Ethidium Bromide-induced Mutations from Inflorescence Cultures of Indiangrass
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Abstract. Immature inflorescences of a Sorghastrum nutans (L.) Nash selection were cultured on CCM medium with 5 mg L⁻¹ 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and 1 mg L⁻¹ N-Benzyladenine (BA) for 5 weeks. Callused inflorescence cultures were placed on CCM medium with 1 mg L⁻¹ BA (CCmB1) and 0 or 250 mg L⁻¹ ethidium bromide (EtBr) for 24 h. Cultures were transferred to CCmB1 without EtBr for shoot regeneration and then to CCM without plant growth regulators for rooting. Rooted shoots were transferred to soil under greenhouse conditions and then to the field. Fifteen putative M₁ mutants with atypical phenotypes were detected among 71 EtBr-treated regenerants. Two self-incompatible putative M₁ mutants were progeny-tested by using a wild-type Indiangrass seedling and the pollen parent. M₁ selection ISU/06-35 was a dwarf mutant whose M₁ testcross progeny segregated 1:1 tall: dwarf seedlings. M₁ selection ISU/06-56 was a red-flowered mutant whose M₁ testcross progeny segregated 1:1 green-flowered:red-flowered seedlings. These results are consistent with both M₁ mutants being dominant nuclear mutations.

Recent years have seen an upsurge in the use of ornamental grasses in commercial and residential landscaping (Engelbrecht, 2006). Native North American grasses have become especially popular because of their natural hardiness, tolerance for widely different soil types, and drought tolerance (Darke, 1999). Of the native grasses, Indiangrass [Sorghastrum nutans (L.) Nash] is arguably the most widely adaptable with a range that encompasses over half the continental United States. Additionally, it was the second most common grass species in the original tallgrass prairie (Darke, 1999). It is surprising then that so few ornamental cultivars are available. Not counting those adapted from forage types and unnamed selections, only ‘Sioux Blue’ and the more recently introduced ‘Indian Steel’ are commonly available from commercial catalog and online nurseries. One possible reason for this lack of cultivars is the presence of self-incompatibility (McKone et al., 1998), which complicates conventional breeding methods, making it more difficult to introduce improvements by combining one or two useful traits into an already acceptable selection or cultivar.

Mutagenesis has been successfully used in plant breeding for decades, especially when barriers exist to conventional breeding methods (Donini and Somnino, 1998). Although in vitro culture alone has produced many spontaneous mutations or somaclonal variants (Veilleux and Johnson, 1998), the use of chemical mutagens combined with in vitro culture has been a successful alternative approach for plants not prone to somaclonal variation (Ahlloowalia, 1998). One such mutagen, ethidium bromide (EtBr), has been used successfully as a seed soak (Burton and Hamma, 1976), but there are no reports of it being used in vitro.

The primary objective of this study was to determine if EtBr included in the tissue culture medium would induce new phenotypes in Indiangrass. A secondary objective was to select and test mutations of horticultural value for genetic transmission to progeny.

Materials and Methods

The original plant, hereafter the M₀ plant, following previously established terminol-ogy (Donini and Somnino, 1998), was obtained as a transplant of an unnamed selection from Kur Bluemel Inc. Nurseries, Baldwin, MD, and was established in 2002 at the Iowa State University (ISU) turfgrass plots at the ISU Horticulture Farm, Gilbert, IA. The M₀ plant has an upright habit, inflorescences held vertically over the bloom season from September through October, and blue-green summer leaf color similar to other established ornamental Indiangrass cultivars. All plants regenerated from in vitro culture were designated as the M₁ generation. Seedlings derived from seeds harvested from the M₁ selections were designated as the M₂ generation. The M₀ plant was tested in 2005 for its ability to regenerate shoots through in vitro culture following methods established for micropropagation of Indiangrass (Chen et al., 1979) and Miscanthus (Gawel et al., 1990). Of 87 M₁ regenerants evaluated at the ISU Horticulture Farm, none showed any phenotypic differences from the M₀ plant (data not shown), indicating a lack of somaclonal variation. No seeds were set on any M₁ plants, suggesting that the M₀ plant was self-incompatible. To provide a compatible pollen source for future progeny testing, Indiangrass seeds were harvested in 2005 from the Elwood Prairie, Ames, IA, established using locally sourced seeds from nearby undis turbed prairie remnants (James Colbert, personal communication, 2008).

Shoots were harvested from the M₀ plant again in mid-July 2006, when inflorescences were 1 to 5 cm long within the immature flowering shoot. Following methods of Chen et al. (1979), shoot sections 9 to 11 cm long were disinfected in 75% commercial liquid bleach (0.6% v/v sodium hypochlorite), rinsed four times in sterile, deionized water, and plated as longitudinal split-stem sections on CCm semisolid medium (Hodges et al., 1999) with 5 mg L⁻¹ 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) and 1 mg L⁻¹ benzyl adenine (BA; hereafter CCmB1). After 24-h culture, inflorescences could be separated from the surrounding leaf sheaths and replanted onto fresh CCmB1 medium. After 5 weeks, all cultures were calloused and were transferred to CCM medium with 1 mg L⁻¹ BA (CCmB1). The CCmB1 medium contained either 0 (control) or 250 mg L⁻¹ EtBr by filter-sterilizing 10 mL of 25 g L⁻¹ EtBr stock solution into 990 mL warm liquid CCmB1 medium after autoclaving but before pouring into 9-cm petri dishes. After 24 h, cultures from both treatments were transferred to CCmB1 for a 1-week recovery period after which fresh weight was recorded and then cultures were transferred back to fresh CCmB1 medium. To obtain the culture fresh weight after recovery while minimizing culture stress, weight of the petri dish after transfer of the culture to fresh medium was subtracted from the weight of the petri dish before culture transfer. A t test was performed using Microsoft Office Excel software (Microsoft Corporation, 2007) to test the difference between treatments. After 4 weeks on CCmB1 medium, all callus cultures with visible shoots were transferred to CCM medium without plant growth regulators. After 4 to 6 weeks, one single-rooted shoot from each shoot clump was placed in a plug cell (2 cm³) in a plug tray and grown until rooting to the bottom of the plug cell, which required another 4 to 6 weeks. Rooted plantlets were overwintered in a cool 10 °C greenhouse. In mid-March, greenhouse temperature was raised to 20 °C night temperature. When plants began active shoot growth, they were transplanted to 10-cm diameter pots, with three plants per pot.
pots. Cloned and seedling Indiangrass plants were planted at the ISU Horticulture Farm in early May. More than 90% of plantlets survived transfer to the greenhouse and field survival and flowering of regenerants was 100%. Wild-type Indiangrass seeds were sown in 2-cm² plug cells and germinated under 16-h photoperiods. Germinated seedlings were transplanted to 4.5-cm diameter plug cells, then to 10-cm diameter pots, before being planted in the field. In the greenhouse, seeds were germinated and transplants grown in LC1 medium (Sun Gro Horticulture Ltd., Bellevue, WA), and plants were fertilized as necessary with a balanced soluble fertilizer.

For field planting in 2006, EtBr regenerants were randomized with one control regenerant and one or two wild-type seedlings within each block. The statistical design was a randomized complete block with 12 blocks of eight plants per block. Mature plants were evaluated for three horticultural traits to detect differences from the M₀ clone: plant height, flower color, and cytoplasmic male sterility (cms). Cms was evaluated with methods established for pearl millet by Burton and Hanna (1976). To detect dwarf Indiangrass M₁ selections were divided at the end of the 2007 season to provide clonal propagules for further testing. In 2008, progeny tests were conducted to determine genetic transmission of the two putative mutations. The statistical design was a randomized complete block with each of six blocks containing one plant of M₁ selections ISU06-35 and ISU06-56, a wild-type Indiangrass seedling, an ISU06-35 × wild-type Indiangrass M₂ seedling, and an ISU06-56 × wild-type Indiangrass M₂ seedling. The mean and 95% CL (Steel and Torrie, 1960) were calculated separately for the wild-type Indiangrass seedlings and for the M₁ selection ISU06-35. Each ISU06-35 × wild-type M₂ seedling was classified as tall or dwarf based on its height being within the 95% CL for the wild-type seedling mean or the 95% CL for the dwarf M₁ selection ISU06-35 mean.

Results and Discussion

The average fresh weight gain of EtBr-treated inflorescence-derived callus after 1 week on CCm2B176 medium was approximately half of the weight gained by the control callus not subjected to EtBr treatment (Table 1) (P ≤ 0.001). Burton and Hanna (1976) had previously established 250 mg L⁻¹ and 1000 mg L⁻¹ as being effective EtBr concentrations based on LD₅₀ (lethal dose at which 50% were killed) dosage trials of seeds soaked for 40 h at 5 °C. Although 1000 mg L⁻¹ resulted in more mutants in their study, a dosage of 250 mg L⁻¹ for 24 h was used in this study with the expectation that there would be more complete penetration of the chemical into tissue cultures compared with seeds that included a seedcoat as a penetration barrier. Preliminary trials showed that exposure to 250 mg L⁻¹ for 48 h resulted in a much greater incidence of later tissue death (data not shown). A 50% reduction in weight gain of the EtBr-treated callus (Table 1) was judged to be roughly analogous to an LD₅₀ dosage and therefore 250 mg L⁻¹ EtBr for 24 h is the proposed equivalent LD₅₀ dosage for Indiangrass tissue cultures. Although all chemical mutagens are hazardous chemicals, EtBr is so widely used as an intercalating dye for DNA studies (Maniatis et al., 1982) that safe handling and disposal practices are well established (Anonymous, 2008).

Fifteen putative phenotypic mutants were detected among 71 EtBr-treated M₁ regenerants in the 2006 field study (Table 2). Most were dwarf plants. Of the height mutants, 14 were shorter than the parent M₀ clone height, whereas one was slightly but statistically taller. Twenty-one percent of the EtBr-treated regenerants were classified as putative phenotypic mutants. No chlorophyll-deficient or cms mutants were detected in contrast to previous work with pearl millet (Burton and Hanna, 1976).

Two putative mutants were selected at the end of the 2006 growing season for genetic testing and for potential horticultural value. M₁ selection ISU06-35 has a dwarf phenotype, which is noticeably shorter than M₁ selection ISU06-56 (Fig. 1), the same height

Table 1. Fresh-weight gain of Indiangrass inflorescence callus cultures after removal from 250 mg L⁻¹ ethidium bromide (EtBr) treatment for 24 h and placement onto a shoot-inducing medium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Avg wt gain (mg)</th>
<th>Percent wt gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBr</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>8.85***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

°Weight gain of cultures after 1 week.
As percent of control.
***Significant at *P* = 0.001.

Table 2. Putative phenotypic M₁ mutants among regenerants of Indiangrass observed after ethidium bromide (EtBr) treatment of immature inflorescences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regenerant</th>
<th>Number observed</th>
<th>Percent putative mutants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dwarf</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male-sterile</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colored florets</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Seventy-one regenerants from EtBr treatment were field-evaluated.
*Shorter than the M₀ parent-clone mean height of 180 ± 8 cm (99% confidence limit).

Fig. 1. Dwarf Indiangrass M₁ selection ISU06-35 (left) compared with red-flowered M₁ selection ISU06-56 (right). Height of selection ISU06-56 is similar to that of the M₀ clonal parent.
statistically as the original M₀ plant (data not shown). The dwarf phenotype of ISU06-35 was stable in the 2007 and 2008 growing seasons. ISU06-35 has narrower stiff leaves that remain upright throughout the growing season. ISU06-56 has florets with reddish purple hairs on the palea and lemma enclosing the sessile floret (Fig. 2). Indiangrass has a second vestigial floret (McKone et al., 1998), of which the pedicel can be seen extending along the upper side of the sessile floret. This second floret also has reddish purple hairs on the surface of its pedicel. By contrast, the florets of the wild-type Indiangrass flower have hairs that are nearly transparent, allowing the green of the palea and lemma to be the most prominent color with pink tinting on some of the hairs. Based on the Royal Horticultural Society’s color chart (Royal Horticultural Society, 1966), the hairs on the pedicel of the vestigial floret and on the palea and lemma of the sessile floret of ISU06-56 give an overall color impression of grayed green 183B–C with a grayed green 195B undertone. The wild-type flower color presents an overall color impression of grayed green 183C undertone. None of the putative or genetically tested mutants were obviously chimeric, suggesting that mutants arose early during shoot organogenesis or arose in cells that subsequently formed somatic embryos.

The ISU06-35 M₁ selection, used as a seed parent and crossed with a wild-type Indiangrass seedling pollen parent, produced 6 M₂ seedling offspring (Table 3). The height of each M₂ offspring was measured and classified as dwarf (mutant) or tall (normal or wild-type). Dwarf M₂ seedlings were all within the 95% CL for the dwarf ISU06-35 M₁ selection mean height of 99 cm. Tall M₂ seedlings were all within the 95% CL for the wild-type seedling mean height of 155 cm. The ratio of tall: dwarf seedlings fit a 1:1 ratio (Table 3), which would be expected if the dwarf allele in ISU06-35 was a dominant nuclear mutation and ISU06-35 was self-incompatible but crosscompatible with the wild-type Indiangrass pollen parent. All M₂ seedling offspring of the ISU06-35 × wild-type cross had a leaf width of 1.3 cm, the same as the wild-type pollen parent, in contrast to ISU06-35, which had a leaf width of 1.0 cm. This result suggests that all M₂ offspring were the result of a crosspollination rather than from self-pollination of ISU06-35, confirming the self-incompatible nature of the original M₀ parent clone and its derived M₁ selections, ISU06-35 and ISU06-56.

The ISU06-56 M₁ selection, used as a seed parent and crossed with a wild-type Indiangrass seedling pollen parent, produced 6 M₂ seedling offspring (Table 4). The floret color of each M₂ offspring was determined 10 d after anthesis. The ratio of green-flowered:red-flowered seedlings fit a 1:1 ratio (Table 4), which would be expected if the red-flowered allele in ISU06-56 was a dominant nuclear mutation and ISU06-56 was self-incompatible but crosscompatible with the wild-type Indiangrass pollen parent. This study shows that Indiangrass, like Miscanthus (Gawel et al., 1990), is stable genetically by micropropagation and that EtBr can be used in the tissue culture medium to generate genetic mutations. The mutation rate was at least 3% and less than or equal to 23% (Table 2) based on sampling three phenotypic mutant classes. Whereas Burton and Hanna (1976) reported one male-sterile mutant per 515 inflorescences and four chlorophyll-deficient mutants in 402 M₂ progenies of pearl millet, it is difficult to compare directly the rate of mutation in the two studies, because of differences in the way each study was conducted, e.g., seed versus tissue treatment, and the type of genetic mutants that occurred, i.e., cytoplasmic versus nuclear. Nevertheless, EtBr is an effective mutagen in both tissue cultures and as a seed-based treatment.

**Table 3. χ² analysis of the number of tall (wild-type):dwarf (mutant) M₂ seedlings from M₁ selection ISU06-35 × wild-type Indiangrass.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seedling classification</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Expected (1:1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwarf</td>
<td>Dwfl+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ² (goodness-of-fit) = 0.66 NS

*Classified as tall seedlings based on the height being within the 95% confidence limit (CL) for the wild-type seedling mean (155 ± 35 cm) and as dwarf seedlings based on the height being within the 95% CL for the dwarf clonal selection ISU06-35 mean (99 ± 26 cm).

*Based on a 1:1 ratio of tall:dwarf seedlings, assuming the dwarf (ISU06-35) M₁ seed parent is heterozygous. Dwfl+ for the dominant Dwfl allele, self-incompatible, and all M₂ offspring are descended from a tall, wild-type pollen parent.

NS = nonsignificant.

**Table 4. χ² analysis of the number of green-flowered (wild-type):red-flowered (mutant) M₂ seedlings from M₁ selection ISU06-56 × wild-type Indiangrass.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seedling classification</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Expected (1:1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green-flowered</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red-flowered</td>
<td>Redfl+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ² (goodness-of-fit) = 2.66 NS

*Classified as green-flowered or red-flowered based on visual examination with a 5× field lens (see Fig. 2).

*Based on a 1:1 ratio of green-flowered:red-flowered seedlings, assuming the red-flowered (ISU06-56) M₁ seed parent is heterozygous. Redfl+ for the dominant Redfl allele, self-incompatible, and all M₂ offspring are descended from a green-flowered, wild-type pollen parent.

NS = nonsignificant.

---
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