Ethephon-mediated Changes in Gas Exchange of Tomato Plants

Jan-W. Briedé¹, James T. Fisher, and Daniel J. Manuchia²

Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Box 3Q, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003

Additional index words. photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, ethylene, *Lycopersicon esculentum*

Abstract. The gas exchange of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill cv. Spring Giant VF) plants exposed to an ethephon root drench of 5 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ or 50 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ was examined for 9 days. Photosynthesis showed a biphasic response to ethephon, wherein elevations of 13.2% and 16.7% were observed over control plants for two of the measurement days for the 50 and 5 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ ethephon/liter concentrations, respectively. Stomatal conductance showed a large increase at 50 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ ethephon/liter on day 2, while 5 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ did not show this difference. A biphasic response of photosynthesis may explain some of the intraspecific variation found in the literature describing ethylene-induced gas-exchange alterations.

The literature reports neutral or adverse effects on growth and gas exchange of plants treated with ethylene. These effects include leaf epinasty, changes in carbon allocation, growth inhibition, aerenchyma development, chlorophyll destruction, flower inhibition, and flower-sex modification (Bradford, 1983; Tang and Kozlowski, 1984; Taylor and Gunderson, 1986, 1988; Woodrow et al., 1988). Most of these reports are based on short-term (<48 h) ethylene exposure. In a recent trial, we observed that long-term exposure to ethephon, an ethylene-releasing agent, enhanced foliar gas exchange of *Pinus eldarica* (Medin.) seedlings, but there were few short-term effects even at relatively high ethephon levels (Manuchia and Briedé, 1989). Long-term exposure to ethephon applied as a root drench at concentrations of 1000 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ increased stomatal conductance, transpiration rates, and, occasionally, photosynthesis throughout the 14-day observation period. Similarly, ethylene-induced enhancement of stomatal aperture was found in *Vicia faba* L. (Levitt et al., 1987) and *Olea europaea* L. (Vitagliano, 1975). Levitt

et al. (1987) observed ethylene-induced stomatal opening, which they concluded was similar to that seen after pathogen infection in *V. faba*. These findings implicate ethylene as a stress-mitigating hormone. In a subsequent study, Vitagliano and Hoad (1978) found that the ethylene-mediated increase in stomatal aperture with *O. europaea* was not observed consistently. Stomatal aperture in these experiments on *V. faba* and *O. europaea* were based solely on microscopic measurements and no gas-exchange measurements were taken.

There are few data available on the effects of long-term ethylene exposure on plants (Manuchia, 1990; Manuchia and Briedé, 1989; Woodrow et al., 1988). Based on our research with *P. eldarica*, which showed an increased stomatal conductance and transpiration when plants were subjected to ethylene for longer than 48 h, we hypothesized that gas-exchange response of plants exposed to ethylene for more than 2 days is species- and ethylene-concentration-dependent. Here our objective was to determine whether the gas-exchange response in tomato plants exposed to ethylene was similar to the responses in *P. eldarica*. Research with tomato using 300 mg ethephon/liter applied as a foliar spray (Woodrow et al., 1988) or 4 μl ethylene gas/liter (Bradford, 1983) revealed no short-term effect on stomatal conductance of tomato, while short-term exposure to 60 to 70 gaseous ethylene/liter was implicated in stomatal closure of tomato leaves (Madhavan et al., 1983). Preliminary work with tomato indicated the need to adjust the ethylene concentration to levels below 7.5 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ to obtain responses similar to those seen in *P. eldarica*. Therefore, in the present

study, we exposed tomato plants to 5 or 50 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ for 9 days.

Eighteen 'Spring Giant VF' tomato plants were grown from transplants in 2.8-liter containers in a greenhouse. The growing medium was a 2 peatmoss : 1 vermiculite mix (v/v). Ethephon treatments began when plants were ≈ 30 cm tall. Day temperatures in the greenhouse did not exceed 30C, whereas nights were maintained above 20C. Maximum photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) in the greenhouse was 1200 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ at solar noon. The plant material was divided into three treatments of six plants each. Treatments included a control (water only) and ethephon at 5 or 50 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ prepared in deionized water and applied daily as a root drench. Plants were watered daily until the solution drained freely from the bottom of the pots. Ethylene gas released from the treatment solutions was analyzed using a Hewlett Packard 5840 gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, Calif.) equipped with a flame ionization detector and an activated alumina column, operated at 100C. Gas samples (5 ml) were drawn from the top 5 cm with a hypodermic syringe of the ethephon-soaked growing medium. Ethylene release was monitored for 24 h. This duration was considered sufficient because in the greenhouse, the solution was re-applied to the containers every 24 h, thus leaching the medium and replacing most of the air in the medium. Soil air samples indicated that ethylene release (2-24 h) did not exceed 23 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ air during this period (Table 1).

Gas exchange measurements were con-

Table 1. Ethylene release from ethephon. Ethephon was applied to the growing medium as a root drench.

Time after exposure (h)	Ethephon concn ($\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$)		
	0	5	50
Ethylene release ($\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$) ²			
2	1.5 $\pm$ 0.2	3.7 $\pm$ 1.2	23.0 $\pm$ 6.2
4	0.5 $\pm$ 0.2	3.9 $\pm$ 1.9	15.1 $\pm$ 4.7
24	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1	1.4 $\pm$ 1.0	6.2 $\pm$ 3.1

²Values are the mean of three determinations, $\pm$ SE.

Table 2. Analysis of variance for polynomial concentration trends for ethephon applied at 5 or 50 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ to tomato plants.

Day	Source of variation ²	df	MS	F	P value
2	Ps $\times$ Concn (L)	1	8.35	4.43	0.062
2	Ps $\times$ Concn (Q)	1	6.46	3.43	0.094
2	Cm $\times$ Concn (L)	1	0.177	4.32	0.065
3	Cm $\times$ Concn (L)	1	0.245	5.94	0.035

²Ps = photosynthesis; Cm = stomatal conductance; L = linear; Q = quadratic.

Received for publication 28 Jan. 1991. Accepted for publication 15 Aug. 1991. New Mexico State Univ. Agricultural Experiment Station Journal Article no. 1520. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper therefore must be hereby marked *advertisement* solely to indicate this fact.

¹To whom reprint requests may be addressed. Current address: Pittsburg & Midway Coal Mining Co. (a Chevron Co.), P.O. Box 335, Gallup, NM 87305.

²Current address: Plant Propagation Technologies, P.O. Box 4292 UPB, Las Cruces, NM 88003.

Fig. 1. Photosynthesis (A) and stomatal conductance (B) expressed as a percentage of control. Ethephon at 5 (---) or 50 (—) $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$. Initial values were 10.58 for A and 0.399 for B.

ducted on the first fully expanded terminal leaflet under the main growing tip of each tomato plant. Gas exchange was measured using a portable system (model 6000; LI-COR, Lincoln, Neb.) with a 0.25-liter stirred cuvette. One leaflet per plant was enclosed in the sampling chamber. Measuring only one leaflet was considered sufficient after trials showed little variability within one plant. The equipment was calibrated with a standardized CO_2 gas mixture. After a 30-sec measurement period, leaflets were excised and total leaf area determined with a portable leaf-area meter (model 3000, LI-COR). Measurements were taken between 10:00 and 11:00 AM Mountain Standard Time. The seven measurement days were cloudless, which resulted in a relatively stable PPF: between 600 and 800 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ (in the greenhouse). Temperature and humidity were $\approx 26^\circ\text{C}$ and 60%, respectively. The effect of ethephon on gas-exchange characteristics was analyzed using a polynomial regression (SAS Institute, 1985).

Ethephon induced changes in gas-exchange patterns of tomato plants (Fig. 1, Table 2). Over the 9 days, photosynthesis

showed an oscillating response to each ethephon treatment (Fig. 1A), with photosynthesis values for the two treatments ranging from 8.48 to 11.7 $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ (no trend was seen over time or between treatments). Stomatal conductance (g_s) increased after ethephon application for the first 2 days for the 50- $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ treatment (Fig. 1B), which coincides with the photosynthetic response to this concentration. Ethephon at 5 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ did not induce these trends, and stomatal conductance values ranged between 0.39 to 0.64 $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, showing an increase over the 9 days. Transpiration also increased during this period but was not influenced by ethephon (data not shown). Both the transpiration and stomatal conductance values nearly doubled from the beginning of the experiment, whereas photosynthesis remained relatively stable, possibly because the plants matured. There seems to be a limited response to ethephon concentration (Table 2). Ethephon increased photosynthesis and stomatal conductance only on day 2, while on day 3, stomatal conductance showed a significant decline with 5 μl ethephon/liter. An analysis of variance yielded trends similar to

the polynomial regression.

In general, the literature is limited on the effects of short-term ethylene exposure (< 48 h) on plants. Madhavan et al. (1983) reported that gaseous ethylene (60 to 70 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$) induced stomatal closure of tomato plants within 48 h. Four hours after exposure to 210 μmol ethylene gas/ m^3 , tomatoes exhibited a slight drop in photosynthetic rate, whereas stomatal conductance was slightly increased (Taylor and Gunderson, 1986). These reports correspond with our data showing no changes in photosynthetic rates following initial ethephon exposure (24 h), while none of the reports examined mentioned plant responses to long-term ethylene exposure.

Morphological changes were also observed in the ethephon-treated plants: leaf epinasty and formation of lenticels on the lower stems. Plants treated with the high concentration also lost vigor. Leaves became epinastic in the afternoon when radiation was highest; however, our gas-exchange measurements were taken before the onset of epinastic movement and leaves of all treatments received a uniform radiation load. Plants that received ethephon at 5 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ showed slight ethylene-induced symptoms, which appeared to be intermediate to the plants receiving the higher ethephon concentration. The morphological changes observed in the plants exposed to 50 $\mu\text{l}\cdot\text{liter}^{-1}$ are also commonly noted in flooded plants (Kozlowski, 1984; Tang and Kozlowski, 1984); however, in addition to epinastic movements and lenticel formation, photosynthesis and stomatal conductance usually decreased under flooded conditions (Bradford and Hsiao, 1982). These differences between flooded conditions and the ethephon treatments suggest that ethylene does not mediate depression of photosynthesis and stomatal closure. Woodrow et al. (1988) express a similar opinion. They reported that ethylene significantly altered carbon partitioning and concluded that ethylene did not alter the photosynthetic processes directly. A similar conclusion was reached by Bradford (1983). He determined that epinastic movement, and thus altered light interception, was ethylene-mediated, whereas cytokinins were more directly involved with changes in the photosynthetic capacity of flooded plants. Contrariwise, *in vivo* ethephon studies demonstrated reduced photosynthetic activity of isolated chloroplasts (Choe and Wang, 1986). During our trial, photosynthesis and g_s remained at or above control levels, indicating that chloroplasts, photosynthetic processes, and gas-exchange mechanisms maintained their integrity for at least 9 days.

A biphasic or multiphasic in-time response of plant gas exchange to ethylene may explain some of the inter- and intra-specific variations found in the literature. The biphasic photosynthetic response exhibited by tomato (Fig. 1) was similar to that demonstrated by Mudge (1988) for rooting enhancement during propagation trials. He reports a biphasic (in time) rooting response, with rooting being inhibited the first few hours

following ethylene exposure, followed by several days of root promotion and thereafter a return to inhibition.

Our results suggest that observations for <48 h may not render a complete synopsis of plant-ethylene interactions. We propose that plants can exhibit an analogous response, as demonstrated by Mudge (1988) in his rooting study. We do not know if this phasic response continues indefinitely and if plants require specific environmental conditions to allow these ethephon-induced responses. Our results suggest that the biphasic or multiphasic plant responses reported here and elsewhere in studies with ethylene may be fixed as opposed to random expressions of ethylene response, as reported in the scientific literature.

Literature Cited

- Bradford, K.J. 1983. Involvement of plant growth substances in the alteration of leaf gas exchange of flooded tomato plants. *Plant Physiol.* 73:480-483.
- Bradford, K.J. and T.C. Hsiao. 1982. Stomatal behavior and water relations of waterlogged tomato plants. *Plant Physiol.* 70:1508-1513.
- Choe, H.T. and M. Wang. 1986. Effects of ethephon on aging and photosynthetic activity in isolated chloroplasts. *Plant Physiol.* 80:305-309.
- Kozlowski, T.T. 1984. Flooding and plant growth. Academic, Orlando, Fla.
- Levitt, L.K., D.B. Stein, and B. Rubenstein. 1987. Promotion of stomatal opening by indoleacetic acid and ethrel in epidermal strips of *Vicia faba* L. *Plant Physiol.* 85:318-321.
- Madhavan, S., A. Chrominiski, and B.N. Smith. 1983. Effect of ethylene on stomatal opening in tomato and carnation leaves. *Plant & Cell Physiol.* 24:569-572.
- Manuchia, D.J. 1990. A study of the effects of ethephon on *Pinus eldarica* seedlings. PhD Diss., New Mexico State Univ., Las Cruces.
- Manuchia, D.J. and J.W. Briedé. 1989. Ethylene influence on *Pinus eldarica* seedling physiology and morphology. 65th Annu. Mtg. Southwestern and Rocky Mountain Div. Amer. Assn. Adv. Sci., Las Cruces N.M.
- Mudge, K.W. 1988. Effect of ethylene on rooting, p. 150-161. In: T.D. Davis (ed.), *Adventitious root formation in cuttings*. Dioscoridis, Portland, Ore.
- SAS Institute. 1985. SAS user's guide: Statistics (version 5 ed.) SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, N.C.
- Tang, Z.C. and T.T. Kozlowski. 1984. Ethylene production and morphological adaptation of woody plants to flooding. *Can. J. Bot.* 62:1659-1664.
- Taylor, G.E. and C.A. Gunderson. 1986. The response of foliar gas exchange to exogenously applied ethylene. *Plant Physiol.* 82:653-657.
- Taylor, G.E. and C.A. Gunderson. 1988. Physiological site of ethylene effect on carbon dioxide assimilation in *Glycine max* L. Merr. *Plant Physiol.* 86:85-92.
- Vitagliano, C. 1975. Effects of ethephon on stomata, ethylene evolution, and abscission in olive. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 100:482-484.
- Vitagliano, C. and G.V. Hoad. 1978. Leaf stomatal resistance, ethylene evolution and ABA levels as influenced by (2-chloroethyl) phosphonic acid. *Scientia Hort.* 8:101-106.
- Woodrow L., R.G. Thompson, and B. Grodzinski. 1988. Effects of ethylene on photosynthesis and partitioning in tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. *J. Expt. Bot.* 39:667-684.