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Abstract. Seasonal changes in growth, mean maximal photosynthetic rates, and the temperature and light response curves of ‘Titan’ red raspberry (Rubus idaeus L.) were obtained from potted plants grown under field conditions. Primocane dry weight accumulation increased steadily at the beginning of the season, but growth slowed midseason during fruiting. The slower midseason dry-weight accumulation rate coincided with an increase in root dry weight. Primocane net assimilation rate (NAR) was highest early in the season. Floricane photosynthetic rates (A) were highest during the fruiting period, while primocane A remained steady throughout the season. Primocane and floricane leaflets displayed a midday depression in A under field conditions, with a partial recovery in the late afternoon. Photosynthetic rates of primocane and floricane leaves were very sensitive to temperature, exhibiting a decline from 15 to 40°C. Light-response curves differed depending on cane type and time of year. A temporal convergence of sink demand from fruit, primocanes, and roots occurs when plants experience high temperatures. These factors may account for low red raspberry yield.

Quantifying plant growth is an essential first step in developing cultural practices that increase yield in crop plants (Gutschick, 1987). Furthermore, quantifying photosynthetic responses, C allocation patterns, and source–sink relationships contributes to understanding plant growth and yield potential. Considerable effort has been expended to obtain these data for most agronomic and horticultural crops. Such data, although important, have not been obtained for raspberries, despite their prominence as a high-value fruit crop.

Interpreting plant growth data can be difficult because various plant parts have multiple functions that change over time. For example, leaves support vegetative growth early in the season and fruit growth later in the season. Clear differences in physiology and C allocation have been observed for vegetative and reproductive structures in cultivated (Forshey and Elving, 1989; Fuji and Kennedy, 1985; Wright, 1989) and wild plants (Dawson and Bliss, 1993; Watson, 1984). Rubus sp., including raspberry, provide a unique model system for studying relationships among vegetative and fruiting structures in perennial crops. A raspberry plant produces canes that grow vegetatively for 1 year and flower, fruit, and senesce the following year. While the second year canes are fruiting (floricanes), new vegetative canes (primocanes) are produced from the same underground crown and root system. This distinct separation of vegetative and reproductive function allows one to study source–sink relationships without the level of confounding often encountered with other crops in which shoots have dual functions.

Many have tried to improve raspberry yield without knowledge of the plant’s basic physiology. For example, short-term yield has been increased by removing emerging primocanes (Freeman et al., 1989; Lawson and Wiseman, 1983; Nehrbas and Pritts, 1988). Whether this is caused by eliminating competing sinks or improved light interception of floricanes is unknown. Pruning and trellising recommendations have been developed by trial and error, without understanding the response that raspberry plants show to altered light and temperature or understanding which components of the plant function as C sources or sinks.

Quantifying seasonal changes in C acquisition and allocation and plant growth should enhance our understanding of why certain cultural practices are successful and suggest other approaches that may improve long-term productivity. The objectives of this research were to 1) form a detailed growth analysis of the seasonal changes of dry-matter growth and partitioning between primocanes, floricanes, and roots; 2) document seasonal changes in C assimilation rates for both cane types; and 3) quantify seasonal changes in net photosynthetic rates in response to changing light and temperature using field-grown plants.

Materials and Methods

Growth analysis. ‘Titan’ red raspberry is a crown-forming, high-yielding, widely planted cultivar adapted to New York conditions (Sanford et al., 1985). On 17 May 1991, tissue-cultured plugs of ‘Titan’ were planted in 25-lit volume (0.40-m-i.d.) pots. The potting medium consisted of 2 montmorillonite–illite baked clay : 1 no. 4 coarse sand (by volume). The plants were grown outdoors for two growing seasons at the Cornell Univ. Orichards, Ithaca, N.Y., in 10-m rows. Irrigation and fertilization were supplied using a trickle system with a constant feed of 75 to 100 ppm N with Peters 20–20–20 soluble fertilizer (W.R. Grace & Co., Fogelsville, Pa.) alternated with water as needed. The potted plants were overwintered in a soil trench and covered with straw mulch.

In 1992, the plants were pruned to two floricanes per pot. Floricanes were pruned to a height of 1.0 m and tied to a V-trellis with a maximum spread of 70 cm at 1.0 m from the soil level. Eight randomly selected plants were harvested on each of nine dates from late April to late September 1992. The plants spanned the growth stages from dormancy to senescence of most floricanes leaves. At each harvest date, plants were divided into primocanes, floricanes, and roots. Dry weight of all leaves, canes, and roots and number of nodes were recorded. Leaf area for the first three primocanes to emerge and three randomly selected floricanes laterals from each of the two floricanes were collected and used to estimate leaf areas for entire canes based on the dry weight and leaf area relationship. Leaf areas were measured using a leaf area meter.
and CO₂ concentration within the cuvette were maintained at 25°C, or quantity (Dawson and Geber, 1995). Temperature, dewpoint, to remove excess infrared radiation. This did not alter light quality (LI-3000; LI-COR, Lincoln, Neb.). Fruit fresh and dry weights were recorded at each whole-plant harvest and twice weekly during the harvest period.

Relative growth rate (RGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) were calculated using the classical approach in which mean values of the parameters were measured at each harvest, based on changes over a number of days, from calculations described in Chiariello et al. (1991). Growth parameters were calculated from changes in values between successive harvests and based on leaf areas and dry weights of floricanes, primocanes, and roots, independently. However, to standardize information for a variety of climates, seasonal changes in dry weight (g), RGR (g·m⁻²·d⁻¹), and NAR (g·m⁻²·d⁻¹) were reported as a function of growing degree–day (GDD) accumulations (base 50°F); i.e., for each whole-plant harvest date, values were reported according to their corresponding GDD.

Field gas exchange. Plant material was treated as above. Net photosynthetic rates (A) were obtained using a portable closed gas-exchange system (LI-6200; LI-COR). All rates, except diurnal measurements, were taken at 3 to 5 h after sunrise on seven dates during the 1992 growing season, within 1 day of whole-plant harvest, on a group of eight plants reserved for gas exchange. One recently expanded primocane leaf and a florican leaf exposed to full sun were used on each of the eight plants for gas-exchange measurements. All measurements were made on warm (15 to 25°C), sunny days (photosynthetically active radiation 1200 to 1500 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹). Diurnal measurements were taken from 0.5 to 14 h after sunrise (700 and 2000 μm) in June 1992.

Steady-state gas exchange. Plant material and growing conditions were identical to the above experiment except transplants were set on 20 July 1990, overwintered in the ground in 1990–91, and 1991–92, and pruned to two floricanes in Spring 1991, 1992, and 1993. Plants were allowed to acclimate to laboratory conditions at least 1 h before measurements were taken.

Steady-state gas-exchange measurements were made in July (1295 to 1374 GDD) and August (1815 to 1942 GDD) 1993 during and after the harvest period, respectively, using an open gas-exchange system (model MPH-1000; Campbell Scientific, Logan, Utah) and following methods described by Dawson and Geber (1995). Three potted plants that had primocanes and floricanes were used for each set of measurements. For light-response curves, a series of neutral-density filters were sequentially placed above the leaf in the cuvette allowing for full light saturation of 1400 photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) and gradually lowered seven levels with the filters to low light conditions (0 to 10 PPF). A 1000-W high-pressure sodium lamp, was used as the light source. A water filter was placed between the light source and the leaf cuvette to remove excess infrared radiation. This did not alter light quality or quantity (Dawson and Geber, 1995). Temperature, dewpoint, and CO₂ concentration within the cuvette were maintained at 25°C, 15°C, and 350 ppm, respectively. The dewpoint was set at 10°C to avoid condensation when the chamber temperature dropped to 15°C. Each shade treatment was imposed at 15 min before a measurement was taken. For temperature-response curves, the temperature in the cuvette was changed in 3°C increments and the leaf was allowed to acclimate to each treatment for 15 min before a measurement was taken.

Results and Discussion

Growth analysis. A growth curve constructed for primocanes, floricanes, roots, and fruit indicated that dry weight accumulation of these plant parts differed during the growing season (Fig. 1A). Floricanes exhibited a slight gain in dry weight up to 375 GDD (full bloom) and did not exhibit any other significant change in dry weight until the end of the season, when a second flush of lateral branches emerged from previously dormant buds. This second flush of laterals was not expected. Potted raspberry plants may exhibit this phenomenon or late bud break could have been the result of excessive rain in 1992. Late budbreak has not been observed in ‘Titan’ in the field.

Primocane dry-weight accumulation increased steadily up to the peak fruiting period, leveled, and then increased again after the harvest period (Fig. 1A). The decline in primocane dry weight during fruiting could be due to the movement of carbohydrates into the fruit and away from primocane apices. However, other possible explanations exist. In a Minnesota study, high temperatures, drought, and high winds during summer were thought to reduce primocane growth (Brierley, 1931). In our study, root dry weight increased significantly during the onset of fruiting and then decreased. Roots, in addition to fruit, could be competitive sinks for carbohydrates, since organs with high growth rates may compete for a limited supply of photosynthesize (Sterck and Ubyz, 1974). Vorochkina (1967) found that, in red raspberry, root growth coincided with a period of slower shoot growth and that an inverse relationship existed between root growth and yield. In another study in which raspberry leaves were labeled with ¹⁴CO₂, autoradiography indicated that roots were a competing sink for primocane photosynthates when primocane dry-weight accumulation slowed and root dry weight increased (Fernandez and Pritts, 1993). These possible changes in sink demand are reflected in changes in RGR (Table 1). Floricane RGR is greatest at the beginning of the season; primocane RGR decreases when root RGR increases.

The NAR of primocanes was negative for the first two whole-plant harvests of the season, increased for the next three harvests, peaked at ≈800 GDD, then declined but remained positive for the remainder of the season (Fig. 1B). Floricane NAR peaked at ≈300 GDD, which coincided with the initial flush of lateral growth at the beginning of the season, while a second NAR peak occurred during fruiting (Fig. 1B). If NAR represents the efficiency of the leaf as a producer of dry weight, primocane leaves are most efficient at 400 to 850 GDD, a time that corresponds to the later stages of the first gain in primocane dry weight and a period in which light levels are increasing as primocanes begin to grow beyond the florican canopy. A decline in NAR corresponds to a leveling of primocane dry-weight accumulation. Although new primocane leaves are continually produced during the season, leaf NAR may change over time due to altered partitioning patterns or increased internal shading. In fact, NAR may be more representative of seasonal assimilation patterns than instantaneous gas-exchange measurements. In addition, our calculations were based on primocane dry-weight accumulation only; we did not determine whether photosynthates moved to other plant parts.

Field gas exchange. In preliminary experiments, we found it difficult to collect any gas-exchange data in the early afternoon. Diurnal photosynthetic response curves indicated that floricanes and primocane leaves exhibit a midday decline in A (Fig. 2). This trend has been observed in many other woody plants as well (Dowton et al., 1987; Hodges, 1967; Kozlowski et al., 1991; Lakso, 1986; Landsberg et al., 1975). J.S. Cameron (personal communication) found that large amounts of starch accumulate in raspberry leaves harvested in the afternoon. This likely triggers a feedback inhibition in the photosynthetic cycle in raspberry leaves. Temperature also increases during the day and could account for some of the decrease in A if conditions become too hot. We found that stomatal conductance values displayed similar diurnal trends (data not shown), which may represent a feed-forward response.
Mean maximum photosynthesis measurements were obtained on primocane and floricanes before the midday decline during the 1992 growing season (Fig. 1C). Primocane A remained steady ($\approx 9.0$ µmol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$) during the season, but floricanes A was lower than primocane A at the beginning and end of the season ($\approx 4.0$ µmol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$). However, floricanes A was higher than primocane A from 300 to 1000 GDD (Fig. 1C), coinciding with flowering and fruit development. Elevated A in response to the presence of sinks also has been observed in other crop plants (Avery, 1977; Chalmers et al., 1975; Dornhoff and Shibles, 1970; Flinn, 1974; Hansen, 1970). Unlike floricanes, primocane A did not seem to respond to any particular sink demand at any time during the growing season, even though vegetative growth rates varied during the season. The decline in floricanes A near the end of the season may not have been due entirely to the absence of sinks, but also to leaf age. All floricanes leaves emerge in the spring, so a seasonal decline in floricanes A may be due to leaf senescence. Primocane A was measured on recently expanded leaves likely near their peak A.

Steady-state gas exchange. The response of floricanes and primocane A to changes in light intensity were measured during fruiting (July) (1295 to 1315 GDD) and after fruiting (August) (1919 to 1942 GDD). Light saturation levels for both primocane leaflets at both sampling dates were $\approx 500$ to 700 PPF (visual interpretation). This is consistent with the light-saturation level of other woody species (Boardman, 1977; Lakso and Seeley, 1978; Vorwinkel and Oichel, 1975).

Floricane light response of changed over the season, with floricanes leaves saturating at a higher PPF and exhibiting a higher A in July than August (Fig. 2). Primocane A did not change significantly as the season progressed. This response may have been due to leaf age (Field, 1987) and consequent changes in leaf biochemical efficiency (Ball et al., 1987). As leaves age, the chloroplasts begin to break down and, consequently, electron transport and ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate regeneration capacity decline (Kutik, 1985). Additionally, rubisco activity declines in older leaves (Sestak et al., 1985). Measurements were always taken on newly expanded primocane leaflets that were being produced regularly during the growing season, while floricanes leaves emerge only at the beginning of the season and start to senesce after fruiting.

The quantum yield or initial slope of the photosynthetic response curve is steeper in July (1295 to 1315 GDD) than August (1919 to 1942 GDD) for primocanes (0.0434 vs. 0.0227) and floricanes (0.0326 vs. 0.0196) (Fig. 3), a result indicating that a change occurred in the efficiency with which C is fixed per photon received under light-limiting conditions. Again, this is expected because older leaves have reduced light-harvesting pigments and photochemical conversion capacity (Chabot and Hicks, 1982; Field, 1987).
Photosynthesis is one of the most temperature-sensitive factors controlling plant growth (Jones, 1992). In this study, temperature-response curves were generated for floricanes and primocanes after the plants had fruited, and primocane and floricanes declined geometrically as temperature increased (Fig. 4). For primocanes, the overall rate of decline was greater than for floricanes (Fig. 4). However, floricanes A was lower than primocane A during the experiment, a result that, as with our light-saturation data, was likely due to leaf age. With other plants, increased respiration or a decline in the photosystem’s integrity may occur on exposure to high temperatures (Berry and Björkmann, 1980). With raspberry, this decline occurred at a lower temperature than reported for many other fruit crops (Chartzoulakis et al., 1993; Lakso and Johnson, 1990). A study of the wild raspberry, R. chamaemorus L., showed that a temperature optimum for this subarctic species was 10 to 15°C (Marks and Taylor, 1978). These authors speculated that the physiological characteristics that enable this species to achieve maximum photosynthetic rates at low temperatures may limit its southern range. Our data suggest that R. idaeus also may be photosynthetically limited by warmer temperatures.

The integrated system. Three primary C sinks develop in the raspberry plant during the season. The fruit is a sink for C acquired by the floricanes leaves. The movement of labeled C from floricanes into fruit (Fernandez and Pritts, 1993) supports this contention. A second sink is the primocane’s actively growing apical meristem. Fernandez and Pritts (1993) showed that labeled C in primocane leaves moves into the apical meristem during the early part of the growing season when growth rate is highest. A third sink is the root system. Root growth seems to be greatest during the early stages of fruiting. Carbon labeling studies suggest that primocane photo-synthates move into roots, but not into floricanes, during fruiting (Fernandez and Pritts, 1993). If sink strength is proportional to growth rate, then the strong root sink may account for the decrease in primocane dry weight and RGR during fruiting. Thus, in raspberries, the greatest sink demand develops in midsummer when primocane growth is rapid, root growth is increasing, and fruiting begins.

Simultaneous with the convergence of the greatest sink demand in roots and fruit is the onset of high temperatures. ‘Titan’ exhibits reduced A at temperatures >20°C. Presumably, leaves at the top of the canopy run an even greater risk of heat stress, and this is where most of the photosynthates and fruit are produced. Others have postulated that raspberries are sensitive to high temperatures (Hoover et al., 1989), but this was not well documented until now. Since field temperatures frequently exceed 20°C, the resulting decline in A could impose an additional constraint on yield. The diurnal response that we observed in A suggests that biochemical limitations also develop at a time of day when light interception and PPF are greatest, further limiting potential yield.

Insights and implications. Many have speculated as to why raspberries do not realize their full yield potential (Braun and Garth, 1989; Dale, 1989). Realized yield is often substantially less than yield estimated from preharvest yield components (Dale, 1988; Freeman et al., 1989; Jennings and McGregor, 1989), and we found the harvest index to be only ~3%. Furthermore, raspberries exhibit characteristics of a source-limited plant (i.e., strong yield component compensation), despite a high leaf : fruit ratio. This is understandable when one realizes that the convergence of sink demand occurs at a time when the raspberry plant is somewhat impaired in its ability to meet this demand. Additional evidence to support a source-limited system includes the elevated yields observed with improved light conditions or primocane removal (Freeman et al., 1989; Lawson and Wiseman, 1983; Nehrbas and Pritts, 1988) and elevated A under a high CO₂ concentration (Fernandez, 1994).

Red raspberry may be most productive under climatic conditions other than those in this study. The primary area of red raspberry production in the United States is in the Pacific Northwest. This region is characterized by a mild temperate climate, with moderate summer and winter temperatures, abundant rainfall, and a long growing season (National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration, 1985). Climates similar to this seem to be best suited for red raspberry.

The physiological characteristics of ‘Titan’ described herein provide explanations for several observations regarding cultivated raspberries in general. Although it is possible, and even likely, that...
other genotypes will exhibit different responses to light and temperature, the general trends we describe may still apply. Unfortunately, no other studies on cultivated raspberry have been published on which to base such comparisons. The dynamics of source–sink relationships in pricemone-fruiting raspberry will be different than those of ‘Titan’, but both types may be intolerant to high temperatures. The subgenus *Idaeobatus* contains 195 species (Ourecky, 1975), so the potential amount of physiological variability is very large. Of course, this provides opportunities for improving the growth and physiological responses of cultivated germplasm through breeding.

This study suggests several other approaches that may be taken to enhance red raspberry yield. One is to improve the light interception of internal canopy leaves. Palmer et al. (1987) found that horizontally trained canopies had higher light penetration into the canopy than vertically trained canopies. Increasing floricane leaf exposure, even at the expense of primocane leaves, also may increase yield. More work is required to identify practical and effective planting and training systems that improve light interception without impeding field operations.

Another approach to increasing productivity may be to identify genotypes that show an altered pattern of fruiting or root growth, so that most root growth occurs before or after fruiting. Other approaches may inhibit root growth temporarily during fruiting, without negatively affecting long-term growth and productivity. Two of the highest yielding raspberry cultivars in the northeastern United States are ‘Royalty’ and ‘Titan’ (Pritts and Handley, 1989). ‘Royalty’ has a later harvest period than most other cultivars (Pritts and Handley, 1989), and the strong competition between roots and fruit may be avoided due to the lateness of harvest. ‘Titan’ has a long harvest period, and although strong within-plant competition occurs during the early part of harvest, root growth declines dramatically by midharvest.

Growth, acquisition, and partitioning patterns in raspberry, like in all other woody plants, are complex. There is a need to understand more clearly the control of organ development and the dynamic balance between sources and sinks before interventions can be imposed. Leaf age effects on productivity also need to be studied to determine the relative contribution of photosynthates from different leaf types over a growing season. Furthermore, studies that examine stomatal, biochemical, and feedback limitations to photosynthesis could provide useful information on novel approaches to enhance photosynthesis at the whole-plant, tissue, and cellular level. The raspberry plant is an excellent model to use for examining these fundamental relationships in woody plants.
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