron Chemical Co.) | Alkylaryl polyoxyethylene, glycols, free fatty acids, isopropanol | Spreader/activator/extender | 0.47 |
| Penetrator 3 (Helena Chemical Co.) | Paraffin base petroleum oil, polyol fatty acid esters and polyethoxylated derivative | Penetrant/activator | 2.50 |
| Plyac (Hopkins Chemical Co.) | Oxidized polyethylene and octyl phenoxy polyethoxy ethanol | Spreader-sticker | 0.23 |
| Sorba Spray ZNP (Leffingwell, Uniroyal Chem, Co) | Alkylbenzenesulfonates, phosphoric acid metallic sulfates and chlorides | Spreader/buffer activator/nutrient | 1.88 |
| Sunspray 7B Oil (Sun Oil Co.) | | Vegetable oil | 2.00 |
| Triton CS-7 (Rohm & Haas) | Alkyl aryl polyethoxylated and sodium salt of alkylsulfonated alkylate | Spreader-binder | 1.88 |
| Triton B-1956 (Rohm & Haas) | Thalestrol (phthalic glycerol alky resin) | Spreader-sticker | 0.23 |

Table 2. Effect of Nu Film-17, Triton B-1956, and water on net CO₂ assimilation rate (A), leaf conductance to water vapor (g_i), and transpiration rate (E) of pecan, blueberry, photinia, and azalea. Measurements were conducted 2 days after first spray and 1 day after second spray. Photosynthetic flux density and leaf temperature were 1543 ± 209 μmol·s⁻¹·m⁻² and 30.3 ± 1.6°C, respectively, during the first measurements and 1678 ± 145 μmol·s⁻¹·m⁻² and 30.4 ± 1.8°, respectively, during the second measurements.

| Adjuvant | A (μmol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²) | | | | g _i (mmol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²) | | | | E (mmol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²) | | | |
|---|--|-----------|----------|--------|---|-----------|----------|--------|--|-----------|----------|--------|
| | Pecan | Blueberry | Photinia | Azalea | Pecan | Blueberry | Photinia | Azalea | Pecan | Blueberry | Photinia | Azalea |
| First Spray | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nu-Film-17 | 11.0 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 219 | 105 | 190 | 119 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.1 |
| Plyac | 13.3 | 6.7 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 235 | 124 | 180 | 139 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.2 |
| Triton B-1956 | 11.6 | 6.3 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 256 | 116 | 200 | 150 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 |
| Water | 12.8 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 6.0 | 299 | 128 | 206 | 99 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.0 |
| Main effects ² | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjuvant | | | NS | | | | NS | | | | NS | |
| Species | | ** | | | | | ** | | | | ** | |
| Interactive effects ² | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjuvant × species | | NS | | | | NS | | | | NS | | |
| Second Spray | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nu-Film-17 | 12.8 | 6.0 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 261 | 130 | 223 | 219 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Plyac | 13.1 | 5.1 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 294 | 79 | 273 | 220 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 |
| Triton B-1956 | 12.7 | 6.3 | 10.1 | 6.5 | 327 | 119 | 245 | 187 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 |
| Water | 15.5 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 6.4 | 372 | 143 | 248 | 204 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| Dunnett's <i>t</i> test (5%) ³ | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.6 | | | | | | | | |
| Main effects ² | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjuvant | | | * | | | | NS | | | | NS | |
| Species | | ** | | | | | ** | | | | ** | |
| Interactive effect ² | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjuvant × species | | NS | | | | NS | | | | NS | | |

²Significance based on F values.³Dunnett's *t* test included with significant adjuvant effect.*, **, NS Significant at *P* = 5% or 1% or not significant, respectively.

adjuvant effect occurred when blueberry was omitted from the factorial analysis. Adjuvants did not significantly affect g_i or E on either sampling date.

A single spray of Bond, Ortho X-77, or Triton CS-7 had no significant effect on leaf gas exchange (Table 3). Net CO₂ assimilation rate, g_i, and E were uniform within a species. Similarly, there was no adjuvant effect when Leaf Act 80A, Penetrator 3, Sorba Spray ZNP, Sun Spray 7E, and water were compared in the third study (Table 4).

The adjuvants chosen in this study were manufactured to enhance the effectiveness of insecticides, fungicides, and herbicides by improving pesticide coverage, retention, solubility and/or wettability, and penetration (14,

16). A single application at recommended rates did not affect leaf gas exchange (Tables 2–4). The only significant adjuvant effect occurred for the second application in the first study where A of Nu-Film-17-treated or Plyac-treated pecan, blueberry, and photinia was somewhat less than the control (Table 2). Vapor Gard, an antitranspirant containing the same active ingredient as Nu-Film-17 (pinolene), has been shown to reduce g_i and E of blueberry significantly when sprayed at antitranspirant concentrations [1.5% or 2.5% (v/v)] (1). However, Nu-Film-17, when applied at recommended adjuvant concentrations, did not have an effect on blueberry or the three other plant species (Table 2). We are unable to explain the inhibitory effect of

Plyac on blueberry. Given the slight margin of significance, it is indeed possible that a Type II error has occurred, i.e., the null hypothesis may have been rejected erroneously in this case.

There was little suggestion of any effect of the remaining adjuvants on leaf gas exchange. Ferree et al. (5) measured net photosynthesis of apple 7 days posttreatment with Ortho X-77, Nu-Film-17, Triton B-1956, and Triton CS-7, Ortho X-100, Nu-Film-P, and Regulaid. They found that Ortho X-100 reduced and Triton CS-7 increased A, with the other compounds having no significant effect. Our results with materials in common concur with the work on apple (5), except that Triton CS-7 did not have an effect on A

Table 3. Effect of Bond, Ortho x-77, Triton CS-7, and water on net CO₂ assimilation rate (A), leaf conductance to water vapor (g_i), and transpiration rate (E) of pecan, blueberry, photinia, and azalea. Measurements were conducted 3 days after spray application under a photosynthetic photon flux density of 1686 ± 209 μmol·s⁻¹·m⁻² and leaf temperature of 32.0° ± 0.9°C.

| Adjuvant | A (μmol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²) | | | | g _i (mmol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²) | | | | E (mmol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²) | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------|----------|--------|---|-----------|----------|--------|--|-----------|----------|--------|
| | Pecan | Blueberry | Photinia | Azalea | Pecan | Blueberry | Photinia | Azalea | Pecan | Blueberry | Photinia | Azalea |
| Bond | 10.9 | 6.5 | 9.4 | 5.0 | 297 | 141 | 234 | 159 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 3.2 |
| Ortho X-77 | 12.3 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 5.5 | 300 | 142 | 225 | 136 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 2.7 |
| Triton CS-7 | 11.9 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 343 | 128 | 231 | 153 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| Water | 12.5 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 5.5 | 343 | 160 | 211 | 144 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 2.9 |
| Main effects ^a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjuvant | | | NS | | | | NS | | | | NS | |
| Species | | | ** | | | | ** | | | | ** | |
| Interactive effect ^z | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjuvant × species | | | NS | | | | NS | | | | NS | |

^aSignificance based on F values.

^zNSSignificant at P = 1% or not significant, respectively.

Table 4. Effect of Leaf Act 80, Penetrator 3, Sorba Spray ZNP, Sun Spray 7E, and water on net CO₂ assimilation rate (A), leaf conductance to water vapor (g_i), and transpiration rate (E) of pecan, blueberry, photinia, and azalea. Measurements were conducted 3 days after spray application under a photon flux density of 1697 ± 480 μmol·s⁻¹·m⁻² and leaf temperature of 28.8° ± 1.1°C.

| Adjuvant | A (μmol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²) | | | | g _i (mmol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²) | | | | E (mmol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²) | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------|----------|--------|---|-----------|----------|--------|--|-----------|----------|--------|
| | Pecan | Blueberry | Photinia | Azalea | Pecan | Blueberry | Photinia | Azalea | Pecan | Blueberry | Photinia | Azalea |
| Leaf Act 80A | 11.0 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 5.9 | 231 | 76 | 147 | 130 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Penetrator 3 | 12.9 | 5.1 | 8.5 | 4.7 | 216 | 111 | 206 | 78 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 1.5 |
| Sorba Spray ZNP | 9.1 | 4.8 | 8.5 | 6.7 | 215 | 82 | 165 | 131 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 |
| Sun Spray 7E | 9.5 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 192 | 115 | 144 | 144 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| Water | 9.8 | 6.6 | 9.8 | 6.8 | 199 | 91 | 221 | 116 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 2.2 |
| Main effects ^a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjuvant | | | NS | | | | NS | | | | NS | |
| Species | | | ** | | | | ** | | | | ** | |
| Interactive effect ^z | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjuvant × species | | | NS | | | | NS | | | | NS | |

^aSignificance based on F values.

^zNSSignificant at P = 1% or not significant, respectively.

of pecan, blueberry, photinia, or azalea. Petroleum oil (70 sec) inhibited A of apple (3, 5), but petroleum-based Penetrator-3 had no effect in the present study. We cannot rule out the possibility that adjuvants may have had a transient effect on leaf gas exchange immediately after application (10), with recovery occurring prior to measurements.

A relatively high proportion of insecticides/fungicides previously tested have had a negative effect on A (3, 6, 8, 13, 17, 18). For instance, a single application of 17 out of 19 insecticides, and fungicides tested significantly reduced A of pecan (17, 18), yet a single application of 10 adjuvants had no effect on leaf gas exchange in this study. Therefore, the active ingredient often may be responsible for pesticide-induced reductions in A, rather than carriers or other additives at recommended concentrations. One exception is petroleum oil, often used as an adjuvant or an insecticide, which has consistently been reported to depress A (2, 5, 15, 18).

Many pesticides and adjuvants are applied repeatedly over a season. Therefore, additional work is required to determine the effect of multiple applications of adjuvants alone and in combination with various pesticides.

Literature Cited

1. Andersen, P.C., D.W. Buchanan, and L.G.

- Albrigo. 1979. Antitranspirant effects on water relations and fruit growth of rabbiteye blueberry. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 104:378-383.
2. Andersen, P.C., R.F. Mizell, W.J. French, and J.H. Aldrich. 1986. Effects of multiple applications of pesticides on leaf gas exchange of peach. *HortScience* 21:508-510.
3. Ayers, J.C., Jr., and J.A. Barden. 1975. Net photosynthesis and dark respiration of apple leaves as affected by pesticides. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 100:24-28.
4. Dean H.A. and J.C. Bailey. 1963. Responses of grapefruit trees to various spray oil fractions. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 56:547-551.
5. Ferree, D.C., F.R. Hall, and R.A. Spotts. 1976. Influence of spray adjuvants and multiple applications of benomyl and oil on photosynthesis of apple leaves. *HortScience* 11:391-392.
6. Heinicke, D.R. and J.W. Foott. 1966. The effect of several phosphate insecticides on photosynthesis of Red Delicious apple leaves. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 46:589-591.
7. Jones, V.P. and M.P. Parrella. 1984. The effects of selected pesticides on photosynthetic rates of chrysanthemums, p. 4-9. In: S.L. Poe (ed.). *Proc 4th Annu. Ind. Conf. on the Leafminer*. Soc. Amer. Florists, Alexandria, Va.
8. La Pre, L.F., F.V. Sances, N.C. Toscano, E.R. Oatman, V. Voth, and M.W. Johnson. 1982. The effects of acaricides on the physiology, growth, and yield of strawberry. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 75:616-619.
9. Murphy, L.M. 1939. The effect of certain fungicides on the photosynthetic activity of sour cherry leaves. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 37:375-378.
10. Noga, G. and M.J. Bukovac. 1986. Impact of surfactants on fruit quality of 'Schattenmorelle' sour cherries and 'Golden Delicious' apples. *Acta Hort.* 179:771-778.
11. Spotts, R.A., F.R. Hall, and D.C. Ferree. 1975. Yield reduction in apples related to benomyl oil spray applications. *Plant Dis.* 59:541-543.
12. Taschenberg, E.F. and N. Shaulis. 1955. Effects of DDT-Bordeaux sprays and fertilizer programs on the growth and yield of Concord grapes. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 66:201-208.
13. Toscano, N.C., F.V. Sances, M.W. Johnson, and L.F. La Pre. 1982. Effect of various pesticides on lettuce physiology and yield. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 75:738-741.
14. Thomson, L.A. 1986. A guide to Agricultural spray adjuvants used in the United States. Thomson Publ. Fresno, Calif.
15. Wedding, R., L. Riehl, and W. Rhoads. 1952. Effect of petroleum oil spray on photosynthesis and respiration in citrus leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 27:269-278.
16. Whitore, T.E. 1986. Spray adjuvants are management tools. *J. Arboricult.* 12:57-65.
17. Wood, B., T. Gottwald, and J. Payne. 1984. Influence of single applications of fungicides on net photosynthesis of pecan. *Plant Dis.* 68:427-428.
18. Wood, B. and J. Payne. 1984. Influence of single applications of insecticides on net photosynthesis of pecan. *HortScience* 19:265-266.