Extension of Muskmelon Storage Life through the Use of Hot Water Treatment and Polyethylene Wraps
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Abstract. Trials were conducted in California to evaluate techniques to extend storage life of netted muskmelons (Cucumis melo L.). The use of polyethylene bags, either as individual melon wraps or as liners for 18-kg commercial cartons, minimized water loss and associated deterioration of the fruit. Individual bags and carton liners were equally effective. A 3-minute dip in 60°C water effectively checked surface mold development on wrapped fruits. Lower temperature and/or shorter exposure treatments were less effective. When applied in addition to hot water treatment, imazalil fungicide did not confer significant additional benefit. The combination of polyethylene bags and hot water treatment maintained high quality, marketable fruit for at least 28 days of storage at 3°C.

Muskmelon fruit have a relatively short storage life. Under current commercial handling techniques substantial loss of marketability commonly occurs within 2 weeks of harvest (Ryan and Lipton, 1979). This limitation severely limits the possibility of exporting U.S.-grown muskmelons to potentially lucrative markets in Europe and the Far East, since ocean transport to these destinations can take up to 3 weeks. Fungal rots are a factor in postharvest deterioration of muskmelon. Several studies have demonstrated the efficacy of hot-water and fungicide treatments in controlling stem scar and surface fungal growth (Carter, 1981a; McDonald and Buford, 1971; Stewart and Wells, 1970). The other major factor limiting muskmelon storage life is desiccation. Lester and Bruner (1986) showed that fruit maintained at 4°C and 85% to 95% relative humidity (typical of commercial storage conditions) lost 5.7% in fresh weight within 20 days, with concomitant decreases in firmness, dry weight, and soluble sugars, and loss of membrane integrity. Equivalent storage of fruits that had been shrink film-wrapped to maintain a saturated microatmosphere lost only 0.6% of fresh weight in 30 days, with no significant loss in other characteristics noted. They considered 87% of shrink-wrapped melons to be of good appearance (marketability) after 30 days of storage.

The applicability of shrink-wrap technology to commercial muskmelon marketing is limited by several factors. Costly, highly specialized machinery is required; the slow speed of operation may also create a bottleneck in packing-shed operations. Further, serious decay problems can be encountered when shrink-wrapped muskmelons are removed from long-term cold storage and kept at room temperature (T. K. H., unpublished data), as is normally done at the retail marketplace. The use of polyethylene bags, either as an individual fruit wrap or a liner for conventional 18-kg commercial cartons, would provide a barrier to fruit desiccation and would meet these limitations of shrink-wrapping. Our objective was to test the efficacy of polyethylene wrapping, in conjunction with decay control measures, in extending storage life of muskmelon.

High quality netted muskmelons (‘Topmark’) were selected from a commercial packinghouse in El Centro, Calif., on 12 Nov. 1987. The fruit were separated into groups of similar size and appearance. Postharvest treatment consisted of various combinations of heat, fungicide application, and polyethylene wrapping. Fruit were wrapped after the heat and/or fungicide treatment either on an individual basis with 15-µm-thick polyethylene bags or by enclosing 12 fruit in a 25-µm polyethylene liner sized to fit the conventionally used 18-kg fiberboard cartons. Individual bags and carton liners, which were not perforated, were closed only by twisting the open end. Hot water treatment entailed immersing fruit in a 60°C water bath for 3 min. The fungicide 1-(2-(2,4 dichlorophenyl)-2-(2-propenyl) -ethyl]-1H-imidazole (imazalil; Janssen Pharmaceutica, Beerse, Belgium), shown to be highly effective in controlling postharvest decay of muskmelon (Carter, 1981a), was applied by dipping fruit in solution of either 1000 or 5000 mg a.i./liter. Fruit were air dried at 25°C after hot water and/or imazalil dips. Twelve fruit received each treatment combination. Following treatment, all fruit were stored at 3°C for 40 days. No precooking was performed in this or subsequent studies. The fruit were removed from cold storage and evaluated by two judges for overall appearance (marketability), fruit firmness, and stem-end and surface mold development.

The study was repeated on spring-grown muskmelons in 1989. Freshly harvested ‘Topscore’ fruit were obtained from the same commercial source on 31 May and separated into equal groups. Hot water and imazalil treatments were performed as previously described, with the exception that imazalil was used only at 2000 mg a.i./liter. Individual polyethylene bags and carton liners were again evaluated. There were 16 replicates per treatment. Fruit were stored at 3°C for 28 days, then removed from the storage on 28 June, removed from polyethylene wraps, evaluated, and left at 25°C for 48 h to simulate retail display. The same fruit were then reevaluated using the same criteria.

Based on a concern that surface browning observed on some fruits in the 1989 test was related to hot water treatment, a third study was conducted in 1990 to determine whether
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Table 1. Effects of polyethylene wrapping and decay control techniques on muskmelon quality after 40 days of storage at 3°C, 1987 test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wrap technique</th>
<th>Imazalil (mg a.i./liter)</th>
<th>Hot water</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Firmness</th>
<th>Stem scar</th>
<th>Decay</th>
<th>Surface decay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual bag</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carton liner</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonwrapped</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contrasts

- Wrap vs. nonwrap, hot water and imazalil only
- Bag vs. liner, hot water and imazalil only
- Imazalil + hot water vs. imazalil alone

* denotes significant at P = 0.01 or not significant, respectively.

* denotes significant at P = 0.01 or not significant, respectively.

Three-min exposure at 60°C; + = yes, – = no.
*1 excellent, 5 = unmarketable.
*1 hard, 5 = unmarketably soft.
*1 none, 5 = severe mold growth.
** denotes significant at P = 0.01 or not significant, respectively.
a less severe heat treatment would be effective in controlling mold development on wrapped melons. 'Topmark' muskmelons were harvested on 6 June. After separation into equal groups, hot water dips of 60 or 55°C at duration of 1.5 or 3.0 min were imposed. Fruit were then placed in individual bags and stored at 3°C. The fruit were removed from cold storage after 4 weeks and evaluated. All specimens were removed from the bags and kept at 25°C for 3 days to simulate retail display, then reevaluated.

In 1987 the combination of hot water dip, 1000 mg imazalil/liter, and wrapping (either individually or by carton liner) allowed the maintenance of high quality muskmelon through 40 days of storage (Table 1). Neither concentration of imazalil alone was as effective as the combination of hot water and 1000 mg imazalil/liter in reducing decay on wrapped fruit. All wrap treatments maintained fruit firmness, undoubtedly due to a reduction of water loss. Nonwrapped fruit treated with a combination of 1000 mg imazalil/liter and hot water showed substantial decay control, superior to either concentration of imazalil alone, but was inferior to wrapped fruit in firmness and overall appearance.

Similar results were obtained in 1989. Wrapped fruit that had been hot water treated were still of exceptional quality after 4 weeks of cold storage (Table 2). Treatment with imazalil conferred no additional benefit on these fruit. There were no significant differences between fruit wrapped in individual bags and those stored within carton liners. Again, both wrapping methods maintained fruit firmness. Wrapping untreated melons resulted in rampant growth of stem-scar and surface decay organisms, rendering all fruits unmarketable. Heat treatment and fungicide application minimized decay development on unwrapped fruit, but firmness and appearance of these melons was at the lower limit of acceptability. Melons in all treatments showed relatively rapid degradation of firmness and appearance after only 2 days of additional storage at 25°C, particularly heat-treated, wrapped fruits. Some of these melons showed surface browning that detracted from overall appearance.

All dip temperature/time exposure combinations were equally effective in improving fruit quality of wrapped melons during 28 days of cold storage (Table 3). Heat-treated, wrapped fruit were of good quality, essentially free from decay. After 3 days of subsequent storage at 25°C differences due to heat-treatment effects were noted. Water at 60°C was superior to 55°C with respect to appearance and surface decay; appearance was also significantly better with the 3-rein dip than the 1.5-rein dip. The surface discoloration noted in 1989 was not appreciable in any treatment in 1990.

When combined with hot water treatment, polyethylene wraps maintained muskmelon fruit quality through at least 28 days of conventional cold storage. A major effect of the wrap is minimization of water loss (Lester and Bruton, 1986; Lester et al., 1988; Teitel et al., 1989), as evidenced by the maintenance of fruit firmness in wrapped fruit, while significant softening was observed in nonwrapped melons. Postharvest water loss in muskmelon is relatively rapid; Lester and Bruton (1986) reported an 8.1% loss in fresh weight after 30 days of high humidity storage. Water loss is particularly rapid where the surface netted tissue is abraded during harvest and packing operations, which results in sunken, discolored areas that significantly detract from appearance. Such sunken areas were readily observable in nonwrapped fruits in our studies. Since nonperforated wraps were used, the atmosphere surrounding the fruit was undoubtedly modified with respect to CO₂ and O₂ concentration, which may have also influenced the degree of softening and other quality aspects; however, flavor was not evaluated.

Decay control measures were essential for wrapped fruit. In all experiments hot water treatment was highly effective in minimizing the development of surface decay. The imazalil treatment conferred no additional benefit. These results agree with those of Stewart and Wells (1970) but contradict reports by Carter (1981a, 1981b). This discrepancy may in part be related to environmental conditions of the growing areas. Carter worked in south Texas, an area of high humidity and sporadic heavy rain, where losses to mold decay are generally more significant than in the...
arid muskmelon production areas of California, where the present studies and those of Stewart and Wells were conducted. One should not infer that hot water treatment alone would provide acceptable decay control in a commercial packing situation. In these studies, the fruit were carefully screened to provide only the highest quality fruit. Treatments were applied under carefully controlled conditions that would limit recontamination of fruit after the hot water dip. Commercial-scale packing operations, particularly in areas prone to high incidence of muskmelon fruit rots, may see substantial benefit with the application of an effective fungicide in addition to hot water treatment. However, increasingly restrictive governmental registration and review procedures severely limit the choice of effective postharvest fungicides; the issue is complicated further for export shipments, since pesticide regulations differ widely among countries.

Hot water treatment is not without problems, however. Both Carter (1981a) and Stewart and Wells (1970) found surface browning, particularly of the vein tracts, to be increased by hot water dips, with the severity of browning increasing with increased exposure time. Teitel et al. (1991) showed that ‘Galia’ melons were damaged by exposure to 60°C water for as little as 30 sec. In the 1989 study, some surface browning was encountered, but it did not significantly compromise marketability. All fruit used in these experiments lacked vein tracts (were fully netted); thus, browning may have been less severe than would have been the case with cultivars that have vein tracts. A 60°C/3 min treatment may pose a risk under some circumstances. However, reducing temperature and/or exposure time might compromise decay control, as suggested by the 1990 study and by the work of Teitel et al. (1991).

In summary, the use of polyethylene bags, either as an individual fruit wrap or as a liner for 18-kg commercial cartons, minimized softening and extended storage life of muskmelon. Hot water treatment provided adequate control of surface decay organisms. The combination of hot water treatment and polyethylene wrapping allowed maintenance of high quality fruit for at least 28 days of cold storage, sufficient to potentially allow ocean transport of U.S.-grown muskmelons to export markets. However, our procedure cannot be recommended for commercial use until its effects on aroma and flavor have been evaluated.

**Literature Cited**


