Evaluation of Water–Air Balance of Various Substrates on Begonia Growth
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Abstract. The water–air balance of four soilless substrates: 75% sphagnum peat–25% perlite (Ps60:Pp30), 50% sphagnum peat–50% perlite (Ps50:Pp50), 50% coir–50% perlite (Cc50:Pp50), and a fortified substrate with 60% sphagnum peat–30% black peat–10% perlite (Ps60:Bl30:Pp10) (in a volumetric proportion) was investigated under two different irrigation methods (drip and sub-irrigation), and its effect on the growth of Begonia × eliator ‘The President’ was studied. The bulk density, particle size distribution, and water retention curve of the substrates were determined. Furthermore, the water profiles, oxygen (O2) concentration, and O2 diffusion rate of all substrates were determined during a 16-week cultivation period. Plant height, light production, and both shoot and root dry weights as well as percent growth increase of plants were measured at the end of the experiment. The substrate water profiles showed that the water content was greater and air content was less in substrates of pots irrigated with drip irrigation than with sub-irrigation. The O2 concentration in all substrates irrespective of the irrigation method was high. The O2 diffusion rate values of sub-irrigated substrates were greater than those drip-irrigated, and Ps60:Bl30:Pp10 showed the greatest values. Shoot and root dry weights and percent growth increase of drip-irrigated plants were greater than that of sub-irrigated plants.

In an effort to improve the physical–hydraulic properties of substrates, either pure organic materials such as peat, coir, etc., or mixes at various proportions with other organic or inorganic materials (e.g., perlite) are used to compose a substrate. In recent years, soilless substrates have been more widely used for growing pot plants because of several advantages that include facilitated root penetration, reduced levels of pathogenic microorganisms, absence of weeds, light weight, high water capacity, and high water retention capacity.

Among organic materials used as substrates, peat is the most widely distributed worldwide (Bunt, 1988; Heiskanen, 1993; Puustjärvi, 1977) with many desirable characteristics such as both high cation exchange capacity and water retention capacity and resistance to decomposition (Nelson, 2011). However, coir (coconut coir dust) is reported to have many characteristics that make it equal or superior to peat as a component in substrates (Arenas and Vavrina, 1998; Cresswell, 1992; Evans et al., 1996; Meerow, 1994; Stamps and Evans, 1997).

During irrigation of organic substrates (i.e., peat, coir), as the amount of water increases, root aeration decreases as a result of the large water retention capacity of these substrates, which render them extremely difficult in retaining a sufficient water–air balance (De Boodt and Verdonck, 1972; Heiskanen, 1993; Puustjärvi, 1977). As a result, organic substrates are mixed with inorganic materials such as coarse sand, perlite, etc., with an aim to improve substrate aeration and physical properties (Bunt, 1988; Heiskanen, 1995a, 1995b; Nektarios et al., 2011a, 2011b).

Begonia is a species sensitive to soil moisture and demanding to soil oxygen concentration (Johnson, 1968). The root system is easily damaged by irregular moisture levels of substrates. Substrates suitable for the root growth of begonias require at least 10% to 20% air-filled porosity (Johnson, 1968). Drip irrigation and capillary mat sub-irrigation are the most common irrigation methods for begonia production.

In this article, the water–air balance of four soilless substrates on the growth of Begonia × eliator ‘The President’ was investigated under two different irrigation methods used widely in begonia production.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials. Begonias (Begonia × eliator ‘The President’) were grown in pots in four different substrates under two watering regimes. The experiment took place in a glass greenhouse at the Agricultural University of Athens that included a heating source and ventilation system, which maintained humidity and temperature levels ideal for plant development. Daily temperatures ranged between 20 and 35 °C during the day and ~12 °C during the night. Daily humidity ranged between 30% and 60% during the day and 90% during the night.

In response to photoperiod, begonia is a short-day plant and requires 2 weeks of short days to commence flowering (Hilding, 1982; Molnar, 1974). Therefore, the following photoperiod management was applied throughout the duration of the experiment (i.e., 16 weeks): 1) initial 4 weeks long day (14 to 16 h); 2) following 2 weeks short day (9 to 10 h); and 3) following 8 weeks long day. Lighting was provided to cover additional needs when required. Lighting was installed for lengthening the daylength when required, which included four fluorescent lamps (TLD 33; Philips, The Netherlands), providing a light intensity of 22.5 μmol·m−2·s−1.

Irrigation facilities. In the surface irrigation method, two drippers were installed opposite each other at the surface of each pot, whereas in the sub-irrigation method, two drippers were installed adjacent to the base of each pot on a capillary mat lined with a waterproof polyvinyl chloride sheet to maintain saturation. Two irrigation regimes were applied to drip-irrigated pots: in the first irrigation regime, between 4 Dec. and 31 Jan. the next year, 200 cm³ of water was applied to each pot at 50 cm water tension. In the second irrigation regime, between 1 Feb. and 30 Mar., the plants were larger in size and had greater irrigation requirements. Therefore, irrigation was applied daily at amounts determined by pot weighing that ranged between 70 and 100 g equivalent to 2.63 and 3.76 mm·d−1, respectively. These amounts were confirmed by the corresponding water profiles. With regard to sub-irrigation, capillary mat saturation was accomplished daily by irrigating three times at 1-h intervals.

Uniform begonia plants supplied by a local nursery were individually planted (4 Dec.) in small pots (height 11.9 cm and volume 1442 cm³) and 5 weeks later (8 Jan.) were replanted in larger pots (height 19.9 cm and volume 4938 cm³) where they remained until the end of the experiment (30 Mar.). One set of 40 plants planted in four substrates (10 plants for each substrate, n = 10) received drip irrigation and another set received sub-irrigation.

Substrate materials. The substrates selected for the study (on a volume basis) consisted of: 1) 75% Lithuanian sphagnum peat and 25% floriculture perlite (Ps60:Pp30); 2) 50% Lithuanian sphagnum peat and 50% floriculture perlite (Ps50:Bl30:Pp10). During irrigation of organic substrates (i.e., peat, coir), as the amount of water increases, root aeration decreases as a result of the large water retention capacity of these substrates, which render them extremely difficult in retaining a sufficient water–air balance (De Boodt and Verdonck, 1972; Heiskanen, 1993; Puustjärvi, 1977). As a result, organic substrates are mixed with inorganic materials such as coarse sand, perlite, etc., with an aim to improve substrate aeration and physical properties (Bunt, 1988; Heiskanen, 1995a, 1995b; Nektarios et al., 2011a, 2011b).

Begonia is a species sensitive to soil moisture and demanding to soil oxygen concentration (Johnson, 1968). The root system is easily damaged by irregular moisture levels of substrates. Substrates suitable for the root growth of begonias require at least 10% to 20% air-filled porosity (Johnson, 1968). Drip irrigation and capillary mat sub-irrigation are the most common irrigation methods for begonia production.

In this article, the water–air balance of four soilless substrates on the growth of Begonia × eliator ‘The President’ was investigated under two different irrigation methods used widely in begonia production.
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perlite (P50:S50); 3) 50% coir, a byproduct of coconut husk fiber treatment in compressed form with dimensions 20 × 10 × 5 cm and 50% Ricciutus perlite (C50:S50); and 4) a commercially available fortified mix widely used by professional horticulture producers in Greece that constitutes a mixture of 60% Lithuanian sphagnum peat, 30% black peat, and 10% perlite enriched with macro- and microelements (P50:S50:Ps60:Pb30:P10). The pH of all substrates was adjusted to 5.5 to 6.0 with the addition of CaCO₃. The amounts applied were 320 g for P75:S75 and P50:S50 and 100 g for P75:S75:P10.

Cultivation practices. All plants within each irrigation system received the same cultivation procedures (i.e., applications of fertilizer, fungicide, etc.). Throughout the duration of the experiment, all plants received regularly a soluble 20N–8.7P–16.6K fertilizer at 136 mg L⁻¹ N, fortified with micro-nutrients (Rosso; ALFA Agricultural Supplies S.A., Greece).

Plant growth. After 16 weeks (30 Mar.), plants were harvested at the soil level, divided into shoot and root, and the roots were washed clean from the substrate. Shoot and roots were weighed separately for their fresh weight at the soil level, divided into shoot and root, and the roots were washed clean from the substrate. Shoot and roots were weighed separately for their fresh weight at the start of the experiment and 16 weeks later divided by the total dry weight at the end of the experiment (n = 10).

Substrate physical–hydraulic properties measurements. Water retention curve measurements were performed on a tension plate apparatus in a Haines-type assembly (Haines, 1930) with an air-entry value of –190 cm of water column. Substrate samples with a volume size of 4.91 cm³ each and spaced at 2.5 cm between centers. The perforated wall of the first chamber from the substrate surface was lined with a 30-mm screen, whereas the other two remaining chambers were lined with a 40-mm screen.

Substrate oxygen concentration and oxygen diffusion rate measurements. Oxygen concentrations of substrates were determined along the depth of the pots using a portable gas analyser (multi gas analyzer LMSx; Eijkelkamp, The Netherlands). Air samples along the depth of the pots were extracted by perforated chambers, constructed specifically for the experiment, into acrylic tubes. Each tube consisted of three chambers with perforated walls. The perforated walls of each chamber were lined on the exterior with a mesh that facilitated air passage while maintaining a porous continuum and preventing blockage of the perforated walls by substrate particles. Air was extracted and directly analyzed from the LMSx through a 1.5-mm (internal) diameter transparent polyvinyl chloride tube positioned at the center of each chamber (Fig. 2). During the first phase of the experiment when the begonias growing in small pots, a 1.3-cm (internal) diameter and 13 cm in length tube was used. Therefore, three 1 cm in height chambers were created with a volume size of 1.33 cm³ each and spaced at 2.5 cm between centers. The perforated wall of the first chamber from the substrate surface was lined with a 30-mm screen, whereas the other two remaining chambers were lined with a 40-mm screen.

In the second phase of the experiment when plants were transplanted into larger pots, a 2.5-cm (internal) diameter and 25 cm length tube was used. Like in the previous phase, three 1-cm height chambers were created with a volume size of 4.91 cm³ each and spaced at 5 cm between centers. A 30-mm screen was placed in each of the first two chambers from the substrate surface, whereas a 40-mm mesh screen was placed in the last chamber.

Therefore, O₂ concentrations were measured for the small pots at the following heights from the base: 2.5 cm, 5 cm, and 7.5 cm, whereas for the large pots, the following heights were used: 5 cm, 10 cm, and 15 cm (n = 10).

Furthermore, throughout the duration of the experiment, the rate of oxygen diffusion was measured with an electrode device (n = 10) (oxygen diffusion rate meter; Eijkelkamp).

Statistical analysis. The experiment followed the split plot design. The different types of irrigation methods were randomly assigned to main plots, whereas the different types of substrates were randomly assigned to subplots within each main plot. The analysis of variance was performed using JMP (SAS Inst., Cary, NC) statistical software and treatment means were compared using Tukey-Kramer’s test at a probability level P = 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Physical–hydraulic properties of substrates. According to De Boodt and Verdonck (1972), water retention in substrates with a pressure head more negative than –100 cm decreases

Fig. 1. Device of four mercury tensiometers positioned in a circular arrangement at four different heights with a water refill and air removal system.
plant growth and a pressure head less negative than −10 cm produces inadequate substrate aeration for plant growth. The experimental drying and wetting retention curves of the substrates depicted in Figure 3 ranged between 0 and −180 cm pressure heads (i.e., within and beyond the thresholds defined by De Boodt and Verdonck, 1972) providing important information with regard to plant growth. The main hydraulic characteristics of the substrates derived from the water retention curves are presented in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, Ps60:Pb30:P10 had the highest total porosity, container capacity, easily available water, and air-filled porosity (at water tension −50 cm) than all the other substrates used. On the other hand, C50:P50 had the lowest total porosity, container capacity, and easily available water. However, C50:P50 had the highest airspace (air-filled porosity at container capacity). With regard to peat–perlite mixtures, as the percentage of perlite increased from 25% to 50%, the total porosity and container capacity decreased and the air-filled porosity increased slightly.

As shown in Figure 3, hysteresis was most pronounced in the peat-based substrate

---

**Fig. 2.** Section of the air extraction device illustrating the chambers at three different heights with perforated walls connected to the multi gas analyzer LMSx (Eijkelkamp, The Netherlands) through a 1.5-mm diameter transparent polyvinyl chloride tube positioned at the center of each chamber.

**Fig. 3.** Water retention curves during drying and wetting for the substrates: 1) sphagnum peat, black peat, and perlite mixture at a proportion of 60:30:10 (v/v) (Ps60:Pb30:P10); 2) sphagnum peat and perlite mixture at a proportion of 75:25 (v/v) (Ps75:P25); 3) sphagnum peat and perlite mixture at a proportion of 50:50 (v/v) (Ps50:P50); and 4) coir and perlite mixture at a proportion of 50:50 (v/v) (C50:P50). Values are means of three replications (n = 3).
mixtures. This phenomenon may be influenced by peat hydrophobicity (Naasz et al., 2008). The maximum hysteretic difference (between points on the drying and wetting curves having the same value of pressure head) relative to the value on the wetting curve is ±36% for Ps60:Pb30:P10, 30% for Ps50:P50, 27% for Ps75:P25, and 19% for C50:P50 in the water retention curve.

With regard to particle size distribution, the peat–perlite mixtures were characterized by a higher percentage of particles greater than 4 mm and a lower percentage (≈27%) of particles less than 1 mm compared with the other two substrates studied (Table 2). However, despite the increase in the percentage of peat from 25% to 50%, differences in particle size distribution and consequently in the physical properties were small (Table 1; Fig. 3). On the other hand, C50:P50 was characterized by a low percentage (≈5%) of particle sizes greater than 4 mm and a high percentage of particle sizes ranging between 4 and 1 mm (≈53%) and particle sizes less than 1 mm (≈42%), whereas Ps60:Pb30:P10 was characterized by a high percentage (≈77%) of particle sizes less than 1 mm. This variation of the substrate particle sizes led to differences in substrate aeration and other physical properties (Tables 1 and 2).

The bulk density values of the substrates Ps75:P25, Ps50:P50, C50:P50, and Ps60:Pb30:P10 were 0.100 g cm⁻³, 0.116 g cm⁻³, 0.094 g cm⁻³, and 0.104 g cm⁻³, respectively (Table 1). As shown, all the substrates studied have low values of bulk density.

### Substrate water profiles

Throughout the experiment, water profiles were determined to assess the moisture condition of the substrates between successive irrigations and to determine the daily water requirements of the plants. Indicatively, the water profiles between two successive irrigations of plants, halfway through plant growth in the large pots, are shown in Figure 4. Specifically, representative water profiles are given during the time period 13 Jan. to 15 Jan. after receiving irrigation on 12 Jan. with 200 cm³ water. The following irrigation was performed on 15 Jan. after the corresponding water profiles were determined. As shown in Figure 4, the water content of the substrate is greater and accordingly the air content is less in subsists of pots irrigated with drip irrigation than with capillary mat sub-irrigation. In all substrates, irrespective of the watering regime, the evapotranspiration between consecutive water profiles fluctuated between 1.4 mm d⁻¹ (determined between the water profiles taken on 13 Jan. and 14 Jan.) and 1.8 mm d⁻¹ (determined between the water profiles taken on 14 Jan. and 15 Jan.) (data not shown).

### Substrate oxygen concentration

In general, the O₂ concentration of the substrates studied irrespective of pot size, substrate type, and watering regime was greater than 20.4%. However, the O₂ concentration along the depth of the substrates varied with pot size (data not shown). In the case of the small pots, for all substrates and both irrigation systems applied, there was no significant difference in O₂ concentration along the depth of the pot as a result of the small pot height. The mean O₂ concentration value of the small pots was 20.7%.

In the case of the large pots, for drip-irrigated substrates, the O₂ concentration at the lowest section of the substrates (at 5 cm height from the base) was 20.4% and less than in the higher section of the substrates (at 15 cm height from the base), which was 20.6% for all substrates studied (data not shown), whereas for sub-irrigated substrates, there was no significant difference of O₂ concentration along pot depth and the mean O₂ concentration value was 20.5% for all substrates studied (data not shown).

In general, similar results were obtained by Argo et al. (1996) in their effort to investigate the effect of drip or sub-irrigation on the O₂ concentration of three different substrates for growing Chrysanthemum. There were no significant differences between the different irrigation methods applied. Specifically, the O₂ concentration of drip-irrigated substrates was slightly decreased throughout the depth of the substrate, whereas the O₂ concentration of sub-irrigated substrates was unchanged.

### Substrate oxygen diffusion rate

Results of substrate O₂ diffusion rate were similar to those obtained for the substrate O₂ concentration (Table 3). A decrease in the O₂ diffusion rate from the surface to the base of the container is shown in all substrates of the large pots as a result of the increase in moisture associated with closer proximity to the base of the substrate, therefore the decrease in porosity filled with air in which diffusion occurs (Table 3, letters written in italics). Specifically, differences were shown between the lowest (1 cm) and both higher sections (6 cm and 11 cm) of the O₂ diffusion rate of the drip-irrigated Ps75:P25, Ps50:P50 and C50:P50 substrates and the sub-irrigated Ps60:Pb30:P10 substrates. Furthermore, significant differences were shown between the lowest (1 cm) and highest (11 cm) section for the O₂ diffusion rate of the drip-irrigated Ps60:Pb30:P10 substrate and sub-irrigated Ps60:Pb30:P10 and Ps50:P50 substrates (Table 3).

As for substrate type, greater values of O₂ diffusion rate were shown for the substrate Ps40:Pb30:P10. Results showed that the O₂ diffusion rate of the drip- irrigated or sub-irrigated Ps40:Pb30:P10 was significantly greater than the other substrate types at all the heights studied (Table 3). This is the result of the substrate’s particle size distribution producing a pore size distribution that developed, among the other substrates studied, the highest total porosity with both high air-filled porosity at container capacity (airspace 13.5%) and air-filled porosity at water tension –50 cm (46.7%).

With regard to the irrigation method applied, greater values of O₂ diffusion rate were measured in substrates of sub-irrigated pots than in drip-irrigated pots (Table 3) as a result of the greater air-filled porosity of the sub-irrigated substrates.

In general, the O₂ diffusion rate values in the current study were 2- to 5-fold
greater than the value 0.2 \( \mu \text{g O}_2 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1} \), which is considered critical for the root growth of plants in soils (Letey and Stolzy, 1967; Sojka and Stolzy, 1980; Stolzy and Letey, 1964). Paul and Lee (1976) also found that the growth of chrysanthemums within 13 different substrates increased within the range of 0.05 to 0.65 \( \mu \text{g O}_2 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1} \) that is 3-fold greater than the aforementioned critical value for root growth of plants in soils. These results were to be expected because pot plants growing in a greenhouse have much higher root densities, nutrient levels, and growth rates than field crops, so their requirements for \( O_2 \) would also be much higher.

Plant growth. Both \( P_{50:50} \) drip- and sub-irrigated plants were significantly greater than the plants of the other irrigation-substrate type combinations in height, number of flowers, and shoot dry weight (Table 4). Although all plants in all substrates received the same fertilizer treatment, substrate \( P_{50:50} \) was the only substrate enriched with nutrients by the manufacturer. Therefore, as a result of differences in the original nutrient content among substrates, the results based on shoot or root growth are inconclusive for plants showing better growth in substrate \( P_{50:50} \). Consequently, the results concerning the plant growth of the fortified substrate \( P_{50:50} \) are not included in the following results and discussion concerning the plant growth of the other substrates.

With regard to the root development of drip-irrigated plants, plants grown in \( P_{50:50} \) and \( P_{50:50} \) developed a vigorous root system that consisted of many root hairs mainly toward the walls of the pots but throughout their entire depth (data not shown). Plants grown in \( C_{50:50} \) developed a root system that consisted of larger diameter roots and much less root hairs compared with the other substrates (data not shown). On the other hand, the root system of plants that were sub-irrigated developed mainly at the base of the

Table 3. Oxygen diffusion rate of substrates: 1) sphagnum peat and perlite mixture at a proportion of 75:25 (v/v) \( P_{75:25} \); 2) sphagnum peat and perlite mixture at a proportion of 50:50 (v/v) \( P_{50:50} \); 3) sphagnum peat, black peat, and perlite mixture at a proportion of 60:30:10 (v/v) \( P_{60:30:10} \); and 4) coir and perlite mixture at a proportion of 50:50 (v/v) \( C_{50:50} \) at 1, 6, and 11 cm height from the base of the pots.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigation method</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Oxygen diffusion rate (( \mu \text{g O}_2 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1} ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ht</td>
<td>1 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip irrigation</td>
<td>( P_{75:25} )</td>
<td>0.53 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{60:50} )</td>
<td>0.51 b h a</td>
<td>0.51 b h a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{50:50} )</td>
<td>0.82 a b</td>
<td>0.88 a b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{50:50} )</td>
<td>0.41 c c</td>
<td>0.57 b b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-irrigation</td>
<td>( P_{75:25} )</td>
<td>0.55 b h b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{60:50} )</td>
<td>0.53 b h</td>
<td>0.64 a b ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{50:50} )</td>
<td>0.88 h b a</td>
<td>0.96 a ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{50:50} )</td>
<td>0.50 bc b</td>
<td>0.62 b a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In each column values not followed by the same letter are significant (comparison of means by Tukey-Kramer at \( P = 0.05; n = 10 \)).

In each row values not followed by the same letter written in italics are significant (comparison of means by Tukey-Kramer at \( P = 0.05; n = 10 \)).

Fig. 4. Water profiles between two successive irrigations of plants halfway through plant growth in the large pots (13 Jan. to 15 Jan.) of the substrates: 1) sphagnum peat, black peat, and perlite mixture at a proportion of 60:30:10 (v/v) \( P_{60:30:10} \); 2) sphagnum peat and perlite mixture at a proportion of 75:25 (v/v) \( P_{75:25} \); 3) sphagnum peat and perlite mixture at a proportion of 50:50 (v/v) \( P_{50:50} \); and 4) coir and perlite mixture at a proportion of 50:50 (v/v) \( C_{50:50} \). The value in parentheses is the mean averaged water content of the substrate.
Table 4. Plant height, number of flowers, shoot and root dry weights at the end of the experiment, and percent growth increase (%) of begonia plants throughout the duration of the experiment as affected by the combined effect of irrigation and substrate type: 1) sphagnum peat and perlite mixture at a proportion of 75:25 (v/v) (Ps75:P25); 2) sphagnum peat and perlite mixture at a proportion of 50:50 (v/v) (Ps50:P50); 3) sphagnum peat, black peat, and perlite mixture at a proportion of 60:30:10 (v/v) (Ps60:Sp30:Ps10); and 4) coir and perlite mixture at a proportion of 50:50 (v/v) (C50:P50).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigation method</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Plant ht (cm)</th>
<th>Number of flowers</th>
<th>Shoot dry wt (g)</th>
<th>Root dry wt (g)</th>
<th>Percent growth increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drip irrigation</td>
<td>Ps75:P25</td>
<td>24.9 b^c</td>
<td>97 b</td>
<td>13.4 b</td>
<td>9.6 abc</td>
<td>90.1 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ps50:P50</td>
<td>25.6 b</td>
<td>107 b</td>
<td>16.7 b</td>
<td>10.3 ab</td>
<td>91.1 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ps60:Sp30:Ps10</td>
<td>31.6 a</td>
<td>173 a</td>
<td>22.6 a</td>
<td>9.0 abc</td>
<td>92.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C50:P50</td>
<td>23.3 bc</td>
<td>82 bc</td>
<td>13.3 b</td>
<td>9.3 abc</td>
<td>89.0 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ps75:P25</td>
<td>21.1 c</td>
<td>50 cd</td>
<td>9.0 c</td>
<td>6.00 bc</td>
<td>82.1 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ps50:P50</td>
<td>20.5 c</td>
<td>39 d</td>
<td>10.0 c</td>
<td>10.4 a</td>
<td>86.9 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ps60:Sp30:Ps10</td>
<td>32.1 a</td>
<td>152 a</td>
<td>20.9 a</td>
<td>11.5 a</td>
<td>92.4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C50:P50</td>
<td>20.1 c</td>
<td>41 d</td>
<td>7.4 e</td>
<td>5.8 c</td>
<td>80.6 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^cColumns not followed by the same letter are significant (Tukey-Kramer, at P = 0.05; n = 10).

substrates and consisted of large-diameter roots (data not shown).

The combined effect of irrigation–substrate type showed that the root dry weights of Ps50:P50 sub-irrigated plants were significantly greater than the corresponding root dry weights of the plants grown in the other types of sub-irrigated substrates (Table 4).

With regard to the combined effect of irrigation–substrate on the percent growth increase of begonias, results showed that all drip-irrigated plants were greater than the sub-irrigated plants grown in Ps75:P25 and C50:P50 (Table 4). All substrates whether drip- or sub-irrigated had adequate amounts of O₂ and water content; therefore, it is possible that other factors have contributed to the decreased growth of the sub-irrigated plants such as electrical conductivity (EC) that is known to be increased in sub-irrigated substrates (Handreck and Black, 1994).

To conclude, the results showed that both irrigation treatments (drip- and sub-irrigation system) addressed the water requirements of the plants because the water content of all substrates studied was in the easily available water area (between pressures of ~10 cm and ~50 cm). Furthermore, the percentages of air-filled porosity were adequate in all substrates irrespective of irrigation treatment. With regard to substrate aeration, both irrigation treatments provided sufficient O₂ concentration and O₂ diffusion rate. Although results with regard to plant growth were inconclusive for the fortified substrate Ps60:Sp30:Ps10, it is worth pointing out that the O₂ diffusion...