Table 2. Initial substrate pH, electrical conductivity (EC) determined by saturated media extract (Warncke, 1986) and air-filled porosity (AFP), water-holding capacity (WHC), and total pore space determined on three samples of each substrate in 0.95-L (1-qt) pots by volume displacement methods (Niedziela and Nelson, 1992).

Substrate	pH	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	AFP (%)	WHC (%)	Total pore space (%)
Pine bark mix	5.8 a ²	0.79 a	22 a	30 b	52 b
Pro Mix BX	5.6 a	0.71 a	23 a	45 a	67 a

²Mean separation within columns by the Waller-Duncan k ratio method (k = 100).

The only exceptions were significant substrate × rate interactions for impatiens root dry weight, begonia shoot dry weight, and bell pepper root and shoot dry weights.

Fertilizer A slightly increased shoot dry weight and number of flowers produced in salvia, color ratings in marigold, and root dry weight in bell peppers growing in Pro Mix BX over than of Fertilizer B (Table 1). All other plant quality variables in Pro Mix Bx plants and all plant quality variables for pine bark mix were unaffected by fertilizer source. Fertilizer rate effects were highly significant for all variables in all species except for number of flowers in impatiens for both substrates, begonia color in both substrates, and all other variables for begonias in Pro Mix BX (Table 1). Fertilizer source × rate interaction effects were significant only for salvia root dry weight in pine bark mix and bell pepper color in Pro Mix BX. Thus, fertilizer source does not appear to be particularly important in determining bedding plant quality.

FERT A-based products are often used in the production of bedding plants since their higher P content is believed to enhance flower and/or fruit production. In this study FERT A significantly improved flower production only for salvia grown in Pro Mix BX. In none of the other species did FERT A significantly improve flower or fruit production. Since root and shoot growth of most container-grown ornamental plants do not respond to increasing P beyond a minimal sufficiency level (Broschat and Klock-Moore, 2000; van Iersel et al., 1998, 1999) and PO₄-P runoff from nurseries is a potential environmental concern, there appears to be little need for the higher levels of P in the FERT A fertilizers. FERT A also costs more than FERT B, but yields little or no improvement in bedding plant growth or quality for the increased cost.

Literature cited

- Broschat, T.K. 1995. Nitrate, phosphate, and potassium leaching from container-grown plants fertilized by several methods. *HortScience* 30:74–77.
- Broschat, T.K. and K.A. Klock-Moore. 2000. Root and shoot growth responses to phosphate fertilization in container-grown plants. *HortTechnology* 10:765–767.
- Cole, J.C. and J.M. Dole. 1997. Temperature and phosphorus source affect phosphorus retention by a pine bark-based container medium. *HortScience* 32:236–240.
- Conover, C.A. and R.T. Poole. 1981. Guide for fertilizing tropical foliage plant crops. Univ. Fla. Apopka Agr. Res. Ctr. Res. Rpt. RH-81-1.
- Mengel, K. and E.A. Kirkby. 1989. Principles of plant nutrition. Intern. Potash Inst., Berne, Switzerland.
- Nelson, P.V. 1998. Greenhouse operation and management. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J.
- Niedziela, Jr., C.E. and P.V. Nelson. 1992. A rapid method for determining physical properties of undisturbed substrates. *HortScience* 27:1279–1280.
- Ogden, R.J., F.A. Pokorny, H.A. Mills, and M.G. Dunavent. 1987. Elemental status of pine bark-based potting media. *Hort. Rev.* 9:103–131.
- van Iersel, M.W., R.B. Beverly, P.A. Thomas, J.C. Latimer, and H.A. Mills. 1998. Fertilizer effects on the growth of impatiens, petunia, salvia, and vinca plug seedlings. *HortScience* 33:678–682.
- van Iersel, M.W., R.B. Beverly, P.A. Thomas, and H.A. Mills. 1999. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium effects on pre- and post-transplant growth of salvia and vinca seedlings. *J. Plant Nutr.* 22:1403–1313.
- Warncke, D.D. 1986. Analyzing greenhouse growth media by saturation extraction method. *HortScience* 21:223–225.
- Wright, R.D. and A.X. Niemiera. 1987. Nutrition of container-grown woody nursery crops. *Hort. Rev.* 9:75–101.

Effects of Flutolanil Fungicide and Primer Wetting Agent on Water-repellent Soil

Keith J. Karnok¹ and

Kevin A. Tucker²

ADDITIONAL INDEX WORDS. hot spots, dry patch, isolated dry spots, hydrophobic soil

SUMMARY. Localized dry spot (LDS) caused by water repellent soil is a common problem on golf course putting greens having a predominately sand root zone. Fairy ring often causes LDS by developing hydrophobic soil. Although the fungicide flutolanil is labeled for the control of fairy ring, golf course superintendents often apply flutolanil to all LDS caused by hydrophobic soil and other conditions. The objective of this study was to determine the effect of flutolanil on an existing hydrophobic soil. The study was conducted on a creeping bentgrass [*Agrostis palustris* (synonym *A. stolonifera*)] experimental golf green in which the top 4 inches (10.2 cm) of the root zone was a moderately hydrophobic sand. Six treatments were used: uncured, cored, flutolanil (two applications.), flutolanil + Primer wetting agent (two applications.), Primer (two applications.) and Primer (three applications.). Plots receiving the fungicide and wetting agent treatments were cored before application. Each treatment containing the wetting agent significantly reduced soil water repellency. Flutolanil without wetting agent had no effect on soil hydrophobicity.

Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Plant Sciences Bldg., University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602.

Use of trade names does not imply endorsement of the products named nor criticism of similar ones not named. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper therefore must be hereby marked advertisement solely to indicate this fact.

¹Professor.

²Research associate.

Localized dry spot (LDS) is the occurrence of an irregular area of turfgrass that for no apparent reason begins to show signs of drought stress (Karnok and Tucker, 1999). There can be many causes of LDS including excessive thatch/mat, soil compaction, salts, soil layering, improper chemical usage, certain fungi and insect pests or poor irrigation coverage (Karnok and Tucker, 2000). A primary cause of LDS on golf greens is the presence of water repellent or hydrophobic soil (Wilkinson and Miller, 1978; Tucker et al., 1990). Water repellency is often associated with soils containing significant amounts of sand (Wilkinson and Miller, 1978). Golf greens often contain more than 90% sand [United States Golf Association (USGA), 1989].

Most researchers agree that soil water repellency is the result of organic compounds derived from living and/or decomposing plants and microorganisms (Doerr et al., 2000). The exact origin of these organic compounds is not completely understood. In some cases, the origin appears to be associated with particular types of vegetation and/or their decomposition (McGhie and Posner, 1981). In other cases, water repellency has been associated with fungal growth and soil microorganisms (Doerr et al., 2000). For example, fairy ring is the name commonly given to circles of mushrooms or lush green circular bands observed in established turfgrass areas (Couch, 1995). Often associated with these characteristics is the development of a zone of water repellent soil (Couch, 1995; Fidanza et al., 2000). In many cases, fairy ring can result in the occurrence of LDS. Although there are more than 50 species of fungi that cause fairy ring, all appear to be soil inhabiting, basidiomycete-type or mushroom fungi (Fidanza et al., 2000). Fairy ring or basidiomycete-type fungi may cause LDS in several ways. The breakdown of organic matter, thus providing the organic compounds necessary for soil water repellency may be responsible for drought-like symptoms associated with fairy ring (Couch, 1995). The presence of thick fungal mycelia which may prevent the movement of water into the soil may also be responsible (Couch, 1995). It has also been postulated that toxic metabolites of certain species of fairy ring fungi may also be responsible for LDS (Filer, 1966). Most likely it is a combination of these

three scenarios that cause LDS (Karnok and Tucker, 1999).

The fungicide flutolanil (N-[3-(1-methylethoxy) phenyl]-2-(trifluoromethyl) benzamide) is labeled for the control of fairy ring. It can be used as a preventative or curative method of control of some fungal species that cause fairy ring (Fidanza, et al., 2000). To ensure movement into the soil, it is recommended that flutolanil be applied with a soil wetting agent (Fidanza, et al., 2000). Early treatment with flutolanil will prevent the formation of LDS caused by fairy ring (Fidanza, et al., 2000). However, a common practice among some turfgrass managers is the use of flutolanil whenever signs of fairy ring, LDS, or water repellent soil become apparent (Karnok and Tucker, 1999). The belief is flutolanil will cure or lessen the severity of water repellent soil and thus LDS (Karnok and Tucker, 1999). Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine if flutolanil reduced the severity of an existing hydrophobic soil.

Materials and methods

The experiment was initiated on 9 June 1998 on the University of Georgia Water Repellent Experimental Golf Green in Athens, Georgia. The green was constructed according to USGA specifications (USGA, 1989) except that the upper 4 inches (10.2 cm) of root zone mix consisted of water repellent sand. The sand was obtained from an old abandoned research green on the University of Georgia campus. The green had exhibited severe LDS caused by water repellent soil for many years. The water repellent sand was harvested by removing the entire top 2 inches (5.4 cm) of sod and root zone mix and passing it through a wire sieve. The water repellent experimental green was established to 'Crenshaw' creeping bentgrass, that was sodded on 17 May 1996. The green was mowed at 0.25 inch (0.625 cm) and irrigated as needed to prevent wilt. Nitrogen was applied at 7 lb/1000 ft² (341.8 kg·ha⁻¹) annually as ammonium nitrate (32N-0P-0K) and the other nutrients maintained at satisfactory or above levels according to soil testing. Pesticides were applied as needed for the control of insects and diseases.

On 9 June 1998, the following treatments were applied to 2 × 2-ft (0.61-m) plots: uncured control, cored control, flutolanil (two applications),

flutolanil + Primer (polymeric polyoxyalkylenes and oxoalkenyl hydroxypolyoxyalkane diyl) wetting agent (two applications) (Aquatrols Corp. Cherry Hill, N.J.), Primer (two applications), Primer (three applications). Flutolanil is sold under the trade name Prostar 50WP or 70WP (Aventis Environmental Science, Montvale, N.J.). Primer is a popular wetting agent commonly used with Prostar for the control of fairy ring. With the exception of the uncured control plots, the plot area was cored before treatment application on 9 June, 9 July, and 7 Aug. 1998. The area was cored with a Greensaire aerifier having 0.375-inch-diameter (0.95-cm) tines on 2-inch (5.1-cm) centers. Coring depth averaged about 3 inches (7.6 cm). The area was not topdressed following coring. A CO₂ backpack sprayer calibrated to deliver 2 gal/1000 ft² (814.9 L·ha⁻¹) was used to apply the Primer treatments at 6 fl oz/1000 ft² (19.1 L·ha⁻¹). Primer was applied with flutolanil on 9 June and 9 July. The two applications of Primer were applied on 9 June and 9 July, while the three application treatment of Primer was made on 9 June, 9 July and 7 Aug.. The Primer treatments were irrigated immediately after application with 0.5 inch (1.25 cm) of water. Prostar was applied at 6 oz/1000 ft² (18.3 kg·ha⁻¹) in 30 gal/1000 ft² (12,223 L·ha⁻¹) of water. The flutolanil treatments were applied 24 h after the Primer applications.

Visual color (1 = brown, 9 = dark green) and quality (1 = poor, 9 = excellent) ratings were made on 9 June (before initial treatment application), 24 June, 9 July, 7 Aug., and 24 Aug. 1998. Turfgrass quality refers to uniformity, density, color, smoothness, leaf texture, and growth habit (Beard, 1972). Both color and quality rating systems used in this investigation are the accepted rating systems used by turfgrass researchers throughout the United States. Soil water repellency was determined by the molarity of ethanol droplet (MED) technique (King, 1981). Five soil samples per plot were taken on 9 June (before treatment initiation), 24 June, 9 July, 7 Aug., and 24 Aug. 1998. Samples were dried for 24 h at 95 °F (35 °C), then sieved through a #10 United States Standard Series (2-mm) mesh screen. To ensure a uniform surface and depth for the MED test, soil was placed in a 2-inch-diameter × 0.39-inch-deep (5.1 × 1.0-cm) dish. The MED test was conducted by placing a series of 40 µL

Table 1. Color and quality of 'Crenshaw' creeping bentgrass as affected by flutolanil fungicide and Primer^z wetting agent.

Treatment	9 June (initial) ^y		24 June		9 July		7 Aug.		24 Aug.	
	Color ^x	Quality ^w	Color	Quality	Color	Quality	Color	Quality	Color	Quality
Uncored control ^v	7.8 a ^u	7.6 a	7.0 a	7.3 a	6.8 b	7.1 a	6.4 c	6.1 b	6.5 a	6.1 c
Cored control	8.0 a	7.9 a	7.1 a	7.4 a	6.8 b	7.3 a	6.5 bc	6.5 ab	6.6 a	6.4 bc
Flutolanil + Primer (2 applications)	8.0 a	7.9 a	7.5 a	7.6 a	7.5 a	7.5 a	6.6 bc	7.0 ab	7.0 a	6.9 ab
Flutolanil (2 applications)	7.8 a	7.6 a	7.4 a	7.5 a	7.3 ab	7.4 a	6.9 abc	6.9 ab	6.9 a	6.9 ab
Primer (2 applications)	7.6 a	7.6 a	7.6 a	7.5 a	7.5 a	7.6 a	7.4 a	7.3 a	7.0 a	7.1 a
Primer (3 applications)	7.8 a	7.6 a	7.4 a	7.3 a	7.1 ab	7.1 a	7.1 ab	6.9 ab	7.0 a	6.6 abc

^zPrimer soil wetting agent (polymeric polyoxyalkylenes and oxoalkenyl hydroxy polyoxyalkane diyl), Aquatrols, Cherry Hill, N.J.

^yRatings taken before initial treatment application.

^xColor - 1 = brown, 9 = dark green.

^wQuality - 1 = very poor quality, 9 = excellent quality. Quality is a measure of turfgrass texture, smoothness, growth habit, color, uniformity, and density.

^vCoring is a method of cultivation in which small soil cores are removed by hollow tines or spoons.

^uMeans in the same column joined by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level of probability according to Duncan's multiple range test.

aqueous ethanol droplets at 0.4 molar intervals on the surface of the soil. The molarity of the droplet that completely infiltrated the soil within 5 s was determined as the MED or water repellency value. Soil having no water repellency would have a MED value of 0 while a MED value of 4.0 would indicate extreme soil hydrophobicity (Doerr et al., 2000).

The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures with treatment means separated by Duncan's multiple range test at $P = 0.05$.

Results and discussion

TURFGRASS COLOR AND QUALITY.

There were no differences in color or quality before treatment application (9 June) or 2 weeks after application on 24 June (Table 1). Although there were no differences in quality on 9 July, turfgrass color ratings were significantly higher for turf treated with both the flutolanil + Primer and Primer (two applications.) treatments compared to the uncured and cored controls (Table

1). On 7 Aug. turf treated with Primer (two applications.) had higher color ratings than both controls, while flutolanil and flutolanil + Primer were not different from the controls. The highest quality rating was shown by Primer (two applications.) on this date. There were no turf color differences among the treatments on the last rating (24 Aug.), however, flutolanil, flutolanil + Primer, and Primer (two applications.) treated plots had higher quality ratings than the uncured control. There were no phytotoxic effects by any of the treatments at any time during the study. Although not statistically significant, the turfgrass appeared to benefit from coring. Coring has been shown to relieve the effects of soil water repellency and facilitate the downward movement of wetting agents into the root zone (Wilkinson and Miller, 1978). Flutolanil alone or in combination with Primer had no or little effect on turfgrass color or quality. Again, this would be expected since there was no evidence of fairy ring in the plot area at the time of the study. Flutolanil has been shown to be very effective at reducing the symptoms of fairy ring

incited by *Lycoperdon* sp. (Fidanza et al., 2000).

SOIL WATER REPELLENCY. There was no significant difference in soil water repellency for all plots before the application of treatments on 9 June (Table 2). The degree of water repellency (MED 2.4 to 2.5) would be considered moderately high (Doerr, et al., 2000). On 24 June, 9 July, and 7 Aug., both Primer treatments and the flutolanil + Primer treatment had significantly lower MED values than both controls and the flutolanil treatment. Wetting agents have been shown to be very effective in reducing soil water repellency and are the primary management strategy for ameliorating water repellent soil (Wilkinson and Miller, 1978; Kostka et al., 1997; Karnok and Tucker, 1999). The last measurement date, 24 Aug., showed all the treatments containing wetting agent had significantly lower MED values than both controls. Again, flutolanil did not reduce water repellency. The MED of 0.7 for Primer (three applications.) was lower than all treatments on this date. This would be expected since the recommended application frequency

Table 2. Soil water repellency as affected by flutolanil fungicide and Primer^z wetting agent.

Treatment (initial)	Soil water repellency (MED) ^x				
	9 June ^y	24 June	9 July	7 Aug.	24 Aug.
Uncored control ^w	2.5 a ^v	2.2 a	1.8 a	1.8 a	1.8 a
Cored control	2.5 a	2.2 a	1.8 a	1.8 a	1.7 a
Flutolanil + Primer (2 applications)	2.5 a	1.5 b	1.0 b	0.7 b	1.2b
Flutolanil (2 applications)	2.4 a	2.2 a	1.9 a	1.8 a	1.6 ab
Primer (2 applications)	2.5 a	1.4 b	1.1 b	0.9 b	1.2 b
Primer (3 applications)	2.5 a	1.5 b	0.9 b	1.0 b	0.7 c

^zPrimer soil wetting agent (polymeric polyoxyalkylenes and oxoalkenyl hydroxy polyoxyalkane diyl), Aquatrols, Cherry Hill, N.J.

^yMEDs taken before initial treatment application.

^xSoil water repellency - 0 = non-water repellent, 4 = extremely water repellent.

^wCoring is a method of cultivation in which small soil cores are removed by hollow tines or spoons.

^vMeans in the same column joined by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level of probability according to Duncan's multiple range test.

for Primer is every 30 d. These data show that flutolanil alone has no effect on reducing water repellency of an existing hydrophobic soil. However, flutolanil applied at the first signs of fairy ring may prevent the development of soil water repellency.

Literature cited

Couch, H.B. 1995. Diseases of turfgrasses. 3rd ed. Kreiger Publ., Malabar, Fla.

Doerr, S.H., R.A. Shakesby, and R.P.D. Walsh. 2000. Soil water repellency: Its causes, characteristics and hydro-geomorphological significance. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 51:33–65.

Beard, J.B. 1973. Turfgrass: Science and culture. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.

Fidanza, M., P. Colbaugh, and S. Davis. 2000. Fairy ring biology and management in turfgrass. *Turfgrass Trends* 5:6–10.

Filer, Jr., T.H. 1966. Effect on grass and cereal seedlings of hydrogen cyanide produced by mycelium and sporophores of *Marasmius oreades*. *Plant Dis. Rpt.* 50:264–266.

Karnok, K.J. and K.A. Tucker. 1999. Dry spots return with summer. *Golf Course Mgt.* 5:49–52.

Karnok, K.J. and K.A. Tucker. 2000. FAQ about LDS. *Golf Course Mgt.* 6:75–78.

King, P.M. 1981. Comparison of methods for measuring severity of water repellency of sandy soils and assessment of some factors that affect measurement. *Austral. J. Soil Res.* 19:275–285.

Kostka, S.J., J.L. Cisar, J.R. Short, and S. Mane. 1997. Evaluation of soil surfactants for the management of soil water repellency in turfgrass. *Intl. Turfgrass Res. Soc. Res. J.* p. 485–494.

McGhie, D.A. and A.M. Posner. 1981. Effect of plant top material on the water repellency of fired sands and water-repellent soils. *Austral. J. Agr. Res.* 32:609–620.

Tucker, K.A., K.J. Karnok, D.E. Radcliff, G. Landry, Jr., R.W. Roncadori, and K.H. Tan. 1990. Localized dry spots as caused by hydrophobic sand on bentgrass greens. *Agron. J.* 82:549–555.

United States Golf Association. 1989. Specifications for a method of putting green construction. USGA Green Section, Far Hills, N.J.

Wilkinson, J.F. and R.H. Miller. 1978. Investigation and treatment of localized dry spots on sand golf greens. *Agron. J.* 70:299–304.

Fall-applied Rowcovers Enhance Yield in Plasticulture Strawberries

Gina E. Fernandez

ADDITIONAL INDEX WORDS. *Fragaria* × *ananassa*, floating rowcover, synthetic spun-bonded cover

SUMMARY. The environmental conditions necessary for floral initiation and development in North Carolina can be arrested by the onset of an early drop in temperatures in the fall soon after planting. Floating rowcovers were placed on plots of three cultivars of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*), ‘Chandler’, ‘Camarosa’ and ‘Sweet Charlie,’ for 2 weeks in the fall to determine if fruit yield could be increased by enhancing additional plant growth. Yields were taken on plots with and without rowcovers the following spring. Temperatures and photosynthetic photon flux were monitored under the rowcovers, as well in adjacent plots that were not covered throughout the year. Daily, minimum, maximum and mean temperatures were generally higher under the rowcovers when ambient temperatures were above 10 °C (50 °F). Rowcovers had no effect on leaf area, root, crown, leaf, flower and fruit dry weight in the first year and a minimal affect in the second year. The application of rowcovers increased total yield and marketable yield by 80 to 100 g/plant (0.18 to 0.22 lb/plant) but did not affect fruit weight. This study suggests that rowcovers can improve yield. However further studies are needed to assess effects of time of initial placement and duration of rowcovers on strawberry yield, growth and development.

Assistant professor, North Carolina State University, Department of Horticultural Science, Vernon G. James Research and Extension Center, Plymouth, NC 27962.

I gratefully acknowledge Melanie Halsey for her technical assistance. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper therefore must be hereby marked *advertisement* solely to indicate this fact.

Strawberry production in North Carolina is based primarily on an annual plasticulture system where yields can exceed 15,785 kg·ha⁻¹ (34,800 lb/acre) in the southeastern part of the state. However, yields in cooler winter regions of the state average only 19,054 to 20,174 kg·ha⁻¹ (17,000 to 18,000 lb/acre) using this plasticulture system (Poling, 1993). The primary strawberry cultivars used in our plasticulture system have specific environmental requirements for floral development. These type of strawberries are called June-bearers. Floral induction for these plants occurs in the fall in response to changes in photoperiod (Durner and Poling, 1988). Once the initial trigger from short days is perceived by the plant, suitable temperatures are needed for flower bud initiation and differentiation. Crown growth and development occurs when the temperatures are above 10 °C. Flower buds are formed when days are less than 14 h and temperatures are above 15 °C (59 °F) (Strand, 1994). In North Carolina, daylengths are near 12 h and temperatures are between 15 to 25 °C (59 to 77 °F) for about 1 month following planting. However, in November temperatures often drop below the optimal range for flower bud development. For example, the average maximum, minimum and mean temperatures in October and November are for Plymouth, NC are 23.6, 15.6, and 17.0 °C (74, 60, and 63 °F) and 18.8, 6.1, and 12.5 °C (66, 43, and 54 °F), respectively.

Rowcovers have been used in small fruit production to accelerate ripening (Pritts and Handley, 1998; Pritts et al., 1992), extend the growing season (Pollard, 1990), serve as an overwintering mulch (Pollard and Cundari, 1988), provide frost protection during flowering (Hochmuth et al., 1993) and to increase productivity (Pritts et al., 1992; Gast and Pollard, 1991). Others have found that rowcovers applied in the fall and left on until the following spring enhanced yield by increasing development of tertiary flowers (Pollard, 1990; Gast and Pollard, 1991). However, in the latter studies it was not determined whether the increase in flower number was due to presence of rowcovers in the fall, spring or combination of the two. The use of rowcovers in the fall could increase yields in areas where temperatures drop too