Detention and Recycling Basins for Managing Nutrient and Pesticide Runoff from Nurseries
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Abstract. Production nurseries may be significant sources of nutrients and pesticides in runoff as a result of the intensity at which fertilizers, pesticides, and irrigation water are applied. Concentrations of nutrients and pesticides in runoff from production nurseries are not extensively documented. Runoff from 11 production nurseries in southern California using either recycling or detention basins was monitored for nutrients and pesticides. For six sites, runoff volume was determined and nutrient loads in runoff were calculated. Water use data, percentage of water recycled, and construction costs were determined for sites with recycling systems. Nutrient concentrations, mass loads, and pesticide detections in runoff from some sites would have been of concern without the implementation of detention or recycle basins. There were few differences in nutrient concentrations or pesticide detections between runoff from irrigation and that from precipitation events. This suggests the need for management practices and technologies that address runoff from both irrigation and precipitation events. Water use and cost data suggested that the implementation of recycling systems may be more beneficial and cost-efficient for larger facilities.

Nurtient and pesticide runoff from agricultural production facilities is a concern because it is regarded as a potential nonpoint source pollution of surface waters. Nurseries may be significant sources of these constituents as a result of the intensity at which fertilizers, pesticides, and irrigation water are applied during production. Furthermore, in some cases, the use of particular pesticides may be legally required to control quarantined pests such as fire ants or may be necessary to produce aesthetically pleasing ornamental products. Sources of nutrients and pesticides in nursery runoff include fertilizers injected into irrigation water, leachate from containers, fertilizer, and potting media spills, and applied pesticides. The injection of fertilizers into overhead irrigation water is common in container nurseries in southern California. This practice may lead to increased nutrient runoff losses because a portion of the applied fertilizer falls outside the containers. Similarly, deposition of sprayed pesticides between pots and within aisles has been noted for containerized foliage plants (Wilson et al., 2005). These potential contaminants may move offsite in runoff produced by either irrigation or precipitation, contributing to pollution of surface water downstream.

Concentrations of nutrients and pesticides in runoff from production nurseries are not well documented. Studies simulating container production indicate that runoff or leaching losses of nutrients, particularly nitrogen, may be considerable. Runoff as a result of the intensity at which fertilizers, pesticides, and irrigation water are applied. Concentrations of nutrients and pesticides in runoff from production nurseries are not extensively documented. Runoff from 11 production nurseries in southern California using either recycling or detention basins was monitored for nutrients and pesticides. For six sites, runoff volume was determined and nutrient loads in runoff were calculated. Water use data, percentage of water recycled, and construction costs were determined for sites with recycling systems. Nutrient concentrations, mass loads, and pesticide detections in runoff from some sites would have been of concern without the implementation of detention or recycle basins. There were few differences in nutrient concentrations or pesticide detections between runoff from irrigation and that from precipitation events. This suggests the need for management practices and technologies that address runoff from both irrigation and precipitation events. Water use and cost data suggested that the implementation of recycling systems may be more beneficial and cost-efficient for larger facilities.
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in runoff water, technologies that capture these contaminants should be effective in reducing the off-site losses of these pesticides. However, the effectiveness of these technologies in reducing nutrient and pesticide runoff is not well documented.

This study was conducted to survey nutrient concentrations, nutrient mass loads, and pesticides in runoff from production nurseries and to evaluate the effectiveness and costs of detention basins and recycling systems in mitigating such runoff.

**Materials and Methods**

Site descriptions, sampling, and chemical analysis. Runoff from 11 production nurseries that use either recycling or detention basins was monitored for nutrient and pesticide concentrations. Sites were located in Ventura or Los Angeles counties in southern California. The nurseries varied in production area size, crop types (including container plants, field-grown flowers, and large containerized trees), production facilities (including greenhouse, shadehouse, and outdoor facilities), and water application methods (including microirrigation, overhead irrigation, and handwatering). Many nurseries represented a combination of crop types, production facilities, and water application methods; therefore, no attempt was made to classify sites by these attributes. Production area is listed by nursery in Table 1.

Runoff water flowing into detention or recycling basins was collected as manual grab samples or as composites of sequential samples taken with auto samplers (American Sigma 900; Hatch Company, Loveland, CO). Samples were collected between June 2005 and Nov. 2006 with collection frequency varying by site, typically approximately once per month. Sampling duration varied from 6 to 17 months across sites with an average of \( \pm 11 \) months. The numbers of samples taken per site are shown in Tables 1 and 2 for nutrient and pesticide samples, respectively. Samples included runoff from both irrigation events and precipitation events. One site had no runoff from irrigation events and therefore was sampled only during precipitation events.

Samples for nutrient analysis were collected in 250-mL polyethylene bottles (Nalgene Labware; Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY) and samples for pesticide analysis were collected in 1-L amber glass jars (I-chem 300 series; Chase Scientific Glass, Rockwood, TN). Samples were stored at 4 °C until analysis. Samples for nutrient analysis were filtered through poly-carbonate membranes with a 0.4-μm pore size (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Pesticide analysis was conducted on unfiltered whole water samples, which included any pesticides associated with sediments or organic matter. Automated discrete colorimetric analysis was used to determine concentrations for NO\(_3\)-N (EPA method 352.2; U.S. EPA, 1993), ammonium–nitrogen (NH\(_4\)-N) (EPA method 350.1; U.S. EPA, 1979), and orthophosphorus (PO\(_4\)-P) (EPA method 365.2; U.S. EPA, 1993). Pesticide analysis was conducted by gas chromatography with electron capture detector for four classes of pesticides: pyrethroids, organophosphates, organochlorines, and carbamates, following methods consistent with EPA methods 3510C, 8141, and 8081 (U.S. EPA, 1997).

**Nutrient load determination.** For six sites, runoff volume was determined by flow-through V-notch or trapezoidal flumes, and nutrient mass loads in runoff were calculated. Those sites for which loads were calculated are indicated in Table 1. Time periods for which loads were determined varied by site, ranging in duration from 2 to 7 months, with the average duration being \( \pm 5 \) months. Flows were monitored continuously by measuring water depth with a pressure transducer (model WL400; Global Water Instrumentation, Gold River, CA) and recorded by a data logger (model CR10X; Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT). Water depths were then converted to flow volumes based on flume geometry. Time periods were not necessarily continuous within any one site, and the seasons for which loads were determined varied across sites. Loads were then expressed on a per-year per-hectare basis.

**Water use and costs.** Water use data for nurseries were collected from municipal water company records or onsite from inline water use meters for wells or recycling systems. The amounts of water saved by using recycling systems were estimated by calculating the percentage of recycled water used in relation to the total water use for a period of time. Total water use was calculated as the sum of recycled water and fresh water used. A duration of 1 year was used when possible. However, in cases in which recycling basins or water use meters were recently installed, a shorter duration was used, and data were extrapolated to annual use without adjustments for seasonal differences. Water use data were collected only from those nurseries using recycling systems. Water use could be reliably estimated for five of eight sites with recycling systems (Table 3).

Cost data were gathered from receipts furnished by cooperators at the nursery sites and from estimates of expenses developed by cooperators. Estimates included all costs associated with completing a detention basin or recycling system, including, for example, planning, permitting, design, materials, labor, and necessary supporting activities such as grading and laying weed cloth. However, operational costs such as maintenance, energy consumption, or chemical inputs were not included. Cost data were available for six recycling systems and detention basins at two sites.

**Statistical analysis.** Median concentrations for NO\(_3\)-N, NH\(_4\)-N, and PO\(_4\)-P in runoff samples were determined by site.
Median values were considered the appropriate statistics for central tendency because concentration values did not follow a normal distribution. A linear regression was applied to determine if a relationship existed between median concentrations for each nutrient constituent and production area across sites. Nutrient samples were pooled across all samples for all sites and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine the effects of event (irrigation or precipitation), basin type (detention or recycle basin), and the interaction of these effects. A natural log transformation was applied to nutrient concentrations to meet the assumptions of normal distribution of residuals for ANOVA.

For sites at which runoff volume was quantified, nutrient concentrations were multiplied by corresponding discharges to determine mass loads in runoff. NO₃–N and NH₄–N were summed to determine mineral–nitrogen (mineral–N) for ease of presentation. Median annual loads were determined across sites.

Samples for which any pyrethroid pesticide was detected were counted, and this count was divided by total samples taken for analysis of pesticides for each site. Regression analysis was used to determine if a relationship existed between the percentage of detection of pyrethroids and production area across sites. The counts of detections and nondetections were pooled across sites and a categorical linear model analysis was performed to determine the effects of event (irrigation or precipitation) and basin type (detention or recycle) and the interaction of these two effects. These analyses were repeated for the other classes of pesticides investigated. The high proportion of samples with pesticide concentrations below detection limits precluded the determination of simple statistics of central tendency of concentrations such as means or medians in some cases (U.S. EPA, 2000).

A linear regression analysis was applied to determine if a relationship existed between production area and each of per-hectare water use, per-hectare recycled water use, and percentage of water recycled. A similar analysis was performed for recycling system costs. A first-order inverse relationship ($y = a + b/x$) was determined relating per-hectare recycling system costs and production area. This is the appropriate model when a linear relationship with intercept is found between total costs and production area.

Analyses were performed in the Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) package (SAS Institute Inc., 2006) using the REG, GLM, NLIN, or CATMOD procedures. For regression and ANOVA, models were checked for homoscedasticity, normality of residuals and independence of residuals (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2001).

### Results and Discussion

#### Nutrient concentrations and loads.

Median nutrient concentrations in runoff entering recycling or detention basins varied notably across sites (Table 1). Median NO₃–N concentration exceeded the U.S. EPA MCL of 10 mg L⁻¹ for nine of 11 sites (Table 1). No significant correlation was found between median nutrient concentrations and production area ($P \geq 0.05$). The range in nutrient concentrations in runoff samples pooled across sites was also notably large (Fig. 1). For example, the 10th and 90th percentiles for NO₃–N concentrations for samples from irrigation events were 1.18 and 245 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Median concentrations for NO₃–N were 40.3 and 15.6 mg L⁻¹ for samples from irrigation events and precipitation events, respectively (Fig. 1), indicating that more than half of the samples for either event type exceeded the U.S. EPA MCL. These observations suggest that runoff for some samples and some sites would be of concern had these sites not used mitigation practices such as detention basins or recycling systems.

No differences in mean log concentrations were found between samples taken during irrigation events and precipitation events for either NO₃–N or PO₄–P (Fig. 1; $P \geq 0.05$). However, for NH₄–N, the mean log concentration for runoff from precipitation events was significantly lower than that for irrigation events (Fig. 1; $P = 0.0042$). These results suggest that mitigation of runoff from both irrigation and precipitation events will be important in managing impacts of nutrient runoff to surface waters. With the Mediterranean climate of coastal southern California, where the majority of precipitation occurs between November and March, the predominant seasonal effect was expected to be expressed in the comparison of samples generated from precipitation and those from irrigation. Although the pollutant concentrations in the initial flush of precipitation runoff may be high relative to those from irrigation runoff, concentrations in

---

Table 3. Water use for eight production nurseries using water recycling systems in southern California.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nursery</th>
<th>Production area (ha)</th>
<th>Total water use (10⁶ L ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Recycled water (10⁶ L ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Recycled water (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A = data not available.

---

---

**Fig. 1.** Box plots of nutrient concentrations in runoff from 11 production nurseries in southern California. Runoff was collected as it entered detention or retention basins. The y-axes are log scale and vary among plots. Significant differences in mean log concentrations were found between event types for NH₄–N ($P = 0.0042$), but not for NO₃–N or PO₄–P ($P \geq 0.05$). Median concentrations for NO₃–N were 40.3 and 15.6 mg L⁻¹ for samples from irrigation events and precipitation events, respectively. Median concentrations for NH₄–N were 7.03 and 0.34 mg L⁻¹, and for PO₄–P were 2.96 and 1.18 mg L⁻¹. Total number of observations per plot is 117.
precipitation runoff may be lower after this initial flush as a result of dilution effects (von Broembsen, 1998).

These results for NO$_3$–N concentrations are comparable with results for bed runoff from 29 container nurseries in the eastern United States, which ranged from 0.1 to 135 mg L$^{-1}$ (Yeager et al., 1993), although their reported means (8 and 20 mg L$^{-1}$) are lower than our median values.

Nutrient loads in runoff varied considerably across sites, from 11.8 to 631 kg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ for mineral–N and 1.21 to 36.5 kg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ for ortho-phosphorus (ortho–P) (Fig. 2). Median nutrient loads were 40.9 and 3.64 kg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ for mineral–N and ortho–P, respectively (Fig. 2). Because runoff volume was not quantified for an entire year at any one site and runoff volume is expected to vary seasonally and by precipitation events, extrapolated annual nutrient loads will be approximate. Although nutrient loads in runoff from production nurseries are not commonly reported, losses can be compared with leaching losses from experimental studies in humid climates. Nitrogen leaching losses from other experiments were comparable, although typically lower than our results: 17 to 61 kg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ for outdoor Rhododendron (Colangelo and Brand, 2001), 3 to 90 kg ha$^{-1}$ within 150 d for transplanted outdoor Weigela and Campanula (Andersen and Hansen, 2000), and ≈40 to 120 kg ha$^{-1}$ across 3 months for outdoor Rudbeckia (Bugbee and Elliott, 1998).

Pesticide detections and concentrations. The percentage of runoff samples in which pesticides were found varied across sites (Table 2). Pyrethroids were found in runoff at 10 of 11 sites (Table 2). Organophosphates and organochlorines were found at nine and seven sites, respectively. No significant correlation was found between percent of samples with pesticide detections by pesticide class and production area ($P \geq 0.05$). Carbamate pesticides were not detected in any runoff sample. With samples pooled across sites, pyrethroid detections were common (63% and 58% for irrigation and precipitation events, respectively), whereas detections for organophosphate and organochlorine pesticides were less common (48% and 8%, and 29% and 17%, respectively) (Fig. 3). No differences in the frequency of detection were found between samples taken during irrigation events and precipitation events for either pyrethroid or organochlorine pesticides (Fig. 3; $P \geq 0.05$). However, for organophosphate pesticides, a significant difference in detection frequency was found between irrigation events and precipitation events (Fig. 3; $P < 0.0001$; 48% and 8% for irrigation and precipitation events, respectively). Concentrations for detected pesticides are given in Table 4. These observations suggest that managing runoff from both irrigation and precipitation events would be important in mitigating potential impacts to surface water. Common detections and high concentrations of pyrethroid compounds in nursery runoff suggest that conventional insecticides such as organophosphates and carbamates are being replaced with pyrethroid products. This is a concern because pyrethroids typically have high acute aquatic toxicities (Clark et al., 1989; Hill, 1989).

Detection frequencies for pyrethroids were similar to those found by a survey of surface waters in agriculture watersheds in California, which reported detections in 61% of samples, although these were mostly in sediments and not whole water (Starner et al., 2006). Similarly, pyrethroids and organochlorine pesticides were commonly detected in sediments of surface waters and tailwater ponds in an agricultural region of California (Weston et al., 2004). However, a
Table 4. Frequencies of detections and concentrations for detected pesticides in runoff entering detention or recycle basins for 11 production nurseries in southern California.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pyrethroids</th>
<th>Organophosphates</th>
<th>Organochlorines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>Diazinon</td>
<td>trans-Chlordane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenpropatrin</td>
<td>Endosulfan sulfate</td>
<td>Endosulfan sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-Permethrin</td>
<td>β-Endosulfane</td>
<td>β-BCH (hexachlorocyclohexane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-Permethrin</td>
<td>Aldrin</td>
<td>γ-BCH (hexachlorocyclohexane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyhalothrin</td>
<td>Heptachlor</td>
<td>pp'-DDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyfluthrin</td>
<td>Dieldrin</td>
<td>pp'-DDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypermethrin</td>
<td>Dieldrin</td>
<td>pp'-DDD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyfluthrin</td>
<td>Dieldrin</td>
<td>pp'-DDD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Detection limits for pyrethroid pesticides varied, but were less than 10 ng L⁻¹.

*Detection limits for diazinon and chlorpyrifos were 5 and 1 ng L⁻¹, respectively.

*Detection limits for organochlorine pesticides varied between 1 and 5 ng L⁻¹.

N/D = not determined.

A survey of streams in an agricultural area of California found infrequent detections of certain organophosphates and pyrethroids (Starner et al., 2005). For pesticides that are strongly associated with particulate matter, differences in detection frequency in these studies may partially reflect the amounts of particulates and organic matter in water samples.

**Detention and recycle basin performance.**

There was no runoff from irrigation events observed leaving the property for these sites once detention basin and recycle projects were completed, suggesting that well-designed basins have the potential for complete mitigation of dry-weather runoff. The ability of these basins to collect and detain runoff during storm events was not reliably assessed by this study. For some sites, few precipitation events occurred after the completion of basins and before the completion of the study. The ability of detention and retention basins to capture runoff from precipitation events will depend on the capacity of the basins relative to the size, intensity, and frequency of precipitation events. In cases for which mitigation of runoff from precipitation events is desired, proper engineering of basin capacity for expected precipitation events will be critical. Even in cases in which larger precipitation events cause basin overflow, basins may serve to slow water and settle sediments, mitigating the discharge of sediment-bound nutrients and pesticides.

Leaching losses of some constituents, particularly NO₃-N, may be a consideration for unlined basins, especially for sandy soils and unvegetated basins. Additionally, leaching through soils has been noted for a variety of pesticides, and even those that adsorb strongly to particulates may move by preferential flow (Flury, 1996). Leaching losses, however, were not assessed in this study.

**Water use.**

For sites with recycling systems where water use data were available, use ranged from 2.79 to 39.7 million L ha⁻¹·year⁻¹ (Table 3) with a median of 8.85 million L ha⁻¹·year⁻¹. The highest water use was for Nursery G, which is a greenhouse hydroponic facility. The median percentage of water recycled was 29%, which corresponded to a savings of 3.87 million L ha⁻¹·year⁻¹. These values are comparable to figures from a survey across three container nurseries and management practices in southern California, in which water use ranged from 1.05 to 31.4 million L ha⁻¹·year⁻¹ (Kabashima, 1993).

Regression analysis found no significant linear relationship between production area and any of per-hectare water use, per-hectare recycled water use, and percentage of water recycled (P ≥ 0.05). When data for Nursery G, the hydroponics facility, was ignored, recycled water use on a per-hectare basis was positively linearly related to production area (P = 0.039, r² = 0.996, n = 3). This relationship suggests that larger facilities may benefit more from implementing a recycling system than smaller facilities in terms of water savings.

**Costs.**

Costs for water recycling systems were $203,000 with a range of $96,000 to $1,000,000 (Fig. 4A). Costs for recycling systems were positively linearly related to production area (Fig. 4A, P = 0.0048, r² = 0.889, n = 6). Median costs for recycling systems were $20,000 per hectare with a range of $9200 to $43,000 per hectare (Fig. 4B), and per hectare costs were related to production area.

Regression analysis found no significant linear relationship between production area and any of per-hectare water use, per-hectare recycled water use, and percentage of water recycled (P ≥ 0.05). When data for Nursery G, the hydroponics facility, was ignored, recycled water use on a per-hectare basis was positively linearly related to production area (P = 0.039, r² = 0.996, n = 3). This relationship suggests that larger facilities may benefit more from implementing a recycling system than smaller facilities in terms of water savings.

**Costs.**

Costs for water recycling systems were $203,000 with a range of $96,000 to $1,000,000 (Fig. 4A). Costs for recycling systems were positively linearly related to production area (Fig. 4A, P = 0.0048, r² = 0.889, n = 6). Median costs for recycling systems were $20,000 per hectare with a range of $9200 to $43,000 per hectare (Fig. 4B), and per hectare costs were related to production area.
by first-order inverse function to production area (Fig. 4B, P = 0.042, r² = 0.686, n = 6), suggesting that larger nurseries may benefit from positive economies of scale in the installation of recycling systems. This observation is corroborated by a survey of production nurseries in Alabama, which found that runoff recycling was more common in larger nurseries (Fain et al., 2000). However, two relatively small nurseries (3.24 and 3.64 ha) in our study successfully implemented runoff recycling (Table 1). Considering detention basin construction, median costs were $31,000 per hectare of production area (data not shown).

Conclusions

Nutrient concentrations, mass loads, and pesticide detections in runoff from some production nursery sites would have been of concern without the implementation of detention or recycle basins. These basins were successful in collecting runoff from irrigation events, but the ability of them to capture runoff from precipitation events was not assessed by this study. In general, there were few differences in nutrient concentrations or pesticide detections between runoff from irrigation and that from precipitation events. This suggests the need for management practices and technologies that address runoff from both irrigation and precipitation events. Water use and cost data suggested that the implementation of recycling systems may be more beneficial and cost-efficient for larger facilities.
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