Reduced Rates of Glyphosate in Combination with 2,4-D and Dicamba Impact Sweetpotato Yield
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Summary. Commercialization of crops tolerant to application of 2, 4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) and dicamba is a cause of major concern for sweetpotato (Ipomoea batatas) producers regarding potential negative impacts due to herbicide drift or sprayer contamination events. A field study was initiated in 2016 and repeated in 2017 to assess impacts of reduced rates of combinations of glyphosate with 2,4-D or dicamba on sweetpotato growth and production. Reduced rates of 1/10x, 1/33x, 1/66x, and 1/100x of a 1x rate of glyphosate at 1 lb/acre plus 2,4-D choline at 0.94 lb/acre and glyphosate at 1 lb/acre plus diglycolamine salt of dicamba at 0.5 lb/acre were applied to ‘Beauregard’ sweetpotato at 10 or 30 days after transplanting. With respect to visual injury, in general glyphosate plus dicamba proved to be more injurious than glyphosate plus 2,4-D, especially within the lower rate range. In most cases injury was greater at the later application timing. In either case, typical hormonal herbicide symptomology was quite evident 35 days after application. With respect to U.S. No. 1 and total (U.S. No. 1, canner, and jumbo grade) sweetpotato yield, greatest negative impact was observed with herbicide application at the upper rate range, particularly the 1/10 and 1/33x rates, and at the later application timing regardless of herbicide applied.

In 2018, Louisiana ranked fourth in production acreage of sweetpotato behind North Carolina, Mississippi, and California (LSU AgCenter, 2019). That year Louisiana harvested 7682 acres of sweetpotato with an estimated yield of 491 50-lb bushels per acre, resulting in $94.5 million in total production value (LSU AgCenter, 2019). Production and packing fresh market costs were about $4000/acre to $4600/acre, while production costs for the processing sector was about $2300/acre before storage (LSU AgCenter, 2019). Given this high level of production costs, there is little margin for error in terms of factors such as crop injury from off-target herbicide application or sprayer contamination events that can negatively impact yield.

Maximum sweetpotato yield production requires adventitious roots to effectively produce lateral roots that swell and produce mature storage roots (Villordon et al., 2014). Previous research has indicated that in pot studies at ≈5 to 15 d post-transplant, adventitious roots (representing 80% of the final yield) progressively grow and produce lateral roots depending on the internal auxin signaling (Villordon et al., 2014). Villordon et al. (2009) differentiated storage root development into a three-stage phenology scheme: SR1, SR2, and SR3. SR1 consists of the presence of at least one adventitious root greater than 0.5 cm in length, in at least 50% of transplanted slips. SR2 consists of the presence of anomalous cambium in at least one adventitious root on 50% of the plants. SR3 consists of at least one visible storage root, an adventitious root that is swollen 0.5 cm at its widest point, in at least 50% of the plants. Storage root formation begins between 13 and 20 d in the field. Lateral root development is fundamentally dependent on auxin signaling, and anything that interferes with this process interferes with storage root formation. This is the precise window for targeting negative impacts, such as herbicide injury, to determine maximum potential to reduce yield due to reduction in storage root number (A. Villordon, personal communication).

With increasing populations of weeds resistant to glyphosate herbicide, variety development has shifted focus to developing new product formulation technologies with older herbicides and using plant genetic modification to combat such populations. Two new technologies have been commercialized that allow application of 2,4-D choline (in combination with glyphosate) or diglycolamine (DGA)/N,N-Bis(3-aminopropyl) methylamine (BAPMA) salt of dicamba (3,6-dichloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid) (alone or in combination with glyphosate) over the top of crops that were previously intolerant to these two herbicides. Soybean (Glycine max), cotton (Gossypium hirsutum), and corn (Zea mays) varieties with these traits are readily available for purchase and use by producers. Dicamba and 2,4-D selectively control most dicotyledonous plants including morningglory (Ipomoea sp.) (Siebert et al., 2004), palmer amaranth (Amaranthus palmeri) (Norworth et al., 2008), and marestail (Conyza canadensis) (Bruce and Kells, 1990), and therefore are more commonly used in monocotyledonous crops, such as pastures, turf, and in some instances corn and small grains. These new technologies use plant genetic resistance to these products so that applications may be made directly to the transformed crops.

Merchant et al. (2013) found that morningglories, when exposed to either 2,4-D at 1.2, 1.75, or 2.3 L·ha⁻¹, or dicamba at 0.6, 1.2, and 2.3 L·ha⁻¹, were completely controlled. Glyphosate applied at 1120 g·ha⁻¹ controlled 2- to 5-cm entireleaf (Ipomoea hederacea) and pitted morningglory (Ipomoea lacunosa), while the same species at 8 to 10 cm were controlled 84% and 88%, respectively (Corbett et al., 2004). Because sweetpotato is also an Ipomoea species, off target movement of 2,4-D, dicamba, and glyphosate is a major cause for concern to producers. Research has
shown sweetpotato to be highly sensitive to 2,4-D, with a very low concentration of only 100 ppm considered optimum to induce flowering (Mutasa et al., 2013). Previous research has shown that 1/4 of the recommended rate of 2,4-D applied at 27 d after transplant will result in complete kill of ‘Beauregard’ sweetpotato within 2 weeks (Clark and Braverman, 1998). At a similar rate, dicamba and triclopyr resulted in chlorosis and severe stunting of plants. Dicamba, 2,4-D, and triclopyr at 1/4 and 2,4-D at 1/10 of the recommended use rate evaluated resulted in almost non-existent yield, while dicamba and 2,4-D applied at 1/100 of the use rate resulted in intermediate yield reduction. Clark and Braverman (1998) also demonstrated that stored roots from plants treated with dicamba at 1/10 of the use rate produced shoots with epinastic symptoms 8 months after application. In a separate study, Clark and Braverman in 1998 also reported that glyphosate applied at 1/2, 1/4, and 1/10 of the use rate 27 d after transplant reduced ‘Beauregard’ U.S. No. 1 and total marketable yield. When applied at 41 d after transplant, yield reduction was observed only with the 1/2 and 1/4x rates. Myers et al. in 2017 also indicated negative impacts with regards to injury and yield to sweetpotato exposed to simulated glyphosate drip rates encountered in wick weed control applications 4 to 8 weeks after planting.

No research has been conducted on the potential impacts on sweetpotato from 2,4-D (applied as Enlist Duo; Corteva Agriscience, Wilmington, DE) and dicamba (applied as Roundup Xtend; formerly Monsanto Company, St. Louis, MO) herbicide formulations that will be available for use in the Enlist or Xtend cropping systems. Off-target movement or sprayer contamination of 2,4-D, dicamba, or glyphosate is a major cause for concern. With this concern in mind, research was conducted in Louisiana to 1) determine differences in sweetpotato susceptibility to 2,4-D or dicamba applied at differing rates; 2) evaluate impact of reduced rates of these hormonal herbicides and glyphosate that may be encountered in off-target or sprayer contamination events; and 3) determine the impact of application timing on growth and yield of sweetpotato.

Materials and methods
A field study was initiated in 2016 at the Sweetpotato Research Station near Chase, LA and repeated in 2017. ‘Beauregard’ sweetpotato was mechanically transplanted 29 June 2016 and 5 July 2017 using standard industry practices on a 40-inch row with 12-inch in-row plant spacing, resulting in 13,068 plants/acre. Soil classification is a Giddinger fine-silty, mixed, active, thermic Typic Fragiudalfs with an organic matter content of 1.5% to 1.8% and pH 5.8. This variety was chosen as it encompassed most acreage planted in Louisiana (M. Sistrunk, personal communication). To eliminate weed interference, flumioxazin at 0.063 lb/acre pre-transplant, followed by S-metolachlor at 1.25 lb/acre immediately post-transplant, were applied to all plots (LSU AgCenter, 2020). Subsequent applications of clethodim at 0.152 lb/acre were applied throughout the growing season as needed for grass control (LSU AgCenter, 2020). Additional hand-weeding was performed as needed for broadleaf weed control. Fertilizer was applied pre-plant at 40 lb/acre nitrogen (N), 110 lb/acre phosphorous (P), and 112 lb/acre potassium (K). Chlorpyrifos (1.88 lb/acre) and clothianidin (0.196 lb/acre) insecticides were applied in furrow before planting (LSU AgCenter, 2020). Plants were monitored during the growing season, and insect control (as well as overhead irrigation) was scheduled as needed.

A randomized complete block experimental design with four replications was used. Treatments were placed in a factorial arrangement with Factor A consisting of herbicide (glyphosate in combination with 2,4-D or dicamba); Factor B consisting of herbicide rate (0, 1/10, 1/33, 1/66, and 1/100 of the 1x use rate of each product); and Factor C consisting of application timing [10 or 30 d after transplanting (DAP)]. The 1x use rate of the herbicides used as a basis for reduced rate applications was glyphosate at 1 lb/acre plus 2,4-D choline at 0.94 lb/acre and glyphosate at 1 lb/acre plus DGA salt of dicamba at 0.5 lb/acre. Additional spray adjuvants were not included with herbicide treatments. Plots were three rows 10 ft wide by 25 ft long. Two rows were treated leaving the third as a border row.

Treatments were applied at a constant 15 gal/acre carrier volume at 28 psi using a compressed air tractor-mounted sprayer equipped with air induction nozzles. Previous researchers evaluating impacts of reduced rates of herbicides on other crops have reported increased injury when carrier volumes are varied proportionally with lower herbicide rates (Ellis et al., 2002; Roider et al., 2008). Other researchers, however, have suggested that proportionally reducing carrier volume with herbicide rate may yield unrealistic results and confound the results obtained (Everitt and Keeling, 2009; Marple et al., 2008). Visual ratings of plant injury based on a scale of 0 (no effect) to 100 (plant death) were recorded at 7, 14, 28, and 35 d after treatment (DAT). Chlorosis, necrosis, leaf strapping and cupping, and height reduction were all factored when determining visual injury estimates. A single row from all plots was mechanically harvested, and sweetpotatoes were separated into U.S. No. 1, canner, or jumbo categories to determine yield. These grades are determined based on U.S. Department of Agriculture standards (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2005).

The MIXED procedure of SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for all data analysis. The fixed effect for the model for yield data were treatment (herbicide, rate, and application timing). The fixed effects for the model for injury data were treatment and repeated measures effects for DAT. The random effects for all models were year and replications. Protected least significant difference tests were used for mean separation. The significance level was 0.05 for all tests.

Results and discussion
Sweetpotato Injury. Repeated measures analysis did not allow for pooling of crop injury data across rating intervals. Statistical analysis indicated significant interactions involving herbicide by herbicide rate, by rating interval; and herbicide by application timing, by rating interval. Therefore, crop injury data are
Table 1. Sweetpotato visual injury 7, 14, 28, and 35 d after application of glyphosate in combination with 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) or dicamba, as influenced by herbicide rate at Chase, LA in 2016–17.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAT</th>
<th>Glyphosate + 2,4-D</th>
<th>Glyphosate + Dicamba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>55 a</td>
<td>57 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>24 b</td>
<td>25 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>19 d</td>
<td>20 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>15 e</td>
<td>17 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reduction rates applied as a fraction of 1x rate of each herbicide. The 1x rate used to calculate reduced rate applications was glyphosate at 1 lb/acre (1 lb/acre = 1.12 kg/ha) and 2,4-D choline at 0.94 lb/acre and glyphosate at 1 lb/acre plus DGA salt of dicamba at 0.5 lb/acre.

Means are averaged across application timings of 10 and 30 d after transplanting and represent an interaction of visual injury rating interval, herbicide, and herbicide rate.

DAT = days after herbicide treatment.

An abundance of research has been conducted to determine the effects of reduced rates of 2,4-D and dicamba that simulate rates encountered in off-target applications in crops other than sweetpotato. In North Carolina, dicamba and 2,4-D were both observed to cause significant yield reduction in soybean at rates as low as 1/8 of the labeled use rate (Johnson et al., 2012). In the same study, it was determined that dicamba caused greater visual injury than 2,4-D applied at similar fractional rates. Cotton exposed to 1/8 recommended use rate of 2,4-D or dicamba exhibited injury between 40% to 60% and 60% to 80% for 1 and 2 weeks after treatment, respectively (Johnson et al., 2012). Application of 2,4-D at 1/200 the recommended use rate on four-leaf cotton resulted in 76% (2005) and 88% (2006) injury 28 d after treatment (Marple et al., 2008).
When exposed to 1/50 of the normal use rate of 2,4-D, plants such as pepper (*Capsicum* sp.), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), and squash (*Cucurbita pepo*) were injured 35%, 41%, and 49%, respectively (Merchant et al., 2012). Similar injury responses were observed with sweetpotato in the current research. Greater injury at the later application timing could simply be because the plants were larger and had greater leaf/stem surface area to intercept more of the herbicide spray solution. Although differences in response between 2,4-D and dicamba were not observed with respect to negative impacts on sweetpotato (or positive impact (greater control) on morningglory in previously cited research within this article), dicamba was generally more injurious than 2,4-D, and this result is attributed to possible inherent tolerance differences in the variety.

**Sweetpotato Yield.** Statistical analysis indicated a significant herbicide by application timing interaction for all yield grade categories except canner. With respect to canner yield, significant herbicide by herbicide rate and application timing interactions were observed.

Averaged across herbicides, at the 10 DAP application timing U.S. No. 1 yield was significantly reduced in comparison with no herbicide (0 rate) only, with the highest reduced rate (209 bu/acre vs. 139.1 bu/acre) (Table 3). At the 30 DAP application timing, U.S. No. 1 yield was significantly reduced 84% and 45% with the 1/10x and 1/33x rates, respectively. Within each reduced rate, U.S. No. 1 yield difference in application timing was noted only with the 1/10x (139.1 bu/acre vs. 33.1 bu/acre) and 1/33x (219.9 bu/acre vs. 111.9 bu/acre) rates where yield was lower at the later timing.

Averaged across application timing, within each herbicide, canner grade yield was reduced only in comparison with no herbicide with glyphosate plus 2,4-D applied at the highest rate of 1/10x (63 bu/acre vs. 147 bu/acre) (Table 3). Within each reduced rate, a difference in herbicide was only noted at the 1/10x rate, with a lower yield observed with glyphosate plus 2,4-D (108 bu/acre vs. 63 bu/acre). Averaged across herbicide rate, glyphosate plus 2,4-D applied 10 DAP resulted in a 21% lower canner grade yield than when applied 30 DAP (data not shown). Yield was similar among application timings with glyphosate plus dicamba. At 10 DAP, canner grade yield was greater following application of glyphosate plus 2,4-D, in comparison with glyphosate plus dicamba (149 bu/acre vs. 125 bu/acre), while no herbicide difference was noted at the later application timing (data not shown).

Averaged across herbicides, at the 30 DAP application timing, Jumbo grade yield was significantly reduced 30% to 65% in comparison with no herbicide (0 rate), with all herbicide rates except the lowest reduced rate (Table 3). At the 10 DAP application timing, yield was not significantly reduced. Within each reduced rate, yield differences in application timing were noted only with the 1/10x (109 bu/acre vs. 48.9 bu/acre) and 1/66x (162.1 bu/acre vs. 98.8 bu/acre) rates where yield was lower at the later timing.

Averaged across herbicides, at the 10 DAP application timing, total yield (U.S. No. 1, canner, and jumbo) was significantly reduced in comparison with no herbicide (0 rate) only, with the highest reduced rate (444 bu/acre vs. 344 bu/acre) (Table 3). At the 30 DAP application timing, yield was significantly reduced 66%, 27%, and 15%, with the 1/10x, 1/33x, and 1/66x rates, respectively. Within each reduced rate, total yield difference in application timing was noted only with the 1/10x (344 bu/acre vs. 157.9 bu/acre) and 1/33x (455.9 bu/acre vs. 338 bu/acre) rates where yield was lower at the later timing.

Negative yield impacts with 2,4-D and dicamba have been observed in other crops. In Kansas, research has shown that at 1/3 of the use rate, dicamba can cause dead soybean shoot tips at 20 DAT and recovery by 45 DAT, as well as a minimum 75% yield reduction when applied to two to three-trifoliate soybean (Al-Khatib and Peterson, 1999). In North Carolina, dicamba and 2,4-D were both observed to cause significant yield reduction in soybean at rates as low as 1/8 of the labeled use rate (Johnson et al., 2012). In Louisiana, when

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herbicide</th>
<th>Application timing</th>
<th>7 DAT</th>
<th>14 DAT</th>
<th>28 DAT</th>
<th>35 DAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glyphosate + dicamba</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>24 b</td>
<td>22 bc</td>
<td>21 c</td>
<td>21 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyphosate + D</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>24 b</td>
<td>22 bc</td>
<td>21 c</td>
<td>21 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyphosate</td>
<td>0x</td>
<td>24 b</td>
<td>22 bc</td>
<td>21 c</td>
<td>21 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean followed by the same letter are not different at *P < 0.05* within each visual injury rating interval based on MIXED procedure analysis SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and least significant difference tests. Means are averaged across reduced herbicide rates of 0, 1/10x, 1/33x, 1/66x, and 1/100x of the 1x rate. The 1x rate used to calculate reduced rate applications was glyphosate at 1 lb/acre and glyphosate at 1 lb/acre plus DGA salt of dicamba at 0.5 lb/acre. Means represent an interaction of visual injury rating interval, herbicide, and application timing. DAT = days after herbicide treatment; DAP = days after transplanting.

### Table 2. Sweetpotato visual injury 7, 14, 28, and 35 d after application of glyphosate in combination with 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) or dicamba, as influenced by application timing at Chase, LA in 2016–17.
Table 3. Sweetpotato yield in bu/acre) following application of glyphosate in combination with plus 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) or dicamba, as influenced by application timing and/or herbicide rate at Chase, LA in 2016–17.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fractional herbicide rate</th>
<th>U.S. No. 1</th>
<th>Jumbo</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Canner</th>
<th>Glyphosate + 2,4-D</th>
<th>Glyphosate + dicamba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 DAP</td>
<td>30 DAP</td>
<td>10 DAP</td>
<td>30 DAP</td>
<td>10 DAP</td>
<td>30 DAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°</td>
<td>209 ab^c</td>
<td>203.1 ab</td>
<td>100.1 bc</td>
<td>140.8 ab</td>
<td>444 ab</td>
<td>461.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>139.1 cd</td>
<td>33.1 e</td>
<td>109 bcd</td>
<td>48.9 e</td>
<td>344 c</td>
<td>157.9 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/33</td>
<td>219.9 a</td>
<td>111.9 d</td>
<td>89.9 cde</td>
<td>68 de</td>
<td>455.9 ab</td>
<td>338 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/66</td>
<td>177 abc</td>
<td>162.1 bcd</td>
<td>162.1 a</td>
<td>98.8 cd</td>
<td>447 ab</td>
<td>390.9 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/100</td>
<td>207.1 ab</td>
<td>175.9 abc</td>
<td>120.1 abc</td>
<td>129 abc</td>
<td>487 a</td>
<td>427 ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aTotal yield represents a combination of yield of U.S. No. 1, jumbo, and canner grades. These grades are determined based on U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) standards: https://www.ams.usda.gov/grades-standards/sweetpotatoes-grades-and-standards

^bReduced rates applied as a fraction of 1x rate of each herbicide. The 1x rate used to calculate reduced rate applications was glyphosate at 1 lb/acre (1 lb/acre = 1.12 kg ha^-1) plus 2,4-D choline at 0.94 lb/acre and glyphosate at 1 lb/acre plus DGA salt of dicamba at 0.5 lb/acre.

^cMeans followed by the same letter are not different at P≤0.05 with each sweetpotato yield grade based on MIXED procedure analysis SAS (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and least significant difference tests. Means are averaged across herbicides glyphosate + 2,4-D and glyphosate + dicamba (U.S. No. 1, jumbo, and total) or application timings of 10 and 30 d after transplanting (canner). One 50-lb (22.7 kg) bu/acre = 56.043 kg ha^-1.

DAP – days after transplanting.

exposed to 1/2 the labeled rate of dicamba at the two to three-trifoliate stage, a yield reduction of 85%, as well as a height reduction of 72% was observed in soybean (Griffin et al., 2013). When applied at four- to five-leaf cotton, 1/2 the use rate of dicamba resulted in a 36% lint yield reduction (Everitt and Keeling, 2009). ‘Norland’ potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) has been observed to exhibit phytotoxic symptomology following application of dicamba, ranging from 2.8 g ha^-1 to 22.2 g ha^-1 (Wall 1994). Wall (1994) also found that at 22.2 g a.i./ha, marketable yield lost equaled 70% to 75%. When exposed to 1/50 of the normal use rate of 2,4-D, plants such as pepper, tomato, and squash were injured 35%, 41%, and 49%, respectively, and yield was reduced by 51%, 23%, and 27%, respectively (Merchant et al., 2012). In addition, rates as low as 1/400 of the use rate resulted in a 14.5% yield reduction of pepper. Previous research has shown that 1/4 of the recommended rate of 2,4-D applied at 27 d after transplant will result in complete kill of ‘Beauregard’ sweetpotato within 2 weeks (Clark and Braverman, 1998). At a similar rate, dicamba and triclopyr resulted in chlorosis and severe stunting of plants. Dicamba, 2,4-D, and triclopyr at 1/4 and 2,4-D at 1/10 of the recommended use rate evaluated resulted in almost nonexistent yield, while dicamba and 2,4-D at 1/100 of the use rate resulted in intermediate yield reduction. Clark and Braverman (1998) also demonstrated that stored roots from plants treated with dicamba at 1/10 of the use rate produced shoots with epinastic symptomology 8 months after application. In a separate study, Clark and Braverman in 1998 also reported that glyphosate applied at 1/2, 1/4, and 1/10 of the use rate 27 d after transplant reduced ‘Beauregard’ U.S. No. 1 and total marketable yield. When applied at 41 d after transplant, yield reduction was observed only with the 1/2 and 1/4x rates. Myers et al., in 2017, also indicated negative impacts with regards to injury and yield to sweetpotato exposed to simulated glyphosate drip rates encountered in wick weed control applications 4 to 8 weeks after planting.

Although no individual storage root average sample weights were recorded for each yield grade, visual observation of harvest storage roots indicated very minimal malformation or consistently “smaller” storage roots within each yield grade in the current research. Also early season visual injury rating differences between 2,4-D and dicamba, where the latter appeared to be more injurious, were not manifested in differences in yield reduction, indicating the crop is able to recover from dicamba injury to impact yield on similar levels to that observed with 2,4-D. Data collected cannot allow for an explanation of the precise reason for negative yield impacts (reduced photosynthetic capacity, slowed root development, etc.).

In conclusion, in general, glyphosate plus dicamba proved to be more injurious than glyphosate plus 2,4-D, particularly within the lower rate range. In most cases, injury was greater at the later application timing, presumably due to larger plants having greater surface area for herbicide interception. In either case, typical hormonal herbicide symptomology (leaf epinasty) was quite evident 35 d after application. Of interest is that early season visual injury differences among herbicides was not manifested in differences in yield following application, regardless of herbicide rate or application timing. With respect to U.S. No. 1 and total (U.S. No. 1, canner, and jumbo grade) sweetpotato yield, the greatest negative impact was observed with herbicide application at the upper rate range, particularly the 1/10 and 1/33x rates, and at the later application timing regardless of herbicide applied. Therefore, sweetpotato producers with multicrop farming operations are cautioned to thoroughly follow all sprayer cleanout procedures when previously spraying one of the combination herbicides evaluated, or to devote different equipment to spraying Xtend and Enlist crops. In addition, proper consideration should be given to planting these crops near sweetpotato production fields and making herbicide applications; under environmental conditions that are not conducive to off-target spray movement.
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