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**Abstract.** Activity of β-galactosidase II (EC 3.2.1.23), which can hydrolyze β-galactan from tomato cell walls, increased markedly during ripening of ‘Roma’ and ‘Rutgers’ tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum Mill*). Activity of two other β-galactosidase isozymes, incapable of galactan hydrolysis, was present in green fruit and remained unchanged throughout ripening. β-Galactosidase II activity was not detectable in green fruit of either cultivar, appearing first at the breaker stage of ‘Roma’ fruit and not until the pink stage of ‘Rutgers’ fruit. Consistent with this, galactose loss from Na$_2$CO$_3$-soluble pectin (NSP) was detectable at an earlier stage in ‘Roma’ vs. ‘Rutgers’ fruit. A greater decline in NSP galactose was evident in ‘Roma’ fruit compared to ‘Rutgers’ fruit, in keeping with the higher levels and longer period of β-galactosidase II expression in the former. Significant galactose loss from *trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane- N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid-soluble pectin, in contrast, was not seen until the last stage of ripening. These results indicate that the long-reported, net galactosyl loss from the cell walls of ripening tomatoes correlates with β-galactosidase II activity. Nonetheless, the observation that softening commenced before β-galactosidase II activity or galactose loss was detectable suggests some other basis for the earliest stages of ripening-related fruit softening in tomato.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material.** Field-grown ‘Roma’ and ‘Rutgers’ tomatoes were harvested at six ripeness stages (U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1976)—mature green, breaker, turning, pink, light red, and red. Percarp tissue, cut into segments of about 1 cm$^3$, was used directly for enzyme extraction, while portions for cell wall analysis were stored at –20C until used.

Using a Magness-Taylor pressure tester with 6.9-mm tip, fruit firmness was measured at three locations along the equatorial diameter of three representative fruit of both cultivars and all six ripeness stages. Based on the previous work of Kader et al. (1978), skin removal was deemed unnecessary.

**β-Galactosidase II extraction and assay.** Triplicate 100-g samples of pericarp tissue from all six ripeness stages of both cultivars were each homogenized in 100 ml of 1 m NaCl using a Polytron, and the pH was adjusted to 6. Homogenates were stirred for 30 rein, centrifuged at 8000x g for 30 rein, and (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ added to each supernatant to 80% of saturation. The precipitated proteins were...
collected by centrifugation, dissolved in 20 ml of 0.15 M NaCl, and dialyzed against the same overnight. The dialysates were then centrifuged to remove trace amounts of insoluble material. All of the above steps were carried out at 4C. Aliquots of each extract were chromatographed on a Mono S column in a FPLC system (Pharmacia) using 30 ml of a linear gradient of 0 to 0.5 M NaCl in 0.02 M NaOAc, pH 4.75. Fractions were assayed for ß-galactosidase activity using p-nitrophenyl-ß-D-galactopyranoside (Blakeney et al., 1983) and analyzed isothermally at 220C using a Perkin-Elmer 3920B gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector, fitted with a 3% SP-2340 on Supelcoport 100/120 packed column (1.5 m x2 mm i.d.). Integration was provided by a Hewlett-Packard HP3396A integrator.

**Results**

**ß-Galactosidase activities and fruit firmness.** Extracts of tomatoes are known to contain at least three isozymes of ß-galactosidase, which can be separated by a two-step procedure consisting of chromatography on DEAE-Sephadex A-50 and gel filtration on Sephadex G-100 (Pressey, 1983). In the present study, ß-galactosidase II was separated from the other isozymes in a single step by ion-exchange chromatography on a Mono S column in a FPLC system (Fig. 1). By chromatographing ß-galactosidases as described by Pressey (1983), it was found that the first peak of activity consisted of ß-galactosidases I and III and the second peak was due to ß-galactosidase II.

In 'Roma' and 'Rutgers' tomatoes, combined ß-galactosidase I and III activity was present in the mature green fruit and remained essentially unchanged during ripening (Table 1). In contrast, ß-galactosidase II was not detected in green fruit of either cultivar. ß-Galactosidase II activity increased steadily as ripening progressed, the enzyme activity being detected in 'Roma' fruit at the breaker stage but not until the pink stage in 'Rutgers' fruit. In both cultivars, ß-galactosidase II increased 6- to 7-fold, relative to the first detectable activity.

Firmness measured in the fruit using a simple penetrometer showed that the extent of softening was similar in the two cultivars, firmness of red fruit being about 30% that of green fruit (Table 1). In terms of the timing and rate of ripening in the two cultivars, softening of the 'Rutgers' fruit appeared to lag slightly behind that of the 'Roma' fruit.

**Cell wall changes accompanying ripening.** As ripening progressed in both cultivars, there was an increase in WSP, whether measured as uronide or neutral carbohydrate (Figs. 2 and 3). There were no significant changes in CSP but NSP declined during ripening, mirroring the increase seen in the WSP (Figs. 2 and 3). The amounts of WSP and CSP were significantly higher in 'Rutgers' than 'Roma' tomatoes at corresponding stages of ripeness, whereas the levels of NSP were quite similar in the two cultivars.

In the NSP fraction, galactose was the most prominent neutral sugar, followed by arabinose then rhamnose (Fig. 4), while xylose, mannose, and glucose were present in relatively minor amounts, the latter showing no net change during ripening (data not shown). In 'Roma' fruit, galactose in the NSP fraction decreased as early as the breaker stage, dropping markedly at the turning/pink and light red/red transitions (Fig. 4). Arabinose and rhamnose mobilization was similar to that of galactose except that the magnitude of the galactose decline was much greater. Galactose in red fruit fell to 8% the level found in green fruit, while arabinose and rhamnose only declined to 33% the green fruit level. In contrast, in 'Rutgers' fruit, there was no significant drop in galactose in the NSP fraction until fruit
Table 1. Combined activity of β-galactosidases I + III, activity of β-galactosidase II, and firmness of ‘Roma’ and ‘Rutgers’ tomatoes at six ripeness stages (mean of three replicates ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Roma</th>
<th>Rutgers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Firmness (N)</td>
<td>β-galactosidases I + III (µmol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹ fresh wt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>74.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>60.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>53.8 ± 4.7</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>47.4 ± 5.2</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>31.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>23.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G= mature green; B = breaker; T = turning; P = pink; L = light red; R = red.

‘nd’ = not detectable, where threshold of detection = 0.03 µmol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹ fresh weight.

reached the pink stage (Fig. 4). The declines in arabinose and rhamnose followed the pattern seen for galactose (Fig. 4) but, as for ‘Roma’, the fall in galactose (to 14% the green level) was much more acute than for these two sugars (to 50% the green level).

In the CSP fraction, by the red stage, galactose declined to about half the level found in green fruit (Fig. 5). Mean values suggest that this decline began earlier, especially in ‘Roma’ fruit, but it is only at the last stage that this drop is significant. As for the NSP fraction, the minor constituent monosaccharides, xylose, mannose, and glucose, as well as the more abundant rhamnose and arabinose, were present but there was no net significant change in these during ripening (data not shown).

Discussion

The uronide and neutral carbohydrate composition of the various pectin fractions (Figs. 2 and 3) confirm that tomato softening is characterized by no gross change in CSP but a transformation of relatively insoluble NSP to readily soluble WSP, an event largely catalyzed by PG (Barrington et al., 1993).

No β-galactosidase II activity was detected in green fruit, contrary to previous results (Pressey, 1983) and those recently reported by Carey et al. (1995). This may reflect the different cultivars used or the difference in methodological approach. The extraction procedure of all the studies is essentially the same, but a one-step
Fig. 5. Galactosyl composition of CDTA-soluble pectin at six ripeness stages of ‘Roma’ and ‘Rutgers’ tomatoes. Mean of three replicates ± SD. G= mature green; B = breaker; T = turning; P = pink; L = light red; R = red.

FPLC cation-exchange separation was used here instead of the sequential chromatographic separations of the cited studies. Whatever the case, the levels of activity reported here for red fruit are comparable with those of the early study.

In fruit of both cultivars, a progressive increase in β-galactosidase II activity occurred during ripening against a background of unchanging combined β-galactosidase I + III activity. This agrees with the results of Pressey (1983) and Carey et al. (1995). A difference in appearance of β-galactosidase II activity in the two cultivars was apparent, with ‘Roma’ fruit first showing detectable activity at the breaker stage and ‘Rutgers’ fruit at the turning stage (Table 1). If loss of galactose from the cell wall is catalyzed by β-galactosidase II, the lag in the appearance of this enzyme in ‘Rutgers’ fruit relative to ‘Roma’ fruit should be reflected in a similar delay in galactose loss from the cell wall. Indeed, NSP galactose showed a significant decline as early as the breaker stage in ‘Roma’ fruit, but this was delayed until the pink stage in ‘Rutgers’ fruit (Fig. 4). Likewise, NSP galactose declined by the red stage to 8% the level in green ‘Roma’ fruit compared to only a decline to 14% the level in green ‘Rutgers’ fruit (Fig. 4). This is compatible with the higher β-galactosidase II activity and more extended period of enzyme activity seen in ‘Roma’ relative to ‘Rutgers’ fruit (Table 1). The flaw in this correlation is that, while the expected delay between the cultivars is evident, the actual stage when β-galactosidase II is first seen and galactose loss is first evident do not coincide. One explanation for this discrepancy is that the ability to detect the galactose decline is hampered by the fact that part of the galactose lost from the NSP fraction is due to the PG solubilization of polyuronide with its associated rhamnose, arabinose and galactose constituents (Barrington et al., 1993). The galactose in this WSP fraction, so generated, is therefore irrelevant to β-galactosidase II action, and for this reason compositional analysis of WSP was not pursued. By comparison, the CSP fraction is better poised to address this issue, as it shows a loss of galactose but is apparently not a target for PG as no uronide (Figs. 2 and 3) or rhamnose (data not shown) decline occurred in this fraction. However, galactose loss in this fraction was minimal and appeared late (Fig. 5) in contrast to the NSP fraction, which seemed the main target for β-galactosidase II (Fig. 4). Kim et al. (1991) have previously shown that net galactose loss from the cell wall does not simply begin with ripening but occurs at a steady, slow rate throughout growth of the tomatoes, accelerating during ripening. The role of β-galactosidase II in this earlier phase of the fruit’s development also requires study.

β-Galactosidase II activity has been shown to increase during ripening, and the delayed appearance in one cultivar is reflected in a delayed NSP galactose loss in that same cultivar. Pressey (1983) and Carey et al. (1995) have shown that β-galactosidase II is an exo-acting hydrolase. Gross (1983) has also shown that free galactose accumulates in the flesh of ripening tomatoes, and this increase is not shown by the nonripening rin and Nor mutants.
Taken together, these suggest that the substrate for β-galactosidase II is a NSP β-galactan and the product of this reaction is free galactose. Koch and Nevins (1989) have shown that tomato cell walls from increasingly later ripeness stages, treated in vitro with PG, generate polymeric fragments with decreasing amounts of galactose. This suggests that PG and β-galactosidase II target the same polymer in the wall, but the fact that galactose loss still proceeds in the absence of PG (Barrington et al., 1993) suggests that down-sizing and solubilization of this polymer by PG is not a necessary prerequisite for β-galactosidase II action.

In our study, softening began before β-galactosidase II was detected (Table 1), suggesting that the earliest phase of softening is orchestrated by some other enzyme or mechanism. Others have shown a good correlation between PG and tomato pericarp softening (Ahrens and Huber, 1990) despite the fact that anti sense attenuation of PG did not prevent fruit softening (Smith et al., 1988; Schuch et al., 1991). One explanation of these anomalies may be that fruit softening embodies several distinct biochemical processes. β-Galactosidase II and PG may be just two prongs of the coordinated attack launched on the cell wall during ripening.
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