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Abstract. ‘Rose Grenadine’ and ‘Buckaroo’ garden chrysanthemums [Dendranthema ×grandiflorum (Ramat.) Kitamura] were produced in 15-cm pots in the greenhouse and fertilized with either 550 or 1000 ml of a 15 mol·m⁻³ N solution at each irrigation. The nutrient solution applied to half the pots contained a wetting agent (WA), and the remaining pots received no WA. Core samples were removed at 15-cm increments to a depth of 90 cm from the soil beneath the pots. The average leaching fraction (LF) from pots receiving a WA was 0.29 but was 0.26 from pots receiving no WA. However, WA did not affect the leachate NO₃⁻-N concentration or the total NO₃⁻-N deposited on the soil beneath; these were most influenced by LF. After week 2, NO₃⁻-N concentration in the upper 15 cm soil layer was 3.4 times higher with a high LF than with a low LF (30 and 8.8 g·m⁻³ respectively). At week 10, the NO₃⁻-N concentration in the 30 to 45 cm soil layer averaged 71.9 g·m⁻³ under the high LF and 35.5 g·m⁻³ under the low LF. Total N and NO₃⁻-N in the potting medium was higher in the low LF pots than the high LF pots, while NO₃⁻-N was higher in the medium of pots irrigated without WA than with WA. Final plant shoot mass was higher in pots irrigated to a high LF or without WA than in pots irrigated to a low LF or with WA.

Greenhouse crop management practices that contribute to NO₃⁻-N loading to the soil profile are regarded as a threat to groundwater quality and are of concern to the commercial industry and the public. Surveys conducted in Europe (Molitor, 1990) and the United States (Walker, 1990) report that excessively high N concentrations (>200 g·m⁻³) can be found in the top meter of soil underlying commercial greenhouses. More recently, NO₃⁻-N concentrations >230 g·m⁻³ in the top meter of soil have been measured under decades-old greenhouses in Connecticut (McAvoy, 1991).

The leaching fraction (LF) or the portion of the irrigation volume that leaches from a pot greatly influences the electrical conductivity (EC) in the potting medium and the leachate (Hu and Hershey, 1991, 1992; Yelenich and Biernbaum, 1990). Ruter (1992) reported a direct, positive linear relationship between EC and NO₃⁻-N level in the potting medium extract.

One of the few studies to characterize the fate of NO₃⁻-N in the soil profile underlying a containerized greenhouse crop reported that LF also exerted a direct, positive effect on NO₃⁻-N accumulation and movement in the soil profile (McAvoy et al., 1992). With poinsettia stock plants irrigated with a high LF, NO₃⁻-N accumulated at higher concentrations and moved deeper into the soil profile than with a low LF.

Although limited data are available to relate crop management practices to soil loading of NO₃⁻-N in the greenhouse, many factors are known to affect the water-holding properties of potting media and subsequent leachate quality. For example, irrigation method and medium amendments affect the water-holding capacity of potting media. In one study (Elliott, 1992), the highest effective water-holding capacity for various peat-lite media was observed when overhead irrigation, hydrophilic gels, or wetting agents (WAs) were used. Leachates from hydrogel-amended media have higher ECs than leachates from media without hydrogel (Wang and Boogher, 1987); the effect of hydrogel on LF was not reported. Greenhouse operators often add WAs to the irrigation solution to facilitate rewetting dry peat-lite media.

The use of controlled-release fertilizers (CRFs) to reduce the release of nitrate into the environment has been the focus of many investigations (Brand et al., 1993; Cox, 1993; Hershey and Paul, 1982; Poole and Conover, 1989; Rathier and Frink, 1989; Williams and Nelson, 1990). Rathier and Frink (1989) concluded that N runoff could be reduced by use of CRFs rather than water-soluble fertilizers. However, Hershey and Paul (1982) and, later, Cox (1993) found that leachate NO₃⁻-N could be as high as higher with CRFs than with water-soluble forms. Cox found that split applications and top dressing of CRFs were more effective at reducing N leaching than single applications and medium incorporation of CRFs.

Brand et al. (1993) reported that soil loading and downward movement of NO₃⁻-N under containerized nursery crops fertilized with CRFs was influenced by the plant species grown. The rapidly growing Cornus amomum removed more N than the slow-growing Rhododendron ‘Cary’s Red’, resulting in less NO₃⁻-N in the soil profile under the C. amomum. Ku and Hershey (1992) recognized that specific crop water-use patterns influence the total quantity of leachate. Plants using high quantities of water (geraniums) required more frequent irrigation than those using less water (poinsettia); therefore, even when the LF remained unchanged, the leaching intensity (LI) of frequently irrigated potting media increased. LI is defined as the number of container capacities leached per week.

Although the high N concentrations measured in the soil beneath greenhouses in the United States and Europe suggest a potentially significant source of environmental contamination, these data alone do not indicate how specific crop management practices contribute to nitrate loading to groundwater. The greenhouse differs from outdoor containerized plant production because of the exclusion of rainfall. In the greenhouse, hydraulic loading is totally controlled by the crop management practices used. Therefore, examining existing greenhouse crop management practices...
with regard to NO$_3$-N loading and movement through the underlying soil profile is an important first step in characterizing this dynamic relationship.

The objective of this study was to quantify changes over time in the NO$_3$-N profile in the top 0.9 m of soil underlying a greenhouse chrysanthemum crop irrigated with or without the liquid WA AquaGro L (47% polyoxyethylene esters of cyclic acids, 47% polyoxyethylene esters of alkylated phenols and 6% silicone antifoam emulsion) to produce either a low or high LF.

**Materials and Methods**

On 9 July 1991, rooted ‘Rose Grenadine’ and ‘Buckaroo’ garden chrysanthemum cuttings were planted in Metro 360, a commercial peat-lite medium with a bulk density of 0.3 g·cm$^{-3}$ (Grace-Sierra, Cambridge, Mass.). Two rooted cuttings (one of each cultivar) were planted in a 15-cm plastic pot (bulk volume = 1.8 liters). Immediately after transplant, pots were irrigated with 28.6 mol·m$^{-3}$ N solution (400 µmol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$) formulated with 15N–7P–14.1K (8% NO$_3$-N, 3.18% NH$_4$-N, and 3.82% urea-N) soluble fertilizer (Grace-Sierra). Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete-block design, using four identical boxes located side by side. Each block was replicated four times.

Wooden boxes were recessed 0.6 m into the excavated earthen floor of a greenhouse and filled with soil screened through 1.6-cm hardware cloth. Boxes were bottomless to establish contact with the subsoil. The native soils underlying the greenhouse were placed in the boxes in stratified layers of similar depth to those in the preexisting greenhouse soil profile. The top 19 cm layer was a coarse sand (1.5% OM, bulk density 1.61 g·cm$^{-3}$), the next 51 cm layer was a silty loam (3.2% OM, bulk density 1.31 g·cm$^{-3}$), and the bottom 30-cm layer was a clay loam (3.4% OM, bulk density 1.26 g·cm$^{-3}$). As each 15-cm layer of soil was added to the box, final bulk density was achieved by applying a uniform pressure of 35 kg·m$^{-2}$. Soil in the boxes was compacted to simulate the conditions in a commercial greenhouse.

In each 15-cm layer of soil was added to the box, final bulk density was achieved by applying a uniform pressure of 35 kg·m$^{-2}$. Soil in the boxes was compacted to simulate the conditions in a commercial greenhouse.

Before the start of the study, the soil was leached with tap water daily (from 12 July to 1 Aug. 1991) to reduce the NO$_3$-N remaining in the profile from a previous study conducted in 1990 (McAvoy et al., 1992). Residual NO$_3$-N levels were determined at the start of the experiment (week 0) by sampling the leached soil profile before applying the experimental treatments.

Plants were irrigated when the potting medium became dry, every 5 days on average (Fig. 1A). Nutrient solutions were applied by hand directly to all 12 pots on each box using a graduated cylinder. Leachate was collected from each of three pots within each treatment group, and the actual leachate volume was measured using a graduated cylinder. Leachate was then returned to the soil surface beneath the pots from which it was collected. However, at =2-week intervals, a 15-ml leachate sample was retained for analysis. These samples were frozen and stored at –60°C in an ultra-low temperature freezer. Actual leachate volume and initial irrigation volume were used to calculate LF (i.e., LF = volume leached/volume applied).

At 2-week intervals from 5 Aug. to 14 Oct. 1991, a soil profile in 15-cm layers to 0.9 m was obtained from each box using a 1.9-cm-diameter Dutch auger. Each box was divided into 1-dm$^3$ squares providing 50 possible sample sites, and two soil cores were randomly selected from each box on each sampling date. Samples were immediately spread in a thin layer (1 cm) and dried overnight at ambient temperature (20 to 25°C) in a continuously ventilated room. Dried samples were then screened and stored in acid-washed bottles for future analysis. After removing samples, auger holes were refilled with soil to prevent channeling and the location was marked so that sites were not resampled.

Two pots of chrysanthemums were harvested from each treatment box on 7 Sept. (day 33), and three plants per box were collected at final harvest on 14 Oct. (day 70). Plant tissue was dried at 70°C and ground for analysis.

Nitrate N was extracted from 2-g soil samples with 20 ml of 2 M KCl, and from 0.3-g potting medium samples with 25 ml of 2 M KCl. With plant tissue, 0.2-g samples were extracted in 40 ml deionized water. All samples were shaken for 30 min in the extract solution before filtering. Nitrate was quantitatively analyzed using the copperized cadmium reduction method (Keeney and Nelson, 1982) according to the automated procedure for the auto-analyzer (Scientific Instruments Corp., Pleasantville, N.Y.). Total N in plant tissue and potting medium samples was determined with a thermal conductivity N determinator (LP-428; LECO Corp., St. Joseph, Mich.).

Nitrate N concentrations in the soil profiles underlying each irrigation volume by WA treatment were compared at each depth on each sample date using two-way analysis of variance procedures (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Cultivar effects were determined using split-plot analysis. The effects of LF treatments over time on NO$_3$-N concentrations at each soil depth were determined using a split-plot analysis over time and single-degree-of-freedom orthogonal contrasts (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

**Results**

Actual LF at each irrigation varied considerably but, in general, 1000-ml irrigations produced large LFs and 550-ml irrigations produced smaller LFs (Fig. 1A). The LF from pots that received 1000 ml of nutrient solution averaged 0.44 during the study, while the LF from pots that received 550 ml averaged 0.12 (Fig. 1A). The effect of WA on LF was more subtle than that of LF treatment, but significant over the course of the study (Table 1, Fig. 1A). Pots irrigated with AquaGro L had an average LF of 0.29, while pots receiving no WA had a LF of 0.26 averaged over the 10-week period. For the 10-week study, 15 liters of leachate was deposited per square meter of soil surface with a low LF without WA and 21.2 liters·m$^{-2}$ with WA. With a high LF, cumulative leachate was 122 liters·m$^{-2}$ without WA and 125.2 liters·m$^{-2}$ with WA.

Although WA affected LF, it did not affect the average NO$_3$-N concentration in the leachate (Fig. 1B). Leachate NO$_3$-N concentration was only affected by LF. Pots with a high LF had leachate with 200 to 300 mg-liter$^{-1}$ NO$_3$-N, while pots with a low LF had leachate with 300 to 650 mg-liter$^{-1}$ NO$_3$-N. Initially, leachate NO$_3$-N concentrations were similar for all treatments. However,
the leachate NO$_3$-N concentration with low LF rapidly increased over time, while it was relatively unchanged with a high LF.

The LF was the overriding factor influencing total NO$_3$-N deposition onto the underlying soil profile (Fig. 1C). The average irrigation deposition was 33.2 mg NO$_3$-N/pot (0.69 g·m$^{-2}$) with a low LF and 108.6 mg NO$_3$-N/pot (2.38 g·m$^{-2}$) with a high LF.

In the potting medium, differences in total N and NO$_3$-N concentration were detected in response to LF after 33 days or six irrigations (Table 1). Nitrate N and total N were 25% to 27% lower in the potting medium with a high LF than with a low LF. After 33 days, WA had no effect on medium NO$_3$-N concentration, but, after 70 days or 13 irrigations, medium NO$_3$-N was 19% higher without WA than with WA (Table 1). After 13 irrigations, total N and NO$_3$-N levels were higher in the medium with a low LF than a high LF.

Neither LF nor WA affected shoot dry mass or leaf total N or NO$_3$-N after 33 days, but there were cultivar differences (Table 2). Leaf total N was higher for ‘Buckaroo’ (5.01% of dry mass), than for ‘Rose Grenadine’ (4.81% of dry mass), while leaf NO$_3$-N was lower in ‘Buckaroo’ (0.80% of dry mass) than in ‘Rose Grenadine’ (0.88% of dry mass). At the end of the study, WA and LF affected total shoot dry mass and leaf total N levels at 10 weeks. Mums with a high LF produced 14.3% more shoot dry mass with lower leaf total N levels than plants with a low LF.

A significant interaction between WA and LF occurred with leaf total N (Table 2). At low LFs, leaf total N was the same with or without WA (5.15% of dry mass). However, with a high LF, leaf total N was lower with WA than without (5.04% and 5.22% of dry mass respectively). The total N and NO$_3$-N levels of flowers were not affected by WA or LF; however, significant cultivar differences were found for these factors. After 70 days, total N was higher in ‘Buckaroo’ flowers (4.63% of dry mass) than ‘Rose Grenadine’ flowers (4.52% of dry mass), while the NO$_3$-N level in ‘Buckaroo’ was lower than in ‘Rose Grenadine’ (0.08% and 0.16% of dry mass respectively). At flowering, ‘Buckaroo’ shoot dry mass was 45% higher than ‘Rose Grenadine’.

Once nitrate-containing leachate was deposited on the soil beneath the crop, LF was the only factor associated with significant changes in nitrate movement and accumulation in the soil profile (Table 3). Therefore, soil NO$_3$-N levels are averages for the high and low LF treatments only (Figs. 2 and 3). Initially, the NO$_3$-N level at each soil depth was the same for both LFs (Fig. 2, week 0) even though the absolute level of residual NO$_3$-N in the profile from a previous study (McAvoy et al., 1992) varied with depth. After 2 weeks, the NO$_3$-N concentration in the top 15-cm layer of soil was 340% greater with a high LF than with a low LF. After 4 weeks, the NO$_3$-N concentration was 272% higher in the 15 to 30 cm soil layer with a high LF than with a low LF (Fig. 2), and the NO$_3$-N levels in the 0 to 15 cm layer continued to increase with a high LF. These differences in NO$_3$-N accumulation in the upper 30

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>LF</th>
<th>Leachate NO$_3$-N concn (mg·liter$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>NO$_3$-N deposition per irrigation (g·m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Day 33 sample</th>
<th>Day 70 sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total N (g·m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>NO$_3$-N (g·m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>Total N (g·m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>NO$_3$-N (g·m$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWA</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low LF</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High LF</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>$P \leq 0.05$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$P \leq 0.01$</td>
<td>$P \leq 0.01$</td>
<td>$P \leq 0.01$</td>
<td>$P \leq 0.01$</td>
<td>$P \leq 0.01$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cm soil layers between high and low LF also occurred in weeks 6 and 8 (Fig. 2, Table 2). At 10 weeks, however, NO₃-N levels were also 203% higher in the 30 to 45 cm zone with a high LF than with a low LF. In the 45 to 60, 60 to 75, and 75 to 90 cm layers, NO₃-N levels did not differ with LF throughout the 10-week study (Fig. 2).

In the 0 to 15 cm and 15 to 30 cm soil layers, there were linear interactions between LF and NO₃-N accumulation over time (Table 3, Fig. 3). The rate of NO₃-N accumulation was 212% higher in the 0 to 15 cm layer and 454% higher in the 15 to 30 cm layer with a high LF than with a low LF. In the 30 to 45 cm layer, the interaction between LF and time differed linearly and quadratically with respect to NO₃-N accumulation (Table 3). In this layer, little change in NO₃-N concentration was detected during the first 28 days; however, between day 42 and day 70, the NO₃-N concentration with a high LF increased rapidly, while it changed much more gradually with a low LF.

Overall, in the 0 to 45 cm soil zone, NO₃-N accumulated linearly over time according to the equation \[ \text{NO}_3-N (g \cdot m^{-3}) = 6.49 + 0.419 \times \text{days} \] \( r^2 = 0.95 \) for the low LF treatment, and \[ \text{NO}_3-N (g \cdot m^{-3}) = 4.98 + 1.176 \times \text{days} \] \( r^2 = 0.99 \) for the high LF treatment. In the 45 to 90 cm soil zone, NO₃-N levels fluctuated over time; however, neither WA nor LF affected NO₃-N concentrations at these depths. At this depth in the soil profile, there was no consistent pattern of downward NO₃-N movement from the overlying treatments and no interactions between LF and changes in the soil NO₃-N concentration over time occurred (Fig. 3, Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, 550 ml was a sufficiently small irrigation volume to produce a LF near 0 when pots were dry; however, when the potting medium was not dry, the LF was as high as 0.3 (Fig. 1A).
In a previous study (McAvoy et al., 1992), irrigation volume was adjusted at each irrigation based on the calculated LF produced by test pots in an attempt to produce LFs of either 0.1 or 0.5, and considerable variation between the actual LF and the target LF were still observed. Ku and Hershey (1992) reported a similar divergence from the target LF. In their study, the actual LF averaged 24% below the target LF of 0.1 and 8% below the target LF of 0.4. Together, these data underscore the difficulty of maintaining a constant LF with irrigation volume alone.

LF and nutrient solution concentration are factors that affect leachate and root-zone EC (Ku and Hershey, 1991, 1992; McAvoy et al., 1992; Ruter, 1992; Yelanich and Biernbaum, 1990). However, once the decision is made to reduce LF, fertilizer concentrations must automatically be reduced to prevent excessive nutrient accumulation in the potting medium (provided initial fertility levels were adequate).

From an environmental perspective, LF in the greenhouse setting is important for two reasons. First, it is directly related to total NO₃-N deposited per irrigation. Second, as it relates to LI, it represents the primary hydraulic load or driving force to move the soluble NO₃-N load down through the soil profile. Since the product of irrigation frequency and LF bears a direct positive relationship to LI, the cumulative NO₃-N and hydraulic loads on a soil surface from a constant LF would further increase as irrigation frequency increased.

The best way for a grower to control LI, and thus hydraulic load, is to control LF. For example, with a container capacity of 500 ml, an irrigation volume of 555 ml would be required to produce a LF of 0.1 (55 ml of leachate) and an irrigation volume of 1000 ml would be required to produce a LF of 0.5 (500 ml of leachate). In this example, the irrigation frequency of the high LF would have to decrease 10-fold to produce the same LI as a single irrigation at the low LF. In addition, LF can be readily reduced by modifying cultural practices (i.e., applying less solution per irrigation), but irrigation frequency is largely determined by environmental conditions and plant factors such as total leaf area and specific water-use efficiency—factors a grower can not easily control.

In the field, NO₃-N from the leaching of containerized crops is carried through the underlying soil profile by the hydraulic load of the leachate and the hydraulic load from rainfall and, if overhead irrigation is used, the hydraulic load of irrigation water missing the containers. For the greenhouse chrysanthemum crop, the low LF produced a cumulative hydraulic load of 1.5 cm without WA and 2.1 cm with WA, while the high LF produced a cumulative

Fig. 2. Nitrate N profile in the top 0.9 m of soil with a low and high leaching fraction (LF). Soil depth of zero represents the soil surface beneath a greenhouse chrysanthemum crop. Nitrate N profiles are presented for week 0 (initial NO₃-N levels before treatment), week 2, week 4, week 6, week 8, and week 10. Horizontal bars represent SE of the means; error bars that do not appear on graphs are smaller than symbols.
observed beneath old greenhouse ranges in Connecticut (McAvoy, 1991). With the low LF, NO$_3$-N accumulation would exceed this level in 1210 days of continuous cropping.

While these NO$_3$-N accumulation rates are very high, the limited leachate penetration observed in this study would suggest that the biggest groundwater threat from greenhouse crop production would occur when the water table was close to the soil surface (i.e., within 1 m), or the glazing was removed to allow rainfall to act on the accumulated NO$_3$-N in the soil profile, or LI was dramatically increased. This conclusion does not diminish the importance of reducing LF, and thus LI, for greenhouse crops. With lower LFs, the amount of fertilizer applied to a crop could typically be reduced, often with beneficial effects on crop quality. Also, substantial reductions in total NO$_3$-N deposition, hydraulic loading, and leachate penetration through the soil profile would result.
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