Genetic Improvement of Beach Strawberry
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The commercial strawberry, *F. ×ananassa*, originated about 250 years ago when a few New World clones of *F. chiloensis* and *F. virginiana* accidentally hybridized in European gardens (Wilhelm and Sagen, 1972). Thomas A. Knight began the systematic breeding of strawberries in England in 1817, but had at his disposal only a small number of native and cultivated clones. Likewise, North American genetic improvement began in the mid-1800s with a restricted group of European *F. ×ananassa* cultivars, South American *F. chiloensis* and North American *F. virginiana* (Darrow, 1966). The cultivars originating from this background played the predominant role in most public and private breeding programs for the next 100 years.

Most genes in modern cultivars still come from a very limited number of genotypes, even though at least eight native clones have been incorporated into cultivars in the last half century (Dale and Sjulin, 1990; Hancock and Luby, 1995; Sjulin and Dale, 1987). Since the germplasm base of strawberries remains so narrow, it seems likely that numerous genes of horticultural benefit have been missed in the native germplasm. To rectify this situation, a number of North American strawberry breeders are working together to expand the strawberry germplasm base including Adam Dale (University of Guelph, Ontario), Chad Finn (Michigan State University) and Jim Luby (University of Minnesota). Our primary goals are to: 1) Identify native clones with horticulturally useful genes, 2) Expand the germplasm base of *F. ×ananassa* by hybridizing it with elite native octoploid clones, 3) Reconstruct *F. ×ananassa* using elite wild octoploid clones, and 4) Develop a supercore collection of wild *F. chiloensis* and *F. virginiana* that can be used by other breeders. This work has been described elsewhere and will not be further addressed here (Hancock et al., 2000). In our recent germplasm collection trip to Ecuador, we were told by many individuals that they fondly remembered the old *F. chiloensis* cultivars and were willing to pay a premium price for their fruit. Considerable interest has also grown in Japan (T. Nishizawa, personal communication), and we expect a strong niche market could be developed in the U.S.

**Materials and Methods**

We crossed four Chilean landraces with superior combinations of high soluble solids, excellent flavor, strong aroma, large size but poor color and low yields [2BRA 1A and CFRA 24 (Chile)CFRA 372 (Peru) and NAH-5 (Ecuador)] with several native clones with either high fruit number [HM1 (Oregon) and MAR 2B (Chile)], unusually large fruit size and dark internal color [Scotts Creek (California)] or multiple disease resistance [RCP-37 (California)] (Cameron et al., 1993; Hancock et al., 2000). HM1, NAH-5 and Scotts Creek were hybridized as male parents with 2BRA 1A, CFRA 24, CFRA 372, MAR 2B and RCP-37.

Twenty to fifty representatives of each family were planted in June 2000 in a commercial potting mix in 4 × 4 × 4-cm pots and set in a randomized complete block design in a greenhouse at MSU (two replications of each family). Runners were removed on a weekly basis in the first growing season. In March 2001, the number of crowns produced by each mother plant was determined. When flowering began in April, a camel hairbrush was used to mix pollen from all open flowers in the greenhouse on a 3- to 4-d sequence. The date of each genotype's first flower was recorded and at the conclusion of anthesis, the number of flowers per plant were counted, along with the length of the longest florescence. The date of each genotype's first ripe fruit was recorded, and the first three ripe fruit of each genotype were weighed and the skin and flesh color of each was evaluated on a scale of 1 to 10 (white to deep red). The total number of runners produced by each plant in the second growing season was recorded in August. The full sib families were analyzed as a factorial design to calculate general and specific combining ability (GCA and SCA) (Comstock and Robinson, 1948). Analyses of variance tables were produced using the SAS GLM procedure (SAS, 1990).

To get an indication of which parental combinations were the most superior, the progeny means for each cross were given a rank of 1 to 5 for crown number, runner number, fruit weight, peduncle length and fruit color (mean of skin and flesh color scores). The 15 families were ranked for each trait and divided into 5 groups of triplets. The families with the lowest values were scored as 1, while the families with the highest numbers were scored as 5. The values from the five traits were then summed to calculate an overall performance score.

**Results and Discussion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Bloom date</th>
<th>Harvest datea</th>
<th>Crown no.</th>
<th>Runner no.</th>
<th>Peduncle length (cm)</th>
<th>Flower no.</th>
<th>Fruit wt (g)</th>
<th>Skin colorb</th>
<th>Flesh colorb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCA Female</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>558b</td>
<td>275.3</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCA Male</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>8782</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>121.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>112.9</td>
<td>117b</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σGCA Female</td>
<td>0.3 (2)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>0.6 (9)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>6.4 (22)</td>
<td>7.3 (20)</td>
<td>0.7 (37)</td>
<td>0.8 (16)</td>
<td>1.5 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σGCA Male</td>
<td>4.4 (37)</td>
<td>177 (32)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>4.6 (16)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>0.1 (5)</td>
<td>0.4 (8)</td>
<td>1.0 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σSCA</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>0.7 (12)</td>
<td>3.1 (18)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>7.6 (21)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>0.1 (1)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σG</td>
<td>7.3 (61)</td>
<td>376 (68)</td>
<td>4.7 (79)</td>
<td>14.4 (82)</td>
<td>17.8 (62)</td>
<td>20.1 (59)</td>
<td>1.2 (57)</td>
<td>3.8 (75)</td>
<td>7.5 (75)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aDays after 1 Jan.
b1 to 10 scale (1 = white and 10 = dark red).

*cSignificant at p < 0.05.

Table 1. Analysis of variance and percent variance in each component (in parenthesis) for several horticulturally important traits in full-sib families of *Fragaria chiloensis* grown in a greenhouse in East Lansing, Mich.
and runner number; SCA was significant for all traits except fruit weight and flesh color (Table 1). Much higher GCA was observed for peduncle length, flower number, and fruit weight among the female parents, while the males had higher GCA for bloom date and harvest date. This indicates that genotypes used as male parents (HM1, NAH-5, and Scotts Creek) were more variable for bloom numbers, while the progeny of HM1 × 2BRA 1A (19), HM1 × MAR 2B with many pale, large fruit and high runner numbers. Among the early ripening families, Scotts Creek × CFRA 24 was most superior with large well-colored fruit and high runner numbers, but low flower numbers.

**Overall Conclusions**

Breeding within *F. chiloensis* should result in rapid genetic improvement. It was relatively easy to combine large fruit size with high yield potential, either through high crown production and runner production (matted row types). Considerable variation was also observed for fruit color and harvest season, indicating it is possible to develop both red and white fruited varieties with a wide range in flowering and fruiting times.
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