Detection of Gene Expression Changes in *Capsicum annuum* L. Foliar Blight Caused by *Phytophthora capsici* Leon. Using qRT-PCR and Leaf Discs
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**Abstract.** *Phytophthora capsici* is responsible for multiple disease syndromes of *Capsicum annuum* but the resistance mechanism is still unknown. Evaluating gene expression during foliar blight can be used to identify expression patterns associated with resistance in *Capsicum* species. This study reports a direct comparison of gene expression changes during the foliar blight syndrome using two different races of *P. capsici* on *C. annuum* host plants with resistant and susceptible phenotypes to those races. Four genes were evaluated for differential expression following leaf inoculation with *P. capsici*. RNA isolated from leaves at three time points was used to quantify gene expression by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Of four genes tested, two had differential expression in response to *P. capsici* at 72 hours postinoculation, a xyluloglan-specific endo-β-1,4-glucanase inhibitor protein (XEGIP2) in susceptible cv. New Mexico Heritage 6-4 (NMH6-4), and a *C. annuum* cell wall protein (CWP) in resistant Criollo de Morelos 334 (CM334). Both genes had a 5-fold increase in transcription in leaves over the control. These results suggest that both genes are playing a role in disease resistance to foliar blight.

Chile pepper (*C. annuum* L.) is an important vegetable crop grown worldwide, a rich source of dietary β-carotene. The capsaicin in the fruit is used for pain relief and the extracted pigments for use in cosmetics and foods. In the United States alone, chile pepper consumption has increased to an annual per capita of 16.4 pounds while the U.S. crop is 4.8 million cwt with a value of $146.8 million (Burden, 2014). One of the major challenges to chile pepper production is yield losses due to pathogen interactions, specifically the soilborne oomycete *P. capsici* (Leonian, 1922). No commercial variety of *C. annuum* has universal resistance to *P. capsici* (Walker and Bosland, 1999), but *C. annuum* landrace, CM334 has the highest level of resistance against all disease syndromes caused by *P. capsici*: i.e., root rot, foliar blight, fruit rot and, stem blight (Alcantara and Bosland, 1994; Walker and Bosland, 1999). To date, the genetic basis for resistance is not yet fully understood and the number of genes controlling resistance in CM334 remains unknown (Castro-Rocha et al., 2012; Rehrig et al., 2014). However, a multiple gene system for resistance has been proposed in the *P. capsici–C. annuum* pathosystem (Bnejdi et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012; Monroy-Barbosa and Bosland, 2008; Walker and Bosland, 1999), and to further complicate the matter, variation in race or isolate of *P. capsici*, results in high genetic variability within the species (Truong et al., 2010) and different cultivars of chile pepper are resistant to one race but may be susceptible to another (Monroy-Barbosa and Bosland, 2008; Sy et al., 2005). Inoculum concentration also appears to have an effect on gene expression in CM334 (Castro-Rocha et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012). The relationship between *P. capsici* and its host plants is complex, and more research is needed to determine the molecular bases for the resistance to these disease syndromes (Lamour et al., 2012; Thines and Kamoun, 2010).

Regardless of the genetic mechanism of disease resistance, following exposure to a pathogen, most plants initiate gene expression changes (reviewed in Wise et al., 2007). Genes for proteins located in the plant cell wall and involved in plant defense mechanisms are often differentially expressed in response to many different types of pathogens (reviewed in Hückelhoven, 2007). Also, transcripts for a large complex of structurally diverse gene products called pathogenesis related (PR) are increased following exposure to pathogens (Soh et al., 2012). PR gene products are modeled to contribute to an overall defensive condition (Sels et al., 2008; Van Loon and Van Strien, 1999).

In previous work, we screened thousands of transcripts and identified 168 genes with differential expression patterns in *C. annuum–P. capsici* root rot syndrome (Richins et al., 2010). Among those genes was a gene for a XEGIP: referred to here as XEGIP2 (Genbank accession: EB084827, 99% similar to FJ606761). XEGIP2 has elevated expression in response to *P. capsici* (race PWB24) in root rot in both a resistant, CM334, and susceptible cultivar, NM6-4, of *C. annuum* (Richins et al., 2010). This gene is modeled to inhibit *P. capsici* produced xyluloglan-specific endo-β-1,4-glucanase (XEG), which specifically attacks the xyluloglan bonds in plant cell walls (Yoshizawa et al., 2012) by hydrolyzing the xyluloglan and loosening the cross-linkages (Hayashi, 1989). XEGIPs inhibit XEGs from breaking down the xyluloglan in plant cell walls and provide some resistance against the pathogen (Jones, 2012; Scarafoni et al., 2010). XEGIPs have been identified in other members of the Solanaceae family such as potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), which has a complex XEGIP gene family with nine members in addition to the original XEGIP. Silencing of a member of this gene family resulted in increased susceptibility (Jones et al., 2006). In chile, a second XEGIP, referred to here as XEGIP1, is modeled to play a role in the hypersensitive response (HR) associated with disease resistance to bacterial pathogens (Cho et al., 2013).

This present study was designed to 1) determine if gene expression induced by pathogen challenge could be detected in leaf discs when the inoculum concentration was at levels used to assay for resistance phenotypes; 2) determine if the gene expression changes could distinguish resistant and susceptible hosts; and 3) determine if the gene expression changes could detect pathogen...
Fig. 1. Leaf tissue collection for RNA extraction. Leaf removed from plant, 2.8-cm diameter disc surrounding inoculation site was cut and weighed.

Table 1. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
<th>Annealing temp (°C)</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWP, DV643213</td>
<td>86F, GTGAAACCCAAACCTCGCGGAAAGC</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>224R, TTGGGATGCCTAGGATTGATGCC</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal stress protein, EB084717</td>
<td>65F, GTGATGTTGTGGCGACTATTGATGC</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEGIP1, JQ673414</td>
<td>848F, CGAGAATCGACAGCGACACCTTTTAC</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEGIP2, EB084827</td>
<td>1270F, CGCAAAACTACATGGGCC</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1453R, GGACGCACAAAGTTTTAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CWP = cell wall protein; XEGIP1 = Xyloglucan-specific endo-β-1,4-glucanase inhibitor protein.

Table 2. Efficiency and reliability of qRT-PCR analysis. The efficiency and $R^2$ values for the qRT-PCR calibration curves generated for each gene in four replicate assays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Pathogen/trial</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XEGIP1</td>
<td>PWB24/1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB54/1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB24/2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB54/2</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEGIP2*</td>
<td>PWB24/1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB54/1</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB24/2</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB54/2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWP*</td>
<td>PWB24/1</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB54/1</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB24/2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB54/2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal stress protein</td>
<td>PWB24/1</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB54/1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB24/2</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWB54/2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CWP = cell wall protein; qRT-PCR = quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; XEGIP1 = Xyloglucan-specific endo-β-1,4-glucanase inhibitor protein.

Materials and Methods

Chile and P. capsici inoculation. Seeds of C. annuum L., CM334 and NMH6-4, were germinated in Metro Mix 360 Professional Growing Medium (Agawam, MA), for about 2 weeks at 28 ± 1 °C in a growth chamber. Seedlings (36 of each cultivar) were then transferred to 10.16 × 10.16 cm plastic containers (T.O. Plastics, Minneapolis, MN) and planted in Metro Mix 360. Plants were maintained in a greenhouse until they reached the four to six true leaf stage. For foliar blight inoculation, two races of P. capsici were used, a virulent New Mexico isolate PWB24 (ATCC MYA 2289) race 1, mating type A1 (Sy et al., 2008), and a lesser virulent New Jersey isolate PWB54 (Hallock, ATCC MYA 2338) race 9, mating type A2 (Oelke et al., 2003). Phytophthora isolates were established on V8 agar (Tuite, 1967) following essentially the protocol of Monroy-Barbosa and Bosland (2010). Squares of agar-containing P. capsici were cut from the V8 plates and transferred to a new plate with enough distilled water to cover the squares. Plates were placed under a fluorescent light for 2 days to induce sporangia formation. Plates were then placed in a –10 °C freezer for 10 min of cold shock to release the zoospores, and then transferred to a 29 °C incubating oven for 30 min to allow recovery. A hemocytometer (Hauser Scientific Bright-Line Hemacytometer, VWR, Radnor PA) was used to calculate the concentration of zoospores and stock solutions were adjusted with distilled water to achieve a final concentration of 40,000 zoospores/mL. Plants in their pots were placed in one of three 99-L plastic bins: 81.6 × 48.6 × 34.9 cm (Sterilite, Townsend, MA); adding 500 mL of hot (53 °C) water to the bins created a humid environment. A 0.5 cm disc of germination paper (Nasco, Modesto, CA) was placed on one leaf per plant and 50 µL of inoculum per leaf was dispensed using a micropipette. Control plants received 50 µL of distilled water on the disc.

Experimental and statistical design. A complete randomized split plot design was used and two complete replications of the experiments were carried out. Each replication, called “trial” contained two experiments, exposure of both cultivars to one race (#1 PWB24), and then separately to the other race (#2 PWB54). Then repeated for the second trial, exposure to one race (#3 PWB24), and again separately to the other race (#4 PWB54) for a total of four experiments in the race-specific responses. This study reports for the first time, a direct comparison of the gene expression changes during the foliar blight syndrome using two different races of P. capsici on C. annuum host plants with resistant and susceptible phenotypes to those races. Further, this study selected four genes for analysis whose expression in a root rot syndrome had already been characterized, thereby allowing a comparative discussion of these two disease syndromes. Of those four genes, the CWP was first reported as a disease responsive gene in a screen for PR genes expressed during the HR of C. annuum leaves to Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) (Shin et al., 2001); the Universal Stress Protein, is similar to a bacterial stress responsive gene found throughout the bacterial, fungal, and plant kingdoms, with potential roles in biotic and abiotic stresses in plants (Kerk et al., 2003); and two were separate gene family members of the XEGIP class, i.e., XEGIP1 and XEGIP2. The genes for the Universal Stress Protein and the CWP were selected for investigation in this foliar blight study since transcripts for these genes increase in response to root rot challenge only in resistant genotypes of Capsicum (Richins et al., 2010).
two trials. The three bins each contained biological replication of the same treatments, treatment and location were randomized to plant and bin, respectively. Each experiment consisted of 54 plants.

Two statistical analyses (SAS 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) were conducted, first a factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) with all factors included in the model, both treatments, both races, and both cultivars (overall F test was significant at P < 0.05). Second, least Squares (LS) means pairwise comparisons were conducted to evaluate specific relationships. No statistical analysis was conducted comparing differences in transcript abundance between trials 1 and 2 over time, but if there were similar trends in both trials, these results were discussed.

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and qRT-PCR analysis. Leaf tissue was harvested from both control and inoculated plants at 4, 24, and 72 h postinoculation. Uniform discs surrounding the inoculation site were cut using a Morton stainless steel culture tube cap (2.8 cm diameter) (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) (Fig. 1). The leaf disc was immediately placed into liquid nitrogen and frozen.
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Transcripts under investigation (Table 1). Primer sequences in the qRT-PCR analy-
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Experimental samples had efficiencies greater than or equal to 90% with \( R^2 \) values greater than 0.95. This method, an absolute quantification of transcript abundance in contrast to a relative quantification approach, does not rely on the presumption that reference or “housekeeping” genes are expressed at constant levels in all tissues examined. This premise can be very difficult to prove (Ghareeb, et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2012; Sellars et al., 2007). We regularly report absolute transcript abundances from qRT-PCR data (Keyhaninejad et al., 2014; Kilcrease et al., 2015; Rodriguez-Uribe et al., 2012), and find this method able to provide precise and reproducible transcript abundances.

Results and Discussion

Disease responses. The appearance of the leaf tissue surrounding the inoculation site was observed during the time course of these experiments. Representative images of leaves from the resistant line CM334 and the susceptible cultivar NMH6-4 are shown in Fig. 2. There were no visible symptoms at any time point with either race of \( P. \) capsici on the leaves of CM334. For NMH6-4, there were no visible symptoms at 4 h postinoculation, visible necrosis under leaf disc was observed beginning at 24 h, spreading of necrosis and eventual defoliation occurred by 72 h. This also was observed with either race of \( P. \) capsici. For each experiment, additional plants of each genotype were inoculated and then maintained for 1 week in all of the experiments, to ensure that the experimental conditions would cause disease in the susceptible plants. In all cases, the inoculum level of 40,000 zoospores/mL caused phenotypic changes in the susceptible plant, resulting in death of the NMH6-4 plant by the end of the week, and no changes in the resistant plant, CM334, by the end of the week.

Gene expression changes in response to \( P. \) capsici challenge. Factorial ANOVA and LS means analysis was carried out using SAS 4.1 statistical software to determine significant differences in transcript abundances of each of the four genes: CWP, universal stress protein, XEGIP1, and XEGIP2. These analyses compared transcript levels for each gene between inoculated and mock-inoculated leaves at each time point: 4 h, 24 h, and 72 h; and for each race and host genotype combination. No significant differences due to treatment were detected in transcript levels for either XEGIP1 or for the universal stress protein for any time point, for either race or either \( C. \) annuum host. Previous studies found upregulation of pepper XEGIP1 in a disease challenge, however these studies involved interactions with a bacterial pathogen (Choi et al., 2013). This suggests that pepper XEGIP1 responds differently to the presence of oomycete and bacterial pathogens. Significant differences due to treatment were detected in XEGIP2 and the CWP. ANOVA tables reporting the \( P \) values for the factorial analysis and for the LS means of the gene expression comparisons for XEGIP2 and the

stored at –80 °C until RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis was conducted as described earlier (Rodriguez-Uribe et al., 2012). RNA isolated from the leaf discs and for use in qRT-PCR was assessed to be intact, as the ribosomal 28S and 18S rRNA bands were readily detected (data not shown). Total RNA yields from these samples ranged between 1 and 50 μg.

Primer sequences in the qRT-PCR analysis were designed for each of the gene transcripts under investigation (Table 1). Standard curves for each gene were generated for absolute quantification of samples using qRT-PCR; The KAPA™ SYBER® FAST One-Step qRT-PCR (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA) kit and protocol was used for all assays in this study, using an Eppendorf realplex 4 Mastercycler ep gradient S thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Standard curves were generated for each qRT-PCR analysis using cDNA templates. The PCR amplicon was gel purified and cleaned using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN Inc. Valencia, CA). These DNA fragments were sequenced to confirm identity and the concentration of the amplicon determined by ultraviolet spectrophotometry. The concentration range for the calibration curve covered 0.0001 to 1000 pg DNA. Efficiency and \( R^2 \) values for each gene are listed in Table 2. The calibration curves for all four genes used for all experimental samples had efficiencies greater than
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Fig. 3. Xyloglucan-specific endo-β-1,4-glucanase inhibitor protein (XEGIP2) transcript abundance (ng/10 mg total RNA) during foliar blight. Replicate trial results (trial 1, upper panel; trial 2, lower panel) for inoculated (gray bars) and mock-inoculated (open bars) CM334 or NuMex Heritage 6-4 (NMH6-4) plants challenged with Phytophthora capsici strains, PWB24 or PWB 54. * indicates significant difference based on treatment, for a specific time, cultivar, and pathogen combination.
**Fig. 4.** Cell wall protein (CWP) transcript abundance (ng/10 mg total RNA) during foliar blight. Replicate trial results (trial 1, upper panel; trial 2, lower panel) for inoculated (gray bars) and mock-inoculated (open bars) CM334 or NuMex Heritage 6-4 (NMH6-4) plants challenged with * Phytophthora capsici strains PWB24 or PWB 54. * indicates significant difference based on treatment, for a specific time, cultivar and pathogen combination.

CWP are presented in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

**XEGIP2.** There were no significant differences between treatments in the factorial ANOVA in trial 1 or 2. When further analyzed using LS means to look at specific relationships, there were significant differences in transcript abundance between treatments in XEGIP2 across both trials in PWB24 inoculated NMH6-4 chiles at 72 h, $P$ value = 0.0456 in trial 1, and $P$ value = 0.0007, in trial 2 (Table 3). Treatment of the leaves, inoculated vs. mock-inoculated, over time for each cultivar by race comparison is given in Fig. 3, upper panel is trial 1 (A) and lower panel is trial 2 (B). Significant differences in both trials were detected for this gene.

Challenge by *P. capsici* resulted in significant differences in XEGIP2 transcript levels in leaf tissues. On average, leaves of NMH6-4 chiles inoculated with the virulent race PWB24 had a 5-fold increase in transcript abundance of the XEGIP2 gene over the mock-inoculated treatment at 72 h, 33.57 vs. 6.67 ng/10 mg total RNA, respectively (Fig. 3). This information provides further evidence that members of the XEGIP gene family play different roles in defense. Since XEGIP2 was expressed in response to a pathogen, this gene may be involved in a signal cascade of defense responses. Since the XEGIP2 was only turned on in the susceptible cultivar at the later time point of 72 h, this response if protective may not be in time to provide resistance to the plant. In roots inoculated with PWB24 (150 mL at 200,000 zoospores/mL), the XEGIP2 gene had elevated transcript abundance in roots of both the resistant CM334 and susceptible NM6-4 (Richins et al., 2010). As reported here, in foliar blight, the XEGIP2 had differential expression only in the susceptible NMH6-4 cultivar. Root rot and foliar blight are genetically distinct disease syndromes and resistance is managed by a different assembly of genes for each disease syndrome (Oelke et al., 2003; Walker and Bosland, 1999). Together, these results suggest that XEGIP2 could be involved in a syndrome-specific downstream defense response.

**Cell wall protein.** There was overall significance of the F test in the factorial ANOVA with significant differences in transcript abundance between treatment at both 24 h ($P$ value = 0.0064) and 72 h ($P$ value = 0.0017) in trial 1 and in trial 2 also at 24 h and 72 h (both $P$ values = 0.0185) (Table 4). Figure 4 shows the leaves for the inoculated vs. mock-inoculated over time for each cultivar by race comparison, the upper panel is trial 1 (A) and lower panel is trial 2 (B). In both trials, a significant difference in CWP transcript accumulation was demonstrated in PWB24 inoculated leaves of CM334 chiles at 72 h, $P$ value = 0.0254 in trial 1, and $P$ value = 0.017 in trial 2. The transcript abundance levels are much higher in the second trial, but trends between the two trials are consistent.

The annotation for this gene is based on 99% sequence similarity to a previously annotated *C. annuum* CWP (Genbank accession: AF242730). Transcripts for this CWP are induced during the HR in response to TMV, with higher transcript abundance occurring in response to an avirulent TMV and lower transcript abundance in response to the virulent TMV (Shin et al., 2001), indicating that this gene may have differential expression with response to pathotype. The F test was significant for CWP transcript abundance between treatments at 24 and 72 h when all factors were included in the model (Table 4), showing that chile leaves inoculated with *P. capsici*, increased transcription of the CWP. When specific interactions between race and cultivar are examined, there was an overall significant difference in transcript abundance between treatments in leaves of the resistant cultivar CM334 at 72 h only. There was a 5-fold increase in CWP transcript in CM334 chiles inoculated with PWB24 in comparison with mock-inoculated, 33.58 vs. 6.67 ng/10 mg total RNA, respectively (Fig. 4).

Detection of significant differences in transcription of the CWP gene due to pathogen treatment was difficult as there was an overall increase in transcription of this gene due to time in the experimental chamber (Fig. 4), so potential differences attributable to inoculation were likely overwhelmed by differences due to time in the humid chamber, or to any associated gene expression changes due to leaf development. Further, the variability of this measure increased steadily...
with time as well. For example in trial 1, the mean square error for the abundance of CWP transcripts was 7.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ at 4 h, 4.89} \times 10^{-4} \text{ at 24 h, and 1.45} \times 72 \text{ h. As time increased, the biological variability within the leaf discs would also increase; necrotic sectors and healthy tissue were clearly visible at this time point (Fig. 2).}

Since the CWP gene had greater transcript abundance in the resistant chile, CM334, the CWP may be involved in resistance to PWB24 in this pathosystem. This increase in CWP transcription was not detected until 72 h postinoculation though, again suggesting that this gene is likely to play a role downstream in a signal cascade of defense responses. The expression pattern of the CWP in response to foliar blight matched with what was seen in the Richins et al. (2010) root rot study. Since transcription of the CWP is induced only in the resistant line of C. annuum in response to P. capsici inoculum in the resistant CM334 genotype in response to inoculation with P. capsici. This pattern of expression occurs also in root rot (Richins et al., 2010); therefore, the gene encoding the CWP could be a candidate for a general molecular marker for resistance to P. capsici. Furthermore, this study clearly demonstrated that the methods developed to monitor gene expression changes using field relevant inoculation concentrations were in fact sufficient to detect changes in gene expression. Using this technique, we demonstrated that CM334 and NMH6-4 had different gene expression patterns following inoculation with P. capsici. This study was not able to detect race specific differential expression in any of the four genes as a function of inoculation, suggesting the genes have a basal function in plant defense. The results of this study also clearly demonstrated different expression of XE GIPs encoding genes, depending on type of tissue tested, and upon cultivars.

**Conclusion**

This study was conducted to identify gene expression changes that differentiated resistant and susceptible C. annuum responses to two races of P. capsici with different levels of virulence. Reliable and robust increases in transcription of CWP were observed only in the resistant CM334 genotype and leaf disc assay system.

A source of confusion in replicating experiments on the resistance mechanism in the C. annuum and P. capsici pathosystem could be due in part to the variety of methods used to test for resistance. Variance in inoculation concentrations and methods, source of susceptible parents, and environmental conditions are all factors that could alter results (Lee et al., 2012). The P. capsici-resistant cultivar, CM334, has a consistent mean disease index (level of infection) across three increasing concentrations of P. capsici inoculum (10,000 zoospores/mL, 100,000 zoospores/mL, and 1,000,000 zoospores/mL), while all susceptible cultivars exhibit an increase in mean disease index as inoculum concentration increases (Lee et al., 2012). In F2 populations segregating for the CM334 resistance trait, the resistance phenotype varies with inoculum densities as well as with parental genotypes. Therefore it appears that resistance not only depends on the susceptible parent genotype (Sy et al., 2005; Walker and Bosland, 1999), but also on inoculum concentrations. On the basis of segregation ratios (9:7) in the C. annuum and P. capsici pathosystem, resistance requires complementary genes working in conjunction with R genes to confer resistance at high inoculum concentrations (Lee et al., 2012). A logical extension of this phenotypic analysis is that varying concentrations of pathogen inoculum would cause varying levels of gene expression of PR genes. In this study, the concentration of inoculum used was sufficient to differentiate resistant and susceptible chile plants, and was sufficient to detect differential expression in response to the pathogen using qRT-PCR. Field conditions for crop exposure to the pathogen are expected to be lower than the high levels used for gene expression studies (Richins et al., 2010), so demonstrating that gene expression changes occur at lower levels as shown here is important to establish the relevancy of these findings.
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