Effects of Citric Acid, Sucrose, and Proton Concentration in Suppressing Defoliation in Hibiscus Plants Grown under Low-illumination Conditions
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Summary. Potted young hibiscus (Hibiscus rosa-sinensis ‘Italian Red’) plants were placed in a postharvest environment under low-illumination conditions in a room from 22 July to 31 Dec. 2003. On treatment with a mineral nutrition (MN) solution, 19% of their leaves remained intact after 8 weeks, but all the leaves were lost after 12 weeks from the time of placement. Treatment with 3% sucrose (SUC) instead of CA also considerably suppressed the defoliation, with 26% of the leaves remaining intact for more than 8 weeks and 20% remaining intact for more than 12 weeks. Neither the CA nor the SUC solution was effective in suppressing defoliation, because it requires abundant sunshine and, thus, is a good model for experiments performed with artificial illumination.

Irradiance plays a major role in the growth and development of plants. Depending on their irradiance requirements, plants can be classified into those that require complete shade, partial shade, and complete irradiance. Plants grow well in natural environments, but it is a considerably challenging endeavor to artificially create favorable and compatible growing conditions for plants. Moreover, the presence of plants at the workplace improves the mood of the office staff by relieving stress, in addition to rendering the office environment more pleasant and attractive (Larsen et al., 1998).

Many types of plants can be grown indoors, but they need to be occasionally placed in bright irradiance for a few hours. For these plants, artificial light in the room—for example, the light emitted by a fluorescent lamp—cannot serve as an absolute substitute for high light intensities. The main aim of this study was to establish a method by which plants that require bright sunshine can be maintained in environments supplied with only artificial light, preferably within buildings.

In this study, we examined the effect of injecting exogenous sucrose (SUC) as a carbon nutrient on the suppressing of defoliation, because 3% SUC is usually added in the medium for plant tissue culture (Mantell et al., 1985). SUC is absolutely necessary for the growth of tissues, which absorb SUC as carbon and energy sources instead of using light to fix carbon dioxide to form carbohydrates. A recent report has shown that infusion of exogenous SUC increased attached miniature rose (Rosa hybrida) flower longevity (Monterreiro et al., 2002). However, another issue is that the SUC medium might favor the growth of fungi and other microorganisms. It is also known that citric acid (CA) exhibited antifungal activity in stored fibroin gel (Ayub et al., 1993). Therefore, we examined the postharvest effect of adding CA to the medium. For preparation of the vase solution of cut flowers, the pH was adjusted with CA, and 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate was used as the antibacterial reagent (Bosma and Dole, 2002), but to our knowledge, there are no reports on the injection of exogenous CA in potted plants for maintenance in the postharvest environment.

Reid et al. (2002) showed that hibiscus plants have very high light compensation points, therefore we chose this species to determine the possibility of indoor display. However, in the present study, hibiscus was selected as a model species because it requires abundant sunshine and, thus, is a good model for experiments performed with artificial illumination.

Materials and methods

‘Italian Red’ hibiscus plants were purchased from J Flowers (Shinsaibashi, Osaka, Japan). They were maintained indoors from 22 July to 31 Dec. 2003 in a room lacking cooling or heating systems at the topmost floor of our four-story school building. The light intensity emitted by fluorescent lamps (FL40S-PG; Panasonic, Osaka, Japan) was maintained at a photon flux density of 4.5 μmol-m⁻²-s⁻¹, and was directly incident on the plants. Light was supplied over a diurnal cycle on weekdays, while the room was in darkness at night and on weekends. For all the experiments, three plants that grew in a pot for up to a height of 0.3 m were used in each treatment unit. The maximum and minimum temperatures in the room were automatically measured with an electric thermometer (Sato Keiki, Tokyo) every week, and the average temperature in the
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room was calculated from these values during each week. The 24-week study period was divided into six 4-week periods, and the average temperatures in the room during the first, second, third, fifth, and sixth periods were 25.2, 23.5, 18.1, 12.2, and 10.5 °C, respectively.

The hibiscus plants growing in pots were watered once every 2 weeks with 250 mL of mineral nutrition solution (Nakayama and Hashimoto, 1973). The composition of the mineral nutrition (MN) solution was as follows: 0.62 mM ammonium nitrate, 0.99 mM potassium nitrate, 1.06 mM calcium nitrate, 2.17 mM ammonium dihydrogenphosphate, 1.02 mM magnesium sulfate, 6.7 μM ferric citrate, 6.6 μM manganese sulfate, 3.2 μM boric acid, 0.7 μM zinc sulfate, 0.08 μM copper sulfate, and 0.08 μM sodium molybdenium oxide. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 5.5 with 1 N potassium hydroxide. Water containing the MN solution was applied once every 2 weeks on Mondays. The other supply with an amended solution containing SUC and/or CA was applied once every 2 weeks on the other Mondays. The amended solution consisted of MN solution containing or lacking 1% to 4% SUC and/or supplemented with 1 to 50 mM CA. Two-hundred fifty milliliters of the solution was applied to each pot (15 cm diameter, 15 cm height). All of the treatments were initiated simultaneously from 22 July 2003. The loss of leaves in each plant was monitored every 4 weeks, and the average number of leaves remaining among three plants was calculated as a percentage. Data are presented as means ± sd. Statistical analyses were performed using the Student’s two-tailed heteroscedastic t test, and differences were considered to be significant at P < 0.05.

Results and discussion

The 24-week study period from 22 July to 31 Dec. 2003 was divided into six 4-week periods. The effects of CA present in the MN on the maintenance of the hibiscus leaves are shown in Fig. 1. The plants that were supplied 1 and 5 mM CA retained 60% of their leaves for more than 16 weeks, while those treated with 1 mM CA lost all their leaves by this time. However, even the plants that were treated with 5 mM CA did not survive after 20 weeks under the low-illumination conditions. In contrast, treatment with acetic acid instead of CA completely failed to suppress defoliation of the plant (data not shown).

The amount of SUC supplied with MN also plays a role in the maintenance of hibiscus leaves. As shown in Fig. 2, ≈30% of the leaves in two of three plants remained intact following treatment with 3% SUC in MN for 12 weeks, while all the leaves were lost in the plants that were treated with MN alone during the same time period (Fig. 1). In contrast, defoliation was drastically suppressed when the plants were treated with MN containing CA and SUC. In particular, 26% of the leaves of the plants treated with 1 mM CA + SUC and 60% of the leaves of those treated with 5 mM CA + SUC remained intact after the 24-week study period (Fig. 2). In contrast, the plants treated with CA at concentrations of more than 5 mM, as well as those treated with MN alone (Fig. 1), rapidly lost their leaves after 12 weeks, as shown in Fig. 2. These results indicate that an optimal CA concentration (i.e., between 5 and 10 mM) is required for leaf maintenance in hibiscus plants. Hibiscus plants with the best solution lost 32% of their leaves during the first 8 weeks in summer; they then lost another 8% of their leaves during the next 16 weeks (i.e., in fall and winter). In environments where the temperature is not controlled, the conditions may be harsh for plants in summer, and the storage conditions may be good during fall and winter because of the respiratory carbon demand.

Fungi were observed on the soil surface in the pots that were watered with MN solutions containing SUC and lacking CA but not in those that were watered with solutions containing CA (data not shown).

By treating the plants with various concentrations of SUC in MN solution containing 1 mM CA, we determined the critical concentrations of SUC and CA, which should be used to obtain positive results. As shown in Fig. 3, treatment with 1% or 4% SUC had greater effects on defoliation than that with 0% SUC in MN containing CA. In two of three plants, 20% to 30% of the leaves remained intact for more than 8 weeks when treated with 1% or 4% SUC in MN

Fig. 1. Effects of citric acid in mineral nutrition on defoliation in hibiscus plants [○ = mineral nutrition (MN), ■ = MN + 1 mM citric acid (CA), ▲ = MN + 5 mM CA]. The loss of leaves in each plant was monitored every 4 weeks, and the average number of leaves remaining among the three plants was calculated as a percentage. Error bars indicate ± sd. The differences were determined by the Student’s two-tailed heteroscedastic t test; “a” and “b” indicate that the values are different from those obtained with MN at P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively.

Fig. 2. Effects of citric acid in mineral nutrition containing sucrose on defoliation in hibiscus plants [● = mineral nutrition (MN) + 3% sucrose (SUC), ■ = MN + 1 mM citric acid (CA) + 3% SUC, ▲ = MN + 5 mM CA + 3% SUC, ● = MN + 10 mM CA + 3% SUC]. The loss of leaves in each plant was monitored every 4 weeks, and the average number of leaves remaining among the three plants was calculated as a percentage. Error bars indicate ± sd. The differences were determined by the Student’s two-tailed heteroscedastic t test; “a” and “b” indicate that the values are different from those obtained with MN + 3% SUC at P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively.
Mineral nutrition (MN) + obtained with MN values are different from those test; “a” and “b” indicate that the differences were determined by the Student’s two-tailed heteroscedastic t test; “a” and “b” indicate that the values are different from those obtained with MN + 1 mM CA at P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively.

Fig. 3. Effects of sucrose in mineral nutrition containing citric acid on defoliation in hibiscus plants [◊ = mineral nutrition (MN) + 1 mM citric acid (CA), ■ = MN + 1 mM CA + 1% sucrose (SUC), ▲ = MN + 1 mM CA + 2% SUC, ● = MN + 1 mM CA + 3% SUC, ◆ = MN + 1 mM CA + 4% SUC]. The loss of leaves in each plant was monitored every 4 weeks, and the average number of leaves remaining among the three plants was calculated as a percentage. Error bars indicate ± SD. The differences were determined by the Student’s two-tailed heteroscedastic t test; “a” and “b” indicate that the values are different from those obtained with MN + 1 mM CA at P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively.

Fig. 4. Effects of pH in mineral nutrition on defoliation in hibiscus plants [◊ = mineral nutrition (MN) + 5 mM citric acid (CA) + 3% sucrose (SUC) (pH 5.0), ■ = MN + 5 mM CA + 3% SUC (pH 6.0), ▲ = MN + 5 mM CA + 3% SUC (pH 7.0)]. The loss of leaves in each plant was monitored every 4 weeks, and the average number of leaves remaining among the three plants was calculated as a percentage. Error bars indicate ± SD. The differences were determined by the Student’s two-tailed heteroscedastic t test; “a” and “b” indicate that the values are different from those obtained with MN + 5 mM CA + 3% SUC (pH 5.0) at P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively.

Fig. 5. Comparison between only mineral nutrition and mineral nutrition containing citric acid and sucrose on defoliation in hibiscus plants. The figure on the left shows the plant grown for 10 weeks with only mineral nutrition (pH 5.0). The figure on the right shows a plant grown for 28 weeks with mineral nutrition (pH 5.0) containing 5 mM citric acid + 3% sucrose.

From the results of the treatment with various SUC concentrations in MN containing CA (Fig. 2), a critical concentration between 2% and 3% and probably closer to 3% appears to be most effective for suppressing defoliation in plants. The injection of SUC into soybean (Glycine max) plants increased the leaf area and
number of pods and had an overall positive effect on plant growth, but it was observed to suppress photosynthesis while the plants were being treated with high levels of the photosynthetic end product, SUC (Abdin et al., 1998). SUC is also known to regulate amino acid biosynthesis and to increase the rate of nitrate assimilation and 2-oxoglutarate synthesis (Morcuende et al., 1998; Roessner-Tunali et al., 2003). Compared with treatment with CA alone, treatment with SUC in combination with CA may produce higher levels of energy in the form of adenosine triphosphate. The results of our study show that an alternative carbon source in the form of SUC and an organic acid in the form of CA are required for leaf maintenance in plants grown under low-illumination conditions.

In the present study, treatment with a combination of 5 mM CA and 3% SUC at pH 5.0 but not at pH 6.0 or 7.0 was observed to be ideal for the maintenance of hibiscus plants grown under low-illumination conditions (Fig. 4). In the experiments shown in Fig. 4, a higher proton concentration (i.e., a pH of less than 5) was not examined because toxic mineral ions such as Al³⁺ are soluble in soil at a low pH (Epstein and Bloom, 2005). The better maintainability of the plant leaves that was observed at pH 5.0 rather than pH 6.0 or 7.0 may be explained by the fact that the growth of phytopathogens is inhibited in acidic soil.

The plants in our study, which were grown indoors from 22 July 2003 to 31 Dec. 2004, were able to withstand not only the hot summer conditions but also the harsh winter conditions, when the temperature of the room was maintained below 10 °C. Plants are known to exhibit reduced SUC synthesis and photosynthesis at low temperatures (Stitt and Hurry, 2002). However, the hibiscus plants in our study retained their leaves and green color even at low temperatures. These results suggest that plants can be grown indoors; however, the physiological aspects that influence their maintenance remain to be explored. We are currently investigating methods to establish the physiological and biochemical bases of the indoor growth of various plants following treatment with various concentrations of SUC and CA.
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