Hot Water Treatment and Pre-processing Storage Reduce Browning Development in Fresh-cut Potato Slices
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Abstract. Enzymatic browning is a serious quality limitation for fresh-cut potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) that has been successfully controlled by heat treatment in other commodities. The use of brief heat treatments with 55 °C water (HW) applied to ‘Russet Burbank’ tubers for 10, 20, 30, or 40 min before cutting was evaluated for potential implementation to control tissue browning. After heat treatment, tubers were held at 20 °C for 0 or 1 day before peeling and slicing. Control tubers were not previously immersed in hot water. All slices were placed in perforated plastic bags and stored at 5 °C for 6 days. Exposure to HW for 30 or 40 min caused severe heat injury. Browning developed in all treatments as indicated by color measurements and discoloration scores (index of extent of discolored area on the slice surface) during storage. Hot water treatment for 10 min best reduced browning, but only when treated tubers were stored intact for 1 day at 20 °C before cutting, as indicated by discoloration scores and changes in L*, a*, and IP values, which were significantly different from either the control or the other HW treatments. Generally, the severe browning that developed in control slices during storage was associated with significant increases of 25% and 71% in phenolic content and antioxidant capacity, respectively. On the other hand, phenolic synthesis increased by only 6.25% to 13.2% in HW-treated slices during storage and polyphenoloxidase (PPO) activity was 24% to 31% lower compared with the activity before storage. Immersing potato tubers in 55 °C water for 10 to 20 min followed by storage at 20 °C for 1 day before processing reduced but did not prevent browning of peeled slices in terms of color changes and discoloration score. There was no significant correlation between browning and phenolic content or PPO activity.

The main quality defect in fresh-cut potato is enzymatic browning that develops on the cut surfaces of the tissue. Peeling and slicing of tubers causes cellular disruption leading to decompartmentalization of substrates and enzymes (Brecht et al., 2004). This disruption liberates PPO enzyme from mitochondria, allowing it to contact phenolic substrates in the vacuole and oxidize them to quinones, which then polymerize to dark pigments (Friedman, 1997; Martinez and Whitaker, 1995). ‘Russet Burbank’, which is the major commercial potato cultivar in the United States, is very susceptible to enzymatic browning compared with other cultivars (Coeter et al., 2001) as a result of its high phenolic content and PPO activity (Sapers et al., 1989).

Therefore, in contrast to products with low phenolic content for which inactivation of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) enzyme is the most effective way to minimize enzymatic browning (Saltveit, 2000), for products that are rich in phenols such as fresh-cut potatoes, it is necessary to inhibit PPO to prevent the oxidation of pre-existing phenols and their subsequent transformation into melanins. Various treatments have been applied to fresh-cut potato for reducing browning such as the use of antibrowning compounds like sulfites, L-cysteine, ascorbic acid, and/or citric acid (Rocculi et al., 2007; Sapers and Miller, 1995), storage under controlled atmosphere conditions (Angós et al., 2008), modified atmosphere or vacuum packaging (Beltrán et al., 2005), or combinations of these (Limbo and Piergiovanni, 2006; Ma et al., 2010). Until now, sulfites have proven to be consistently the most effective browning inhibitors, but their use for this purpose is controversial as a result of the risk of adverse health effects. It is commonly accepted that alternative technologies for the prevention of enzymatic browning need to be developed that will be effective and safe (Coeter et al., 2001).

Heat treatments have been shown to prevent the wound-induced synthesis of phenols by inhibiting PAL activity and, thus, reducing browning development in fresh-cut vegetables such as celery (Viña and Chaves, 2008) and lettuce (Loaiza-Velarde and Saltveit, 2001). It is questionable, however, whether heat treatments can reduce enzymatic browning on tissues such as potato, in which the phenol content is already high before wounding. Sapers and Miller (1995) inhibited discoloration of peeled potatoes during storage for 14 d at 4 °C by using a double treatment, ascorbic/citric acid solutions plus heat followed by dipping in a solution containing ascorbic and citric acids plus sodium pyrophosphate. Furthermore, the use of a heated onion extract, applied either by spraying or immersion of slices, exhibited a marked inhibitory effect on potato PPO and, indeed, this inhibitory effect was dependent on the heating temperature (Lee et al., 2002). However, the individual role of heat treatment application has not been studied yet on fresh-cut potato and particularly the effect it has on enzymatic browning during storage as well as on phenolic accumulation and oxidation by PPO.

According to Martinez and Whitaker (1995), heat inactivation of PPO is feasible by applying temperatures of greater than 50 °C, but no further details are cited. According to Koukounaras et al. (2008), compared with an unheated control, dipping peaches in hot water at 50 °C for 10 min before slicing had no effect on PPO activity during storage of fresh-cut peaches in modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) with 2% to 2.5% CO₂ at 5 °C for 6 d. Induction of PPO was achieved by heating crude enzyme extracts from apples using high temperatures (greater than 68 °C) (Yemeniciflu et al., 1997) or by blanching potatoes in boiling saline solutions (Severini et al., 2003). However, kinetic characteristics of the enzyme in whole apples heated at the tested conditions might differ from those in vitro and additionally blanching temperatures cannot be applied in minimal processing of horticultural products. Indeed, immersion of potatoes at water bath temperatures of 60 °C or higher resulted in tuber surface blackening and rapid decay (Rangama et al., 1998). In studies aiming to prevent spraying of potatoes during storage, the latter authors demonstrated that the tubers can tolerate HW treatments at 57.5 °C for 20 to 30 min and be safely stored for 12 weeks at either 5 or 18 °C without suffering from heat damage, whereas Kyriacou et al. (2008) reported that tuber tolerance at the same temperature is limited to 20 min. It would be interesting to investigate whether less severe heat treatments could reduce the accumulation of phenolics in stored fresh-cut potato slices as well as their oxidation resulting from the activity of PPO.

It has also been shown that the duration between heat treatment and processing also has a significant effect on enzymatic browning development during storage of fresh-cut products. Particularly, the beneficial effect of heat treatment of peach (Koukounaras et al., 2008) and lettuce (Loaiza-Velarde and Saltveit, 2001) on browning development on the fresh-cut products was further enhanced when the treatment was applied 4 or 6 h, respectively, before processing, indicating that a pre-processing storage interval can significantly affect the success of heat treatment.
The objective of this study was primarily to evaluate the efficacy of heat treatment in preventing enzymatic browning on fresh-cut potato slices during storage and additionally to examine whether storage delay between heat treatment and processing affects browning development on peeled slices.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and treatments. U.S. No. 1 grade ‘Russet Burbank’ potato tubers grown in Idaho and stored for approximately 1 to 2 months at 7°C and 95% relative humidity were ordered from a local supermarket in Gainesville, FL, and obtained immediately on their delivery from the supermarket distribution center. After being transferred to the Postharvest Facilities at the University of Florida and holding overnight at 20°C, the potatoes were sorted to remove damaged tubers and were then randomized.

Tubers of uniform size (460 ± 60 g) were immersed in 55°C water for 0, 10, 20, 30, or 40 min (10 tubers per treatment). The hot water treatment system used (Model HWH-2; Gaffney Eng., Gainesville, FL) is a modified water treatment system used (Model HWH-2; Gaffney Eng., Gainesville, FL) is a modified version of the apparatus described by Sharp (1989). Immediately after HW treatment, the tubers were cooled by immersion in 8°C water for 10 min, dried in front of fans for approximately 1 h, and placed in a refrigerated storage room at 20°C for 0 or 24 h before being processed (peeled and sliced). Preliminary experiments with 50 and 55°C hot water treatments and pre-processing delays at 20°C for up to 8 h were performed using ‘Russet Burbank’ and ‘Russet Norkotah’ potatoes that were sourced and treated as described previously.

Tubers were hand-peeled with a potato peeler and cut with a sharp knife perpendicular to the long axis of each tuber into 1-cm thick slices. The seven innermost slices from each tuber were halved; thus, two pieces were obtained from each slice. Pieces were rinsed twice with deionized water for 30 s each. Moisture on the surface of the pieces was removed by blotting with paper towels, the color was measured, browning was evaluated, then the pieces were placed in plastic bags that had previously been punctured twice with a 9-mm cork borer, stored in a refrigerated storage room at 5°C for 0 or 6 d, and were frozen at −30°C before chemical determinations. Four replications were used for each treatment and each replication consisted of 14 pieces.

Color evaluations. Color changes were quantified in the L*, a*, b* color space using a Minolta colorimeter (Model CR-200b; Minolta Corp., Ramsey, NJ) equipped with an 8-mm diameter measuring head. Color measurements were made at the most discolored area on each one of 14 pieces. Hue angle $H^\circ = \tan^{-1}(b^*/a^*)$ when $a^* > 0$ or $H^\circ = 180 + \tan^{-1}(b^*/a^*)$ when $a^* < 0$ was calculated from $a^*$ and $b^*$ values. $L^*$ refers to the lightness, ranging from 0 = black to 100 = white, and $H^\circ$ is defined as an angle on a color wheel, with red-purple at 0°, yellow at 90°, bluish green at 180°, and blue at 270°. In the results, to compare samples with different initial color, $\% \Delta L^* = (L_{\text{day } 0} - L_{\text{day } 6})/L_{\text{day } 0} 	imes 100$, $\% \Delta a^* = (a_{\text{day } 6} - a_{\text{day } 0})/a_{\text{day } 0} 	imes 100$, $\% \Delta b^* = (b_{\text{day } 6} - b_{\text{day } 0})/b_{\text{day } 0} 	imes 100$ were calculated as percent of the initial value, and $\Delta E^*$ was also calculated as $[(L_{\text{day } 0})^2 + (a_{\text{day } 6} - a_{\text{day } 0})^2 + (b_{\text{day } 6} - b_{\text{day } 0})^2]^{1/2}$. Brown discoloration was evaluated subjectively on a scale of 1 to 5 based on the extent of discolored area (DA) on each of 14 pieces, in which 1 = none (0% DA), 2 = slight (1% to 5% DA), 3 = moderate (6% to 50% DA), 4 = moderately severe (51% to 90% DA), and 5 = very severe (greater than 90% DA).

Chemical determinations. Frozen tuber pieces were homogenized and the resulting tissue slurries were used for the determination of PPO activity as well as total phenol content and antioxidant capacity.

Polyphenoloxidase activity. Tissue slurry aliquots of 5 g were rehomogenized in 30 mL of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.6, together with 0.5 g of polyvinylpyrrolidone and centrifuged at 17,600 g, for 20 min. The supernatant was filtered through Whatman No. 4 filter paper and the filtrate was used for PPO activity measured by determining the absorbance increase at 420 nm over a period of not less than 1 min at 25°C. The mixture contained 1 mL of extract, 1 mL of phosphate buffer, and 1 mL of 0.2 M catechol. The results were expressed as units of enzyme activity per 1 g fresh weight (units/g FW). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of the enzyme that caused a 0.01 change in absorbance in the first 15 s after addition of catechol to the reaction mixture.

Total phenol content and antioxidant capacity. Five grams of the blended material were homogenized in 25 mL of 2 mM sodium fluoride in 80% methanol for 2 min, centrifuged at 4°C and 17,600 g, for 20 min, and filtered through Whatman No. 4 paper. The same extraction was conducted for both total phenols and antioxidant capacity. Total phenols were determined according to the method of Singleton and Rossi (1965) and was expressed as micrograms of gallic acid equivalents per 1 g of fresh weight (μg·g⁻¹ GAE FW). Antioxidant capacity assay was performed following the procedure described by Brand-Williams et al. (1995) with minor modifications. The extract (200 μL) was pipetted into 1.8 mL of 0.1 mM methanolic 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) solution to initiate the reaction. The absorbance was read after 15 min at 517 nm. Ascorbic acid was used as a standard and the DPPH radical scavenging activity was expressed as milligrams of ascorbic acid equivalents antioxidant capacity (AEAC) per 100 g FW (mg 100 g⁻¹ AEAC FW).

Statistical analysis. A completely randomized design was used. Analysis of variance was performed to evaluate the effect of HW treatment, pre-processing delay, and storage. Means were separated by Duncan’s multiple range test at the 0.05 level.

Results and Discussion

Severe heat injury developed on slices from tubers treated for 30 or 40 min at 55°C, and therefore they were discarded and not included in the study, although Ranganna et al. (1998) reported that cv. Superior potato tubers withstood a HW treatment of 57.5°C for up to 30 min without damaging the tissue. According to Lurie (1998), many fruits and vegetables tolerate exposure to water temperatures of 50 to 60°C for up to 10 min. On the other hand, Kyriacou et al. (2008) reported that for ‘Hermes’ potato tubers, 20-min exposure time was the longest safe limit for HW treatment at 57.5°C and 25 min for treatment at 55°C, suggesting the possibility that physiological age, cultivar, and pre-processing storage conditions significantly affect thermostolerance in potato tuber.

Increases in $a^*$ and decreases in $L^*$ and $H^\circ$ values are indicative of browning on potato (Ma et al., 2010; Sapers and Miller, 1995), whereas according to Cantos et al. (2002), the best indicator of browning appearance in fresh-cut potato strips is lightness ($L^*$). A $\Delta E^*$ above 3 has been reported to represent browning that is perceivable to humans (Limbo and Piergiovanni, 2006). Regression analysis showed that all color changes ($%\Delta L^*$, $%\Delta a^*$, $%\Delta a^*$, $%\Delta H^\circ$, and $\Delta E^*$) correlated well with each other ($R > 0.984, P \leq 0.0026$) (Table 1). A good correlation between $L^*$ and $a^*$ on peeled potatoes has been also reported by Thibò et al. (2006). The parameters $b^*$ and chroma ($C^*$) obtained from the raw data did not exhibit any treatment effects.

Color changes were significantly affected by HW treatment duration, pre-processing duration as well as by their interaction (Table 2). However, most of the total variance (52%, 91%, 57%, and 52%) was accounted for by differences between pre-processing duration for $\%\Delta L^*$, $\%\Delta a^*$, $\%\Delta H^\circ$, and $\Delta E^*$, respectively. After 6 d storage at 5°C, $L^*$ and $H^\circ$ in non-HW-treated (control) potato slices decreased by 21% and 15%, respectively, whereas $a^*$ and $\Delta E$ increased by 204% and 15%, respectively (Table 3). Slices from tubers treated with HW and processed immediately on the completion of the treatment exhibited color changes that were similar to the control irrespective of the duration of the treatment.

Storage of HW-treated tubers for 1 d at 20°C before peeling and slicing resulted in significantly smaller color changes after storage than control slices or those from tubers processed immediately after HW treatment with no difference between 10- and 20-min HW treatment durations (Table 3). Particularly, $L^*$ and $H^\circ$ decreased by 6% to 12% and 7% to 9%, respectively, whereas $a^*$ and $\Delta E$ increased by 71% to 108% and 6% to 9%, respectively, in slices obtained from tubers treated for 10 or 20 min and stored for 1 d at 20°C before being peeled and sliced. Sapers and Miller (1995) reported that minimal color changes occurred in Russet and round-white type abrasion-peeled potatoes that had been dipped for 15 min in a heated (55°C) acidic (1% ascorbic acid + 2% citric acid) solution followed by dipping in a browning inhibitor solution (4% ascorbic acid + 1% sodium acid pyrophosphate + 0.2% CaCl₂) before storage for 2 weeks at 4°C. Indeed, $\Delta L^*$ values
Table 1. Correlation coefficients (R) and probability (P) for color changes (%ΔL*, %Δa*, %ΔH*, and ΔE), total soluble phenolics, PPO activity, antioxidant capacity, and discoloration score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variance</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Pre-processing duration</td>
<td>538.3***</td>
<td>52.24</td>
<td>269,920***</td>
<td>91.28</td>
<td>132.2***</td>
<td>56.96</td>
<td>134.3***</td>
<td>51.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) HWT duration</td>
<td>195.4***</td>
<td>30.13</td>
<td>16,425***</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>52.2***</td>
<td>22.49</td>
<td>79.5***</td>
<td>30.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B</td>
<td>95.4*</td>
<td>14.73</td>
<td>8,297*</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>41.9**</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>37.8**</td>
<td>14.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>1,064</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Potato tubers were subjected to hot water treatment for 0, 10, or 20 min at 55 °C followed by storage in perforated plastic bags at 20 °C for 0 or 1 d before being peeled and sliced.

**Source of variance: (A) Pre-processing duration; (B) HWT duration.

***Significant effect at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively.

Table 2. Analysis of variance for color changes (%ΔL*, %Δa*, %ΔH*, and ΔE) of fresh-cut potato slices after storage in perforated plastic bags at 5 °C for 6 d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variance</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hot water treatment duration (min)</td>
<td>Pre-processing duration (days)</td>
<td>%ΔL*</td>
<td>%Δa*</td>
<td>%ΔH*</td>
<td>ΔE</td>
<td>%ΔL*</td>
<td>%Δa*</td>
<td>%ΔH*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-21.24</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>203.5 a</td>
<td>-14.96 b</td>
<td>15.05 a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-18.52 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-19.86 b</td>
<td>172.9 a</td>
<td>-13.75 b</td>
<td>13.96 a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-6.35 a</td>
<td>70.5 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-11.86 a</td>
<td>107.8 b</td>
<td>-9.33 a</td>
<td>8.88 b</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-18.52 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Potato tubers were subjected to hot water treatment for 0, 10, or 20 min at 55 °C followed by storage in perforated plastic bags at 20 °C for 0 or 1 d before being peeled and sliced.

**Source of variance: Hot water treatment duration (min) and Pre-processing duration (days).

***Significant effect at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively.

Table 3. Color changes (%ΔL*, %Δa*, %ΔH*, and ΔE) of fresh-cut potato slices after storage in perforated plastic bags at 5 °C for 6 d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hot water treatment duration (min)</th>
<th>Pre-processing duration (days)</th>
<th>%ΔL*</th>
<th>%Δa*</th>
<th>%ΔH*</th>
<th>ΔE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-21.241 b</td>
<td>203.5 a</td>
<td>-14.96 b</td>
<td>15.05 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-19.86 b</td>
<td>172.9 a</td>
<td>-13.75 b</td>
<td>13.96 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-6.35 a</td>
<td>70.5 b</td>
<td>-6.54 a</td>
<td>5.68 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Potato tubers were subjected to hot water treatment for 0, 10, or 20 min at 55 °C followed by storage in perforated plastic bags at 20 °C for 0 or 1 d before being peeled and sliced.

**Source of variance: Hot water treatment duration (min) and Pre-processing duration (days).

***Significant effect at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively.

clearly showed that the 55 °C/15-min treatment in the heated solution followed by the browning inhibitor dip was more effective than the dip alone, although the individual effect of heat treatment was not evaluated.

Browning evaluation showed that discoloration was mainly affected by storage (by 99%) and less by HW treatment and pre-processing duration (Table 4). Browning was assessed as moderately severe to severe in almost all slices (4.1 to 4.5 discoloration scores), whereas it was only moderate to moderately severe (3.3 score) in slices from tubers that were heated in water at 55 °C for 10 minutes and stored intact for 1 d at 20 °C before being processed (Table 5). Slices produced by tubers that were HW treated at 55 °C for 20 min were scored higher, presumably as a result of a slight heat injury caused by the prolonged immersion time. Discoloration scores correlated well (R > 0.905, P < 0.035) with all content located in the potato peel and adjoining tissues, whereas the remainder decreases in concentration from the outside toward the center of potato tubers (Friedman, 1997). Slices from potatoes dipped in HW irrespective of treatment or pre-processing duration had a phenol content of 0.34 to 0.36 mg g⁻¹ GAE FW, which was not significantly different from the control either before or after storage (Table 5). There was no correlation between the total phenolic content and either discoloration score or color changes (Table 1), in agreement with Rocha and Morais (2002). Although the accumulation of wound-induced phenolic compounds can increase the velocity of oxidative reactions catalyzed by enzymes such as PPO, giving rise to melanins (enzymatic browning) (Tudela et al., 2002), the initial high content of phenols (mainly chlorogenic acid) in potato tuber is more than sufficient to support browning so that phenolic accumulation is not the rate-limiting step in browning development (Cantos et al., 2002).

Antioxidant capacity significantly increased during storage in control slices (16.5 mg 100 g⁻¹ AEAC FW) compared with the initial levels before storage (9.6 mg 100 g⁻¹ AEAC FW) (Table 5). Slices from tubers that were HW-treated for either 10 or 20 min and processed the same day or slices from tubers that were HW-treated for 10 min and stored at 20 °C for 1 d before being processed had lower antioxidant capacity (11.7 to 12.0 mg 100 g⁻¹ AEAC FW), which was not significantly different from the level before storage. The changes in antioxidant capacity during storage coincided with the changes in phenol content and this result is also confirmed by the strong correlation (R = 0.974, P < 0.005) between these two parameters (Table 1), contrary to a report on minimally processed apples in which the browning index correlated better with a* than L* values (Rocha and Morais, 2002).

Total phenol content, antioxidant capacity, and PPO activity were mainly affected by storage (by 74%, 77%, and 97%) (Table 4). Particularly, phenol content increased significantly during 6 d of storage at 5 °C in slices from control tubers (0.40 μg g⁻¹ GAE FW) compared with initial phenol content before storage (0.32 μg g⁻¹ GAE FW) (Table 5). Tudela et al. (2002) reported a remarkable increase in the chlorogenic acid content of fresh-cut ‘Liseta’, ‘Spunta’, ‘Agria’, ‘Monalisa’, and ‘Cara’ potato strips after 6 d of storage at 4 °C, similar to the report by Cantos et al. (2002) for the same cultivars. Chlorogenic acid constitutes up to 90% of the total phenolic content of potato tubers with 50% of that content located in the potato peel and adjoining tissues, whereas the remainder decreases in concentration from the outside toward the center of potato tubers (Friedman, 1997). Slices from potatoes dipped in HW irrespective of treatment or pre-processing duration had a phenol content of 0.34 to 0.36 mg g⁻¹ GAE FW, which was not significantly different from the control either before or after storage (Table 5). There was no correlation between the total phenolic content and either discoloration score or color changes (Table 1), in agreement with Rocha and Morais (2002). Although the accumulation of wound-induced phenolic compounds can increase the velocity of oxidative reactions catalyzed by enzymes such as PPO, giving rise to melanins (enzymatic browning) (Tudela et al., 2002), the initial high content of phenols (mainly chlorogenic acid) in potato tuber is more than sufficient to support browning so that phenolic accumulation is not the rate-limiting step in browning development (Cantos et al., 2002).
Table 4. Analysis of variance for discoloration score, phenolics content, antioxidant capacity, and polyphenoloxidase (PPO) activity of fresh-cut potato slices after storage in perforated plastic bags at 5°C for 6 d.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per parameter</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Percent of TV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Pre-processing duration(^a)</td>
<td>0.04**</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) HWT duration(^a)</td>
<td>0.04**</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>25.22**</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × B</td>
<td>0.02**</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Storage(^a)</td>
<td>15.89***</td>
<td>98.73</td>
<td>0.02596***</td>
<td>73.85</td>
<td>196.22***</td>
<td>77.07</td>
<td>97.21***</td>
<td>91.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × C</td>
<td>0.04**</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B × C</td>
<td>0.04**</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>25.22**</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × B × C</td>
<td>0.02**</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00163</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Potato tubers were subjected to hot water treatment for 0, 10, or 20 min at 55°C followed by storage in perforated plastic bags at 20°C for 0 or 1 d before being peeled and sliced.

**1 = 0%, 2 = 1% to 5%, 3 = 6% to 50%, 4 = 51% to 90%, 5 = 91% to 100% surface discoloration.

**Total variance.

*0 or 1 d at 20°C.

*0, 10, or 20 min at 55°C.

*0 or 6 d at 5°C.

***, ***Significant effect at \(P \leq 0.01\), or 0.001, respectively.

Table 5. Phenolics content, polyphenoloxidase (PPO) activity, antioxidant capacity, and discoloration score of fresh-cut potato slices before and after storage in perforated plastic bags at 5°C for 6 d.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hot water treatment duration (min)</th>
<th>Pre-processing duration (days)</th>
<th>Storage (days)</th>
<th>Total soluble phenolics (mg g(^{-1}) GAE FW)</th>
<th>PPO activity (U g(^{-1}) FW)(^u)</th>
<th>Antioxidant capacity (mg 100 g(^{-1}) AEAC FW)</th>
<th>Discoloration score(^v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.317 b</td>
<td>12.359 a</td>
<td>9.63 c</td>
<td>1.60 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.395 a</td>
<td>16.474 ab</td>
<td>16.51 a</td>
<td>4.86 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.343 ab</td>
<td>8.509 b</td>
<td>11.75 bc</td>
<td>4.27 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.336 ab</td>
<td>9.423 b</td>
<td>11.99 bc</td>
<td>4.29 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.347 ab</td>
<td>9.250 b</td>
<td>11.70 bc</td>
<td>3.27 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.359 ab</td>
<td>8.937 b</td>
<td>13.59 ab</td>
<td>4.09 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Potato tubers were subjected to hot water treatment for 0, 10, or 20 min at 55°C followed by storage in perforated plastic bags at 20°C for 0 or 1 d before being peeled and sliced.

\(^1\) Mean within each column followed by different letter are not significantly different according to Duncan’s multiple range test \((P < 0.05)\).
the correct choice of variety is particularly important in the case of potato. A study evaluating various potato cultivars (not including ‘Russet Burbank’) for their suitability to be processed as fresh-cut products revealed that the cultivars that showed less browning in incidence and color changes, thus receiving the highest appearance scores during storage at 5 °C, were characterized by low phenol content and PPO activity and high antioxidant capacity (Cabezas-Serrano et al., 2009). The authors concluded that initial composition in terms of phenol content, vitamin C, sugar content, antioxidant capacity, and enzymatic activity partially explains potato suitability to be processed as fresh-cut products.

Conclusion

Heat treatment alone is not sufficient to inhibit enzymatic browning in fresh-cut potato. Browning, in terms of color changes of peeled slices, was reduced only when HW dipping at 55 °C for 10 to 20 min was followed by storage at 20 °C for 1 d before processing. This is a promising discovery and should therefore be exploited in the future by combining HW treatment and delay before processing with antibrowning compounds applied at lower concentrations or with less stressful atmospheric conditions than those currently being used for MAP of fresh-cut potato.
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