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Summary. Windbreaks reduce wind speed and modify the microclimate in sheltered areas. Many producers use wind barriers in their production systems, but few producers recognize all of the benefits available or understand the principles involved in windbreak function and design. Wind has direct and indirect effects on plant growth and development. Direct effects include soil abrasion, increased transpiration, and lodging. Indirect effects are based on changes in the crop microclimate, which influence plant growth and yield. Windbreaks increase soil and air temperatures and can extend the growing season in sheltered areas, resulting in increased crop development, earlier crop maturity, and market advantage. Plant-water relations and irrigation efficiency are improved by shelter. Overall, modifications to the microclimate in sheltered areas contribute to 5% to 50% higher crop yields. Winds in excess of about 5 m-s⁻¹ (1.0 m-s⁻¹ = 2.25 miles/h; miles/h × 0.447 = m-s⁻¹) result in wind erosion and soil abrasion and may cause a loss of crop stand. Wind speeds below 5 m-s⁻¹ may have an equally adverse impact on crop quality and marketable yield. In both cases, windbreaks can reduce damage effectively in sheltered areas. Wind protection reduces certain problems associated with plasticulture under windy conditions.

Plasticulture is an intensive system of vegetable production in which technology is used to control and enhance crop growth. Plastic mulch protects fruit and leaves from direct contact with soil and controls weeds. When combined with drip irrigation and fertigation, it allows application of water and nutrients precisely calibrated for the specific crop and stage of growth.

Earlier production is probably the greatest benefit of growing crops using plastic mulch. Plastic mulch raises soil temperatures, increasing the rate of plant growth and development. Soil temperature under black plastic mulch can increase as much as 5 °C, measured 5 cm deep. Clear plastic mulch can increase soil temperatures as much as 8 to 9 °C. Plants reach maturity from 7 to 21 days earlier when grown with plastic mulch (Foskett, 1955; Lamont, 1993). This earlier harvest often increases market advantage and financial returns.

Other factors also have an impact on plant growth, yield, and quality. One factor often overlooked is the wind. Although the use of wind barriers is a common practice in horticultural production, the reasons for including windbreaks in plasticulture systems, as well as other impacts of the windbreak system, are often not understood by producers.

Windbreak effects on microclimate

The reduction of wind velocity by wind barriers has many ramifications in the microclimate of the protected zone. Soil warming is one benefit of using either temporary or permanent windbreaks. Characteristically, air above a sheltered crop is 1 to 2°C warmer than above an comparable, unsheltered area, a temperature increase sufficient to extend the growing season about 10 days (Grace, 1988; VanGardingen and Grace, 1991). Average hourly air temperature under sheltered conditions tends to be warmer during the day and cooler at night. This contributes to enhanced net assimilation rates and the increased growth in sheltered areas (Brown and Rosenberg, 1972). It also contributes to more rapid growth during the spring and fall when air temperatures are cooler. Some growers express concern that the calmer air in sheltered zones may be conducive to frost formation. In our experience in Nebraska, the warmer soil in fields protected by tree windbreaks has reduced the incidence and severity of radiation frost injury to fall vegetables compared to vegetables growing in nearby exposed locations (personal observation).

Along with temperature, modification of plant-water relations is a major impact of wind reduction (Bilbro and Fyres, 1988). Higher soil moisture is attributed to a reduction in direct evaporation (Sturrock, 1984). Although the total volume of water used may be greater for sheltered plants due to larger plant size, the water-use efficiency generally is improved over that of plants exposed to wind (Davis and Norman, 1988; Millar et al., 1974; Rosenberg et al., 1983). In temperate climates, permanent windbreaks contribute to increased soil moisture in the sheltered zone by trapping snow. The higher relative humidities characteristic of sheltered zones, especially those protected by vegetative windbreaks, may enhance plant growth, fruit set, and fruit quality factors, especially in arid or semi-arid climates. Research indicates that species respond differently to relative humidity, independent of temperature, for vegetative and reproductive growth (Bakker, 1988; Lipton, 1970a, 1970b).

Overall, modifications to the microclimate in sheltered areas contribute to 5% to 50% higher yields (Baldwin, 1988). In Nebraska, yields from small plots located in the zone from 1 to 3 H from tree windbreaks (H equals the height of the windbreak) were higher by 14% to 18% for cabbage, 13% to 100% for snap beans, and gave higher early yield in muskmelon (Brandle et al., 1995).

Effects of wind on plants

Wind has direct and indirect effects on plant growth. Most people associate wind damage with the direct mechanical effects of wind on plants, although the indirect effects on altered microclimate and associated changes in flora and fauna can have a great effect on yield. The benefit of shelter on crop growth and yield has been shown for many crop species throughout the world (Baldwin, 1988). However, the magnitude of the effect is variable, and the response mechanisms are understood only partly. VanGardingen and Grace (1991) attributed this, at least in part, to the many ways wind affects biological processes, and to the fact that any change in wind speed will be accompanied by other changes in microclimate, which also affect plant growth. In addition, the degree of benefit or the severity of damage is a function of crop species and growth stage, seedlings and reproductive stages being the most sensitive. Lodging can be a problem when heavy rains coincide with strong winds late in the season and plants are heavy with fruit.

Wind stress affects plant morphology, resulting in smaller, more compact plants with a...
higher root:shoot ratio than nonstressed plants (Biddington, 1985). Plants respond to the physical motion of wind by altering growth patterns to increase the mechanical strength of various plant parts. Two types of wind events must be considered: gusts and mean daily winds. The morphological response of crop plants depends more on the intermittent and turbulent nature of wind than on the mean daily wind speed (Van Gardingen and Grace, 1991), and the exposure time necessary to induce these responses may be very low, in some cases 30 s or less (Biddington, 1985; Jaffe, 1976; Van Gardingen and Grace, 1991). As wind speeds increase, direct damage by wind becomes more of a problem. In much of the United States, wind speeds of 10 to 20 m s⁻¹ (1.0 m s⁻¹ = 2.25 miles/h; miles/h × 0.447 = m s⁻¹) occur periodically during the growing season, either due to passing storms or weather fronts. There is considerable literature documenting the damage to growing crops that occurs with wind speeds in this range (Baldwin, 1988; Grace, 1988; Van Gardingen and Grace, 1991). Under these conditions, windbreaks provide significant protection, reducing wind speeds by 50% or more.

Vegetables have a very low tolerance to wind stress. The critical threshold wind speed for specific vegetable crops, or that wind speed above which vegetable crop yields are reduced, has not been well established. However, taken as a group, vegetables generally are listed in the most-sensitive or low-tolerance category compared to other crops (Finch, 1988). Limited research indicates that wind speeds of 4 to 5 m s⁻¹ can have physiologically harmful effects on many vegetables (Baldwin, 1988; Finch, 1988; Lin et al., 1987). These include serious disruption of the plant water balance, suppression of growth, lower photosynthetic efficiency, and adverse impact on pollination and fruit maturation. There is some indication that crops grown for the vegetative parts, such as cabbages, may be more sensitive to wind stress than plants grown for their reproductive tissues (Waster, 1972b; Winter, 1965). In contrast, many of the grain crops used as temporary windbreaks are among the more tolerant crops listed by Finch (1988).

According to NOAA (1983), more than 50% of the winds in the continental United States exceed 3.5 m s⁻¹ and 20% exceed 5.8 m s⁻¹, typically measured at 10 m height. Fortunately, wind slows as it approaches the surface due to surface friction and, as a result, wind speeds near the surface are generally less than 4 m s⁻¹. At these lower wind speeds, direct wind damage is low and the benefit of shelter may not be as obvious without a direct comparison to an equivalent unsheltered site.

Vegetable and other specialty crops are very sensitive to abrasion by wind-blown soil. As soil flux, wind velocity, or exposure time increase, crop survival, growth, and yield decrease (Fryrear and Downs, 1975b). For the most erosive soils, the threshold wind velocity for soil movement is between 3 and 4 m s⁻¹ (Woodruff et al., 1972; Zachar, 1982). Abrasion by larger particles from soil salination and soil creep may injure plants close to the soil line. Physical abrasion, either by soil particles or plant parts rubbing together, reduces crop stand and decreases yield and crop quality from the remaining plants. In some cases, crops may need to be replanted, resulting in delayed harvest or, occasionally, in complete loss of a crop to an early frost. Since crop quality is a primary factor in the price received for the product, most vegetable and specialty crops benefit from extensive wind protection systems.

The greater sensitivity of young plants to soil abrasion is recognized (Armbrust, 1984; Liptay, 1987). Survival of tomato transplants from sandblasting injury increased as the transplant root volume increased (up to 35 cm³) or the age of the transplant increased up to 7 weeks (Liptay, 1987). We may assume that this also is true for the indirect effects of lower wind speeds on stand establishment and flowering.

In most of the major vegetable production areas in the United States, concern with wind and/or wind-blown soil is greatest during the early spring when stand establishment coincides with seasonally high winds and large areas of exposed soil during field preparation. Vegetable producers need to be especially aware of the problems associated with wind erosion since the soil characteristics that favor production are the same as those typical of erosive soils. Vegetable operations frequently are located on well-drained sandy soils or highly organic soils such as muck soils. Sandy soils are favored for early-season planting and the use of plastic culture, since they warm up earlier in the spring and the benefits of plastic mulch are supplemented by natural soil warming. However, these same soils tend to dry out quickly and, thus, are subject to erosion.

Soil loss and abrasion are not the only costs of wind erosion to vegetable producers. When winds erode soil during field preparation and planting, herbicides and nutrients that have been applied to the surface are lost. Hagen and Lyles (1985) estimated that the soil blown from a field may contain 10 to 20 times the organic matter and phosphates as the heavier particles that remain.

Crops that have an indeterminate growth pattern, such as cucumbers, or produce multiple flowers, such as beans, may be better able to compensate for losses caused by wind erosion than determinate crops such as cabbage, carrots, or onions (Fryrear and Downs, 1975a, 1975b). Carrots, peppers, and tomatoes were extremely susceptible to sand injury, whereas southern peas could withstand about five times more abrasion injury than carrots (Fryrear and Downs, 1975a, 1975b). Soil particles carried by the wind, or plant parts rubbing against each other as the plant moves with the wind, can disrupt the cuticular layer on the leaf. As a result, transpiration increases and, if the leaf epidermis is damaged, water losses from the plant can be very large. The physical abrasion of leaf on leaf may disrupt the normal vapor barrier, but this is difficult to separate from the effects of changes in the microclimate on water use. The damaged cuticle also can predispose the leaf to pollution stress (Van Gardingen and Grace, 1991) or invasion by pathogens (Claffin et al., 1973; Kahn et al., 1986; Pohronezyh et al., 1992).

Wind, crop disease, and insects

Windblown soil can carry inoculum for bacterial and fungal diseases (Claffin et al., 1973; Kahn et al., 1986; Pohronezyh et al., 1992) as well as wound plant tissues providing entry points for pathogens, especially bacteria. Shelter from wind and wind-blown soil may reduce the incidence and severity of crop diseases. For example, Claffin et al. (1973) found that common blight of bean {Xanthomonas phaseoli} {E.F. Sm.} {Downs} increased 120% when the duration of exposure to wind-blown infested river sand increased from 3 to 5 min. Similarly, pepper plants injured by sandblast, or leaves subjected to wind abrasion by rubbing together, were significantly more susceptible to bacterial spot, developing twice the number of lesions as plants not subjected to wind stress. Wind velocity (8 m s⁻¹) and duration (5 to 10 min) in this study were typical of the gusty winds in late summer storms (Pohronezyh et al., 1992). Wind scab on French prune {Prunus domestica} caused by wind abrasion, increased infection by pathogens resulting in lost marketable yields equivalent to those caused by russet scab {Michailides and Morgan, 1993}. In New Zealand, the economic benefits of wind protection for horticultural crops are at least equal to those of pest and disease control (Sturrock, 1984).

Windbreaks influence the distribution of both crop pests and their natural enemies. In addition, more pollinating insects are found in sheltered areas. For example, bee flight is inhibited at wind speeds of 6.7 to 8.9 m s⁻¹ (Norton, 1988). Windbreaks also can reduce damage associated with aphid-transmitted viruses (Simons, 1957). For a complete discussion of insect distribution in shelters, see Pasek (1988).

Windbreak design

A windbreak provides two zones of protection, one to the leeward, which extends for a distance of roughly 10 H, and a smaller one to the windward extending about 1 to 3 H. Although wind speed reduction may extend as much as 20 to 25 H to the leeward, maximum reductions occur within the zone from 3 to 6 H. The extent of the protected zone depends on the height and density of the windbreak. The density depends on the structure of the vegetation and the width of the barrier. A single row windbreak may provide adequate wind.
Types of windbreaks

Windbreaks can take many forms, including individual plant protectors, plastic row covers, plastic or wood slat fences, raised beds, annual and perennial crops, herbaceous perennial plants, shrubs, and trees. These provide varying degrees of wind protection, and each has advantages and disadvantages within a specific production system. Three major types are discussed.

Annual herbaceous windbreaks. Annual herbaceous windbreaks are relatively easy to install, inexpensive, grow quickly, and are compatible with overhead irrigation systems. They allow greater flexibility in farming operations than either artificial windbreaks, such as snow fences, or perennial windbreaks, such as trees and shrubs. Annual barriers can be moved or removed as necessary. Small grains such as rye (Secale cereale L) or winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L) frequently are used in plastic culture systems to protect vegetable crops from wind stress and soil abrasion. Corn (Zea mays L) is also effective, although somewhat less tolerant of wind stress than either rye or winter wheat (Finch, 1988). These temporary or seasonal windbreaks often are established at the time the plastic culture bed is prepared by omitting the plastic every five to six rows or every 7 to 10 m. Usually the grain is planted at the time of bed formation, allowing several weeks for growth before transplanting.

In mild climates, rye may be planted in the fall with additional starter fertilizer to promote early growth and a good, thick stand. These fall plantings provide greater wind protection to vegetables planted early in the spring such as cole crops or onions. Rye also can be planted across the entire field the previous fall or in the early spring, before laying plastic. However, it is recommended that the rye be killed early enough so that the debris in the beds does not interfere with fumigation or laying of plastic. Often grain is mowed when it begins to head to reduce volunteer grain in rotation crops. The mowed rows then are used as drive rows or utility rows for crop maintenance and harvesting.

In some parts of the United States, small grain is used as a winter cover crop to reduce winter wind erosion and nitrogen leaching. The grain cover crop is tilled under in the early spring, before replanting the vegetable crop and early enough for decomposition to occur (Lanterman et al., 1985). Strips are left in the field at intervals of 15 to 20 m and are allowed to develop to maximum height and serve as windbreaks. Some growers remove strips of grain with shielded sprays of nonselctive herbicides such as paraquat, glyphosate, sethoxydim (Poast), or flurafulfop (Fusilade) rather than till them under during field preparation (Lanterman et al., 1985; Zandstra and Warnke, 1993). Regardless of how it is formed, the herbaceous windbreak should be established early enough to provide adequate protection to the seedling or transplanted crop. One major limitation to the use of herbaceous annual barriers is that weather conditions may limit the growth of the barrier and thus limit the effectiveness of the barrier during the early growing season.

An area of considerable interest is the use of mixed grass and legume strips as windbreaks in vegetable production. The legume crop increases the density and roughness factor of the windbreak strip while providing greater habitat diversity for beneficial insects (Bugg et al., 1991). Concomitantly, the vegetation windbreak may serve as a host to pest insects. The dynamic management of a vegetative windbreak to enhance the physical and biological components of an agro-ecosystem is poorly understood at this time and requires multidisciplinary approaches to derive practical and efficient production systems.

Perennial windbreaks. Perennial windbreaks of perennial plants such as shrubs, in some cases perennial grasses, can be used singularly or in combination with annual windbreaks distributed across the crop field. Trees and shrubs along riparian corridors often provide valuable, yet overlooked, benefits in wind reduction. Perennial grass barriers function in the same manner as annual herbaceous barriers and therefore are not discussed in detail. They offer one distinct advantage over annual barriers in that they provide protection during the winter and early in the growing season. The remainder of this discussion focuses on traditional tree and shrub barriers.

The two major advantages of tree windbreaks are height and longevity. With protection on the leeward and windward side of a windbreak, the sheltered zone between two parallel windbreaks can extend for 100 to 150 m or more. While it may take 10 to 20 years for a tree windbreak to reach its mature height, most tree windbreaks have a life span of more than 50 years. Thus, once established, tree windbreaks provide protection throughout the year and for a number of years.

The major disadvantages of tree windbreaks include the time necessary to reach a mature height and the degree of competition with an adjacent crop. Using a combination of annual barriers within a backbone of tree windbreaks, a producer can achieve immediate protection while investing in a long-term solution. Careful selection of fall, deep-rooted tree species and use of the zone next to the tree row for field access can minimize the area devoted to the windbreak and reduce the amount of competition to a level more than compensated for by the increase in yield and quality on the protected acres. With as little as 1% to 5% of the land base devoted to windbreaks, a producer can protect a crop, improve yield and quality, and increase profitability (Brandel et al., 1992, 1995).

Artificial windbreaks. Snow fences and various paper or plastic barriers are noncompetitive wind barriers, which, depending on the design, may be installed each year or be more permanent. Artificial windbreaks are used on the edges of annual crops or as strips through the planting.
spaced through the field similar to annual grain windbreaks. If large permanent wind screens are used, the design should include access for farm equipment and consideration of the characteristics of the equipment used to manage the crops. Artificial windbreaks offer the advantages of lack of competition for water or nutrients with the crop rows immediately adjacent to the windbreaks.

Higher yields and changes in microclimate comparable to those achieved with vegetative wind barriers have been reported. Bagley and Gowen (1960) found significantly higher yields related to shelter of snapbeans (37%) and tomatoes (30%). Monette and Stewart (1987) investigated the effect of a plastic windbreak 1.5 m high on bell pepper yields at distances of 3 to 30 m in the lee of the windbreak. Poppets were grown with and without perforated black plastic mulch. In a dry year, pepper fruit numbers were improved by shelter although no improvement was found during the following year with more moisture. Mulching gave higher yields than windbreak protection, but only in unsheltered conditions (Monette and Stewart, 1987). In Canada, plastic fiber windbreaks of different porosities gave 4.5 t·ha¹ higher potato yields when wind speeds were reduced by 50% to 60% of the of the control (Bégin et al., 1994). Marketable yield of carrots were higher when grown in the protected zone behind a plastic windbreak, and exhibited improved vitamin, color, and sensory attributes, which correlated with proximity to the windbreak (Taksdal, 1992). Even reductions in relatively low average wind speeds can give significantly higher yields. For example, strawberry yields were higher by an average of 56% over a 3-year period when mean wind speeds were reduced 31% from 1.6 to 1.1 m s¹ using plastic mesh screens (Wärster, 1972a). However, Harrison and Chrimes (1988) using 3.5-m-high, four-square enclosures of 50% permeable wood slat fences for wind protection of trellised runner beans found significantly higher yields only in years when strong winds occurred during the growing season. Average wind speed reduction due to shelter was 50%. Although significantly higher total yields were inconsistent from year to year, quality of Class I beans was higher from the protected plants and produced a significantly higher calculated gross market value (more than $774 per acre). Males (1989) found a significant correlation between a 52% reduction in wind speed using an artificial barrier and higher yields of the combined U.S. Fancy and U.S. No. 1 fruit for field-grown, trellised cucumbers. The higher grades were due primarily to reduced skin abrasion of the fruit.

Wind protection also can be achieved with continuous plastic row covers, which are most noted for their effects on soil and air temperature and, to a lesser extent, on wind and sandblast protection. The use of plastic row covers in specialty crop production has developed rapidly since the 1960s. Wells and Loy (1993) reviewed the use of row covers to enhance crop production, including the role of row covers for wind protection. Use of field windbreaks, either annual or perennial, can reduce wind damage to the plastic covers while also providing higher soil and air temperatures and reducing evaporation from the soil, thereby maintaining higher soil moisture.

**Conclusion**

Windbreaks reduce wind speed and improve microclimate in the sheltered zones. They provide many direct benefits to the producer while maximizing the ecological benefits of ecosystem diversity. They should be an integral part of all vegetable production systems, including plasticulture. While the effects of high wind speeds and soil abrasion are quickly evident, other less obvious reductions in yield and crop quality can result from wind stress at relatively low wind speeds. Wind protection systems, either artificial or vegetative, perennial or seasonal, offer benefits in improved microclimate for plant growth. Windbreaks enhance the soil warming and improve the water use efficiency characteristic of plasticulture. A better understanding of how shelter modifies crop growth will allow design of wind protection systems which achieve the desired modification in microclimate and plant growth, while reducing the expense of windbreak establishment. A detailed review of windbreak technology is available (Brandle et al., 1988).
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Stand Establishment in Plasticulture Systems

Michael D. Orzolek

**Summary.** Plasticulture enables growers to optimize establishment of vegetable and fruit crops under nonoptimum conditions and in locations with short growing seasons. Generally, warm-season crops such as muskmelon, pepper, and tomato, are established from transplants to decrease the time to maturity in the field. Directly seeding vegetables such as sweet corn, cucumber, and snap bean through plastic film allows for optimum soil temperature and moisture to ensure maximum seed germination and subsequent seedling emergence. Mechanized transplanting or direct seeding of vegetables in plastic culture is available and successful if a firm, flat bed is formed and specific crop requirements are followed during establishment. Common mistakes made with plant establishment in plasticulture are discussed.

Vegetable crop production in the northeastern and mid-Atlantic Unites States in the early 1950s generally was limited by extremes in temperature and moisture. The early efforts of Emery M. Emmert at the Univ. of Kentucky (Jones, 1994) demonstrated that plastic film, whether applied to the soil or covering a stationery structure, dramatically improved crop yields by maintaining more favorable soil temperatures and moisture. Since that early research by Emmert, environmental modification systems
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