Integrating a University and Community College Course in Landscape Construction

Rolston St. Hilaire¹ and James M. Thompson²

Additional index words. landscape horticulture, learning assessment, undergraduate education, recruitment

Summary. Strong linkages among 2-year community colleges and 4-year universities are likely to foster the transition of more students into higher education and enhance student diversity. Two New Mexico educational institutions, Doña Ana Branch Community College (a 2-year community college) and New Mexico State University (a 4-year university), offered a landscape construction class as a joint course offering for students at both institutions. The objective of this educational approach was to develop a system that facilitates the seamless integration of compatible curricula from a community college and a university. Course evaluations showed that 63% of students enrolled in the combined class rated the combining of a university and community college class as an above average or excellent model of education. When asked to rate whether classroom materials and laboratory activities supported learning, 94% of the class rated those materials as excellent. Eighty-eight percent of students rated the presentation of subject matter as above average or excellent when asked if the subject matter was presented in an interesting manner. Students valued the experiential learning projects and would highly recommend the course to their peers. In this redesigned course, women and minorities constituted 63% of the class, suggesting that this educational approach has the potential to retain a large number of underrepresented groups in landscape horticulture. We conclude that this collaborative approach for teaching landscape horticulture is likely to enhance horticultural education and foster a seamless educational experience for students who transition from a community college to a university. Also, this educational approach could serve as a model for curricula that combine practical knowledge with advances in science and technology.

Although involvement in horticulture is increasing, sustained growth in undergraduate horticulture departments across the United States seems to be unique to a few universities (Sibley et al., 2002). Enrollment in undergraduate horticulture programs is declining (Bradley et al., 2003). So, new approaches in horticulture education must be developed to arrest the decline in enrollment in horticulture programs (St. Hilaire, 2003a). While faculty at 4-year institutions may perceive that there are institutional barriers to implementing novel approaches in undergraduate horticulture instruction, students often indicate a need for more active learning.

Many community colleges have been established to provide students with active learning opportunities. Enrollment at community colleges is increasing (Rouche et al., 2002). Furthermore, community colleges have played a role in increasing student diversity in postsecondary education in American institutions (Pascarella and Terenzini, 1998). Instructional approaches that use the experiential learning opportunities available at community colleges might attract students to university undergraduate horticulture programs. Furthermore, models that foster collaboration between agriculture programs at state universities and community colleges could help minority students overcome common barriers to educational success, such as poor academic preparation, low achievement motivation, and inadequate financial support (Nichols, 2001).

A horticulture course such as landscape construction might be an excellent candidate for immersing students in an active learning environment. Landscape construction is taught at several universities and colleges (Williams, 1999) and is not unique to New Mexico State University (NMSU). At NMSU, HORT 308 (landscape construction) is a three-credit-hour course. Students are instructed in site grading and drainage, landscape material use and specifications, project cost estimating, and the construction of landscapes for residential and small business sites (New Mexico State University, 2003). Historically, this class has had a lecture and a laboratory section that ran together throughout 16 weeks of the spring semester.

Doña Ana Branch Community College (DABCC) is a 2-year branch campus of NMSU. At DABCC, landscape construction I [OEMN (occupational education maintenance) 160] and landscape construction II (OEMN 170) are offered in the Department of Facilities Maintenance Technology. Both OEMN 160 and OEMN 170 are 16-week semester courses that include traditional lectures paired with laboratory sections. In those courses, students establish a landscape from a printed plan. Students are instructed in elementary surveying, grade inter-
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preparation, blueprint reading, landscape layout, and tool selection and use. Also, students install irrigation and drainage systems (Doha Ana Branch Community College, 2003). Thus, both NMSU and DABCC offer similar curricula in landscape construction. Furthermore, the two institutions are located within 1.2 miles (2 km) of each other.

Landscape construction instructors at DABCC and NMSU offered a redesigned landscape construction course to both community college and university students. The objectives of this educational approach were to: 1) develop a method to facilitate the seamless integration of compatible curriculum from a community college and a university; 2) develop a way to enhance student learning in landscape construction; and 3) create a way to retain a large number of women and minority students in the higher education system.

Materials and methods

Course selection. We examined course offerings at NMSU and DABCC for compatible curricula in landscape horticulture. Four courses at DABCC and three courses at NMSU were judged to be possible candidates for compatibility. After examining the content of each candidate course, we selected landscape construction II (OEMN 170) and landscape construction (HORT 308) as the two most compatible courses. Those two classes had an equal number of semester credits and similar course objectives. For example, one course objective that was remarkably similar to both courses was: “Given materials and instruction the student will correctly install unit pavers with 95% accuracy.”

Course delivery. After OEMN 170 and HORT 308 were selected, we synchronized the lecture and laboratory times that each of the individual courses was to be offered in the Spring 2003 semester. In 1998, 1999, and 2001, the combined enrollment in OEMN 170 and HORT 308 averaged 18 students (Table 1). However, classroom space was selected to accommodate an anticipated total enrollment of 33 students. For the initial course offering, course numbers were not altered. A new course was not created. The two courses were jointly taught as a single course with community and university students commingled in the same teaching environment. The course was organized as a 50-min lecture with 4 h of laboratory each week.

Because community college and university students were exposed to the same course material, instructors spent 6 months to modify existing course objectives; choose instructional topics; select reading materials, such as textbooks; and develop book chapter study guides, quizzes, and exams that would meet the instructional needs of both groups of students. The approach to developing the course objectives was to balance the theoretical framework needed by the university students with experiential learning mandated by the community college.

Lesson plans were collaboratively developed. The delivery of each lecture topic was based on the expertise of the individual instructors. Each instructor was responsible for half of the lecture topics, but the responsibility for each laboratory was shared. When one instructor delivered a lecture topic, the other instructor provided organizational support and assisted students with class exercises. The expertise of the individual instructors was diverse, making it possible to adequately cover the broad range of topics encountered in a landscape construction course. One of the instructors had 15 years of general construction experience. To complement the expertise of the instructors, local professionals gave three guest lectures to the class.

As lesson plans were crafted, the resources required for effective instructional delivery were developed. Historically, landscape construction projects at DABCC have focused on community-based projects, while those at NMSU are undertaken at NMSU’s landscape display garden at the Fabian Garcia Science Center or on the main campus in Las Cruces. Campus construction activities must be approved by the Office of Facilities and Services. For this course, the landscape construction project selected was the installation of landscape and irrigation systems for the then recently built equestrian center at NMSU.

Course and student evaluation. We developed a questionnaire so that the students registered in the class could informally but anonymously evaluate the course. The questionnaire was based on the formal questionnaires that students at NMSU and DABCC use to evaluate courses and instructors. The questionnaire was administered at the 15th week of class. The questionnaire consisted of five-point Likert-scaled items (Ary et al., 1996) and open-ended questions. Response categories and their corresponding values for the five-point Likert-scale items were as follows: unsatisfactory = 1; below average = 2; average = 3; above average = 4; and excellent = 5. Questions that were assigned Likert-scale values were judged to be related to instructor delivery style, instructional delivery methods, or course management. The response categories were chosen to mimic the categories that were present on formal student evaluation forms at DABCC and NMSU. A typical open-ended question was “How would you change this class to enhance student learning?”

All grading keys for student assignments and assessment techniques for laboratory exercises were developed jointly, but each instructor retained the responsibility of assigning a course grade for the students registered in that instructor’s course. Formal university and community college student evaluations were administered at the end of the class. Furthermore, we tracked the number of women and minority students enrolled in the course. We administered pre- and post-tests at the beginning and at the end of the class to gauge student learning of the subject matter (St. Hilaire, 2003b). The order of questions for the post-test was changed randomly to limit the possibility that a student might remember the order of previous responses.

Data analysis. Data were analyzed using SAS for Windows (release 8.2; SAS Institute, Cary, N.C.). Data from both community college and university students were treated as one data set. The assumption that pre- and post-scores were normally distributed was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Scores were normally distributed (P > 0.1500). A paired t-test was used to compare means between the pre- and post-test scores for each student. Least square means were used to report sums of responses for each question. An independent group t-test was used to test whether final student course grades when each course was taught separately were equal to the student course grades when the courses were taught jointly. A t-test was used to determine whether formal student course evaluation of the HORT 308
that were available to the combined
culture would have enrolled in each course separately during the
past three times that each course was offered at each institution (Table 1).

We had one laboratory section, which implied a large number of students had
to be supervised during laboratory activities. Two experienced technical
assistants from DABCC and a graduate


class included: a skid-steer loader equipped with auger and rototiller
attachments, compact loader, walk-
behind trencher, and a fully equipped
carpentry laboratory. The availability
of more equipment, facilities, and stu-
dents facilitated the construction of our
large-scale project. The class installed
the irrigation system and landscaped a
7162-ft² (665.3 m²) area at the NMSU
equestrian center.

Besides the enhanced capacity to
undertake larger projects, the avail-
ability of a broader range of equip-
ment prompted students to request
(on informal evaluations) more train-
ing on selected equipment. Students
requested more training in skid-steer
loader operations. So, we developed and
offered a one-credit, 100-level special
topics course on skid-steer
operations and safety. This special
topics course was offered at DABCC
in the summer II session (2 July to 8
Aug.) of 2003 and had an enrollment of
22 students. Development of a very
popular entry-level class might serve as
a recruitment tool if several undeclared
or underclassmen register in the class
(Bradley et al., 2003).

Student post-test scores improved
significantly (P < 0.001) over the pre-
test evaluation (Table 2). The maxi-
mum number of points a student could
score on the pre-/post-test was 40.

Table 2. Mean pre- and post-test scores (±SD) of stu-
dents enrolled in landscape construction II (OEMN 170)
and landscape construction (HORT 308) for 1998, 1999, 2001, and
2003. Landscape construction II is taught at Doña Ana Branch Community
College, and landscape construction is taught at New Mexico State
University.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>OEMN 170</th>
<th>HORT 308</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Course was not offered.
- Course was cancelled due to low enrollment.

Table 1. Student enrollment in landscape construction II (OEMN 170)
and landscape construction (HORT 308) for 1998, 1999, 2001, and
2003. Landscape construction II is taught at Doña Ana Branch Community
College, and landscape construction is taught at New Mexico State
University.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>OEMN 170</th>
<th>HORT 308</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Course was not offered.
- Course was cancelled due to low enrollment.
we did not find any difference \((P = 0.6288)\) in students’ formal evaluations of the course that was taught in 2001 (singly) and the class that was offered in 2003 (jointly). At the end of the semester, course evaluations showed that students valued the presentation skills and course delivery methods of the instructors (Table 3).

At many institutions of learning, evaluation of teachers is based on presentation skills and not on student learning (Boggs, 1998). However, one of the objectives of this project was to gauge how well our educational approach impacted student learning. Eighty-four percent of the class reported that classroom materials and laboratory activities chosen to support learning were above average or excellent (Table 4). Sixty-three percent rated the combining of a university and college class as above average or excellent and more than half the class would recommend this type of curricular course offering to other students (Table 4). None of the students in the class had been exposed to this type of learning environment. When asked whether the subject matter was presented interestingly, nearly 90% of the class reported that it was above average or excellent. Students are more likely to learn and retain the material when they find the subject matter interesting. Also, an interesting course might help to recruit students to the class (Bradley et al., 2003).

When asked whether the class was organized in a sensible manner, 16% of the class reported that the course organization was below average or unsatisfactory (Table 5). Although the majority (84%) of the class reported that class was organized sensibly, throughout the semester, the class met at different locations spread out among two campuses and three construction sites. One of the challenges we encountered was the increased communication needed to clearly indicate to students the site of the next meeting. Although meeting places were clearly outlined in the class syllabus, instructors hoping to use this method of instruction must be aware that students will need to be reminded constantly of class meeting places were clearly outlined in the site of the next meeting. Although

### Table 3. Responses of landscape construction students when asked questions related to instructor delivery style. Students (n = 32) were enrolled in landscape construction II (OEMN 170) and landscape construction (HORT 308) courses that were jointly taught. Landscape construction II is taught at Doña Ana Branch Community College, and landscape construction is taught at New Mexico State University.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey item</th>
<th>Response (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSMeans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ apparent familiarity with subject</td>
<td>US  BA  A  AA  E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 9.4 34.4 56.2 4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ attitude toward subject</td>
<td>0 0 12.5 28.1 59.4 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ attitude toward students</td>
<td>0 0 18.7 31.3 50.0 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ ability to convey concepts about the subject</td>
<td>0 3.1 18.8 40.6 37.5 4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Unsatisfactory (US), below average (BA), average (A), above average (AA), or excellent (E).

\(^{b}\)LSMeans (least squares means) were based on a Likert scale of 1 to 5 where US = 1; BA = 2; A = 3; AA = 4; and E = 5.

### Table 4. Responses of landscape construction students when asked questions related to combined delivery methods. Students (n = 32) were enrolled in landscape construction II and landscape construction (HORT 308) courses that were jointly taught. Landscape construction II is taught at Doña Ana Branch Community College, and landscape construction is taught at New Mexico State University.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey item</th>
<th>Response (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSMeans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well did instructors choose classroom materials and/or lab activities that supported learning</td>
<td>US  BA  A  AA  E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 15.6 40.6 43.8 4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is combining a university and community college a success or failure?</td>
<td>6.2 9.4 21.9 28.1 34.4 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you recommend this combined curricula offering to other students?</td>
<td>12.5 9.4 15.6 18.8 43.8 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you find the subject matter presented interesting?</td>
<td>0 0 12.5 25.0 62.5 4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Unsatisfactory (US), below average (BA), average (A), above average (AA), or excellent (E).

\(^{b}\)LSMeans (least squares means) were based on a Likert scale of 1 to 5 where US = 1; BA = 2; A = 3; AA = 4; and E = 5.

### Table 5. Responses of landscape construction students when asked questions related to course management. Students (n = 32) were enrolled in landscape construction II and landscape construction (HORT 308) courses that were jointly taught. Landscape construction II is taught at Doña Ana Branch Community College, and landscape construction is taught at New Mexico State University.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey item</th>
<th>Response (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSMeans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the course organized in a sensible manner?</td>
<td>US  BA  A  AA  E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4 6.2 31.2 21.9 31.3 3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you find the textbook useful and relevant?</td>
<td>0 0 25.0 9.4 65.6 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel you are being evaluated fairly?</td>
<td>0 0 25.0 21.9 53.1 4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Unsatisfactory (US), below average (BA), average (A), above average (AA), or excellent (E).

\(^{b}\)LSMeans (least squares means) were based on a Likert scale of 1 to 5 where US = 1; BA = 2; A = 3; AA = 4; and E = 5.
In 2001, 58% of the HORT 308 class was minority and women students. Minority and women students constituted 63% of the jointly offered. Although Nassar et al. (2002) found that women in the landscape contracting program at Pennsylvania State University academically outperformed men, historically, women represented only 13% of the students enrolled in that program. The fact that we obtained such high numbers of underrepresented groups in the program may be due in part that the United States Department of Education Title III program have designated both DABCC and NMSU as Minority Serving Institutions. Also, in Fall 2003, women formed 60% of the total enrollment in the College of Agriculture at NMSU (Martin, 2003) where the landscape horticulture program is housed. Furthermore, community colleges have a relatively larger proportion of minority students compared to universities. So, the integration of a community college and university class has the potential to increase minority enrollment in the combined class.

Three DABCC students inquired about the horticulture program at NMSU. Of those three students, one student subsequently enrolled in classes at NMSU and another transferred to the Agronomy and Horticulture department at NMSU to complete a 4-year degree in horticulture. Our educational approach might be good way to help community college students transition to a 4-year university. Our program was funded externally and co-managed by NMSU and DABCC. We anticipate that instructors willing to implement this teaching strategy at other institutions could encounter institutional and financial barriers. However, this educational approach could help undergraduate recruitment at 4-year institutions.

We conclude that this collaborative approach for teaching landscape construction is likely to enhance horticulture education for all students and foster a seamless educational experience for students who transition from a community college to a university. Our educational approach provides another way to train the next generation of students for the U.S. horticulture industry. Furthermore, our approach to horticulture education has the potential to recruit more students to undergraduate horticulture programs.
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