Evaluation of Avocado Germplasm Using Microsatellite Markers

R.J. Schnell, J.S. Brown, C.T. Olano, E.J. Power, and C.A. Krol

National Germplasm Repository, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, 13601 Old Cutler Road, Miami, FL 33158

D.N. Kuhn

Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199

J.C. Motamayor

Masterfoods Inc., U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, 13601 Old Cutler Road, Miami, FL 33158

ADDITIONAL INDEX WORDS. *Persea americana*, horticultural races, simple sequence repeat, molecular marker, genetic diversity, germplasm collection management

ABSTRACT. Three horticultural races of avocado (*Persea americana* Mill.) are known: Guatemalan, Mexican, and West Indian. Each race has unique characteristics and current commercial varieties have been selected from within the races or from interracial hybrids. Using 14 microsatellite loci we investigated the genetic variation among 224 accessions (394 plants) maintained at the National Germplasm Repository (NGR) in Miami, Fla., and a set of 34 clones from the University of California South Coast Field Station (SCFS) located in Irvine, Calif. The 14 microsatellite loci had an average of 18.8 alleles per locus and average unbiased genetic diversity was 0.83. The total propagation error in the collection, i.e., plants that had been incorrectly labeled or grafted, was estimated to be 7.0%. Although many unique alleles did exist, no useful race-specific markers were found. A general concordance between the horticultural race and the clusters obtained from molecular data was observed. Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCA) grouped the Guatemalan and Mexican races into two distinct clusters. The West Indian also grouped into a unique major cluster but with an outlying group. Using the PCA a change in the racial designation or interracial hybrid status for 50 accessions (19.7%) is proposed. The unbiased gene diversity estimate was highest in the Mexican and Guatemalan races and lower in the West Indian group. This demonstrates the need to collect more of the West Indian germplasm to broaden the genetic diversity and to emphasize the identification of individuals conferring resistance to *Phytophthora* Root Rot (PRR).

The avocado (*Persea americana* Mill.) is an evergreen subtropical tree that is native from Mexico to northern South America and produces a fruit that is unique and nutritious. This fruit was known by the Aztecs as *ahuacacuahuitl*, which was later shortened by the Spaniards to *aguacate*. In the United States avocado was introduced into Florida in 1833, California in 1848 and to Hawaii by 1855 (Nakasone and Paull, 1998). Major commercial production of avocado in the United States is limited to California and Florida. In 2000, global production exceeded 2.4 MMT and the major producers were Mexico, Indonesia, South Africa and the United States (Anonymous, 2001).

P. americana has been subdivided into three horticultural groups: Mexican [*P. americana* var. *drymifolia* (Schecht. & Cham.) Blake], Guatemalan (*P. americana* var. *guatemalensis* Wms.) and West Indian (*P. americana* var. *americana* Mill.) races. The West Indian race is known to be from the lowland areas of the Pacific coast of Central America and not the West Indies, while the Guatemalan and Mexican races are native to specific highland areas in each country (Scora and Bergh, 1992). The collection at the NGR-Miami contains 224 accessions with all three races represented, as well as hybrids between them. The earliest introductions were collected by Wilson Popenoe in Guatemala in the 1920s, and the newest introductions were collected by Avraham Ben-Ya'acov throughout Central and South America during the 1990s (Ben-Ya'acov, 1995; Popenoe,

1920). The University of California collection at the South Coast Field Station (SCFS) in Irvine contains a large number of accessions and breeding lines mostly of the Mexican and Guatemalan races and mixed interracial hybrids. These two collections contain a comprehensive representation of the genetic diversity currently in avocado germplasm collections.

The three racial groups can be distinguished by the percentage oil content in the fruit with the West Indian cultivars ranging from 2.5% to 8.0%, Guatemalan accessions from 10% to 13%, and the Mexican accessions ranging from 15% to 20% (Knight, 2002). The racial classes also vary phenotypically for characters such as fruit size and shape, skin thickness, skin color, seed size, and fruit ripening (Lahav and Lavi, 2002). Avocado is a diploid with $2n = 24$ (Garcia, 1975) and sterility barriers do not exist between or among the three racial types (Lahav and Lavi, 2002). Avocado has a distinct flowering habit known as protogynous, diurnally synchronous dichogamy (Bergh, 1969). This type of reproductive behavior promotes outcrossing; however, significant amounts of self-pollination are known to occur in commercial plantings (Davenport et al., 1994). Named cultivars often originate from open-pollinated seedlings. The pollen parent is unknown but has often been estimated based on the flower types of available donor trees. Many of the cultivars grown in Florida are interracial hybrids between Guatemalan and West Indian types while those grown in California are hybrids between Mexican and Guatemalan types (R. Knight, personal communication). Morphological characters have been used to infer parentage, although these characters are influenced by environmental factors and may not unambiguously distinguish closely related genotypes or interracial hybrids.

Received for publication 19 Dec. 2002. Accepted for publication 11 July 2003. The authors thank R. Krueger, National Germplasm Repository for Citrus and Dates and D. Stottlemeyer of the UC-Riverside for collecting and sending the avocado tissue from the South Coast Field Station.

Table 1. Listing of the 254 Avocado accessions evaluated with microsatellite markers by racial lineages, interracial hybrid groups, and changes in lineages based on the microsatellite analysis.

Cultivar	Race	MIA	Source	Cultivar	Race	MIA	Source	Cultivar	Race	MIA	Source	Cultivar	Race	MIA	Source
Abel	Guatemalan	654	SHRS	Seedless Mexican	Mexican	2684	SHRS	Future Popocate	G&M	24542	SHRS	Previously labeled as Mx.W.	Guatemalan	34861	SHRS
Androm	Guatemalan		SCFS	Stewart	Mexican		SCFS	Neim	G&M	2692	SHRS	Guifred Seedling 1	West Indian	SHRS	
Buckeye Late	Guatemalan	22618	SHRS	Trunpo	Mexican	638	SHRS	Neim	G&M	24088	SHRS	Guifred Seedling 2	West Indian	SHRS	
Cashel	Guatemalan		SCFS	Tolte	Mexican	14768	SHRS	Nodulin	G&M	24083	SHRS	Guifred Seedling 3	West Indian	SHRS	
Dreguayquin #7	Guatemalan	34962	SHRS	Tona Topa	Mexican		SCFS	Pikelet	G&M	31113	SHRS	Guifred Seedling 4	West Indian	SHRS	
Gordo	Guatemalan		SCFS	Young No.1	Mexican	20728	SHRS	Shurwil	G&M	23332	SHRS	Previously labeled as G&M	West Indian	19247	SHRS
Green Gold	Guatemalan		SCFS	Young No.2	Mexican	20729	SHRS	White Mexican	G&M	17690	SHRS				
HX73	Guatemalan		SCFS	Young Stiphan	Mexican	21330	SHRS	Agnes	G&M	18260	SHRS	Previously labeled as Mexican.	West Indian	17114	SHRS
Ishim	Guatemalan	657	SHRS	Young Special	Mexican	21331	SHRS	Borrego	G&M	17250	SHRS	Itemama Seedling 1	G&M		
J241	Guatemalan		SCFS	Zuano	Mexican		SCFS	Chiquette	G&M	12580	SHRS	Brooksville Seedling 2	Complex hybrid	24905	SHRS
J112	Guatemalan		SCFS	Argueta	West Indian	34858	SHRS	Colifred Seedling B1	G&M	22683	SHRS	Brooksville Seedling 3	Complex hybrid	25836	SHRS
Julia	Guatemalan		SCFS	Argueta	West Indian	21895	SHRS	Colifred Seedling B2	G&M	6689	SHRS	Guifred	Complex hybrid	632	SHRS
Kayab	Guatemalan	660	SHRS	Argueta	West Indian	34894	SHRS	Colifred Seedling B3	G&M		SHRS	Marimar de Monte de Oro a	Complex hybrid		
L278	Guatemalan		SCFS	Ave Seedling 1	West Indian	25422	SHRS	Colifred	G&M		SHRS	Previously labeled as West Indian.			
L117	Guatemalan		SCFS	Avocadon 3	West Indian	34868	SHRS	Colifred	G&M	400	SHRS	Aracataca	Guatemalan		
Lamat	Guatemalan	646	SHRS	Ayocot Red No. 3	West Indian	20022	SHRS	Durietan	G&M	3896	SHRS	Ortizana 3	Guatemalan	34861	SHRS
MS9	Guatemalan		SCFS	Ayocot Red No. 19	West Indian	20021	SHRS	Grapiña 12a	G&M	24223	SHRS	Ortizana 3	Mexican	24551	SHRS
NA231	Guatemalan		SCFS	Beliz	West Indian	20023	SHRS	Grapiña 12b	G&M	24223	SHRS	Ortizana 3	Mexican	34864	SHRS
Nabal	Guatemalan	656	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian	24244	SHRS	Huwassee	G&M	26063	SHRS	Bisopne	G&M	26512	SHRS
Nabal Seedling 1	Guatemalan	20713	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian	3335	SHRS	Lula	G&M	7828	SHRS	Novillo	G&M	34860	SHRS
Nabal Seedling 2	Guatemalan		SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	19770	SHRS	Previously labeled as Guatemalan.			
Nimlich a	Guatemalan	32160	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	14405	SHRS	Apacha 1	Mexican	35007	SHRS
Nimlich b	Guatemalan		SCFS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	19852	SHRS	Key Largo	Mx.WI	22121	SHRS
NN10	Guatemalan		SCFS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	24224	SHRS	Key Largo	Mexican	650	SHRS
P.L.C. 9615	Guatemalan	35008	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	24225	SHRS	Key Largo	West Indian	2374	SHRS
PT77	Guatemalan		SCFS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	24225	SHRS	Key Largo	West Indian	20034	SHRS
Queen 8	Guatemalan	20722	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	17253	SHRS	Key Largo	Mx.WI	21135	SHRS
Queen 8	Guatemalan		SCFS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	19847	SHRS	Key Largo	Mx.WI	2897	SHRS
Reed	Guatemalan		SCFS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	19768	SHRS	Key Largo	Mx.WI	20028	SHRS
Taylor	Guatemalan	1862	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	3889	SHRS	Key Largo	Mx.WI	35730a	SHRS
Williams	Guatemalan		SCFS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	3888	SHRS	Key Largo	Mx.WI	35730b	SHRS
XX3	Guatemalan		SCFS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	18261	SHRS	Key Largo	Mx.WI	35730c	SHRS
(Ave X Karam)	Mexican	21110	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	23154	SHRS	Previously labeled as G&M.			
Adico No. 1	Mexican	4043	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	25404	SHRS	Sito del Nino #5	Guatemalan	34961	SHRS
Bacon	Mexican	20709	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	25865	SHRS	Sito del Nino #5	West Indian	19767	SHRS
Brooksville Seedling 1	Mexican	24427	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	25867	SHRS	Sito del Nino #5	West Indian	23343	SHRS
Cape	Mexican	22339	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	25868	SHRS	Sito del Nino #5	West Indian	19769	SHRS
Cari	Mexican	641	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	25870	SHRS	Previously labeled as Complex hybrid.			
Celery c-25	Mexican	635	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	26924	SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	20712	SHRS
China	Mexican	28875	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	30506	SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	1276	SHRS
Del Oro #2	Mexican	18106	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	30507	SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	25874	SHRS
Duke	Mexican	17461	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	30508	SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	25874	SHRS
Duke Seedling	Mexican	17468	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M	30509	SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	25874	SHRS
Eggs	Mexican	640	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M		SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	25874	SHRS
España 1	Mexican	34966	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M		SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	25874	SHRS
Guifred	Mexican	18431	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M		SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	25874	SHRS
Guifred Seedling	Mexican	17459	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M		SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	25874	SHRS
Guifred Seedling	Mexican	24544	SHRS	Brecker	West Indian		SHRS	Melender 2	G&M		SHRS	Marineine	West Indian	25874	SHRS
 	

Molecular markers are often used to clarify genetic relationships between individuals. In avocado germplasm evaluated using minisatellite markers, racial pattern differences were observed and fingerprinting of 26 cultivars was accomplished (Lavi et al., 1991). Mhameed et al. (1997) used variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) markers to evaluate genetic relationships among 24 avocado cultivars that had been classified using morphological traits. The VNTR data supported the racial classification for most of the accessions. In another study, RAPD markers were used to evaluate 16 accessions representing the three races. Race-specific markers were identified and the similarity between races ranged from 53% to 58% (Fiedler et al., 1998). Similarly, Davis et al. (1998) used Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) to evaluate a set of 36 cultivars from the University of California SCFS and their results were in agreement with the previous studies.

Microsatellite markers have been developed for avocado and utilized to produce a crude linkage map (Sharon et al., 1997). These markers have distinct advantages over the other types of molecular markers used to classify avocado germplasm. Their advantages include their abundance in most genomes, uniform distribution, hypervariability, codominance, and PCR-based protocols. Even though the genetic diversity analysis from the aforementioned studies sampled a small number of accessions, they have adequately demonstrated the usefulness of molecular markers in the classification of avocado germplasm.

Our objectives were to estimate genetic diversity within the large collection maintained at the USDA, NGR in Miami and a subset of the collection maintained at the SCFS by the University of California using microsatellite markers. Genetic diversity within

and between populations of avocado was of interest, particularly within the West Indian race that is known to have genes involved with tolerance to phytophthora root rot (PRR). Another objective was to clarify the anecdotal information on parentage of mixed race hybrids and seedling selections from commercial cultivars. Since all plants (clones) of a given accession were genotyped, we also investigated the fidelity of germplasm propagation within accessions, as clonal collections are known to contain identical accessions with different names and mixtures of genotypes with the same name (Schnell et al., 1999).

Materials and Methods

PLANT MATERIALS. Leaf material was sampled from the *Persea* germplasm collection at the NGR in Miami, Fla., and from the SCFS, University of California, Irvine, Calif. The number of plants genotyped for this study was 428. This included 254 accessions, 224 from the NGR-Miami and 34 from the University of California SCFS at Irvine with four cultivars common to both collections. Of the 224 accessions at the NGR, 104 accessions were represented by multiple plants. These 274 plants include 54 accessions with two, 37 accessions with three, 10 accessions with four, and three accessions with five plants.

In total, individuals of the following backgrounds were studied: 51 Mexican, 35 Guatemalan, and 65 West Indian, 100 interracial hybrids, and three related species. Hybrids were designated as follows: Complex (CH), Guatemalan x West Indian (G x W), Guatemalan x Mexican (G x M), and Mexican x West Indian (M x W). The out-group consisted of two accessions of *P. nubigena* and one accession of *P. schiedeana* (Table 1).

Table 2. Microsatellite loci and primers used in the analysis of the avocado germplasm collections developed by Sharon et al. (1997).

Locus	Repeat	Primers (5'-3')	Annealing temp (°C)	Alleles (no.)	Size range (bp)	Diversity [$\bar{H} \pm V(\bar{H})$]
AVAG05	(AG) ₁₀	GGATCTTGATGTGTGGGGGAG CCTGTCGGAAAAGACTATGCG	50	19	83–125	0.7906 (0.0394)
AVAG03	(TC) ₁₇	GCACTTCCTAAACTTGCAGGT CTGAACATCCAATGACAAACATCC	45	14	92–122	0.8414 (0.0551)
AVAG25	(TC) ₁₄	ATGGTTTTTCTCCTGCCCTTT AACAAGCCCCCTAAAAGAA	50	20	96–140	0.8640 (0.0596)
AVAG13	(CT) ₁₈	CTGCGATAACAACCTGGAC AACTAGGACCTGAAACCG	50	29	96–160	0.9150 (0.0912)
AVAG11	(AG) ₂₀	AGCGATGAACATTACCA ATTTCTTCAACCCATCTGTC	50	15	105–161	0.7553 (0.0388)
AVMIX03	(TG) ₁₆ , (AG) ₂₀	GATATTCCTGTTGTCACTGC GATATTCCTGTTGTCACTGC	50	23	139–196	0.8912 (0.0718)
AVAG21	(CT) ₂₂	TGTAAGTTTTAACCCACAA AATCACTATTAGAGTTTTCAGTCG	50	30	153–219	0.8911 (0.0729)
AVAG07	(TC) ₁₅	ATCCAAAATGCACAAGGTGAGG TGTCGCTATGTCCAAAATGTGG	50	8	98–114	0.6524 (0.2801)
AVMIX04	(AG) ₁₂ , (CAA) ₅ , (ACAG) ₁₀	CCGTTTGCTTCCCTGTATC GTTATCCCTTCCACTTTC	50	19	158–194	0.8922 (0.0750)
AVAC01	(TG) ₁₅	CTGGTTGCTCTCTGTCTACATAATA CGGTTTTGTAAAGTTGATAG	40	16	95–185	0.8627 (0.0597)
AVMIX02	(TC) ₆ , (TCC) ₄	GAGTCACGCTCGTAGGCT TATAAATTCAAATGACAC	40	10	147–135	0.7335 (0.0363)
AVAG06	(CT) ₁₈	CGACCTCTTCTTATACTC GTACCTCTGATAATGAGCAT	40	15	59–89	0.8625 (0.0629)
AVAG10	(CT) ₂₂	GAATTACAAAGCACTAGAG GTAGAAAGTGGGCACACAT	45	30	149–234	0.8695 (0.0607)
AVAG22	(GA) ₁₅	GATCATCAAGTCCTCCTTGG GATCTCATAGTCCAAATAATGC	55	16	96–130	0.8206 (0.0597)

DNA extraction was performed on 200 mg samples of leaf tissue using the Fast DNA kit (BIO 101, Inc.; Carlsbad, Calif.) and a cell disrupter (FastPrep FP 120; Savant Instruments, Inc.; Holbrook, N.Y.). The kit protocol for plant tissue was followed including the optional SPIN protocol. Tissue was homogenized using the Garnet Matrix and two ¼-inch spheres as the Lysing Matrix combination, at speed 5 for 30 s, repeated three times. DNA was quantified on a spectrophotometer (DynaQuant 200; Amersham Pharmacia; Piscataway, Calif.).

MICROSATELLITE MARKERS AND PCR AMPLIFICATION. The microsatellite markers used in this study were reported by Sharon et al. (1997). Initially, 39 primer pairs were tested of which 14 were selected based on amplification consistency and level of polymorphism. Microsatellite locus name and primer sequence are listed in Table 2. PCR amplification reactions were carried out in a total volume of 10 µL, or 20 µL for multiplex reactions, containing 0.25 ng·µL⁻¹ genomic DNA. All PCR reactions contained 0.025 U/µL AmpliTaq (Applied Biosystems, Inc.; Foster City, Calif.), 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.25 µM each forward and reverse primers, 1× GeneAmp PCR buffer (1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH8.3, 50 mM KCl, 0.001% (w/v) gelatin). Thermal cycling profile consisted of the following: 4 min denaturation at 94 °C; followed by 33 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, 1 min at appropriate annealing temperature for each primer (Table 2), 1 min extension at 72 °C; with a final 7 min 72 °C extension. PCR was carried out on a DNA Engine tetrad thermal cycler (MJ Research, Inc.; Watertown, Mass.). The following PCR multiplex reaction combinations were used: AVAG11 and AVMIX03, AVAG21 and AVAG07, and AVMIX02 and AVAG06, all other primer pairs were run individually.

ELECTROPHORESIS. Capillary electrophoresis (CE) was performed on a genetic analyzer (ABI Prism 3100; Applied Biosystems, Inc.) using Performance Optimized Polymer 4 (POP 4, Applied Biosystems, Inc.). Samples were prepared immediately before electrophoresis by adding 1 µL of PCR product to 12 µL of deionized formamide and 0.1 µL of GeneScan 500 ROX size standard (Applied Biosystems, Inc.), then denatured at 95 °C for 5 min, and chilled on ice. PCR products and size standards were doubled for preparation of multiplex PCR amplifications. Samples were injected electrokinetically at 3 kV for 10 s and were run at 15 kV and 60 °C for 25 min. Resulting data were analyzed with GeneScan 3.7 (Applied Biosystems, Inc.) for internal standard and fragment size determination. Allelic designations were ascertained using Genotyper 3.7 (Applied Biosystems, Inc.).

DATA ANALYSIS. Gene diversity values for each locus and averages across all loci for the three races and interracial groups were calculated using Nei's (1987) unbiased estimate $\hat{H} = n(1 - \sum p_i^2/n - 1)$, where n = number of individuals sampled, p_i is the frequency of the i th allele. The variance of this statistic was calculated as $V(\hat{H}) = 2/[n(n-2)(\sum p_i^3 - (\sum p_i^2)^2/n) + \sum p_i^2 - (\sum p_i^2)^2/n]$.

Unbiased gene diversity (H_{nb}) and observed heterozygosity (H_{obs}) were estimated from the allele frequencies of the Guatemalan, Mexican, and West Indian populations as well as CH, G x M, G x W, M x W, and the *Persea* spp. group, at each locus using GENETIX ver. 4.0 (Montpellier, France).

The principal coordinate analyses (PCA; Sokal and Rohlf, 1998) were performed on subsets of the data using the SAS System for Windows ver. 8.0 (SAS Institute; Cary, N.C.) with modified Rogers' distance (Wright, 1978). Due to the number of taxa and resulting difficulty in visualizing individuals in the 3-D PCA, the Guatemalan, Mexican, and West Indian populations were analyzed together first. This was followed by the analysis of the other interracial hybrid groups, one at a time, to determine where

they clustered in relation to the three primary populations.

The relationships between the populations were also represented using a phenetic tree constructed from allele frequencies averaged over populations, using the Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards (1967) chord distance and the neighbor joining method (NJ; Saitou and Nei, 1987). Statistical analysis was accomplished by testing for similarities in allele frequencies using genotypic counts between groups as suggested by Weir (1996). Chi-square analysis of the contingency tables was generated using the Proc. Freq procedure with Monte Carlo simulation for estimates of exact P values and 1000 iterations. The Monte Carlo option was used due to the size of the data set and the computational resources required by the analysis (SAS, 1999).

Results

LEVEL OF POLYMORPHISM. The 14 microsatellite loci were highly polymorphic. The number of alleles varied from eight (AVAG07) to 30 (AVAG21), with an average of 18.8 alleles per locus and the average gene diversity was 0.83 (0.65 to 0.91) (Table 2). Eleven of the 14 microsatellites were composed of simple dinucleotide repeat motifs. Seven of these gave amplification products differing by either two bases or multiples of two for each allele. An example of the allelic diversity is given in Fig. 1 for locus AVAG06 showing 8 of the 15 alleles detected at this locus in eight accessions including *P. nubigena*. AVAG13 had two of 29 alleles that were not multimers of the repeat unit, while AVAG21 contained nine of 30 alleles that differed by a single base. Additionally, AVAG10 and AVAG11 generated two of 29 and three of 15 alleles, respectively, that were not multimers of the repeat unit. Two of the three microsatellite

Fig. 1. Allele sizes in base pairs detected at the AVAG-06 microsatellite locus in eight *Persea* accessions illustrating eight alleles using the computer program Genotyper ver 4.0. The major peak in each electropherogram is the PCR amplified allele at this locus.

Table 3. Allele size for each locus and comparison of Ettinger and Pinkerton for allele sizes at the 14 microsatellite loci.

Allele	AVAG05	AVAG03	AVAG25	AVAG13	AVAG11	AVMIX03	AVAG21	AVAG07	AVMIX04	AVACO1	AVMIX02	AVAG06	AVAG10	AVAG22
1	83	92	96	96	105	139	153	---	158	95	147	59	149	96
2	85	94	98	98	107	141	167	98	160	99	149	63	174	98
3	87	96	100	100	109	143	171	100	162	103	153	65	176	100
4	89	98	102	102	113	145	173	102	164	105	155	67	178	102
5	91	100	104	104	115	147	175	104	166	107	157	69	180	104
6	93	102	106	106	116	151	177	106	168	109	159	71	182	106
7	95	104	108	108	118	152	179	108	170	111	163	73	184	108
8	97	106	110	110	125	154	180	112	172	113	165	75	185	110
9	99	108	112	112	129	156	181	114	174	115	167	77	186	112
10	101	110	114	114	131	158	183		176	117	185	79	187	114
11	103	112	118	116	132	160	186		178	119		81	188	116
12	105	114	120	118	133	162	187		180	121		83	190	118
13	107	116	122	120	137	164	188		182	123		85	192	120
14	109	122	124	122	147	166	189		184	127		87	194	122
15	111		128	126	161	168	190		186	131		89	196	124
16	113		130	128		170	191		188	135			298	130
17	119		134	130		172	193		190				200	
18	121		136	132		174	194		192				202	
19	125		138	134		178	195		194				204	
20			140	136		182	196						206	
21				138		190	198						208	
22				141		192	199						210	
23				143		196	201						216	
24				146			202						218	
25				148			203						220	
26				152			204						222	
27				154			207						224	
28				158			209						228	
29				160			215						230	
30							219						234	
Allele size														
Ettinger(Akko Expt. Station)														
	95/99	96	100	134/140	109/115	146/147	172/184	108/120	164/170	113/117	153/171	81/87	153	103/117
Ettinger (NGR-Miami)														
	87/95	94	102	122/128	109/161	143/172	167/190	100/112	162/170	109/113	157/167	77/83	190	100/114
Pinkerton(Akko Exp. Station)														
	95/99	98/106	106/110	130	107	146/170	192/200	110/113	180	117	153/171	77/85	149/153	117
Pinkerton (NGR-Miami)														
	89/91	96/102	104/106	118	107	143/166	181/198	102/106	180	NA ^a	149/167	69/81	149/186	114

^aNA = no amplification.

loci that contained mixed repeat motifs gave amplification products differing by two bases or multiples of two for each allele.

ACCESSION IDENTIFICATION. Of the 104 accessions with duplicate plants, 85 (82%) had identical allelic configurations over all loci. Nonidentical allelic configurations usually resulted when one of three or four trees was not the same genotype. Many of these off-type plants had been previously detected based on phenotypic differences and the molecular data confirmed the misidentification. The 104 duplicated accessions are represented by 274 plants, only 19 of which were genotypically different from their sibling clones. The rate of error associated with propagation of the avocado collection is estimated to be 7.0%. Of the four cultivars common to both the NGR and SCFS, three were identical between collections, 'Bacon', 'Ettinger', and 'Mexicola'. 'Nimlih' differed at 12 of the 14 loci. The cultivars 'Ettinger' and 'Pinkerton' were used in the study by Sharon et al. (1997) where the microsatellite markers were developed. These two cultivars were also included in our study. The comparison of allele sizes for 'Pinkerton' produced very similar fragment sizes, ours being on average 4.5 bp smaller, with the exception of alleles at two loci. For locus AVAG10 Sharon et al. (1997) reported allele sizes of 149 and 153 bp, whereas our alleles are 149 and 190 bp. Another difference occurred at locus AVACO1 where we obtained no amplification products, whereas Sharon et al. (1997) reported one amplification product at 117 bp. The comparison of allele sizes for 'Ettinger' also produced very similar fragment sizes, ours being on average 4.8 bp smaller, with the exception of alleles at six loci that were on average 20 bp larger. For example, the largest difference occurred at locus AVAG11 where Sharon et al. (1997) reported allele sizes of 109 bp and 115 bp, whereas our

amplification products were 109 bp and 161 bp (Table 3).

GENETIC DIFFERENTIATION BETWEEN HORTICULTURAL RACES AND RELATEDNESS OF CULTIVARS. The PCA supports the grouping for the West Indian, Guatemalan, and Mexican races as illustrated in Fig. 2A where only the individuals within each race were analyzed. The PCA was able to summarize 29.7% of the total variability onto the three axes shown in this plot (18.3%, 6.4%, and 5.0%, respectively, for Prin1, Prin2, and Prin3). The PCA based on gene frequency suggested that the race of some accessions was incorrectly assigned. Six of the West Indian accessions did not group with the West Indian cluster. Two of these six, 'General Francisco Robles' and 'Orizaba 6' are clearly Mexican; both contained estragole in their leaves. Two others, 'Avocatosa' and 'Orizaba 3' clustered with the Guatemalan group while 'Biscayne' is most likely a M x W hybrid and 'Novillero' is most likely a G x M hybrid. Based on the PCA, 12 of the Guatemalan accessions clustered in problematic areas. 'Tehtoh' is clearly a West Indian accession, 'La Piscina' is Mexican and nine of the other accessions are mixed racial hybrids, 'Collins Seedling 2', 'Collins', 'MIA35730a', 'MIA35730b', 'MIA35730c', and 'Key Largo' all are M x W hybrids while 'Dickinson' and 'Lima Late' are G x W hybrids. 'PIC9651' clustered in the Mexican accessions; however it was listed as a Guatemalan accession (Ben-Ya'acov, 1995) and does not contain estragole in the leaves. Based on the PCA, five of the Mexican accessions clustered in problematic areas. 'Brooksville Seedling 2' and 'Brooksville Seedling 3' seem to be West Indian or West Indians hybrids while 'Gottfried' and 'Miramar de Monte de Oro' seem to be CH. 'Itzamna Seedling 1' clustered as a G x W. These changes are illustrated in Fig. 2A where the original racial designations are indicated with different symbols and the putatively misidentified accessions are labeled. In

Fig. 2. Principal coordinate analysis (PCA) for the microsatellite evaluation of the avocado germplasm. ◆ Guatemalan accessions, ♣ Mexican accessions, Δ West Indian accessions. (A) PCA for the Guatemalan, Mexican, and West Indian avocado accessions based on 14 microsatellite loci and illustrating incorrect population classification within each racial group, correct classification in parenthesis. This plot contains 29.7% of the total variability, 18.3% for the first principal coordinate axis, 6.4% for the second, and 5.0% for the third. Symbols (◆, ♣, Δ) indicate original classification. (B) PCA for the Guatemalan, Mexican, West Indian races, and the G x W hybrids. Plot contains 27.9% of the total variability, 17.4% for the first principal coordinate axis, 5.9% for the second, and 4.6% for the third; □ = G x W. (C) PCA for the Guatemalan, Mexican, West Indian races, and the G x M hybrids. Plot contains 29.5% of the total variability, 20.1% for the first principal coordinate axis, 5.1% for the second, and 3.9% for the third; □ = G x M. (D) PCA for the Guatemalan, Mexican, West Indian races, and the M x W hybrids. Plot contains 29.9% of the total variability, 20.4% for the first principal coordinate axis, 5.0 % for the second, and 4.0% for the third; □ = M x W.

a similar manner, the other interracial hybrid groups were analyzed and changes made based on the PCA. All of the Unknown accessions (15) could be placed in racial or hybrid groups so they were no longer considered as a group for analysis purposes. A total of 50 accessions (19.7%) were changed based on the PCA and these changes are listed in Table 1. All further analysis, including PCA and phylogenetic, was done using this corrected dataset.

PCA for the Guatemalan, Mexican, and West Indian populations and the three interracial groups are illustrated in Fig. 2B–D. The PCA that includes the G x W hybrid grouping accounted for 28% of the total variation and the G x W accessions clustered between the two source populations (Fig. 2B). Likewise, Fig. 2C illustrates

the G x M accessions clustering between the two source populations and the PCA accounts for 29.5% of the total variation. Fig. 2D illustrates the M x W accessions again clustering between the two source populations with the PCA accounting for 29.9% of the variation. The PCA for the CH group is not illustrated but these cluster together in a different area that overlaps with the other interracial hybrids.

Significant differences were found for each racial comparison, Guatemalan vs. West Indian, Guatemalan vs. Mexican, and Mexican vs. West Indian for 13 of the 14 loci from the Chi-square analysis. Significant frequency differences were also detected for locus AVAG22 between the Guatemalan vs. West Indian and

Table 4. Chi-square values for tests of similarity of allele frequency by racial background.^a

Locus	AVAG05	AVAG03	AVAG25	AVAG13	AVAG11	AVMIX03	AVAG21	AVAG07	AVMIX04	AVAC01	AVMIX02	AVAG06	AVAG10	AVAG22
G vs. W	135.83**	84.05**	83.14**	141.18**	97.45**	114.82**	98.33**	76.20**	94.09**	105.42**	151.85**	100.66**	123.13**	20.75*
G vs. M	70.17**	29.12**	32.71**	68.74**	50.34**	68.83**	68.28**	44.15**	81.69**	44.98**	68.24**	45.36**	67.34**	14.42
M vs. W	133.65**	112.53**	120.11**	156.22**	150.15**	144.40**	149.90**	108.77**	155.91**	78.72**	183.45**	144.69**	140.98**	21.47*

^aG = Guatemalan, M = Mexican, and W = West Indian races.

**Significant at $P < 0.05$ or 0.01, respectively.

Fig. 3. Neighbor-joining tree of Guatemalan, Mexican, and West Indian races and interracial hybrids based on the Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards chord distance calculated from microsatellite data. Bootstrap percentages were computed using 1000 replications. Bootstrap values below 50% are not shown.

Mexican vs. West Indian but not for the Guatemalan vs. Mexican comparison (Table 4).

Phylogenetic analysis of the microsatellite data for the three populations and the inter-population hybrids was in agreement with the previously reported genetic relationships that separated the Guatemalan, Mexican and West Indian races (Davis et al., 1998; Fiedler et al., 1998; Mhameed et al., 1997). The NJ tree based on Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards (1967) chord distance grouped the three races into distinct clusters. The *Persea* spp. were distinct from any other group. The Guatemalan race and G x M hybrids clustered together with a high bootstrap value and the West Indian and W x G clustered together again with a high bootstrap value, all other groups had little bootstrap support (Fig. 3).

All of the groups had a high average allele number, with the exception of the M x W group that only contained eight individuals (3.57 to 13.35, Table 5). All loci were polymorphic when considered over all groups. H_{nb} was high in the Mexican (0.83) and Guatemalan populations (0.81) and lower for the West Indian group (0.61). The other groups had a narrow range 0.79 to 0.75. H_{obs} did not follow the same pattern being higher in the Guatemalan population (0.75), lower in the Mexican (0.66) and lower still in the West Indian (0.50). The M x W, G x W hybrids

and CH had a high H_{obs} , 0.87, 0.79 and 0.78 respectively, while the *Persea* spp. and G x M were similar, 0.70 (Table 5).

With the exception of the M x W hybrids, all other racial groupings contained unique alleles, 27 among the Mexican accessions, 16 in the West Indian group, seven among the Guatemalan accessions, and from one to four among the other groups (data not shown). Of these unique alleles, only three were at a frequency greater than 0.05. Two of these were found in both of the *Persea* spp. accessions (0.33 and 0.75 due to the existence of a null allele in *P. schiedeana*) and the other in the CH group (0.13). Only one allele in the Guatemalan population had a frequency greater than 0.50, allele 9 for locus AVMIX02 with a frequency of 0.71. Both the Mexican and West Indian populations contained this allele but at low frequencies of 0.17 and 0.04, respectively. The highest frequency in the Mexican population was 0.44 at locus AVAG11 for allele 2 and 0.46 at locus AVMIX02 for allele 2. The West Indian population had alleles with frequencies greater than 0.50 for eight of the loci, AVAG05 allele 6 (0.75), AVAG03 allele 6 (0.53), AVAG11 allele 2 (0.72), AVAG07 allele 3 (0.92) AVACO1 allele 5 (0.58), AVMIX02 allele 3 (0.90), AVAG06 allele 3 (0.51) and AVAG10 allele 1 (0.62). Allele 3 at AVAG07 was common to most of the West Indian accessions, 58 accessions were homozygous for this allele, six were heterozygous leaving only one accession not having this allele. This allele also occurred in the Guatemalan and Mexican populations but at lower frequencies, 0.34 and 0.28, respectively. Allele 3 at AVMIX02 also occurred in a high frequency with 36 accessions homozygous, 18 heterozygous and 11 not containing this allele. The frequency of this allele was low in the Guatemalan population (0.07) and moderate in the Mexican (0.20).

Discussion

Attributes that make microsatellites desirable as molecular markers include their hypervariability, abundance and automated experimental procedures for detection. By using an automated high throughput CE system, we were able to analyze a large number of individuals for 14 microsatellite loci. The pattern of the PCR products produced by amplification of the genomic DNA was usually simple. It was possible to distinguish the full size amplification products containing the microsatellite from the stutter products and from the +A product. High levels of microsatellite polymorphism have been attributed to two molecular mechanisms, replication slippage and unequal crossing over (Johnson et al., 1992; Messier et al., 1996). Eleven of the 14 microsatellite loci had 15 or more alleles in this study and loci AVAG21, AVAG13, and AVAG10 could be considered hypervariable with 30, 29, and 30 alleles

Table 5. Genetic variation within the three horticultural races and among hybrid populations across 14 microsatellite loci; No.= sample size; $P_{0.95}$ = proportion of polymorphic loci when most frequent allele does not exceed 95%; A = mean number of alleles per locus; H_{nb} = unbiased gene diversity (Nei, 1978); H_{obs} = observed heterozygosity. Standard deviations are indicated in parentheses.

Population	No.	$P_{0.95}$	A	H_{nb}	H_{obs}
Guatemalan	35	1.0	11.29	0.806 (0.110)	0.746 (0.136)
Mexican	51	1.0	13.35	0.830 (0.065)	0.664 (0.163)
West Indian	65	1.0	11.14	0.607 (0.226)	0.496 (0.242)
G x W ^z	32	1.0	9.93	0.770 (0.099)	0.792 (0.102)
G x M ^y	30	1.0	9.86	0.792 (0.062)	0.702 (0.174)
M x W ^x	08	1.0	6.00	0.788 (0.090)	0.866 (0.115)
Complex hybrids	30	1.0	8.79	0.752 (0.109)	0.779 (0.144)
<i>Persea</i> spp.	03	1.0	3.57	0.793 (0.134)	0.702 (0.294)

^zListed in germplasm records at Guatemalan x West Indian Hybrids.

^yListed as Guatemalan x Mexican hybrids.

^xListed as Mexican x West Indian hybrids.

detected, respectively (Table 2). When the entire 264 accessions were considered as a single population only 41 of the 256 alleles had a frequency >0.10. Only one allele had a frequency >0.50 and this was at locus AVAG07. High levels of polymorphism have been reported in other plant species. Saghai Maroof et al. (1994) detected 28 and 37 alleles at loci HVM3 and HVM4, respectively, in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) and Dow et al. (1995) found high levels of polymorphism with the number of alleles at each locus ranging from 11 to 20 in wild populations of bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*).

The initial classification of the accessions by racial or hybrid group was based on the USDA records maintained at the NGR-Miami and on the national germplasm database system, GRIN. Six of the West Indian accessions, 12 of the Guatemalan, and five of the Mexican accessions were of questionable characterization based on the molecular data. Estragole is a compound known to be produced in the Mexican race and in interracial hybrids involving Mexican parents. The compound imparts an anise-like scent in the leaves and the skin of the fruit (King and Knight, 1987). As a verification of the molecular data, the four accessions, two listed as West Indian and two listed as Guatemalan, that clustered with the Mexican group were found to contain estragole in the leaves. The accession 'Key Largo' was classified as Guatemalan but clustered at the interface of the Mexican and West Indian accessions and also contained estragole in its leaves. In contrast, the accession 'P.I.C. 9615' clustered within the Mexican accessions, yet the leaves do not contain estragole. It was classified as being of the Guatemalan race when collected and this classification was not changed (Ben-Ya'acov, 1995).

Most of the differences between fragment sizes found in the comparison of 'Ettinger' and 'Pinkerton' in this study versus that of Sharon et al. (1997) can be attributed to the increased sensitivity of the CE procedure as opposed to the use of polyacrylamide gels. Using CE we can detect differences of a single base pair in length for microsatellite fragments and the size calling is based on an internal standard placed into each sample, again giving increased confidence in accuracy of the size determination. The inability to generate amplification products for 'Pinkerton' with primers for locus AVAC01 indicates the existence of a null allele for this accession, suggesting that it is genetically different from the 'Pinkerton' accession studied by Sharon et al. (1997). We consistently detected much larger amplification products at AVAG10 (149 to 234) than were detected by Sharon et al. (1997). We are currently sequencing the alleles from AVAG10 to determine if we are amplifying the same dinucleotide repeat. Further differences between fragment sizes found in the comparison of 'Ettinger' and 'Pinkerton' in this study versus that of Sharon et al. (1997) are being investigated for other loci as well. Additionally under investigation is the basis of the existence of alleles that do not differ in size by either two bases or multiples of two bases for dinucleotide repeat microsatellites.

The Mexican, West Indian, and Guatemalan races did contain many unique alleles; however, they were all at low frequency and were not useful for predicting the horticultural race. This is in contrast to the RAPD analysis where race-specific markers were identified (Fiedler et al., 1998) and the VNTR analysis using DNA fingerprinting reported by Mhameed et al. (1997). In both of those studies a relatively small number of individuals were examined, 16 accessions in the RAPD study and 24 accessions in the VNTR study. We examined 254 accessions and did not find any useful race-specific markers and while many unique alleles did exist, the low frequency restricted their usefulness as race-specific markers.

The West Indian race does contain alleles at two loci that have frequencies greater than 90%, AVAG07 allele 3 and AVMIX02 allele 3. The absence of both of these alleles in an accession would indicate that the accession is not in the West Indian race.

Mhameed et al. (1996) found high levels of H_{obs} , 98%, among avocado cultivars using VNTR; however using microsatellite markers the H_{obs} was found to be 62%. In this study, the average H_{obs} for the Guatemalan, Mexican, and West Indian races was 0.64 or very similar to the observations of Mhameed et al. (1996). In their study overall H_{nb} was 0.56 which is lower than that found in this study where the average H_{nb} was 0.75 for the Guatemalan, Mexican, and West Indian races. H_{nb} was highest in Mexican and Guatemalan races and considerably lower in the West Indian race. The results indicate that avocado accessions of Mexican and Guatemalan races are highly heterozygous and heterogenous while those of the West Indian race are more homozygous and homogenous (Table 5). Davis et al. (1998) concluded that the West Indian and Guatemalan races were more similar to each other than either is to the Mexican race based on RFLP data. Our data suggest that each of the races is distinct and based on the Chi-square analysis significantly different from one another for all of the 14 loci surveyed. This is similar to the analysis by Fiedler et al. (1998) using RAPDs where the similarities delimited three groups of equal rank.

The selection of PRR-tolerant rootstocks has been reported and the tolerance has been identified in progeny of the West Indian race (Zilberstaine et al., 1995). Fourteen of the accessions evaluated in this study have been found to impart tolerance to PRR based on screening of maternal half-sib families (Ploetz et al., 2002). No obvious relationship became apparent within the PCA as they are scattered throughout the West Indian cluster and hence it is not likely that any of these microsatellites are associated with PRR resistance. H_{nb} was low among the West Indian population (0.61) indicating that this collection of accessions is less diverse than the Guatemalan or Mexican accessions. Since PRR is such an important problem in avocado production areas, an increased sampling effort among the West Indian types is warranted. We are currently developing a project with the Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza (CATIE) in Costa Rica to collect new West Indian accessions in selected areas of Central America.

The results presented in this study demonstrate a basic agreement between the horticultural race and the phylogenetic clusters originating from the molecular analyses. The use of the molecular data has allowed a more informed classification of avocado germplasm and will allow genetic verification of newly propagated accessions when adding them to the germplasm collection. The accessions that have been designated as possible errors or difficult to classify racial types have been entered into the GRIN system with a notation indicating that the racial designations of these accessions may need modification. Further phenotypic evaluation of the avocado germplasm collection is needed for comparison with the molecular data. This analysis demonstrates the usefulness of molecular data in the management of plant genetic resources.

Literature Cited

- Anonymous. 2001. FAOSTAT online database at <<http://www.fao.org/>>
Ben-Ya'acov, A. 1995. The Taxonomy of the Avocado: A proposed new classification of the *Persea*, Sub-Genus *persea*. Proc. World Avocado Congr. III. Tel Aviv, Israel. p. 3-ABT-7.
Bergh, B.O. 1969. Avocado (*Persea americana* Mill.), p 23–51. In: F.P.

- Ferwerda and F. Wit (eds.). Outlines of perennial crop breeding in the tropics. Misc. Paper 4 Landbouwhogeschool, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Cavalli-Sforza, L.L. and A.W.F. Edwards. 1967. Phylogenetic analysis: Models and estimation procedures. *Evolution* 32:550–570.
- Davenport, T.L., P. Parnitzki, S. Fricke, and M.S. Hughes. 1994. Evidence and significance of self-pollination of avocados in Florida. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 119:1200–1207.
- Davis, J., D. Henderson, M. Kobayashi, M.T. Clegg, and M.T. Clegg. 1998. Genealogical relationships among cultivated avocado as revealed through RFLP analysis. *J. Hered.* 89 (4):319–323.
- Dow, B.D., M.V. Ashley, and H.F. Howe. 1995. Characterization of highly variable (GA/CT)_n microsatellites in the bur oak, *Quercus macrocarpa*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 91:137–141.
- Fiedler, J., G. Bufler, and F. Bangerth. 1998. Genetic relationships of avocado (*Persea americana* Mill.) using RAPD markers. *Euphytica* 101:249–255.
- García, A.V. 1975. Cytogenetic studies in the genus *Persea* (Lauraceae). I. Karyology of seven species. *Can. J. Genet. Cytol.* 17:173–180.
- GENETIX, logiciel sous Windows™ pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Génome et Populations, CNRS UPR 9060, Université de Montpellier II, Montpellier France.
- Johnson, R.E., S.T. Henderson, T.D. Petes, S. Prakash, M. Bankman, and L. Prakash. 1992. *Sacharomyces cerevisiae* RAD5-encoded DNA repair protein contains DNA helicase and zinc-binding sequence motifs and affects the stability of simple repetitive sequences in the genome. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 12:3807–3818.
- King, J.R. and R.J. Knight. 1987. Occurrence and assay of estragole in the leaves of various avocado cultivars. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 35: 842–844.
- Knight, R.J. 2002. History, distribution and uses, p. 1–15. In: A. Whitley, B. Schaffer, and B. Wolstenholme (eds.). *The avocado, botany, production and uses*. CAB Intl., New York.
- Lahav, E. and U. Lavi. 2002. Genetics and classical breeding, p. 39–69. In: A. Whitley, B. Schaffer, and B. Wolstenholme (eds.). *The avocado, botany, production, and uses*. CAB Intl., New York.
- Lavi, U., J. Hillel, A. Vainstein, E. Lahav, and D. Sharon. 1991. Application of DNA fingerprints for identification and genetic analysis of avocado. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 116:1078–1081.
- Messier, W., S.H. Li, and C.B. Stewart. 1996. The birth of microsatellites. *Nature* 381:483.
- Mhameed, S., D. Sharon, J. Hillel, E. Lahav, D. Kaufman, and U. Lavi. 1996. Level of heterozygosity and mode of inheritance of variable number of tandem repeat loci in avocado. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 121:778–782.
- Mhameed, S., D. Sharon, D. Kaufman, E. Lahav, J. Hillel, C. Degani, and U. Lavi. 1997. Genetic relationships within avocado (*Persea Americana* Mill) cultivars and between *Persea* species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 94:279–286.
- Nakasone, H.Y. and R.E. Paull. 1998. Avocado, p. 76–102. In: *Tropical fruits*. CAB Intl., New York.
- Nei, M. 1987. *Molecular evolutionary genetics*. Columbia Univ. Press, New York.
- Ploetz, R., R.J. Schnell, and J. Haynes. 2002. Variable response of open-pollinated seedling progeny of avocado to *Phytophthora* root rot. *Phytoparasitica* 30:262–268.
- Popenoe, W.F. 1920. *Manual of tropical and subtropical fruits*. Macmillan. New York, N.Y.
- Saghai Maroof, M.A., R.M. Biyashev, G.P. Yang, Q. Zhang, and R.W. Allard. 1994. Extraordinarily polymorphic microsatellite DNA in barley: Species diversity, chromosomal location, and population dynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91:5466–5470.
- Saitou, N. and M. Nei. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4:406–425.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1999. *SAS/STAT user's guide*. version 8. vol 2. p. 1322. SAS Inst., Cary N.C.
- Sokal, R. and F.J. Rohlf. 1998. *Biometry*. 3rd ed. W.H. Freeman, New York.
- Schnell, R.J., R. Goenaga, and C.T. Olano. 1999. Genetic similarities among cocoyam cultivars based on randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis. *Scientia Hort.* 80:267–276.
- Scora, R.W. and B.O. Bergh. 1992. Origin of and taxonomic relationship within the genus *Persea*. *Proc. 2nd World Avocado Congr.* 2: 505–514.
- Sharon, D., P.B. Cregan, S. Mhameed, K. Kusharska, J. Hillel, E. Lahav, and U. Lavi. 1997. An integrated genetic linkage map of avocado. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 95:911–921.
- Weir, B.S. 1996. Intraspecific differentiation, p. 391. In: D.M. Hillis, C. Moritz, and B.K. Mable (eds.). *Molecular systematics*. 2nd ed. Sinauer Assoc., Inc. Sunderland, Mass.
- Wright, S. 1978. *Evolution and the genetics of populations*. vol. 4. Variability within and among natural populations. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Zilberstaine, M., A. Ben-Ya'acov, and I. Sela. 1995. Selection of avocado rootstocks resistant to root-rot in Israel - update report. *Abstr. Book I. Proc. World Avocado Congr. III* (Tel Aviv, Israel). p. 51.