Using Chat Rooms in a Plant Nutrition Course: Bane or Boon?
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SUMMARY. Chat rooms and their use in everyday life are becoming increasingly common, and the technology may be a useful tool to link students with experts of a given subject material and each other. In our shared course Plant Nutrition and Nutrient Management, we experimented with using a chat room to link students with experts in the field of plant nutrition. Our main goal was to enhance the learning experience of the students by providing them with access to national and international plant nutrition researchers. Web CT was used to create and conduct the chat rooms and a chat etiquette evolved to prevent crosstalk and control the flow of the discussions. Positive outcomes of the chat room use included exposure of students to the technology and beneficial interaction between students and experts. Negative aspects of chat room use included the time involved to coordinate the overall effort and train experts to use the technology; the slow pace of some chats; effective grading; and the superficial coverage of some topics. We are developing modifications for future sessions to allow subjects to be explored in more depth and to improve networking between students and experts.

Internet chat rooms provide social and technical information and interaction to the computer-literate of any age (Betzold, 1998; Heid, 1998; Jackson, et al., 1996). Weekly chat rooms linking our upper division undergraduate and graduate students with national and international plant nutrition experts in horticulture and agronomy were an integral part of a newly created course in Plant Nutrition and Nutrient Management. The course was taught via synchronous two-way video conferencing between the University of Nebraska-Lincoln (UNL) and Kansas State University (KSU) as two 75-min lectures each week. A total of 13 students participated in the initial course offering with 1 undergraduate student and 12 graduate students. Ten of the graduate students were from UNL and the other 3 students were from KSU. A chat room motif was selected over a bulletin board or online forum to allow for succinct, real time interactivity between student and expert (Pritchard, 1998). Our main goal was to enhance the learning experience of the students by providing them with access to national and international plant nutrition researchers.

Course development was supported by a challenge grant from the USDA Higher Education Programs. This manuscript has been assigned Journal Series No. 001-2, College of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, University of Nebraska and Contribution no. 00-80-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper must therefore be hereby marked advertisement solely to indicate this fact.

1Department of Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68583-0724.
2Department of Horticulture, Forestry and Recreation Resources, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506-5506.
Methods

The web template chosen for this course was Web CT (U N L, Lincoln, N eb.). Access to this entire site is controlled by password. A secured chat room is one of many features within a Web CT site and the security was desired to prevent lurking of uninvited hackers. The chat topics and experts were chosen based on subject material presented in the course that the instructors felt would benefit from either additional coverage by an expert (e.g., mycorrhizae) or topics from the lecture that needed to be integrated into current research usage (e.g., use of geographic information systems (GIS) in plant nutrient management). A total of 10 chat sessions were led by various experts in the field of plant nutrition. Five experts were horticultural faculty members and five were agronomy faculty members with two international experts from Puerto Rico and Guatemala.

The chat session ran for 1 h outside of class time (8:00 PM on Wednesday evenings) and experts, students, and instructors could access the chat room from university facilities or home. In addition to the training sessions held earlier for the experts, the first chat session was designed to introduce students to chat room technology (e.g., logging on and off, sizing windows, etc.) and the chat etiquette. Students were then asked to select topics for which they would like to be the session moderator. The student moderators were to contact the expert via e-mail in advance of the session and request readings related to the session for the entire class. Student moderators as well as the other class members were to have questions ready. After every session, logs of the chat room session were printed out and made available to the students for review. Students were graded based on participation, which included number of times they served as a moderator and number of questions they asked. A pre-course survey was administered to establish students’ comfort level and experience with the various technologies, including chat rooms. The chat room was evaluated by the students at the mid and end of the course, and the chat experts provided feedback about their experience at the end of the course.

The chat etiquette controlled the flow of the discussion and was instituted to prevent cross talk and chaos during the chat sessions. Some of the basic principles were that students would only ask a question or comment when they were recognized by the student moderator or chat expert, and they would indicate that they would like to contribute by typing a “?” (to ask a question) or “C” (to make a comment) into the dialogue. When

Fig. 1. Excerpt from a synchronous chat session on the topic of soluble salt management during container production.

**Student moderator:** Go ahead, [student 1].

---

**Student 1:** Is there something that can be injected into the water before irrigating in order to decrease the soluble salts level?

**Chat expert:** Yes. There are a lot of things we can do, depending on the specific salt problem.

**Student 2:** ?

**Student 3:** C

**Chat expert:** We can inject acid to remove the bicarbonates and carbonates, which is a practice that is now routine in Texas and across the U.S.

**Chat expert:** We can inject polyphosphates to remove iron, or use injected aeration.

**Chat expert:** We can remove Na with a K-charge water softener.

**Chat expert:** We can remove Na with a K-charge water softener.

---

**Chat expert:** All the other methods I told you about were just swapping one salt for another, i.e. a less harmful salt for a more harmful salt. Done.

**Student moderator:** Go ahead, [student 3].

---

**Student 3:** One method of decreasing the content of irrigation water is to blend waters from different sources (e.g., wells).

**Student 3:** thereby diluting the salt concentration by using water which contains either lower electrical conductivity

**Student 3:** or water of a lower concentration of the toxic ion. Done.

**Chat expert:** We routinely blend water of different sources, if a better one is available, and we always blend R.O. water with tap water. Done.

**Student moderator:** You’re up, [student 2].

---

**Student 2:** What are the physiological effects of high soluble salts other than toxicity?

**Chat expert:** Gosh [student 2], that sounds like an exam question—will I be graded?

---

**Student 2:** Not by me.

**Chat expert:** Good question. 1) competition between ions for absorption site during uptake and sometimes physiologically inside.

**Chat expert:** Ex., Na can substitute for K in many reactions, but if too high masks over K.

**Chat expert:** 2) major effects on membrane integrity and semipermeability.

**Chat expert:** Salinity induced membrane leakage is a major problem, and actually a good way to quantify salinity damage.

**Chat expert:** Fortunately, some of the “big” processes, i.e., photosynthesis and respiration, are quite resistant. Done.
any participant (student or expert) was finished with their statement(s), they would type "done" (Fig. 1).

Results
On the positive side, students enjoyed using the chat room as a learning tool. Their comfort with this technology increased substantially with a mean score increase of 1.18 between the pre-course and end of course survey (scale range of 1 = not at all comfortable to 5 = very comfortable). Eight-five percent of students agreed or strongly agreed that the training given at the first chat session was necessary/useful. Students particularly enjoyed being the moderator, and commented that they learned a lot and that being moderator gives organization and leadership responsibility. They liked the chat room etiquette as it succeeded in preventing crosstalk, but they wanted the chat to move faster. Students mentioned that if they accessed the chat room in pairs or groups rather than alone, they were able to use the lag time to discuss the topic among themselves and create questions. There was a definite preference for certain topics over others and students liked topics that related to previously covered lecture material and, not surprisingly, their own areas of study.

Students were divided on whether or not they were intimidated to ask questions as a member of the chat audience. Comments included “it is better to keep your mouth shut and let people think you are stupid than type a question and let them know” versus “it is very impersonal and easier to ask questions” and “the environment allows us to be comfortable enough and not be intimidated to ask questions.” Internationally students in particular felt hindered by the need to type their dialogue.

On the negative side, coordinating a chat room requires investment of extra time on the part of the instructors. This extra time was particularly true as most of the experts had never visited a chat room and needed one or more training sessions, as well as visiting an ongoing chat, before they felt comfortable in their role. Experts also provided references related to their chat and interacted with student moderators, thus, making a substantial time commitment.

Fifty-four percent of students agreed or strongly agreed that the chat rooms were a waste of my time. Additionally, the instructors were dissatisfied with the grading scheme and the superficial coverage of topics. A number of chat experts were disappointed with the apparent inadequate preparation on the part of the students for their chat session, and in fact, only 46% of students agreed or strongly agreed that they “prepared thoroughly for the chat sessions by reading the pre-chat readings assigned by the chat leader.” Finally, there were unexpected and unnecessary technology problems.

Conclusions
Through the experience of the first course offering, key goals of future chat room use have evolved. These goals are to 1) provide opportunities for students to network with experts beyond the boundaries of their own institution and become comfortable entering into dialog with other experts; 2) increase the depth of topic coverage. A different grading scheme will be used, such as a homework assignment and/or exam questions specifically related to the chat session to increase student responsibility for subject material covered. Finally, we will offer the synchronous chat session in an earlier or different timeslot, depending on other conflicts.

We view chat rooms as an additional tool available for subject material instruction. The medium brings unique advantages, as well as disadvantages, to the educational process.
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