Survival and Growth of Wildflowers with Buffalo Grass or Blue Grama Grass

Dale T. Lindgren¹ and Daniel Schaaf²

University of Nebraska West Central Research and Extension Center, 461 West University Drive, North Platte, NE 69101-7756

Additional index words. forbs, *Buchloe dactyloides*, *Bouteloua gracilis*

Abstract. Two studies in west-central Nebraska to determine the survival of wildflowers planted with buffalo grass [*Buchloe dactyloides* (Nutt.) Engelm.] and blue grama grass [*Bouteloua gracilis* (H.B.K.) Lag. ex Steud.] were conducted in 6 and 10 year studies. In total, 19 forbs and 1 grass were transplanted with 'Texoka' buffalo grass in the first study, and 16 forbs were planted in a split-plot design into 3 buffalo grass selections, blue grama or a clean cultivated plot in the second study. Survival between transplants in both studies varied significantly. In the first study, survival was significantly higher for little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium* Michx.) (85%), bouncing bet (*Saponaria officinalis* L.) (100%), and stiff goldenrod (*Solidago rigida* L.) (100%) over the 6 years of the study. In the second study, there were significant differences between species for survival, with grayhead prairie coneflower [*Ratibida pinnata* (Vent.) Barnh.] (85%) and pitcher sage (*Salvia azurea* Lam.) (80%) having the highest survival at the end of the 10-year study. There were significant differences in height and number of flower stalks within *S. rigida*, *R. pinnata*, and *S. azurea* between years and between main plots. This study demonstrates differences in survival and growth of wildflowers when planted in conjunction with buffalo grass and blue grama grass.

Prairie plantings that incorporate grasses and forbs are increasing in popularity for maintenance and aesthetic reasons (Todd, 2005). There are numerous benefits and issues involved in establishing and designing with wildflowers (Aldrich, 2002). Seeding wildflowers into established grasses can result in their poor establishment in that established plants often have a competitive survival advantage over plants introduced later (Zajicek et al., 1986). With mini-prairie plantings and wildflower grass plantings, establishing forbs and grasses using transplants has the advantage of more precise control of plant placement and spacing, improved establishment and survival, and easier weed control (Grantz et al., 1998). Incorporating wildflowers with the shorter-growing warm-season grasses, like buffalo grass [*Buchloe dactyloides* (Nutt.) Engelm.] and blue grama, [*Bouteloua gracilis* (H.B.K.) Lag. ex Steud.] has the potential to result in an attractive prairie planting with aesthetically pleasing wildflowers. However, with these plantings, grasses and forbs need to complement each other aesthetically, environmentally, and competitively (Salac et al., 1978; Stubbendieck et al., 1985).

Two studies were conducted to evaluate the survival and growth of native plants or wildflowers in warm-season grasses in west-central Nebraska.

Materials and Methods

The two studies, one initiated in 1990 and

Received for publication 15 Feb. 2005. Accepted for publication 5 Apr. 2005. A contribution of the University of Nebraska Agricultural Research Division, Lincoln, NE 68583. Journal Series No. 14490. This research was supported in part by funds provided through the Hatch Act under projects No. NEB 43-047 and NEB 43-066.

¹Professor; to whom reprint requests should be addressed; e-mail dlindgren1@unl.edu.

²Research technician.

one in 1991, were planted in field plots at the University of Nebraska West Central Research and Extension Center near North Platte, Nebr. In both studies, wildflowers were started from seed in a greenhouse and transplanted to the field research plots with a Cozad silt loam (fine-silty, mixed, mesic *Fluventic Haplustoll*), pH of 7.6. Transplants were 5 to 8 cm tall at the time of transplanting. No fertilizer was applied to the plots. Plots were mowed to a height of 5.2 cm in April of each year. All treatments in both studies were replicated 4 times.

In the first study, 19 species of wildflowers and one grass, little bluestem, were transplanted on 3 May 1990 with five plants of each species planted in a 60 × 300 cm area, with plants spaced 60 cm apart, lengthwise in the center of the plots (Table 1). Two weeks later, plugs 2 cm in diameter of 'Texoka' buffalo grass were replanted from a field planting at 30-cm intervals throughout the entire study. Plots were hand weeded weekly until the buffalo grass had spread to fully cover the plots. For each plot, survival of the transplanted wildflowers was recorded in October 1991 and then the first week of June for each year through 1996.

In the second study, established in 1991, 16 forbs (Table 2) were transplanted to five subplots consisting of three buffalo grass cultivars, one blue grama grass, and one blank, which contained no grass. Each subplot was 60 × 300 cm with five transplants of each forb planted 60 cm apart in the center of each subplot. The three buffalo grass subplots were planted from plugs 2 cm in diameter, and the blue grama subplots were established from seeds. The blue grama was seeded, and the buffalo grass plugs were set out one day after the forbs were transplanted to the subplots. The blank plots contained only transplanted forbs and were hand weeded weekly the first year. The three buffalo grass cultivars used were 'Texoka', '378', and '315'. 'Texoka' is a forage type buffalo grass

and '378' and '315' (Riordan et al., 1995) are turf-type buffalo grasses. The blue grama seed came from a South Dakota source of unknown origin. The 16 forbs were monitored for survival each year from 1992 to 2001. Survival was based on the percentage of plants alive in early June. In addition, three of the native plants, *Solidago rigida*, *Salvia azurea*, and *Ratibida pinnata*, were measured from 1992 to 1997 for height and for the number of flower stalks per plant. Other selections in the second study were not included in this comparison because of the amount of missing data due to low survival.

Supplemental water was applied so that plots in both studies received at least 2.5 cm of water a week for the first 4 weeks until the forbs and buffalo grass were established. Thereafter, no additional irrigation was provided above rainfall. Data for both studies were analyzed with the SAS statistical analysis system using the Proc Mixed procedure (SAS Institute, 1999).

Results and Discussion

There were highly significant differences between plant species, between years, and years × plant interaction for survival in the first study (Table 1). Survival was lower for *Liatris punctata* Hook. (dotted gayfeather), *Asclepias tuberosa* L. (butterfly milkweed), and *Penstemon angustifolius* Nutt. ex Pursh (narrow beardtongue) during the first 2 years (Table 1). These species each had a smaller and poorer developed root system when transplanted, which may have contributed to the low initial establishment and survival. Rodents especially liked the corms and roots of *L. punctata*, which contributed to the poor survival of this species. At the end of 6 years, *S. scoparium* (little bluestem), *Liatris pycnostachya* Michx. (tall gayfeather), *Saponaria officinalis* L. (bouncing bet), and *S. rigida* (rigid goldenrod) had the highest survival. Although *S. officinalis* survived well, it was considered aggressive because as it spread, it choked out the buffalo grass. Little bluestem, the only grass in the study, had an 85% survival rate at the end of 6 years and also reseeded in the plots.

In the second study, survival was highest for *R. pinnata* and *S. azurea*, and *S. rigida* had intermediate survival at the end of 10 years (Table 2). The higher survival of *S. azurea* agrees with data presented in a study by Thomas and Schrock (2004). Survival for plants of *Echinacea angustifolia* DC. (black samson), *Ceratoides lanata* (Pursh) Howell (winter fat), *L. punctata*, and *Liatris squarrosa* (L.) Michx. (rattlesnake-master) was intermediate to low (17% to 23%), and survival of *L. pycnostachya* and *Penstemon* 'Prairie Splendor' was very low (2% to 6%). Seven of the test species had zero survival at the end of 10 years. The statistical analysis (Table 2) suggests that there were no significant differences in survival between subplots (grass) and the grass × species interaction. Some plants such as *Lithospermum incisum* Lehm. (hoary puccoon) and *Penstemon grandiflorus* Nutt. are considered short-lived perennials so their survival of 3 to 5 years was not unexpected (Lindgren and Wilde, 2003). The results of survival of *S. azurea* and *P. grandiflorus* agree with those of Thomas and Schrock (2004).

There were also highly significant differences in height and in the number of flower stalks for *R. pinnata*, *S. rigida*, and *S. azurea* in the second study. In 1996, the number of flower stalks was lower for *R. pinnata* and *S. rigida* compared to previous years (Tables 3 and 4). However, in the same year, stalk numbers were higher for *S. azurea* than in the other years (Table 5). Growing conditions and plant adaptation or response affect growth differently for different species over the years. In general, the three species were taller and had more flower stalks when growing in no competition (blank treatment) than with grass, as would be expected. Overall, the height and the number of stalks per plant for *R. pinnata*

and *S. rigida* decreased from 1992 to 1997 in all five of the treatments.

The studies suggest that some species survive for much longer than others in warm-season grasses like buffalo grass or blue grama. For example, *A. tuberosa* L. survival was low initially, whereas plants like *P. grandiflorus* had a gradual decline in survival over years, and some plants, such as *Antennaria neglecta* Greene and *Penstemon strictus* Benth. (Rocky Mountain penstemon) survived well for a few years but then survival decreased sharply (Table 1). *Echinacea purpurea* (L.) Moench. (purple coneflower) also had a sharp decrease in survival in both studies. *Salvia azurea* and *R. pinnata* both had good survival throughout the second study whereas

L. punctata started low in numbers but remained steady, suggesting that once established, some species survive well. *Salvia azurea* is reported to have a rooting depth of 6 to 8 feet, and *S. rigida* has a rooting depth of >5 feet, which may explain why they survived well in these studies (Phillips Petroleum Co., 1959). Other factors such as height, reseeding, aesthetics, scale of plants to one another, and plant combinations also need to be considered in establishing of wildflower plantings (Todd, 2005). The short warm-season grasses are, in general, not considered shade tolerant of taller forbs and grasses so that taller plants probably have a competitive advantage. Recommended species to use as transplants in short warm-season grasses, based on survival and

Table 1. Survival of 20 selections of native plants grown in buffalo grass for 6 years. All plants were established in 1990.

Name of plant in study	Survival (%)					
	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
<i>Antennaria neglecta</i> Greene (field pussy-toes)	100.0	100.0	100.0	90.0	75.0	0.0
<i>Asclepias tuberosa</i> L. (butterfly milkweed)	35.0	15.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Calylophus serrulatus</i> (Nutt.) Raven (plains yellow primrose)	95.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	65.0	30.0
<i>Certoides lanata</i> (Pursh) Howell (winter fat)	95.0	80.0	35.0	20.0	15.0	15.0
<i>Echinacea pallida</i> (Nutt.) Nutt. (pale purple coneflower)	100.0	100.0	85.0	80.0	70.0	30.0
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench. (purple coneflower)	95.0	95.0	30.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Liatris punctata</i> Hook. (dotted gayfeather)	55.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Liatris pycnostachya</i> Michx. (tall gayfeather)	95.0	80.0	80.0	70.0	65.0	55.0
<i>Lithospermum incisum</i> Lehm. (hoary puccoon)	75.0	70.0	55.0	10.0	15.0	0.0
<i>Penstemon</i> × 'Prairie Splendor'	100.0	80.0	40.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Penstemon angustifolius</i> Nutt. ex Pursh (narrow beardtongue)	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Penstemon barbatus</i> (Cav.) Roth (scarlet burgler)	100.0	55.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Penstemon digitalis</i> Nutt. ex Sims (smooth beardtongue)	100.0	90.0	90.0	55.0	45.0	0.0
<i>Penstemon gracilis</i> Nutt. (slender beardtongue)	85.0	75.0	65.0	40.0	25.0	10.0
<i>Penstemon grandiflorus</i> Nutt. (large beardtongue)	90.0	75.0	45.0	10.0	5.0	0.0
<i>Penstemon strictus</i> Benth. (rocky mountain penstemon)	100.0	95.0	90.0	80.0	65.0	0.0
<i>Saponaria officinalis</i> L. (bouncing bet)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i> (Michx.) Nash 'Blaze' (little bluestem)	100.0	100.0	100.0	85.0	85.0	85.0
<i>Solidago rigida</i> L. (rigid goldenrod)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	65.0
<i>Thelesperma megapotamicum</i> (Spreng.) O. Ktze (greenthread)	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	45.0	35.0
LSD ($P \leq 0.05$)	16.4	22.4	26.3	26.8	23.0	28.2

Source	df	F value	P
Year	5	277.33	**
Plant	19	96.87	**
Year × plant	95	7.15	**

**Significant at $P \leq 0.01$, respectively.

Table 2. Survival of 16 species of native plants averaged over all treatments for 10 years.^z

Name of plant in study	Survival (%)									
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
<i>Asclepias incarnata</i> L. (swamp milkweed)	88	69	40	34	16	16	13	8	3	0
<i>Asclepias tuberosa</i> L. (butterfly milkweed)	81	31	17	11	2	1	0	0	0	0
<i>Ceratoides lanata</i> (Pursh) Howell (winter fat)	96	42	26	26	26	26	26	25	25	24
<i>Echinacea angustifolia</i> DC. (blacksamson)	100	87	80	80	77	57	52	54	37	23
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench. (purple coneflower)	98	44	29	18	6	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Lithospermum incisum</i> Lehm. (hoary puccoon)	97	77	52	23	6	3	0	0	0	0
<i>Linum perenne</i> L. var <i>lewisii</i> (Pursh) (blue flax)	99	62	39	20	9	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Liatris punctata</i> Hook. (dotted gayfeather)	66	66	66	66	66	60	60	60	45	24
<i>Liatris pycnostachya</i> Michx. (tall gayfeather)	59	64	62	58	58	28	27	26	16	6
<i>Liatris squarrosa</i> (L.) Michx. (rattlesnake-master)	59	59	52	52	44	42	25	19	17	17
<i>Penstemon digitalis</i> Nutt. ex Sims (smooth beardtongue)	97	70	42	31	10	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Penstemon grandiflorus</i> Nutt. (large beardtongue)	93	52	28	28	19	3	0	0	0	0
<i>Penstemon</i> × 'Prairie Splendor'	99	69	54	44	42	18	6	4	2	2
<i>Ratibida pinnata</i> (Vent.) Barnh. (grayhead prairie coneflower)	99	99	99	99	99	95	96	92	90	85
<i>Salvia azurea</i> Lam. (pitcher sage)	99	99	99	98	97	94	94	94	87	86
<i>Solidago rigida</i> L. (rigid goldenrod)	99	99	96	96	97	92	87	70	52	38
LSD ($P \leq 0.05$)	10.6	15.2	15.4	15.3	14.0	11.5	11.0	11.2	8.9	9.8

Source	df	F value	P
Year	4	0.69	NS
Plant	15	20.59	**
Year × plant	59	1.21	NS

^zAll plants were established in May 1991.

NS,**Nonsignificant or significant at $P \leq 0.01$, respectively.

Table 3. Height and number of flower stalks of *Ratibida pinnata* (Vent.) Barnh. from 1992 to 1997.

Plot treatment and trait measured		1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
'Texoka'	Height (cm)	116	119	97	97	89	93
	Stalk no.	45.1	27.4	29.8	19.7	5.0	19.4
'378'	Height (cm)	114	112	92	95	79	85
	Stalk no.	42.5	28.3	29.1	17.9	4.3	12.8
'315'	Height (cm)	119	96	90	89	82	86
	Stalk no.	45.9	25.5	18.4	12.9	4.3	14.7
Blue grama	Height (cm)	118	120	93	99	93	85
	Stalk no.	33.4	26.6	20.0	14.9	6.0	10.6
Blank	Height (cm)	129	119	112	112	101	98
	Stalk no.	72.4	23.2	59.5	39.0	9.0	33.9
Source	Flower stalk no.	Ht					
Year	**	**					
Grass	**	**					
Year $\times$ grass	NS	*					

NS,**Nonsignificant or significant at $P \leq 0.05$ or 0.01 and nonsignificant, respectively.

Table 4. Height and number of flower stalks of *Solidago rigida* L. from 1992 to 1997.

Plot treatment and trait measured		1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
'Texoka'	Height (cm)	89	94	92	74	67	66
	Stalk no.	10.2	28.8	42.0	29.3	15.5	20.6
'378'	Height (cm)	93	99	91	48	62	59
	Stalk no.	9.0	28.7	43.8	27.6	15.5	16.9
'315'	Height (cm)	96	101	91	70	62	63
	Stalk no.	11.2	30.8	38.9	26.2	11.3	15.5
Blue grama	Height (cm)	92	95	86	66	61	64
	Stalk no.	6.8	21.3	33.0	21.0	12.3	16.6
Blank	Height (cm)	100	100	95	86	86	72
	Stalk no.	13.6	37.3	47.5	40.6	34.0	27.7
Source	Flower stalk no.	Ht					
Year	**	**					
Grass	**	**					
Year $\times$ grass	**	**					

** Significant at $P \leq 0.01$.

Table 5. Height and number of flower stalks of *Salvia azurea* Lam. from 1992 to 1997.

Plot treatment and trait measured		1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
'Texoka'	Height (cm)	131	127	131	120	132	113
	Stalk no.	14.8	24.1	28.3	27.9	35.0	27.8
'378'	Height (cm)	123	137	136	123	141	112
	Stalk no.	16.5	28.8	44.8	40.3	60.3	34.8
'315'	Height (cm)	125	141	144	136	168	129
	Stalk no.	17.1	29.5	40.2	44.2	62.0	44.2
Blue grama	Height (cm)	134	151	145	132	145	123
	Stalk no.	13.7	26.3	43.7	39.7	59.3	51.0
Blank	Height (cm)	141	145	137	132	159	125
	Stalk no.	17.6	35.3	59.0	58.8	75.8	47.6
Source	Flower stalk no.	Ht					
Year	**	**					
Grass	**	**					
Year $\times$ grass	**	*					

***Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ or 0.01, respectively.

on observations of growth habit and reseeding in these two studies, would include *L. punctata*, *E. angustifolius*, *L. squarrosa*, *S. rigida*, *R. pinnata*, *C. serrulatus*, *P. gracilis*, *P. grandiflorus*, and *L. incisum*. *Salvia azurea* survived well but was aggressive and crowded out the grasses. The only grass used, *S. scoparium*, did well. However, it did reseed greatly, a trait which should be a note of caution.

With care in selecting species, a warm-season, short-grass prairie can be established using wildflower transplants. Transplants offer an opportunity to establish a short-grass prairie with relative ease, resulting in a planting with plants that complement each other.

Literature Cited

- Aldrich, J.H. 2002. Factors and benefits in the establishment of modest-sized wildflower plantings: A review. *Native Plants J.* 3(1):67-86.
- Grantz, D.A., D.L. Vaughn, R.J. Farber, B. Kim, L. Ashbaugh, T. Vancuren, R. Campbell, D. Bainbridge, and T. Zink. 1998. Transplanting native plants to revegetate abandoned farmland in the western Mojave Desert. *J. Envir. Qual.* 27:96-97.
- Lindgren, D. and E. Wilde. 2003. Growing Penstemon: Species, cultivars and hybrids. Amer. Penstemon Soc., Infinity Publ., Haverford, Pa.
- Phillips Petroleum Co. 1959. Native grasses, legumes and forbs. Sect. 1 and 3 of a series on pasture and range plants. Phillips Petroleum Co., Bartlesville, Okla.
- Riordan, T.P., J. Johnson-Cicalese, F.P. Baxendale, M.C. Engelke, R.E. Gaussoin, G.L. Horst, and R.C. Shearman. 1995. Registration of '315' buffalograss. *Crop Sci.* 35:1206.
- Salac, S.S., P.N. Jensen, J.A. Dickerson, and R.W. Gray, Jr. 1978. Wildflowers for Nebraska landscapes. Univ. Nebr. Inst. Agr. Natl. Res. Misc. Publ. 35.
- SAS Institute. 1999. SAS system for Windows, Version 8. SAS Inst., Cary, N.C.
- Stubbendieck, J.R., J.T. Nichols, and K.K. Roberts. 1985. Nebraska range and pasture grasses. Univ. Nebr. Coop. Ext. E.C. 85-170.
- Thomas, A.L. and D. Schrock. 2004. Performance of 67 native midwestern U.S. perennials in a low-maintenance landscape. *HortTechnology* 14(3):381-388.
- Todd, K. 2005. From this place, for this place: designing with native plants. 2005 Great Plains gardener. Nebr. Statewide Arboretum. Univ. Nebr., Lincoln.
- Zajicek, J.M., R.K. Sutton, and S.S. Salac. 1986. Direct seeding of selected forbs into an established grasslands. *HortScience* 21:89-91.