Postharvest Moisture Loss from Bare-root Roses Affects Performance of Containerized Plants
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Abstract. Five cultivars of bare-root rose plants were exposed to increasing periods of drying and after rehydration were grown in containers until flowering in a plastic-covered greenhouse. At the start of the experiment, moisture content of well-hydrated roses was between 51% and 56%. Five or 7 h of drying resulted in moisture contents below 43% for four of the cultivars and caused up to 80% mortality, increased time to flower, and decreased the number of flowering shoots. ‘First Prize’ was most tolerant of drying conditions and all plants survived, whereas ‘Mister Lincoln’ plants were most susceptible and had poor regrowth performance. Whole-plant moisture of ‘Mister Lincoln’ was similar to that in the stem or shank, which means that aboveground components instead of the entire plant can be used for moisture determination.

Deciduous plants that are field-grown in nurseries are generally dug when dormant in late fall, winter, and spring. Each year, several million dormant rose plants (Rosa L. sp.) are dug bare-root from production fields in California and Arizona and shipped immediately to other states where they are planted in containers and forced to flowering for nationwide marketing. Although precautions are taken, significant plant moisture loss has been observed to occur during digging, shipping, and potting operations. Plant moisture loss during postharvest handling is considered one of the major causes of poor regrowth or failure to regrow (Insley and Buckley, 1985; Lefevre et al., 1991; McKay, 1997). Desiccation tolerance depends on growth stage and is greatest when plants are dormant (Couts, 1981; Englert et al., 1993; Murakami et al., 1990; Ritchie and Roden, 1985). Bare-root seedlings of Pinus radiata D. Don plants are prone to greater moisture loss during storage than container seedlings, and after only 1-d storage at 4 °C, reestablishment was significantly poorer compared with container seedlings (Mena-Petite et al., 2001). Packaged rose plants exposed to simulated marketing conditions (23 °C for 4 weeks) that led to moisture content of 28% to 43% had fewer numbers of new canes, fewer flowers, and, for some cultivars, greater mortality than plants held at 3 °C for 4 weeks with plant moisture of ≈50%. Growth of these roses was not evaluated until after the treated plants were field-grown for one full growing season (Welch and Cameron, 1990). When moisture loss from bare-root roses was minimized during cold storage through application of hot wax, plants started vegetative growth in 15 d compared with 30 d for control treatments (Schuch et al., 1995). Desiccation tolerance varies greatly between taxa (Bates and Niemiera, 1994; Englert et al., 1993; Rebhuhn, 1985). Crataegus pannopyrum Medic. and Prunus ×edoensis Matsum., both in the Rosaceae, along with other species in both genera have been reported to be sensitive to desiccation during lifting, storage, and transplanting (Bates and Niemiera, 1994; Murakami et al., 1990). Garden roses were also reported to be more prone to desiccation compared with other deciduous plants (Toy and Mahlstede, 1959). The tolerance for moisture loss below a critical threshold that affects survival varies by species. A critical level of postharvest moisture content for the survival of ‘Mister Lincoln’ rose plants was found to be between 33% and 41% when the treated plants were evaluated at the end of the first growth flush after potting (Pemberton and Roberson, 1990). For norway maple (Acer platanoides L.) and washington hawthorn that lost ≈20% of their fresh weight, survival dropped to 40% and plant dieback increased to 90% (Englert et al., 1993). In contrast, red oak (Quercus rubra L.) lost water at a lower rate and at 18% moisture loss resulted in 95% survival and less than 40% dieback (Englert et al., 1993). All colorado spruce (Picea pungens glauca Engelm.) survived when seedlings lost up to 25% fresh weight during cold storage, but no seedlings survived when water loss was higher than 60% (Lefevre et al., 1991).

The objective of this study was to determine how postharvest moisture loss from field-grown bare-root plants in an arid climate affects subsequent growth and flowering performance of containerized garden roses in a nursery production setting.

Materials and Methods

Two-year-old rose plants were dug bare-root from a production field of a commercial nursery in Litchfield Park, Ariz., on 13 Feb. 2002. Within less than 60 min from uprooting, plants were taken into a warehouse area for wet storage, where plants were kept cool and freestanding moisure was maintained through frequent overhead mist. All plants had at least three canes that were at least 1 cm in diameter and ≈40 cm long. One day later, plants of rose cultivars ‘Angel Face’, ‘Blue Girl’, ‘First Prize’, ‘Mister Lincoln’, and ‘Peace’ were laid outdoors in the shade and protected from wind on a concrete loading dock and were allowed to air dry for 0, 1, 3, 5, or 7 h. Wet and dry bulb temperatures were measured with a sling psychrometer; corresponding relative humidity conditions are shown in Table 1. Ten plants per cultivar and drying treatment were used in the study. Fresh weight of plants was measured at the beginning and end of the assigned drying periods. Immediately after determining the fresh weight at the end of the drying period, plants were rehydrated by spraying them with tap water for 1 min. Half of the plants were used for dry weight determination and the remaining half were forced into bloom to determine regrowth potential. Subsequently, five randomly selected plants per treatment and cultivar were wrapped in polyethylene, boxed in waxed cardboard boxes, and shipped the same day to Tucson, Ariz. ‘Mister Lincoln’ plants were dissected into canes, shank, and roots at the end of each drying time to determine if the different plant parts lose moisture at different rates. Plants were placed in a drying oven at 60 °C for 10 d, after which dry weights were determined. Moisture content in percent was calculated as (fresh weight – dry weight) × 100/dry weight. Moisture content at the beginning of drying treatments was calculated to determine whether plants from each cultivar assigned to different drying periods had the same moisture content. Data presented are the moisture content at the end of each drying period. The other five plants per treatment and cultivar were boxed in the same way and
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were shipped in a refrigerated truck to a nursery in Tyler, Texas. They arrived within 48 h and still had freestanding moisture on all plant parts when unboxed. Care was taken to keep plants moist during potting. The canes were pruned to 15 cm and the plants were potted in no. 2 (6.0 L) nursery containers in a 80 bark: 20 sand mix (by volume) and were fertilized with 37.4 g per pot of slow-release fertilizer (Osmocote 19N–2.6P–10K; Scotts Co., Marysville, Ohio) and micronutrients. Plants were arranged in a completely randomized block design and grown in a clear plastic covered greenhouse with 31 °C day/12 °C night average temperatures until flowering. Plants were misted as needed until budbreak and then were overhead-irrigated as needed. As each plant flowered, the following variables were recorded: days from potting to flower, number of flowering and number of blind shoots (shoots ending growth without producing a flower), percent dieback, and a general performance rating. Performance was rated on a scale from 1 to 5 (worst to best) according to the following criteria: 1 = some leaves dead, short weak shoots, many blind shoots, and no flowers; 2 = short shoots with only one or two flowers, many blind shoots; 3 = vigorous shoots but few flowers, flowers usually from only one of the original canes, a few blind shoots, growth and vigor of growth from the original canes not uniform; 4 = vigorous shoots, more flowering than blind shoots, majority of the original canes producing flowering shoots, flowering not completely uniform; and 5 = vigorous shoots, predominantly flowering shoots produced from all of the original canes, uniform shoots and flowers throughout the plant canopy.

Results and Discussion

Moisture loss and plant performance during desiccation of rose plants. Moisture content of well-hydrated roses was between 51% and 56% (Fig. 1) and differed by cultivar and drying time over the course of the study. Seven hours of drying resulted in moisture contents of 43% or below for four of the cultivars. Moisture content of ‘First Prize’ was 56%, highest among the cultivars at the beginning of drying time and remained highest at 45% at the end of drying (Fig. 1). Moisture content below 43% resulted in a sharp increase in plant mortality (Fig. 3). A previous study of containerized roses found

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (hr)</th>
<th>Dry bulb (°C)</th>
<th>Wet bulb (°C)</th>
<th>Humidity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Percent moisture of five cultivars of bare-root roses exposed to ambient outdoor conditions. Values are the means of five replications, error bars = ±se.
that moisture content between 33% and 41% was critical for survival (Pemberton and Roberson, 1990). Also, packaged plants with moisture content between 28% and 43% resulted in reduced new growth and greater mortality for some cultivars after field planting when compared with packaged rose plants with plant moisture of ≥50% (Welch and Cameron, 1990).

In both studies, the rate of drying was much lower than in our study reported here. Loss of 1% or less moisture per hour was reported by Pemberton and Roberson (1990) and a much lower rate of drying over a period of 4 weeks of simulated marketing where roots were packaged (Welch and Cameron, 1990). A slower rate of moisture loss from dormant plants improved survival of red oak and successful regrowth regardless of the rate that 43% is a critical threshold for survival (Englert et al., 1993). Root systems with greater surface area-to-volume ratio were speculated to contribute to the greater desiccation tolerance of Fraxinus angustifolia Vahl., with a coarser root system, versus Betula pubescens, which has a finer root system (Ensley and Buckley, 1985).

The number of days to flowering and performance rating were affected by cultivar and drying time (Table 3). ‘Angel Face’ and ‘Blue Girl’ flowered first, 116 and 118 d after potting, respectively, whereas ‘Mister Lincoln’ started blooming last, after 123 d. Drying time increased the time to bloom linearly from 116 d for control plants to 122 d. Performance rating of ‘Mister Lincoln’ was lowest at 1.9, which was incited by both the high rate of mortality and the poor performance of plants at drying times as low as 1 and 3 h. ‘Angel Face’, ‘Blue Girl’, and ‘Peace’ had the highest performance ratings, ranging from 3.1 to 2.7, respectively. Increasing drying time linearly reduced performance rating from 3.2 to 2.0 when data were pooled over all cultivars. Previous studies reported delayed onset of flowering, fewer flowers, and overall poorer performance of roses exposed to desiccating conditions before field planting (Pemberton and Roberson, 1990; Schuch et al., 1995; Welch and Cameron, 1990). This study shows that moisture loss resulting in plant moisture content below 43% has a negative effect on rose plants forced in containers as well.

Moisture loss of individual parts of ‘Mister Lincoln’. Root, stem, and shank moisture content of ‘Mister Lincoln’ roses were similar before exposure to ambient conditions (Fig. 4). The greatest rate of moisture loss for each component was highest during the first hour. Stem, shank, and whole plants lost moisture at a similar rate during the remainder of the study, whereas roots desiccated at a faster rate in the second half of the study. Greater moisture loss of roots is likely a result of the greater surface area and the lack of a thicker cuticle, which makes this tissue more susceptible to desiccation compared with the other plant parts. Ambient conditions likely hastened the drying process of susceptible tissue during the last 3 h of the experiment when relative humidity dropped from 24% to 12% (Table 1). Previous studies reported that roots of Washington hawthorn and norway maple lost water at a faster rate than shoots, 18% of water loss in roots versus 3% to 4% in shoots after 10 h (Englert et al., 1993). Root systems with greater surface area-to-volume ratio were speculated to contribute to the greater desiccation tolerance of Fraxinus angustifolia Vahl., with a coarser root system, versus Betula pubescens, which has a finer root system (Ensley and Buckley, 1985).

Based on our results, whole-plant moisture content can be determined accurately using only aboveground components of rose plants. Although roots desiccated at a faster rate and overall had a lower moisture content after 7 h of exposure to ambient conditions,
their small contribution to the total weight of plants makes them less critical for moisture content determination.

In conclusion, the moisture loss of bare-root rose plants exposed to ambient conditions in an arid climate led to slower onset of vegetative growth and flowering, reduced number of flowering shoots, greater dieback of canes, and lower overall performance rating than plants that were not exposed to desiccation. Tolerance to desiccation differed between the five cultivars of garden roses tested, but moisture content below 43% before shipping plants from the production nursery resulted in up to 80% dead plants and cane dieback. Moisture loss of whole plants during drying conditions was similar to those of either canes or the shank, and therefore moisture content of aboveground parts of roses can be considered representative of the whole plant. The rose industry needs to be sensitive to the issue of rose plant moisture loss. If water is not available in the field during digging (especially on warm, windy, dry days), tarps should be used to cover plants during transport to storage facilities. Also, plants should not be left exposed on shipping docks or in planting areas during breaks and especially overnight without providing a means to keep the plant surfaces constantly moist.
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