Table 1. Data sets available in climatic data base.

Temperature	Wind
Normal maximum	Average speed
Normal minimum	Average direction
Average Record maximum Year of occurrence Record minimum Year of occurrence	<b>Relative humidity</b> Morning R.H. Afternoon R.H. Evening R.H.
Degree days Heating D.D. Cooling D.D. Precipitation Normal Monthly maximum Year of occurrence Monthly minimum Year of occurrence	Miscellaneous Possible sunshine (5) Thunderstorm days Days below 0°C (32°F) Days above 32°C (90°F) Miscellaneous (includes parameters relating to yearly totals or seasonal averages)

is a weather data base. The subroutine has been developed, but has yet to be incorporated into the main body of the program. It allows access to climatic information from National Weather Service (NWS), first-order weather stations (2). These stations correspond to major cities within each state. The data is stored on a disk and includes information on temperature, winds, solar radia-

tion, heating and cooling degree days, precipitation, and various other parameters. Most of the climatic information needed to produce an environmentally sound design is included in this single source. As well as enabling the user to access and view the data during the drafting session, provisions have been made for incorporation of the wind and solar data directly into the climatic analysis phase of the design process. With the data, design decisions dependent upon climatic information can be enhanced over conventional methods currently available. Inputs from the climatic data base are made using keyboard and joystick inputs. Data sets contained in the weather data base program are listed in Table 1.

# Conclusions

Certain tasks can be made easier and less expensive by the use of interactive graphics (1). Interactive graphics offers a tool for exploring alternative solutions to problems in landscape design. Different combinations may be tried quickly by utilizing the natural ability of the human eye to absorb information contained in graphic representation. This technique enables the user to spend more time and energy on the creative aspects of design.

This technology is a first step toward integrating 2 areas: landscape design and mi-

crocomputer graphics. Future ramifications of its use are difficult to anticipate due to changes in graphics hardware by computer manufactures. This project, however, may be applied presently to the needs of students in landscape design, enabling them to focus on design principles. Landscape designers and nurserymen may use it to develop designs with more variety. Researchers may use such a design process to test better use of landscape materials, aesthetic and environmental factors of designs, and methods of teaching landscape design.

### Literature Cited

- 1. Davison, J.A. 1978. Rucay's cost-effective drafting for the building industry. Third Intl. Conf. and Exhibition on Computers in Engineering. Building Design. IPC SCI and Technol. Press Ltd. Guildford, England, p. 654-777.
- 2. National Oceanigraphic and Atmospheric Administration. 1980. Local climatological data: annual summary with comparative data. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Newman, W.M. and R.F. Sproul. 1979. Prin-3 ciples of interactive computer graphics. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Rogers, G. 1980. Computer graphics and ar-4. chitecture. School of Architecture, Federal Inst. of Technol., Zurich, Switzerland.

# Nomenclature of the Cultivated Apple

S.S. Korban<sup>1</sup> and R.M. Skirvin<sup>2</sup>

Department of Horticulture, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

Additional index words. classification, taxonomy

A historical analysis of apple nomenclature leads to the conclusion that the legitimate epithet for the cultivated apple is Malus Xdomestica Borkh.

The scientific nomenclature of the cultivated apple has been a constant problem for the horticulturists and botanists (12). The origins of the cultivated apple are lost in antiquity but date back 2900 years to the days of Homer (11). It was well-known to the ancient Greeks and Theophrastus (23) in the 3rd century B.C. refers to various cultivars. It was spread later throughout Europe and to Asia by the Romans (10). Since many of the apple species intercross freely, it seems likely

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor.



S.S. Korban (right) and R.M. Skirvin

that cultivated apples evolved from both interspecific and intraspecific crosses. The uncertainty of knowing the original species of the cultivated apple is reflected in the several names presently found in the literature. These include Pyrus malus L., Malus pumila Mill., M. sylvestris Mill., M. domestica Borkh., and M. malus Britt.

Present apple-breeding programs have incorporated genes from diverse taxonomic backgrounds into their new introductions, as it is the case in the Purdue-Rutgers-Illinois cooperative breeding program, the rootstocks of the USSR, and the People's Republic of China selections. The purpose of this article is to unravel the sources of the different names used and to identify the best-suited nomenclature based upon past and present status of the cultivated apple.

#### **Apple nomenclature**

Linnaeus in his Species Plantarum in 1753 (14), joined the pear, apple, and quince to-

- B. Malus puinta, you performed and performance and peri
- Epirotica
- ζ. Poma orbiculata. Rueil. firp. Habisat in Europa. 5
- Fig. 1. Linnaeus' description of Pyrus malus in his 1753 edition of Species Plantarum (14). (Courtesy of the Library of the Univ. of Illinois.)

Received for publication 2 July 1983. The authors thank A.G. Otterbacher, Dept. of Horticulture at the Univ. of Illinois, for his advice and help. We thank the Special Collections Dept. at the Univ. of Chicago for supplying us with materials of old works, and the personnel at the Rare Book Room of the Library of the Univ. of Illinois for their valuable assistance. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper therefore must be hereby marked advertisement solely to indicate this fact.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor.

PYRUS folis ferraris, nomis bali concasis, Hore, cliff, Malas, 180. Hore, apr. 120. H. mee. 402. Allas, med. 237. Row, Ingdo. 200. Hall. bets. 381. Malus fivelitis. Back one. 433. Ded. pemot. 700. Streftris, B. Malus punna, que potus truice quari arbor. bank. produnce 2. Allas punna. que potus truice quari arbor. bank. produnce parte de la concentration de la conce

The Species are ;

1. MALUS frivefiris, acido fruitu

albe. Tourn. The Crab-tree. 2. MALUS sylvestris, foliis ex

albe eleganter variegatis. Cat. Plant. Hort. The Crab-tree with ftriped Leaves.

3. MALUS fylvefris Virginiana, floribus edoratis. Gat. Plant. Hort. Virginian Crab-tree, with fweet Flowers.

4. MALUS frudifera, flore fuaci. H. R. Par. The Fig-apple. 5. MALUS pumila, qua potins frutex, quam arbor, fructu rubente & candido. C. B. P. The Paradifeapple.

6. MALUS sativa, foliis eleganter variegatis. Cat. Plant. Hort. Apple-tree with firiped Leaves.

Fig. 2. Philip Miller's classification of Malus species in the 2nd ed. of the Gardener's Dictionary in 1740 (15). (Courtesy of the Library of the Univ. of Illinois' Rare Book Room.)

gether under the genus Pyrus. He named the common apple Pyrus Malus and listed underneath it several botanical varieties. These include Malus sylvestris,  $\beta$  M. paradisaca,  $\gamma M$ . prasomila,  $\delta M$ . rubelliana,  $\epsilon M$ . cestiana, and  $\xi M$ . epirotica (Fig. 1). The last 5 forms marked by the Greek letters indicate the cultivated varieties of the common apple.

MALUS. The Apple-tree.

The CHARACTERS are, The CHARACTERS are, The emplement of the flower is of one leaf, cut into five fegments. The flower couffils of five leaves, which ex-pand in form of a Rofe, whole tails are infected into it e emplement. The fruit is hollowed about the foot-floke, is for the most part roundify, and undeflated or the top, it is flefby, and divided into five cells or partitions, in each of which is lodged one oblong field. Dr. Linnaus has joined the Pear, Apple, and Quipee for which making them all of the tune count and together, making them all of the fame genus, and has reduced all the varieties of each to one fpecies. The Apple he diffinguifhes by the title of Pyrus folias ferratis, pomis bati concavis. Hort, Cliff, i. e. Perr with fawed leaves, and the Apple hollow at the long. But where the fruit is admitted as a diffinguithing four where the true is the Apple flouid be leparated from the Pear, this diffinction being founded in na-ture, for thefe fruits will not take by budding or grafting upon each other, though it be performed with the utmoft care. Indeed I have formetimes fucceeded to far, as to have the bud or grait of an Apple fhoot when grafted on a Pear, but they foon decayed, notwithftanding all pofible care was taken of them; therefore I fhall beg leave to continue the feparation of the Apple from the Pear, as hath been always practified by the botanitts before his time.

The Species are,

- In Greens and Strength of the second seco monly called Crab.
- MALUS (Coronaria) foliis ferrato angulofis. Apple with angular faceal leaves. Malus tylectbris Virginia-na, floribus odoratis, Cat. Hort. Wild Crab of 1 inginia, with a freet-feented flower.
- 3. MALUS (Pumila) foliis ovatis ferratis, caule feuticofo. Apple with oval fawed leaves and a thrubby flath. Malus pumila quæ potiùs frutex quam arbor. C. B. P. 433. Dwarf Apple, which is rather a flowb than a tree, com-monly called Paradife Apple.
- Fig. 3. Miller's description of the apple in the 8th ed. of the Gardener's Dictionary in 1768 (16). (Courtesy of the Library of the Univ. of Illinois' Rare Book Room.)

P. Malus sylvestris, which is not preceded by a Greek letter, is considered to be the wild form of the common apple (24). Linnaeus based his description of sylvestris and paradisaca on Bauhin's M. sylvestris and M. pumila, respectively (1).

Philip Miller (15) distinguished the apple from the pear based on the fact that these 2 fruit trees cannot be propagated onto one another, and chose Malus as the generic name for the apple (15). Since then, the specific epithet for the cultivated apple has undergone repeated changes. Miller listed 6 species of Malus using polynomial descriptions (Fig. 2) in his 2nd edition of the Gardener's Dictionary in 1740 (15): 1) M. sylvestris, crab tree, 2) M. sylvestris, crab tree with striped leaves, 3) M. sylvestris virginana, Virginia crab tree, 4) M. fructifera, fig-apple, 5) M. pumila, paradise apple, and 6) M. sativa, apple-tree with striped leaves. Miller discussed the apple tree in detail in the 2nd volume of this edition and listed the different varieties of apples that were propagated for "the kitchen, the dessert, or to make cyder'' (15). However, he never mentioned the species to which these cultivated apples belong in either volume. In the 8th edition of the Gardener's Dictionary in 1768, Miller used the binomial system for classification for the first time and listed only 3 species (Fig. 3): 1) M. svlvestris, crab, 2) M. coronaria, wild crab of Virginia, and 3) M. pumila, paradise apple (16). All 3 species were described by Miller as best suited for use as rootstocks. M. pumila in particular was described as a distinct species having weak branches that was more of a shrub rather than a tree. Again, Miller made no mention of the species to which cultivated apples belong.

This omission led pomologists to make their own choices for names for the cultivated apple. Since M. sylvestris and M. pumila commonly were used in earlier botanical descriptions, both had popular support. M. pumila was favored by systematists, who argued that M. sylvestris (the tall, glabrous, woodland form) had less to do with the origin of the cultivated apple (24). Van Eseltine (24) eloquently argued that *M. pumila* Mill., which is synonomous to P. M. paradisaca L. and M. pumila Bauhin, is a shrub that might have been involved in the evolution of the cultivated apple but it is not equivalent to Linnaeus' P. malus.

In a posthumous publication of the Gardener's and Botanist's Dictionary by Miller in 1807 (17) (arranged and corrected by Thomas Martyn, a Professor of Botany at Cambridge), the common apple is listed as P. malus, underneath which is the name of the cultivated apple tree referred to as the variety  $\beta$  *M*. sativa (Fig. 4). This publication might have reflected the position taken by later pomologists of the 19th and 20th century who preferred using Linnaeus' P. malus to any other name (29).

We maintain the separation of the apple from the genus Pyrus for several reasons which include graft incompatibility, breeding behavior, flower odor and morphology, and phenolic constituents (5, 12). These distinc4. Pyrus Malus. Common Apple Tree.

yrus (viaus. Common App? I ree. Lin. fpec. 686. fyl. 466. Reich. 501. Willd. 1017. arb. 261. mat. med. 127. bort. cliff. 189. up/. 130. fl. fuec. n. 437. Hudf. angl. 216. Wither. arr. ed. 3. 462. Smith. brit. 531. engl. bot. 1. 179. Light, fect. 128. Kib. cant.
 m. 361. Sibbb. oxon. n. 452. Hall. betv. n.
 1097. Politich pal. n. 478. Scop. carn. n. 599.
 Hoffm. germ. 173. Roth. germ. 1. 215. 2. 549.
 Krock. jild, n. 761. Fillars dauph. 3. 544.
 Du Roi barbece. 222. Blackw. 178. Pienck,

- ic. 394. Sorbus Malus. Crantz, auftr. 93. Malus fylvestris. Mill. dift. n. 1. Baub. pin. 443. Ger. 1276. emac. 1461. Park. theat. 1503. 2. Raii bist. 1488. fyn. 452.
- Kall off. 1406. fm. 452.
  Crab Tree or Wilding.
  β. Malus fativa. The cultivated Apple Tree.
  Baub. bif. 1. 1. Ded. pempi. 789. Raii bif.
  1445. fm. 451. Mill. iliufir. Blackw. 141.
  Malus. Park. Ibeat. 1503. 1. parad. 586. Ger.
  1273, 1274. emac. 1459.
  - Leaves ovate-obiong acuminate ferrate smooth, umbels fimple sessive clasus of the corolia shorter than the calyx, Styles Smooth.
- Fig. 4. Taxonomical classification of the apple in Philip Miller's Gardener's and Botanist's Dictionary, arranged and corrected by Thomas Martyn in 1807 after Miller's death (17). (Courtesy of the Library of the Univ. of Illinois' Rare Book Room.)

tions are supported further by the biochemical studies of Challice and Williams (6). Thus, 2 acceptable names based on Malus are found in the literature: M. communis of Poiret in 1804 (13) (Fig. 5) and M. malus Britt. in 1897 (3) (Fig. 6). Both are equivalent to P. malus L., although the latter is ruled out according to the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature which forbids duplicate binomials (22).

M. communis would have been a satisfactory name, but in 1803, one year earlier, Borkhausen described the cultivated apple and proposed the name M. domestica (2) (Fig. 7). Thus, according to Article 29 of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (22), M. domestica is the first valid published name

## Espèces.

## 1. POMMIER commun. Malus communis.

Mulus umbellis fessilibus; foliis ovato-oblongis, acuminatis, ferratis, glabris; unguibus calice brevioribus, ftylis glabris. Aiton. Hort. Kew. vol. 2. pag. 175. – Willden. Arb. 261. & Spec. Plant. vol. 2. pag. 1017. nº. 9. - Lam. Illuitr. Gen. tab. 435. f.

Pyrus foliis ferratis, umbellis fessilibus. Linn. Spec. Plant. vol. 1. pag. 686. - Miller. Dict. nº. 1. & Jiluftr. Jc. - Pollich. Pal. 1.º. 4-8. - Duroy.

Harlk. pag. 222. - Scop. Carn. nº. 599 - Hofm Germ. 173. - Roth. Germ. I. 215. 11. 549.-Lam. Flor. franç. vol. 3. pag. 491. 1. 1. 1689. IV. - Desl. Flor. atl. vol. 1. pag. 398.

Le pommier est un arbre d'une movenne grandeur, dont les branches sont etalees, & les rameaux garnis de feuilies pétiolees, eparies ou par bouquets, ovales, un peu aigues à leur tommet, légerement dentees à leurs bords, un peu veilles en deffous, d'un vert sombre. Ses fleurs sont tresagreables, d'un blanc melé de role, & difpolees en une forte d'ombelle feffile : elles font rempiacées par des truits arrondis, charnus, fuccuiens, tres-acerbes dans l'etat lauvage, mais qui varient à l'infini dans leur forme & leur faveur par la culture.

Fig. 5. Poiret's classification and description of the apple in Lamarck's Encyclopedie Methodique Botanique in 1804 (13). (Courtesy of the Library of the Univ. of Illinois.)

for the cultivated apple and should supersede all names published thereafter. The "domesticated" apple, according to Borkhausen, originated from the wood apple, *M. sylvestris*, the hairy-leaved wild apple, *M. dasyphyllus*, and John's apple, *M. praecox*. Borkhausen's *sylvestris* is synonomous to that of Miller and to Linnaeus' *P. M. sylvestris*; *M. dasyphyllus* is synonomous to a sweetfruited *M. sylvestris* described by Dillenius in 1709; and *M. praecox* is synomomous to Linnaeus' *P. M. paradisaca* and *M. pumila* of Bauhin (2).

Although earlier pomologists and systematists denied the significant role of M. sylvestris in the development of the cultivated apple (24), others disagree (3, 19, 20, 29).

Thus, to accept Borkhausen's theory on the origin of the cultivated apple is to admit that it is a hybrid. Rehder (20) agrees that *M. sylvestris* Mill., *M. prunifolia* Borkh., and *M. baccata* Borkh. are involved to some degree. Breeding programs in recent years have incorporated genes for disease resistance from *M. floribunda* Sieb., *M. micromalus* Mak., *M. atrosanguinea* Schneid., *M. baccata jackii* Rehd., *M. sargenti* Rehd., and others (28).

#### **Biochemical and cytological evidence**

Williams' extensive biochemical investigations (25, 26, 27) demonstrated the presence of a distinct glucoside compound which occurs only in 4 of the 25 *Malus* species listed by Rehder (25): 1) *M. floribunda* Sieb., 2) *M. zumi* Rehd., 3) *M. sargenti* Rehd., and 4) *M. sieboldii* Rehd. This compound also has been observed in all hybrids of these 4 species (25, 26).

Although the majority of the cultivated apples are functional diploids (2n = 34), several studies have suggested that they are complex polyploids. Darlington and Moffett

(7) concluded that the basic chromosome set of x = 17 is derived from the ancestral basic chromosome number of x = 7 which is common in Rosaceae. Sax (21) proposed the theory of amphidiploid origin of Pomoideae, where these are derived from remote ancestral types having x = 8 and x = 9 chromosomes; these are thought to correspond to the genera Prunoideae and Spiraeoideae, respectively (5). Derman (8) supported Sax's theory and stated that only a mixture of diverse characters of species of 2 or more distinct genera could account for the vast taxonomic differences in the characters of Pomoideae and those of other Rosaceae forms.

The cytological work presented above does not presently have a bearing on the generic name of the apple, since according to Articles H.8.1 and H.9.1 of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (22), the names of the ancestral genera have to be published validly, yet the investigations of Darlington and Moffett (7), Sax (21), and Derman (8) indicate that several species are involved in the origin of the cultivated apple. This is supported further by the extensive cytological studies conducted by Nebel in 1929 (18), where no sufficient evidence is found to place the cultivated apple under the name of any specific species. This further suggests that cultivated apples are a result of interspecific hybridization. This means that the binomial M. domestica Borkh. has to be corrected according to Article H.3 of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (22), and Articles 9.b and 15 of the supplementary International Code of Nomenclature for Cultivated Plants (9) where a multiplication sign (X) should be placed between the genus and species epithets. Article 15 (9) reads "The botanical name designating first and subsequent generations of an interspecific cross consists of the generic name followed by a Latin collective epithet, the latter immediately preceded by the multiplication sign,



# **5. Malus Màlus** (L.) Britton. Apple. (Fig. 1982.)

Pyrus Malus L. Sp. Pl. 479. 1753. Malus sylvestris Mill. Gard. Dict. Ed. 8, no. 1. 1768-

A large tree with spreading branches, the trunk sometimes reaching a diameter of  $3^{\circ}$  in cultivation. Leaves petioled, broadly ovate or oval, obtuse or abruptly pointed at the apex, rounded or slightly cordate at the base 1'-3' long, dentate or nearly entire, glabrous or nearly so above, pubescent and often woolly beneath, especially when young; pedicels generally tomentose, 1'-2'long; flowers pink, or white,  $1\frac{1}{2}'-3'$  broad; calyx tomentose; fruit depressed-globose or elongated, hollowed at the base,  $1\frac{1}{2}'-3'$  in diameter.

In woods and thickets, frequent in southern New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania. Our common apple, introduced from Europe and escaped from cultivation. Native also of western Asia. Wood hard, reddish brown; weight per cubic foot 50 lbs. April-May.

Fig. 6. Britton's nomenclature and description of the apple in 1897 in his *Illustrated Flora of the* Northern U.S. (3). (Courtesy of the Library of the Univ. of Illinois.)

X... The Latin collective epithet of an interspecific hybrid is not affected when the botanical name of either parent is changed for nomenclatural reasons."

Therefore, regardless of the number of species involved in the continuous hybridization, the binomial for the cultivated apples should read *Malus Xdomestica* Borkh.

# 459) Bahmer Apfel, Malus domestica.

Dornlos, mit eiförmig - långlichen, jugespiktens, jågesäbnigen unten mehr oder weniger filsiger Blätterny übrigens. wie beim holsapfeli

Pyrus Malus Linn. Malus domestica auct. Bahmer Apfelbaum.

Ob Die mannigfaltigen Sorten von fultivirten Lepfeln, welche, in Große, Ceftalt, Barbe, Bee fchmad und Beruch, besgleichen in bem Duchs ber Baume und ber Beit ber Fruchtreife, fo febr von ein. ander abmeichen, von einer ober mehreren Stammar. ten abitammien, barüber ift fcon febr viel geffritten worden, ohne bag man noch ein gemifics Refultat erhalten hatte. Es ift bier ber Drt nicht , uber biefen Craenftand ins Detail ju geben, nur meine eigene Deinung will ich furilich anführen. 356 glaube baf Die brei pothergebenben Lofclarten, ber holgapfel, ber haarblattrige wilde Apfel, und ber Johannieapfel, Die Stammeltern fammtlicher fulfivirter Apfelforten und gmar biefer ber fußen Fruhapfel, jene beiden aber ber übrigen Ripfelforten fino, und baf mannigfache Rufturfunfte, besgleichen Rlima, tage und Boben nebft entern nicht ober weniger gunftigen mitmitenten Urfachen, mancheilei Barietaten erjeugt haben, burdy Deren Baftarbbefruchtung unter fich abermals mehrere Barietaten entftanben finb, welche jum Theil fchleche tet, jum Theil beffer, als Die Btammeltern gewordene fo nie beren noch taglich burch bie Ausfaat; unter um fern Mugen entfleben;

Fig. 7. Borkhausen's name for the apple and its origin as printed in 1803 (2). His description of the 'Domesticated Apple' may be translated "Thornless, with egg-shaped, elongated, pointed, saw-toothed leaves, which are more or less nappy underneath, otherwise like the wood apple . . . Whether the manifold varieties of cultivated apples, which differ from one another in size, shape, color, taste, and smell as well as in the growth of the tree and the time of fruit ripening, derive from one or several basic stocks, has been argued much already without providing a certain result yet. This is not the place to go into detail on this subject, but I would like to share my opinion briefly. I believe that the preceding apple varieties, the wood apple, the hairy-leaved wild apple, and John's apple are the progenitors of all cultivated apple varieties; the latter of the sweet early apples and the two former of the remaining variety of apples, and that varied arts of cultivation, likewise climate, location and soil alongside more or less favorably collaborating causes produced all sorts of varieties which by cross hybrid fertilization among themselves again produced several varieties, which in part turned out better and in part worse than their progenitors, just as under our own eyes further varieties still arise daily from seeding." (Courtesy of the Library of the Univ. of Chicago's Special Collections.)

### Literature Cited

- 1. Bauhin, C. 1671. Theatri botanici [.] Basileae.
- 2. Borkhausen, M.B. 1803. Theoretisch-praktisches handbuch der forstbotanik und forsttechnologie. 2nd volume. Gfheyer, Giessen & Darmstadt.
- 3. Britton, N. and A. Brown. 1879. Illustrated flora of the northern U.S. 2:236.
- Brown, A.G. 1975. Apples, p. 3–37. In: J. Janick and J.N. Moore (eds.). Advances in fruit breeding. Purdue Univ. Press, West Lafayette, Ind.
- Challice, J.S. and M.N. Westwood. 1973. Numerical taxonomic studies of the genus Pyrus using both chemical and botanical characters. Bot. J. Linnaen Soc. 67:121–148.
- Challice, J.S. and A.H. Williams. 1970. A comparative biochemical study of phenolase specificity in *Malus*, *Pyrus* and other plants. Phytochemistry 9:1261–1269.
- Darlington, C.D. and A.A. Moffett. 1930. Primary and secondary chromosome balance in *Pyrus*. J. Gen. 22:129–151.
- Derman, H. 1949. Are the pomes amphidiploid? A note on the origin of the Pomoideae. J. Hered. 40:221–222.
- Gilmour, J.S., F.R. Horne, E.L. Little, Jr., F.A. Stafleu, and R.H. Richens (eds.). 1969. International code of nomenclature of culti-

vated plants. Regnum vegetabile Vol. 64. Intl. Bur. for Plant Taxonomy and Nomenclature, Utrecht, Netherlands.

- 10. Hall, A.D., Sir and M.B. Crane. 1933. The apple. Martin Hopkins Ltd., London.
- Homerus. 1950. The odyssey. Translated by S.H. Butcher and A. Lang. Modern Library, New York.
- 12. Janick, J. 1976. The apple-pear pickle. Horticulture 54(9):20–21.
- Lamarck, J.B. 1804. Encyclopedie methodique botanique. Continued by J.L.M. Poiret. Vol. 5. Paris.
- 14. Linnaeus, C. von. 1753. Species plantarum. Vol. 1. Ed. 11. Berlin.
- 15. Miller, P. 1740. Gardener's dictionary [.] 2nd ed. C. Rivington, London.
- Miller, P. 1768. Gardener's dictionary [.] 8th ed. J. and F. Rivington, London.
   Miller, P. 1807. Gardener's dictionary [.].
- Miller, P. 1807. Gardener's dictionary [.]. Arr. by T. Martyn. Rivington, London.
- Nebel, B.R. 1930. Recent findings in cytology of fruits (cytology of Pyrus III). Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 27:406–410.
- 19. Paskevich, V.V. 1929. The present state of the question as to the origin of the multifariousness of wild and cultivated forms of the apple tree. Bul. Appl. Bot. 223:553.
- 20. Rehder, A. 1940. Manual of cultivated trees and shrubs. 2nd ed. MacMillan, New York.

- Sax, K. 1931. The origin and relationship of the Pomoideae. J. Arnold Arboretum 12:3– 22.
- Stafleu, F.A., V. Demoulin, W. Greuter, P. Hiepko, I.A. Linczevski, R. McVaugh, R.D. Meikle, R.C. Rollins, R. Voss, J.M. Schopf, and E.G. Voss (eds.). 1978. International code of botanical nomenclature. Regnum vegetabile. Vol. 97, Bohn, Schetema & Hokema, Netherlands.
- 23. Theophrastus. 1916. Enquiry into plants. Translated by Sir Arthur Hort. Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Van Eseltine, G.P. 1930. The taxonomic status of the cultivated apple. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 27:430–434.
- 25. Williams, A.H. 1956. A new dihydrochalkone from *Malus* species. Chem & Ind. 1306.
- Williams, A.H. 1961. Dihydrochalcones of Malus species. J. Chem. Soc. (London). 4133-4136.
- Williams, A.H. 1972. Plant constituents: Malus species. Long Ashton Res. Sta. Rpt. for 1971. p. 76–78.
- Williams, E.B. and J. Kuc. 1969. Resistance in *Malus* to *Venturia inaequalis*. Annu. Rev. Phytopath. 7:223–246.
- 29. Zielinski, Q.B. 1955. Modern systematic pomology. W.C. Brown, Iowa.

