Development and Evaluation of a Large-volume Rotary Root Separator
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Abstract. Isolation of plant roots from soil or substrate for biomass measurement is time-consuming and can be a limiting factor influencing experimental designs, especially with mature woody plants. An electric-powered root separator was developed that sped sample preparation for root dry mass determination with a capacity of 40 L of container substrate or 32 kg of sandy soil. No water was required for machine operation and an estimated fourfold reduction in total processing time was achieved. Extent of root recovery was quantified by processing five woody plant species grown in two different container substrates and in soil, resulting in a minimum yield of 98%.

Material and Methods

A root separator was developed to speed root isolation for dry mass determination (Fig. 1). Mechanical separation is provided by a rotating cylinder that removes small particles. The root separator consists of an internal basket and an external cylinder with four 38 × 20-cm openings at the lower end. The internal basket was 45 cm in diameter and 50 cm long. It was constructed from 6 × 6-mm mesh metal hardware cloth and sewn together using twines and a bicycle tire inner tube to cover the sharp edges. The outer cylinder was made from an aluminum trash can (79 cm tall and 46 cm in diameter) attached to a right angle gear reducer (1:20, Model 13-175-20-R; Worldwide Electric, Rochester, NY). A 15 × 15-mm wire mesh was placed on the outside of the cylinder to support the internal removal basket. The gear reducer was belt-driven by a small electric motor (Model SKH 47KR383 GS, 110 VAC, 0.75 hp, 1720 rpm; General Electric, Milwaukee, WI; Fig. 1C). The motor was shielded by a customized sheet metal box (46 × 30 × 20 cm). The upper end of the cylinder was supported by two inflatable rubber tires (25.4 cm tall × 7.6 cm wide; World Caster & Equipment Manufacturing, Inc., Lilburn, GA) and hub assemblies attached to the heavy mobile metallic base for stability. The cylinder was set at a 20° angle from the base. Samples, up to 40 L, were placed into the internal basket. Cylinder rotation speed was adjustable. After preliminary trials, the rotational speed was established at 20 rpm to minimize root damage. During machine use, particles smaller than wire mesh openings dropped through both the basket and the openings at the bottom of the cylinder and then were channeled aside by a polyacrylamide chute (100 × 40 cm × 4 mm thick). After rotation, the basket was removed from the cylinder, and the remaining material was transferred to a horizontal sieve (3 × 3 mm) where roots were hand-separated without water from remaining large pieces of substrate and debris. Roots were rinsed only after isolation, thus significantly reducing the use of water.

This root separator was used to isolate roots of individual *Ligustrum japonicum* Thunb. grown in aboveground rhizotrons (Silva, 2010), which contained 0.16 m² of substrate (Mix #4; Conrad Fafard Inc., Agawam, MA). Twenty-four plants were grown between March and June of 2008. Rhizotron substrate was separated into four portions (≈40 L each) from samples of 993 cm³ soil after machine washing.

The objective of the project reported here was to develop a fast, mechanical system to separate roots from large sample volumes with maximal root recovery, minimal root damage, and little to no water use.
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each) and then rotated for 5 to 10 min each, depending on substrate moisture level. After rinsing with water, roots were oven-dried at 65 °C until constant dry mass was obtained.

To evaluate the general efficiency and to calculate the percentage of recovery (yield) from the root separator, root samples from five woody plant species were isolated using the machine. Entire root balls of container-grown plants of *Viburnum odoratissimum* Ker Gawl. (viburnum), *Magnolia grandiflora* L. 'Little Gem' (magnolia; Table 1) were sampled for root isolation. Additionally, plants of *Ilex cornuta* Lindl. & Paxt. transplanted 18 months earlier into sandy soil (Tavare-Millhopper fine sand) were also sampled. Of these plants, one-fourth of the roots that extended beyond the original root ball were used for root isolation. Rotation time was varied based on root ball size and substrate moisture level. Rotation was stopped when roots were visibly free of most small particles and remaining roots were cleaned as described previously for *L. japonicum* grown in rhizotrons. Root diameter was measured with a digital caliper (Model CD-6" CS; Mitutoyo America Corporation, Aurora, IL). To determine total root mass, substrate fell from the cylinder was placed on the sieve and any additional root pieces were collected by hand. Yield was calculated as percentage of root dry mass recovered from the basket compared with the total dry root mass. Root yield from the five plant species was compared using a completely randomized design with three replications. Percent yield data were arcsin square root-transformed for data analysis (Palta, 2001). Data analysis was accomplished using SAS (Version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**Results and Discussion**

Mechanical isolation of roots, followed by handpicking of each rhizotron’s substrate (160 L), was completed in averaged 4 man-hours (Table 2). This is four times faster than the fastest published time used for handpicking roots (Carlson and Donald, 1986) in other studies (Table 2). Using the root separator to remove small particles before handpicking led to faster cleaning; 0.025 h was used to clean each liter of substrate. Time required to handpick roots varies with soil type, presence of organic debris, plant species, and amount of roots in the sample. For example, Böhm et al. (1977; Table 2) reported that 4 h were necessary to clean roots of soybeans from 14.1-L samples. However, the soil core was 1.80 m deep, segmented in 0.15 m, with 93% of roots concentrated in the top 0.45 m of the sample. In contrast, the web of roots produced by woody species over many years requires much longer times to clean and separate live roots from organic debris. Up to 60.3 h per liter of sample was required for samples originated from forested sites (Bernier et al., 2005). Metcalfe et al. (2007) reported faster root cleaning by handpicking of samples from forested sites, ranging from 0.36 to 4.11 h per liter to clean roots from sandy and clay soils, respectively. Rotation time ranged from 8% to 17% of total processing time.

Samples processed for yield determination included plants produced in soil and substrates with different particle sizes and components. Root samples from native sandy soil were easily cleaned using the separator. The sandy soil had a much finer texture than the Ligustrum substrate. In contrast, container root balls of magnolia, anise, and viburnum were principally composed of composted pine bark (less than 25 mm). Root yield of all species was high (Table 3) with viburnum representing the lowest yield at 98.5% of total root dry mass. Best yield was obtained with magnolia at 99.9%. The root isolation process worked best when the substrate or soil had low water content. Time required for isolation depended on soil particle size, moisture level, root density, and morphology. Drier samples need less run time because the particles do not adhere to roots as much as on wetter samples.

Plants selected had different root morphology (fine versus coarse roots) and root ball sizes, ranging from 1.5 to 160 L. Viburnum roots were coarse (average diameter 1.43 mm) and exhibited the least amount of breakage from the bulk of a root ball, whereas *L. japonicum* roots were smaller in diameter (0.75 mm) than viburnum roots but larger than magnolia, anise, or holly roots (0.73, 0.56, and 0.31 mm, respectively). Although roots of anise were the most susceptible to breakage, yield of anise roots was very high (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Plant age (yrs)</th>
<th>Root ball volume (L)</th>
<th>Substrate or soil type</th>
<th>Root mass (g)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Viburnum odoratissimum</em></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Commercial substrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ligustrum japonicum</em></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Commercial substrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ilex cornuta</em> 'Burfordii'</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Illicium parviflorum</em></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Commercial substrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Magnolia grandiflora</em> 'Little Gem'</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Commercial substrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Handpicked (herbaceous)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Soil type</th>
<th>Sample volume (L)</th>
<th>Time used to clean roots (h)</th>
<th>Hours to clean 1 L of soil</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin and Nielsen (2004)</td>
<td>Weld loam</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>Up to 20</td>
<td>20.12</td>
<td><em>Pisum sativum</em> L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Böhm et al. (1977)</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>14.142</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td><em>Glycine max</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson and Donald (1986)</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td><em>Cirsium arvense</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fribourg (1953)</td>
<td>Not cited</td>
<td>4.129</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td><em>Tradilion spp.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results and Discussion**

Mechanical isolation of roots, followed by handpicking of each rhizotron’s substrate (160 L), was completed in averaged 4 man-hours (Table 2). This is four times faster than the fastest published time used for handpicking roots (Carlson and Donald, 1986) in other studies (Table 2). Using the root separator to remove small particles before handpicking led to faster cleaning; 0.025 h was used to clean each liter of substrate. Time required to handpick roots varies with soil type, presence of organic debris, plant species, and amount of roots in the sample. For example, Böhm et al. (1977; Table 2) reported that 4 h were necessary to clean roots of soybeans from 14.1-L samples. However, the soil core was 1.80 m deep, segmented in 0.15 m, with 93% of roots concentrated in the top 0.45 m of the sample. In contrast, the web of roots produced by woody species over many years requires much longer times to clean and separate live roots from organic debris. Up to 60.3 h per liter of sample was required for samples originated from forested sites (Bernier et al., 2005). Metcalfe et al. (2007) reported faster root cleaning by handpicking of samples from forested sites, ranging from 0.36 to 4.11 h per liter to clean roots from sandy and clay soils, respectively. Rotation time ranged from 8% to 17% of total processing time.

Samples processed for yield determination included plants produced in soil and substrates with different particle sizes and components. Root samples from native sandy soil were easily cleaned using the separator. The sandy soil had a much finer texture than the Ligustrum substrate. In contrast, container root balls of magnolia, anise, and viburnum were principally composed of composted pine bark (less than 25 mm). Root yield of all species was high (Table 3) with viburnum representing the lowest yield at 98.5% of total root dry mass. Best yield was obtained with magnolia at 99.9%. The root isolation process worked best when the substrate or soil had low water content. Time required for isolation depended on soil particle size, moisture level, root density, and morphology. Drier samples need less run time because the particles do not adhere to roots as much as on wetter samples.

Plants selected had different root morphology (fine versus coarse roots) and root ball sizes, ranging from 1.5 to 160 L. Viburnum roots were coarse (average diameter 1.43 mm) and exhibited the least amount of breakage from the bulk of a root ball, whereas *L. japonicum* roots were smaller in diameter (0.75 mm) than viburnum roots but larger than magnolia, anise, or holly roots (0.73, 0.56, and 0.31 mm, respectively). Although roots of anise were the most susceptible to breakage, yield of anise roots was very high (Table 3).

**Results and Discussion**

Mechanical isolation of roots, followed by handpicking of each rhizotron’s substrate (160 L), was completed in averaged 4 man-hours (Table 2). This is four times faster than the fastest published time used for handpicking roots (Carlson and Donald, 1986) in other studies (Table 2). Using the root separator to remove small particles before handpicking led to faster cleaning; 0.025 h was used to clean each liter of substrate. Time required to handpick roots varies with soil type, presence of organic debris, plant species, and amount of roots in the sample. For example, Böhm et al. (1977; Table 2) reported that 4 h were necessary to clean roots of soybeans from 14.1-L samples. However, the soil core was 1.80 m deep, segmented in 0.15 m, with 93% of roots concentrated in the top 0.45 m of the sample. In contrast, the web of roots produced by woody species over many years requires much longer times to clean and separate live roots from organic debris. Up to 60.3 h per liter of sample was required for samples originated from forested sites (Bernier et al., 2005). Metcalfe et al. (2007) reported faster root cleaning by handpicking of samples from forested sites, ranging from 0.36 to 4.11 h per liter to clean roots from sandy and clay soils, respectively. Rotation time ranged from 8% to 17% of total processing time.

Samples processed for yield determination included plants produced in soil and substrates with different particle sizes and components. Root samples from native sandy soil were easily cleaned using the separator. The sandy soil had a much finer texture than the Ligustrum substrate. In contrast, container root balls of magnolia, anise, and viburnum were principally composed of composted pine bark (less than 25 mm). Root yield of all species was high (Table 3) with viburnum representing the lowest yield at 98.5% of total root dry mass. Best yield was obtained with magnolia at 99.9%. The root isolation process worked best when the substrate or soil had low water content. Time required for isolation depended on soil particle size, moisture level, root density, and morphology. Drier samples need less run time because the particles do not adhere to roots as much as on wetter samples.

Plants selected had different root morphology (fine versus coarse roots) and root ball sizes, ranging from 1.5 to 160 L. Viburnum roots were coarse (average diameter 1.43 mm) and exhibited the least amount of breakage from the bulk of a root ball, whereas *L. japonicum* roots were smaller in diameter (0.75 mm) than viburnum roots but larger than magnolia, anise, or holly roots (0.73, 0.56, and 0.31 mm, respectively). Although roots of anise were the most susceptible to breakage, yield of anise roots was very high (Table 3).
To minimize root breakage, especially fine lateral roots, attention was directed to minimize duration of rotation to no longer than necessary. Root recovery was good for all species tested. All substrate sieved through the internal basket was first handpicked off 3-mm sieves in full daylight and then washed to calculate the yield recovery. Most roots recovered this way were found before washing the substrate through the sieve with water. Recoveries from sieved substrate (organic or inorganic) were less than 1.5% of total dry mass despite initial substrate volumes up to 40 L. Results from plants grown in soilless substrates and the sandy soil suggested that total root cleaning time may be diminished compared with traditional handpicking. With a portable generator and larger wheels on the rotary separator, or perhaps a trailer-mounted version, the majority of soil removal for root isolations could be accomplished on-site in the field.
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