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Abstract. Today, citrus orchards are a major component of the Mediterranean landscape and one of the most important cultivated fruits in the region; however, citrus is not native to the Mediterranean Basin, but originated in Southeast Asia. Here, the route of the spread and diversification of citrus is traced through the use of reliable historical information (ancient texts, art, and artifacts such as wall paintings and coins) and archaeobotanical remains such as fossil pollen grains, charcoals, seeds, and other fruit remains. These botanical remains are evaluated for their reliability (in terms of identification, archaeological context, and dating) and possible interpretations. Citrus medica (citron) was the first citrus to spread west, apparently through Persia and the Southern Levant (remains were found in a Persian royal garden near Jerusalem dated to the fifth and fourth centuries BC) and then to the western Mediterranean (early Roman period, ~third and second centuries BC). In the latter region, seeds and pollen remains of citron were found in gardens owned by the affluent in the Vesuvius area and Rome. The earliest lemon (C. limon) botanical remains were found in the Forum Romanum (Rome) and are dated to the late first century BC/early first century AD. It seems, therefore, that lemon was the second citrus species introduced to the Mediterranean. The contexts of the botanical remains, in relation to elite gardens, show that in antiquity, both lemon and lemon were products representing high social status. Sour orange (C. aurantium), lime (C. aurantifolia), and pummelo (C. maxima) did not reach the Mediterranean until the 10th century AD, after the Islamic conquest. Sweet orange (C. sinensis) was introduced during the second half of the 15th century AD, probably via the trade route established by the Genoese, and later (16th century AD) by the Portuguese. The mandarin (C. reticulata) reached the Mediterranean only in the early 19th century. While citrus and lemon arrived in the Mediterranean as elite products, all other citrus fruit most probably spread for economic reasons.

Today, various citrus fruits (Citrus spp. Rutaceae) are among the most important commercial fruit crops of the Mediterranean, but they are not native to the region. Citrus is believed to have originated in northeastern India, southwestern China, Burma, and the Malay archipelago, and subsequently dispersed into other lands in southeast Asia, accompanied by local differentiation (e.g., Gmitter and Hu, 1990; Scora, 1975; Webber, 1967). The three edible ancestral species of the main commercial citrus types are citron (C. medica), pummelo (C. maxima), and mandarin (C. reticulata). This argument was made four decades ago by several scholars (Barrett and Rhodes, 1976; Scora, 1975) based on morphological and biochemical characteristics and is supported today by genetic studies proving that other edible citrus “species” are in fact hybrids (e.g., Federici et al., 1998; Curk et al., 2016; Federici et al., 1998; Niclosi et al., 2000, 2005; Pang et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2014).

From the 16th century to the 20th century, many scholars tried to document the history of citrus forms and their dispersal from eastern Asia, including Dalechamps (1587), de l’Ecluse (1601), Ferrari (1646), Gallesio (1811), Tolkowsky (1938, 1966), Andrews (1961), Webber (1967), Watson (1983), and Calabrese (1998). These studies greatly enriched our knowledge of the diversification of citrus forms and their spread to the Mediterranean. However, some of the evidence was misused, misinterpreted, or both, especially in the case of the botanical remains from archaeological excavations or geological sediments. The current study reevaluates some of the contradictory evidence in order to arrive at a clear and accurate picture.

This review article, therefore, aims to integrate recent information concerning the history of citrus together with all previous reliable information to reveal and revise the westward migration route of citrus. In addition, this article will try to trace the reasons behind the introduction of the different citrus forms into the Mediterranean, distinguishing elite products from cash crops.

Material and Methods

This article combines the following line of evidence to trace the route of the western diffusion of citrus: botanical remains, art artifacts, and ancient texts.

Botanical remains

The botanical remains discussed in this study include seeds (as well as some other fruit remains such as rinds), wood, charcoals, and fossil pollen grains. While the first two types of evidence are derived from archaeological sites, pollen is also extracted from sediment cores, aiming to reconstruct past vegetation and environmental conditions.

In this study, the validity of some of the botanical remains of citrus is evaluated for their reliability in terms of the following: 1) identification, 2) archaeological context, and 3) dating.

1) Identification—recent studies indicate that citrus seeds sometimes appear difficult to recognize because of their morphological variability, changes arising from preservation processes (Pagnoux et al., 2013), and their relatively poor state of preservation in the first place, mainly because citrus seeds are oily. The problem also lies in the similarity of the general morphology of citrus seeds to seeds of other taxa (e.g., Maloideae types—subfamily of the Rosaceae), especially when mineralized (Coubray et al., 2010; Pagnoux et al., 2013). Hence, more advanced methods are recommended when identifying citrus seeds, such as high-resolution microtomography technology, which enables 2D and 3D simulation to a scale of microns. By using this identification method, Coubray et al. (2010) reexamined the seeds from the sixth century BC site of Stipe dei Cavalli, Ischia, Italy, previously investigated by Coubray (1996). Their reexamination did not support the original attribution to citrus seeds and they should be identified as Sorbus domestica, a member of the Maloideae (Coubray et al., 2010). Pollen identification is more accurate than seed identification in the case of citrus; some recent studies even show that it is possible to distinguish Citrus to the species...
level, preferably by using a scanning electron microscope (Bui Thi and Girard, 2014; Russo-Ermolli et al., in press; Xianghong, 1982). In most cases, the identification of Citrus wood and charcoal remains is possible with high certainty only to the genus level (Schweingruber, 1990).

2) Archaeological context—great attention must be paid to the quality associations between botanical remains and the archaeological contexts from which the samples originate; the botanical remains should come from a secure context in terms of stratigraphy to prevent contamination or mixing with previous and later archaeological layers.

3) Dating—a critical issue in archaeology in general and in archaeobotany in particular; during historical periods, the dating of archaeological excavations relies mainly on typology of artifacts (such as pottery and coins) and 14C radiocarbon dating of organic material such as wood remains. More accurate 14C dating can be achieved by radiocarbon dating of short-lived macrobotanical remains such as seeds (to avoid the age of the wood sample itself), when they are clearly associated with the relevant archaeological context.

Art artifacts

Citrus fruits and trees appear in several forms of ancient artifacts, namely reliefs, wall paintings, mosaics, and coins. The main problems are related to the interpretation of the appearance of citrus on those objects in terms of the following: 1) the significance of their presence and 2) secure identification.

1) The appearance of citrus on ancient art and artifacts does not necessarily provide evidence of actual cultivation, but can suggest familiarity with citrus.

2) Citrus identification is particularly doubtful in the case of wall reliefs. Several suggestions were previously made connecting fruits appearing on ancient reliefs to citron. For example, the French archaeologist Loret (1891) claimed that at the Karnak Temple, Egypt, which was built in the time of Thutmosis III (15th century BC), citrons are evident in the relief. Another example comes from ninth century BC Assyrian reliefs in which cone-shaped objects held by human figures were identified by Bonavia (1894) as citrons. In my opinion, it is impossible to clearly define what was depicted in those reliefs. Other scholars reached the same conclusions when they failed to find a clear connection between the reliefs and the citron (e.g., Amar, 2009; Andrews, 1961). Since these two types of evidence are considered unreliable, they will not be discussed further.

Ancient texts

In this study, several sources of ancient texts have been used such as Jewish, Greco-Roman, and Arabic texts; these written sources were recently reviewed, respectively (Langgut, 2015; Pagnoux et al., 2013; Ramón-Laca, 2003). In the course of this track, some use of philology will be made when relevant.

Cultivation versus familiarity

The presence of pollen grains of insect-pollinated citrus is direct evidence of its actual growth in a given region (see below), unlike other archaeobotanical remains (seeds, rinds, charcoal and wood remains, and chemical analysis) that may reflect importation of fruit, small wooden objects, or even timber rather than the actual growing of the tree. In addition, since citrus plants are insect pollinated, they have low pollen dispersal efficiency. The occurrence of citrus pollen grains in a palynological spectrum is therefore direct evidence that it actually grew in the vicinity of the site in which it was found. As mentioned above, the occurrence of citrus in a wall painting may derive from familiarity with the fruit or even from foreign art experts hired to decorate a building and not necessarily on actual cultivation in a certain region.

Results and Discussion

Different characteristics of each of the citrus species discussed in this article are summarized in Table 1. The assumed date of introduction into the Mediterranean Basin and its possible causes are also presented in this table and discussed in detail in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citrus species</th>
<th>Truespecies/Hybrid</th>
<th>Area of origin</th>
<th>First “secure” evidence of cultivation in the Mediterranean Basin</th>
<th>Diffusion mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citron C. Medica</td>
<td>Truespecies</td>
<td>Northeast India or north Southeast Asia (Fuller et al., 2011; Nicolosi et al., 2005)</td>
<td>Fifth and fourth centuries BC (Langgut et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Introduced to the Eastern Mediterranean by the Persian Empire (evidence derived from a royal Persian garden) (elite product)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon C. limon</td>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>Eastern India, Assam, and upper Burma (Scora, 1975)</td>
<td>Late first century BC and early first century AD (Pagnoux et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Imported to the Western Mediterranean by the Roman empire for luxury gardens (elite product)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sour orange C. aurantium</td>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>Southernmost China and northern Indo-China (Weiskopf and Fuller, 2013)</td>
<td>10th century AD (Ramón-Laca, 2003 and references therein)</td>
<td>Introduced after the Islamic conquest (cash crop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime C. aurantifolia</td>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>Malaysia and East Indian archipelago (Scora, 1975; Weiskopf and Fuller, 2013)</td>
<td>11th century AD (Ramón-Laca, 2003; van der Veen, 2011)</td>
<td>Introduced after the Islamic conquest (cash crop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pummelo C. grandia (C. maxima)</td>
<td>Truespecies</td>
<td>Mainland Southeast Asia (Miller and Gross, 2011; Weiskopf and Fuller, 2013), the Malaysian and East Indian Archipelago (Scora, 1975)</td>
<td>11th and 12th centuries AD (Ramón-Laca, 2003 and references therein)</td>
<td>Introduced after the Islamic conquest mainly to the warmer parts of the Mediterranean (Spain and Palestine) (cash crop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet orange C. sinensis</td>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>Southern China and northern India (Davidson, 2006)</td>
<td>After mid-15th century AD (Ramón-Laca, 2003 and references therein)</td>
<td>The arrival is linked with the trade route established by the Genoese and later (from the 16th century AD) by the Portuguese (cash crop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin C. reticulata</td>
<td>Truespecies</td>
<td>China and Northeast India (Scora, 1975; Spigel-Roy and Goldschmidt, 1996)</td>
<td>Early 19th century AD (Davidson, 2006)</td>
<td>Brought to England and from there distributed to Italy and other Mediterranean countries (cash crop)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
following text. Most efforts were devoted to gathering information concerning the arrival of the citron because this fruit was the first citrus to reach the Mediterranean and since its exact date of arrival has been the subject of a longstanding debate, which is still ongoing.

**Citron (Citrus medica)**

The citron was the first citrus fruit to reach the Mediterranean, probably via Persia (Langgut, 2015; Langgut et al., 2013; Zohary et al., 2012). It originated in northeastern India or northern Southeast Asia, in the area from Assam, Myanmar, and Yunnan to the eastern Himalayan foothills, where it was probably also first domesticated (Fig. 1A; Fuller et al., 2011). This region is further west than the areas of origin of the other citrus species (Weisskopf and Fuller, 2013). Gur (1974) suggested that *C. medica* made its way from India to Afghanistan, Persia, Syria, Israel, and Egypt. The name may also shed some light on citron’s origin and diffusion route. In Hindi the citron is called *torange*, in Persian it is called *etronge*, in Hebrew it is called *etrog*, in Aramaic *etronga* or *etgroa*, and in Arabic *turug* or *etriga*. In Coptic the citron is *ghitri*, in Greek *kitrea* and *kitron*, and in Latin the word *citrus* was used to name the tree and *citreum* or *citrium* for the fruit. The word *medica* in the Latin name (*Citrus medica*) may also suggest its Persian (Median) origin rather than its use for medical purposes. Tolkowsky (1966) mentions that during the 1950s and 1960s, *C. medica* trees that match Theophrastus’s description were grown in the Gilan province in Persia (Theophrastus, 1916), which was part of ancient Media [Theophrastus of Eresos (372–287 BC)—the great Greek botanist who wrote the *Enquiry into Plants* (*Historia Plantarum*) which contains elaborate and accurate description of Asian flora; a description of the citron tree appears in the fourth chapter of the book, which is dated to ≈310 BC]. Archaeobotanical evidence indicates that in the Nippur archaeological excavation, in the south of ancient Babylonia, citrus seeds dating to the Sumerian period (≈2000 BC) were discovered (cited by Bonavia, 1894: 68). Since the seeds found in the excavation were charred, they can only be identified as *Citus*, and specific species cannot be determined. Tolkowsky (1966) pointed out that the period to which these seeds belong cannot be precisely dated. Furthermore, he emphasized that their presence in Nippur does not necessarily indicate that the tree from which they came was cultivated in Babylonia at that time. If the citron tree had grown there on a limited scale in ancient times, Tolkowsky (1966) believed it would have been a common tree during Alexander the Great’s conquest of the region in the late fourth century BC. The Greek botanists accompanying Alexander reported that the citron was grown only in Persia and Media (described in Theophrastus’ book). Tolkowsky (1966) therefore found the evidence from Nippur to be inconclusive; he claimed that if this seed assemblage was identified correctly, they were probably citron seeds brought to Nippur either as an offering to a divinity or as a gift to a king. Since the seeds were not dated directly, their age being assessed only by their archaeological context, which seems to be insecure, and since recent investigations show that the identification of citrus seeds requires advanced methods (Coubray et al., 2010; Pagnoux et al., 2013), which were not available at that time, it is therefore possible that the seeds were misidentified. This is also the case with the seeds which came from the archaeological site Hala Sultan Tekke (Cyprus), where seeds that resemble *Citrus* were discovered in a layer dated to the 12th century BC, but the exact species could not be identified (Hjelmqvist, 1979). Thus, these remains have not yet been directly dated to confirm their antiquity (e.g., by accelerator mass spectrometry radiocarbon dating; Zohary et al., 2012). Furthermore, those seeds...
originated from an insecure archaeological context because they were found in an unsealed stratum. Since the remains from Cyprus could not be found, the attempts to reexamine the seed assemblage were unfortunately not successful (D. Moster, personal communication).

Even if we accept the indefinite identification and inconclusive dating and context of the remains from Nippur and Cyprus, the presence of citrus seeds can only indicate that the fruit itself was present at the site, but this is not necessarily the proof that the tree was grown locally. Indeed, it appears that the citron was considered a valuable commodity since ancient times because of its healing qualities, symbolic use, and pleasant smell on the one hand and its rarity on the other (e.g., Zohary et al., 2012), possibly making the citron known to the people in the region by reputation throughout antiquity. Liran (2013) reached a similar conclusion, suggesting that the citron was a rare commodity that only the rich could afford. In addition, citron, unlike other citrus species is largely inedible and can be preserved for months because of its thick albedo. Therefore, it is likely that because of its relatively long shelf life, citron was used in antiquity as a long-distance elite trading product.

Recently published pollen findings from a Persian royal garden next to a lavish palace excavated at the Ramat Rahel site near Jerusalem, when the area was a Persian province (Lipschits et al., 2012) shed new light on the dating of the cultivation of *C. medica* in the Mediterranean (Langgut et al., 2013). While examining the plaster of one of the pools in the garden, dating to the fifth and fourth centuries BC, fossilized *C. medica* pollen grains were identified. They had been trapped in one of the plaster layers (various structures within the garden were plastered in several layers, probably because of ongoing maintenance). The unique palynological spectra extracted from this plaster layer included, in addition to *C. medica*, other palynological evidence of trees introduced from remote parts of the Persian empire, special trees highly valued by the ruling Persian authorities (e.g., the cedars of Lebanon (*Cedrus libani*), together with native fruit trees and ornamentals. It should be noted that although citrus pollen is hardly ever airborne, it appears at high frequencies within Ramat Rahel’s palynological assemblages (up to 32%). During most of the period (second half of the fifth century BC and during the fourth century BC), the reconstructed climate conditions for the region (southern Levant) were relatively humid (Langgut and Lipschits, 2017), but the citron still probably required summer irrigation.

The next botanical evidence in chronological order derives from the sediment of the Punic port of Carthage (Tunis, North Africa) where a single pollen grain from the level contemporary with the fourth and early third centuries BC was extracted. According to van Zeist et al. (2001), this palynological evidence suggests that citrus was cultivated at this time in Carthage. In my opinion, due to the occurrence of only one pollen grain, the significance of this datum is very limited.

The occurrence of pollen, seed, and fruit remains of citron in several sites throughout the Mediterranean dated to the Roman period attests that by that time citron became much more known in the region. In the eastern Mediterranean, seeds and fruit remains from secure contexts were retrieved from several Roman settlements in Egyptian remote desert locations (Fig. 1A) dating to the first–fourth centuries AD (Quseir al-Qadim (van der Veen, 1996), Mons Porphyrites (van der Veen and Tabinor, 2007), Kellis, Dakleh (Thanheiser et al., 2002), and Mons Claudianus (van der Veen, 1996, 2001)); within the latter site not only *C. medica* seeds were found but also one near-complete fruit. Since the remains were desiccated, they were very well preserved. In the western Mediterranean, a relatively rich ensemble of both microbotanical and macrobotanical remains of *C. medica* is available, mainly from the Vesuvius area, which even predates the assemblage from Egypt. The earliest western Mediterranean archaeobotanical evidence is from Pompeii from a context dated to the third and second centuries BC, where several mineralized seeds of *C. medica* were found (Fiorentino and Marinò, 2008). A single mineralized seed was recovered from the second phase of the House of Hercules and Ebe’s Wedding, dating from the first half of the second century BC (Ciaraldi, 2007); Mariotti-Lippi (2000) extracted citrus pollen (most probably of *C. medica* and *C. limon*) from the garden of this house. Another mineralized seed was recovered from the House of the Vestals from a phase dated to the second half of the second century BC (Ciaraldi, 2007). Pollen identified as belonging to *C. medica* and *C. limon* was also recovered from Oplontis from a sediment sample dated to somewhere between the first century BC and the first century AD (Russo-Ermolli and Messager, in press). The Egyptian finds mentioned previously are more recent in comparison with the Italian finds. According to Pagnoux et al. (2013), one can therefore assume that the Romans played an important role in the spread of citrus into Egypt. A similar scenario was recently suggested in another eastern Mediterranean case, where a possible Roman influence on the vegetation of the Herodian garden at Caesarea was identified (Langgut et al., 2015).

Citrus pollen was also extracted from several sediment records taken from the Gulf of Naples (Cumae, Lake Averno, and Municipio excavation; Fig. 1B). In the relatively well-dated Municipio section, pollen grains identified as *C. citrus* were recovered from several levels dated to the first century AD: Citrus pollen in previous or later levels was not present (Russo-Ermolli et al., 2014). Six pollen grains that were suggested to belong to *C. medica* or *C. limon* from a stratum dated to the Roman period were recognized in a Lake Averno sequence (Grüger and Thulin, 1998; Grüger et al., 2002). The case of the Cumae sediment record is more complicated because of chronological uncertainties (Bui Thi and Girard, 2014). *Citrus* pollen (not identified to the species level) starts to appear somewhere between two available 14C dates (but not right at the beginning), which covers a time interval from the mid-8th century BC to the second century AD. The palynological diagram presented by Bui Thi and Girard (2014, Fig. 4.13) is not consecutive, and no explanation is given by the authors. One explanation may be related to preservation issues as was the case with the lowermost and uppermost parts of the Municipio section, which were polluted (levels dated to the third and second centuries BC and the sixth century AD, respectively; Russo-Ermolli et al., 2014). Another explanation is mentioned by Grüger and Thulin (1998) in relation to their nearby record. The authors point out that hiatuses can be the result of regional earthquakes. Seismic events can also cause mixing of sediments, meaning that the pollen is not in situ. This possible disturbance may lead to palynological and chronological uncertainties within the Gulf of Naples’ sediments.

Because of the lack of a robust chronological framework in the Cumae record, the dating of the first appearance of citrus pollen should rely on a correlation with the better-dated nearby pollen records (Lake Averno (Grüger and Thulin, 1998; Grüger et al., 2002), and the Municipio section (Russo-Ermolli et al., 2014)); it therefore should not be dated before the Roman period. This suggestion accords well with the other citrus botanical remains that their first appearance in the Vesuvius area is dated to the third and second centuries BC (mentioned previously). The palynological evidence from Cumae cannot therefore be considered as the earliest presence of *Citrus* within the Italian peninsula as was suggested by several scholars (e.g., Pagnoux et al., 2013). This is also the case with another early southern Italian find, a citrus-like fruit, which was discovered in a funerary offering dating back to the sixth century BC on the southern Italian island of Ischia, which was reidentified as Maloideae by using more advanced identification methods (Coubra et al., 2010).

**Textual evidence.** The first textual evidence that may refer to the citron in Western sources was probably in the play Boiotis written by Antiphanes, an important writer of Middle Attic comedy (408–334 BC). Only a short part of this play survived by being cited several centuries later in the *Deipnosophistae* ("philosophers at dinner") written by Athenaeus in the early third century AD. The citron is not mentioned directly by name; just good looking and very delicious apples are described as part of a delivery from the Persian ruler, and it is explained as a unique fruit, very rare and therefore very expensive. Citron is also mentioned in the *Deipnosophistae* in another occasion as an effective antidote to any kind of poison, based on a story from Egypt given by the Greek philosopher Democritus (460–370 BC). This
story seems to have inspired later authors such as Virgil and Pliny.

Several decades later (~310 BC), a much more reliable written source is available where a precise description of the citron from Media and Persia is given by Theophrastus in his book Enquiry into Plants:

"...And in general the lands of the East and South appear to have peculiar plants, as they have peculiar animals; for instance, Media and Persia have, among many others, that which is called the 'Median' or 'Persian apple'. This tree has a leaf like to and almost identical with that of the Arbutus, but it has thorns like those of the pear or white-thorn, which however are smooth and very sharp and strong. The 'apple' is not eaten, but it is very fragrant, as also is the leaf of the tree. And if the 'apple' is placed among clothes, it keeps them from being moth-eaten. It is also useful when one has drunk deadly poison: for being given in wine it upsets the stomach and brings up the poison..."

The text continues, giving exact instructions on how to grow the tree along with two key observations: the first being the tree’s unique quality of bearing fruit during several seasons—making the citron tree a symbol of an eternal spring and of fertility and inspiring many poets and artists (this means, new fruit may grow on the same tree alongside fruit that grew during the previous year). The second observation has to do with the tree’s flowers having a persistent style, which develops in citrons from the style and the stigma and making them more fertile as opposed to other flowers found to be sterile; in other Citrus species, this part degenerates. From a different piece written by Theophrastus, it appears that the discovery of sterile flowers with no persistent style was first made by Persian gardeners, who informed the Greek botanists (Tolkowsky, 1966). The Arbutus mentioned in Theophrastus’ text is related to the eastern strawberry tree—Arbutus andrachne. Tolkowsky (1966) holds that the description of the citron leaf as having a round base and a pointed end, much like the Arbutus, eliminates any intent to perhaps refer to a different Citrus because they all have either winged petioles or very narrow chisel-shaped leaves. The pear within this text is related to the wild Syrian pear, Pyrus syriaca.

Scholars maintain that Theophrastus’ emphasis that the tree grew in Media and Persia is further evidence that before ~300 BC, the citron was not widely cultivated outside Media and Persia (e.g., Tolkowsky, 1966). Theophrastus’ descriptions in Enquiry into Plants are based on observations by a number of Greek scholars who accompanied Alexander the Great and his army on all their campaigns and conquests through Asia Minor, Syria, Israel, Egypt, and Persia and even reached the region that is now Pakistan.

If so, they passed twice through the area west of Persia and did not mention observing the growing of citron trees. This leads to the conclusion that the citron tree was limited to the Iranian plateau and had not yet been cultivated west of there. On the other hand, Theophrastus did not describe the fruit itself, but rather its characteristics, which may suggest the citron fruit was known to the Greeks, but was not cultivated in their homelands.

Slightly before 37 BC, the citron was still described as an exotic fruit; the Roman poet Virgil describes the citron in his Georgics under the name Median apple, writing that its juice is an excellent remedy against poisons and that it has scented oil. Pliny the Elder in his encyclopedia Natural History (77–79 AD) gave the citron several names such as malus Assyria, malus medica, and citrus. He described its use as a medicine, poison antidote, and perfume, and as offering protection from moths. Pliny explained that because of its great medical values, various nations have tried to acclimatize it in their own countries, importing it in clay vessels provided with breathing holes for the roots, but it has refused to grow except in Media and Persia. He also mentioned that no other tree is so highly praised in Media. A more detailed survey of the Greco-Roman written sources of citron (and other citrus species) can be found in Pagnoux et al. (2013).

The citron in the Hebrew Bible and Jewish tradition. Today, citron is one of the four species which are used in the Jewish feast of Tabernacles (Sukkot). The verse in Leviticus 23:40 instructing the holding of the four species (“And ye shall take you on the first day the fruit of goodly trees, branches of palm trees, and the boughs of thick trees, and willows of the brook; and ye shall rejoice before the Lord your God seven days”) clearly refers to two known species (willow and palm), but researchers have found it difficult to determine whether “the fruit of goodly trees” and “boughs of thick trees” refer to specific species or can be summarized as general instructions. The phrase “fruit of goodly trees” is a translation of the Hebrew phrase “pri etz hadar.” Hadar in Hebrew means citrus but it is also a noun with the meaning of “glory” or “grandeur,” which is typical of the poetry and prophecy in the Hebrew Bible, and therefore, the phrase “fruit of goodly trees” does not necessarily point to a specific tree. Indeed, the Septuagint (third century BC) determined that the phrase consists of a noun referring to a grand and delightful fruit. This appears to be the intention in Leviticus 27:30 and in Nehemiah 10:36, where the verses do not refer to any specific kind of tree. The phrase “fruit of goodly trees” is not mentioned in the description on the feast of Tabernacles in Nehemiah 8:13–15 where five different species are mentioned. Within the description, in the Books of the Maccabees 2 10:6–7, there is no mention of “the fruit of goodly trees” but rather “ivy-wreathed wands and beautiful branches and also fronds of palm” (Schwartz, 2005).

Starting in the first century AD, there is a significant change in texts in which the four species used in the feast of Tabernacles are defined as palm, willow, myrtle, and citron. In Antiquities of the Jews (late first century AD), Flavius Josephus describes how the Jews threw citrons at Alexander Jannaeus for disrespecting the libation ritual (compare with Mishnah tractate Sukkah 4:9). Documents of Chazal from this period indicate that the citron was fully accepted as part of the Tabernacles holiday tradition, with no mention of any kind of objection (Amar, 2012:108–109; Isaac, 1959:182). Therefore, it seems that before the first century AD, other traditions were not practiced. A detailed survey of the Jewish written sources of citron was recently published by Langgut (2015).

Rigid specifications were laid down by the rabbis to which all citrons used for the holiday ritual had to conform the following: the fruit must be fresh, its skin must be undamaged, the stigma and style which develop the persistent style must be in place, and at least the base of the stalk must be attached to the fruit. According to Isaac (1959:183), because of these requirements, the Jews took citrons into the Mediterranean as they formed the early communities of the Diaspora, since the beginning of the Christian era.

Though the shape of citrons may differ very much from one another (Goldschmidt, 1976), there are several artifacts which clearly corroborate the textual evidence indicating that by the first century AD, the citron was a fixed element in the feast of Tabernacles: on coins of the fourth year of the Great Jewish Revolt (69–70 AD, also called the First Jewish–Roman War), two citron fruits appear alongside a palm branch (Fig. 2A). Several decades later, the citron was depicted on a coin again, during the revolt of Simon bar Kokhba (132–136 AD), together with the other three species which are used in the Feast of Tabernacles.
of Tabernacles (Fig. 2B); citron also appears on oil lamps which were found in ancient Israel, dated to the same period (Sussman, 1972). Later, citrons are seen in the Dura-Europos synagogue wall paintings in Syria (before 256 AD) in the decoration above the Torah niche (Kraeling, 1956). From the fourth century AD, during the early Byzantine era, citron appears not only in synagogue mosaic pavements, lintels, and screens but also in many Christian mosaics in Israel and Jordan (see review by Ben-Sasson, 2012).

It is interesting to note that in some of these Byzantine mosaics, the citron fruits appear with “thin hips”; according to Bar-Joseph (1996), this shows malformation similar to that caused by viroid infection (viroids are the smallest disease-causing organisms that attack plants). For example, two citron fruits alongside a menorah in a sixth-century AD mosaic from the Maon Synagogue (Negev Desert, Israel) appear with such symptoms of viroid infection (Fig. 3). Similar symptoms were already present on the second century AD Bar Kokhba coins mentioned previously (Fig. 2B). From the same period, on a stone from a synagogue in Priene, Asia Minor, a citron with similar feature is depicted (Isaac, 1959, Fig. 5). The first viroid was discovered in 1978, and it is often stated that viroids have developed only in the last 100 years. Yet, these findings indicate, with a reasonable conviction, much older cases of citrus viroid disease infections in citrus trees growing in the Near East almost two millennia ago (Bar-Joseph, 1996).

**Summary and conclusions about the western diffusion of** *C. medica*.

*C. medica*’s area of origin, like that of all other citrus forms, lies in southern or eastern Asia. Yet, Weisskopf and Fuller (2013) suggested that citron originated in the westernmost area in comparison with other citrus species, probably in the central Himalayan foothills, where it was first domesticated (Fig. 1A). Another unique characteristic of citron in comparison with other citrus species is that it has a very thick albedo. This feature allows citron a long shelf life, and therefore, this fruit was probably suitable for long-distance trading. These two distinctive characteristics (westernmost origin and relatively long preservation) may be part of the explanation why the citron was the first citrus crop to immigrate westwards. Indeed, it appears that the citron was considered a valuable commodity since antiquity because of its healing qualities, symbolic use, pleasant odor, and its rarity so that only the rich could have afforded it (e.g., Liran-Frisch, 2016; Zohary et al., 2012). Its spread therefore was helped more by its representation of high social status, its significance in religion, and unique features than by its culinary qualities.

**Lemon (C. limon)**

Until recently, the arrival of the lemon to the Mediterranean area was linked, like the arrival of many other citrus fruits, to the Muslim conquest (Table 1). However, some recent findings from Italy show that the lemon was already known to the Romans. The earliest reliable botanical evidence of *C. limon* (13 seeds and a skin fragment) was recovered from the Forum Romanum in Rome. According to Pagnoux et al. (2013), these botanical remains should be attributed to the late first century BC and the early first century AD. Palynological evidence from the later stage of the first century AD, from the Vesuvius area, indicates not only that *C. medica* trees were cultivated in gardens owned by the affluent but most probably also *C. limon* [House of Hercules and Ebe’s Wedding (Mariotti-Lippi, 2000; Villa Oplontis (Dimbleby and Grüger, 2002; Russo-Ermolli and Messager, in press); in the latter study, a possible dating range of first century BC–first century AD is suggested]. Within the latter villa, Jashemski et al. (2002) reported that carbonized wood from a tree air-layered in a broken amphora in the sculpture garden was identified as lemon. It may be a remnant of those trees planted in pots growing in protected areas along garden walls. Jashemski et al. (2002) also suggested that the depiction of yellow fruits dated to the first century AD on wall paintings from the House of the Fruit Orchard in Pompeii should be identified as lemon trees. In addition, based on the mosaic of about 100 AD in the Terme Museum in Rome, describing a basket of fruits, Jashemski et al. (2002) claimed that the Romans clearly distinguished the lemon and the citron as two different fruits; the lemon and the citron are each accurately portrayed showing their different characteristics and relative sizes.

All this archaeological evidence clearly indicates that the lemon arrived to the western Roman world as an elite product, though today it has great importance for European cuisine. The lack of early Roman texts mentioning the lemon as in the case for citron may suggest that its cultivation was very limited. There are no botanical or textual evidence from this period that lemon was growing in the Eastern Mediterranean. Only since the 10th century AD, were lemons...
mentioned in western parts of the Islamic world (Ramón-Laca, 2003). Later in the 12th century AD, a book named *Treatise of the Dietetic Properties of the Lemon*, in which all the qualities of this fruit are detailed, was written in Egypt by Ibn Jamyia, the physician to Sultan Saladin (Arias and Ramón-Laca, 2005). References from Medieval Cairo Genizah (Lev, 2015) and 13th century AD texts from Quseir (Fig. 1A; Guo, 2004), indicate that lemons were shipped from India to Egypt for medical purposes. Yet, according to van der Veen (2011), there is confusion in references between the lime and the lemon, and therefore, it is not certain which of the two fruit types was imported.

**Sour (bitter) orange** (*C. aurantium*)  
The hybridization that resulted in the formation of the sour orange most probably took place in southern China or northern Indo-china (Weisskopf and Fuller, 2013). Based on the detailed textual survey conducted by Ramón-Laca (2003), it seems that the sour orange was introduced to Mesopotamia and the eastern Mediterranean and from there it migrated westward. Its introduction is dated to the 10th century AD based on various textual evidence; in his book *Golden Lawns* (dated to the 40s of the 10th century AD), al-Mas’udi’s stated that the sour orange and a kind of “round fruit” (maybe lime?) were brought from India to Oman after the year 912 AD and then to Iraq, Syria, Palestine, and Egypt (Davidson, 2006; Ramón-Laca, 2003 and references therein). Al-Mas’udi’s claims that in this migration, the sour orange lost much of its fragrance and color because it did not have the climate or the habitat specific to its place of origin (Calabrese, 1998). The sour orange had been previously cited by Ibn Wahshiyya (1993) in his version of the *Nabatean Agriculture*, compiled at the beginning of the 10th century. The sour orange, together with the lemon, was also mentioned by Ibn Djuljul (1992) in his additions to Dioscorides’ *Matera Medica*, compiled in Cordoba between 982 and 994 AD.

No doubt the Arabs played a major role in the spread of the sour orange from the 10th century AD onward into Persia, Iraq, Syria, Palestine, and Egypt and apparently later into northern Africa, Sicily, Sardinia, and Spain (Webber, 1967). Indeed the name orange comes from a possible Dravic or Tamil root (meaning fragrant) via Sanskrit *nāranga* (naranja) and Persian *nārang* (naranj) to Arabic *nārāndj*; *narrōṣīn* in late Medieval Greek and to the 14th century Spanish *naranja* (Davidson, 2006; Ramón-Laca, 2003). At the end of the 15th century, the Portuguese reported that they found many citrons and sour oranges on the east coast of Africa, but they found these trees only in cultivated gardens. It seems certain, therefore, that the Arabs, who had penetrated Egypt, Syria, Palestine, and North Africa in the first years of their conquests, had taken the sour orange with them (Webber, 1967). Botanical remains of citrus suggested to be of sour orange (yet, the identification of the species level cannot be made with confidence) were recovered from Islamic (mid-11th–13th century AD) Queir al-Qadim in the Egyptian desert (Fig. 1A; van der Veen, 2011).

Based on the occurrence of a fruit with a great resemblance to orange in several Roman mosaics and frescos, Tolkowsky (1938, 1966) suggested an earlier arrival of the orange to the Mediterranean. Yet, it is not clear whether the sour or sweet orange is depicted; Tolkowsky assumed that it was the sweet variety. In both a mosaic and a fresco from Baelo Claudia, dates to the 1st century AD, orange fruits were depicted; a mosaic from a Roman villa near Tusculum constructed in ≈100 AD shows a basket of fruits comprising an apple, a lemon, a citron, and two other fruits, suggested by Tolkowsky to be oranges. Yet, when Jashemski et al. (2002: 102) discussed this mosaic, they did not mention the possibility of oranges. Furthermore, within the entire book—The *natural History of Pompeii* (edited by Jashemski and Meyer, 2002), there is no evidence of any kind of the occurrence of oranges in the ancient gardens of Pompeii and the other Vesuvian sites. In the fourth century mosaic from the Mausoleum of Constantia, citrons, lemons, and oranges are depicted, all of them still attached to freshly cut branches carrying green leaves.

In my opinion, there are two possibilities regarding this artistic evidence: 1) the Roman artists were familiar to some extent with the exotic orange fruit, but the tree was not necessarily grown in the western Mediterranean; the artists may have seen the orange during their travels abroad; it is also possible that they were foreign art experts. 2) This artistic evidence represents an early arrival of orange, dated already to the first century AD, especially since some of the depicted oranges have remarkably faithful representation. Its westward migration from eastern Asia into the Roman world seems to reflect its exotic qualities and it therefore migrated as an elite product destined to luxurious Roman gardens. If at that stage it was grown only in the Vesuvius area, it may have vanished following the eruption. It could also have disappeared from Italy several times as a result of destructive freezes, disease, and even political disturbance. It is also known that the acclimatization process of foreign plants sometimes involves several introductions, especially in the case of citrus that require careful cultivation. During the Roman period, the trees may have been reintroduced from eastern Asia or from Persia in planting pots just as Pliny mentioned for citrus. Its later arrival, which is linked to the Islamic conquest, dated to the 10th century AD, is as a cash crop, as part of the phenomenon of citriculture.

**Lime** (*C. aurantifolia*)  
This true *Citrus* species originated under tropical conditions, probably in mainland Southeast Asia (Miller and Gross, 2011; Weisskopf and Fuller, 2013) and the Malay Archipelago (Scora 1975). Its name can be traced back to the Malay word *pumpulmas*, which may itself have been borrowed from another language. The climate in most of Europe is much too cool for the pummelo, except in warmer areas such as southern Spain, where it was introduced by the Arabs. The first mention of pummelo cultivation in Spain is that of Abū ’l-Jayr and Abū l-Khayr al-Ishbīlī (1991) from Seville, dating between the 11th and 12th centuries AD (Ramón-Laca, 2003). At the same time, al-Tignari from Granada also mentioned the pummelo in his writings and so did a little later by Ibn al-’Awwam (1802). In Jacques de Vitry’s writings from the early 13th century, it is mentioned that a fruit named poma Adam (Adam’s apple, possibly a form of pummelo) occurred in Palestine. This region is also characterized by a relatively warmer climate and is therefore suitable for pummelo cultivation.

**Sweet orange** (*C. sinensis*)  
The first mention of the sweet orange in Europe is sometimes said to be in the archives
of the Italian city of Savona, in 1471. However, Platina (1475, but he prepared his manuscript in the preceding decade) provides a better starting point because he distinguishes between sweet and sour orange. Gallesio (1811) concluded from his historical study that the sweet orange probably reached Europe first through the commercial trade route established and maintained by the Genoese. Later, during the 16th century AD, the Portuguese and particularly the travels of circumnavigation to the east carried out by Vasco de Gama contributed much to the spread and popularization of orange growing, by introducing a superior variety. Indeed, in 1498, Vasco de Gama saw in Mombasa “very good oranges, much better than those from Portugal” (Herculano, 1861: 36). On the other hand, some images (it is not clear whether they were sweet or sour) are questionably proposed to represent oranges in early Roman art (mentioned previously in relation to the sour orange).

Mandarin (C. reticulata)

Mandarin (C. reticulata), a true citrus species, originated in China and northeast India (Fig. 1A; Scora, 1975; Spiegel-Roy and Goldschmidt, 1996) and was cultivated in its area of origin from a very early date, but it was the last major citrus fruit to travel to the west. The first specimen of a mandarin cultivar was brought from China to England in 1805 and then to Italy, where it became well established before 1850. From Italy, its cultivation spread quickly to other Mediterranean countries (Davidson, 2006). Other mandarin cultivars reached the Mediterranean Basin afterward.

Summary

It is obvious that if studied intensively, each particular citrus species would reveal a number of different dispersal circumstances and that many people were involved in the introduction of citrus fruits from Southeast Asia to the Mediterranean. The type of evidence derives from three main sources: botanical remains, art artifacts, and ancient texts. This essay shows that each type of evidence should be evaluated carefully for its authenticity and significance before a conclusion can be drawn.

After excluding all the uncertain evidence, the citrus migration westward can be summarized as follows: 1) The citrus was the first citrus fruit to reach the Mediterranean, which is why the whole group of fruits is named after one of its less economically important members. It was introduced to the Eastern Mediterranean around the fifth and fourth centuries BC. 2) The citrus and the lemon (which was introduced to the west at least four centuries later) were considered as elite products at that time. This means that for more than a millennium, citrus and lemon were the only citrus fruits known in the Mediterranean Basin. 3) Sour orange, lime, and pummelo were introduced to the west by the Muslims probably via Sicily and the Iberian Peninsula, beginning in the 10th century AD. It is clear that the Muslims played a crucial role in the dispersal of cultivated citrus in Northern Africa and Southern Europe, as evident also from the common names of many of the citrus types which were derived from Arabic, following an earlier diversification in Southeast Asia. This was possible because they controlled extensive territory and commerce routes reaching from India to the Mediterranean. 4) The introduction of the sweet orange is dated to the 15th century AD; its arrival is linked probably with the trade route established by the Genoese. Indeed, in 1498, Vasco de Gama saw in Mombasa “very good oranges, much better than those from Portugal” (Herculano, 1861: 36). On the other hand, some images (it is not clear whether they were sweet or sour) are questionably proposed to represent oranges in early Roman art (mentioned previously in relation to the sour orange).
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