

QUANPLUS: A Spreadsheet for Calculation of Heritability and Generation Mean Separation

Richard H. Ozminkowski, Jr.¹, Robert H. Moll², and Randolph G. Gardner³

Department of Horticultural Science, Box 7609, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-7609

Additional index words. quantitative analysis, breeding, microcomputers

Quantitative traits are often time-consuming to analyze. A spreadsheet has been designed for computers running MS-DOS to quickly estimate heritabilities and additive genetic variance of a quantitative trait for an inheritance study (Ozminkowski et al., 1990). It is also useful for educational purposes. It is based on a six-generation analysis that includes two inbred lines as parents, one with the trait in question (P1) and the other without (P2), the F₁ hybrid (F1), a backcross of the F₁ to each parent (BC1, BC2), and the F₂ population (F2).

The spreadsheet requires Lotus 123, ver. 2 (Cambridge, Mass.), or a compatible spreadsheet program. QUANPLUS can analyze discrete or continuous data. Raw data are entered for discrete variables (e.g., subjective ratings, percentages). Figure 1 shows an analysis of discrete data collected on a 1 to 5 rating scale. In analysis of discrete variables, values entered into the data matrix must be the quantity of plants given each rating value [i.e., 11 P1 plants given a 4 rating (Fig. 1)]. Sample variances of discrete data are calculated in hidden columns without the @var subroutine of 123. The data matrix for discrete analysis can be adjusted to accommodate other rating scales or whole number percentages. Analysis of a continuous variable (e.g., yield) requires the number, means, and variances of samples,

The arithmetic mean of the variances of the inbred parents and F₁ samples serves to estimate the environmental variance (@SQR E). The F₂ variance represents the phenotypic variance (sVar F2). The genotype-environment interaction is assumed to be zero. This assumption allows estimation of the ge-

netic variance (@SQR G = sVar F2 - @SQR E) (Wright, 1968). The additive component of the genotypic variance (@SQR A) is estimated using the variances of the F₂ and two backcross generations (Warner, 1952). Narrow- and broad-sense heritabilities are calculated as described by Warner (1952) and Fehr (1987), respectively. If backcross generations are unavailable, all parameter estimates, except those of @SQR A and narrow-sense heritability, remain valid.

Means of each generation are compared using a Student's *t* test with unequal variances (Steel and Torrie, 1980). The F₁ vs. mid-parent, F₂ vs. mid-parent, and F₂ vs. the mean of both backcrosses are also tested as verification of complete additivity of the trait (Wright, 1968). Effective degrees of free-

dom are calculated, but significance must be determined manually using a Student's *t* table (Steel and Torrie, 1980)

Another scaling spreadsheet, JNTSCALE (Ng, 1990), is available, but means are not separated and population (not sample) variances must be entered; population variances are also produced by QUANPLUS for use in JNTSCALE. QUANPLUS.WK1 is available for R.H.O. or R.G.G. for a nominal charge or on HortLine, the ASHS BBS (703/836-2418). The spreadsheet is also available for use with Microsoft Excel (Redmond, Wash.) on a Macintosh (Apple Corp., Cupertino, Calif.) microcomputer (from R.H.O).

Literature Cited

- Fehr, W.R. 1987. Principles of cultivar development. vol. 1. Macmillan, New York. p. 95-105.
- Ng, T.J. 1990. Generation means analysis by microcomputer. HortScience 25:363.
- Ozminkowski, R.H., Jr., R.G. Gardner, R.H. Moll, and W.R. Henderson. 1990. Inheritance of prostrate growth habit in tomato. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 115:674-677.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics. 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill, New York. p. 106, 577.
- Warner, J.N. 1952. A method for estimating heritability. Agron. J. 44:427-430.
- Wright, S. 1968. Evolution and the genetics of populations. Vol. 1: Genetic and biometric foundations. University of Chicago Press, Chicago. p. 391-403.

```

QUANPLUS 3.0 D
For Discrete Data (e.g. percentage or ratings)
<Alt> M for      Press F9 to      <Alt> T for
  Menu           RECALCULATE     Terminology

Data Matrix
Rating or %}
1.00 }
2.00 }
3.00 }
4.00 }
5.00 }
0.00 }

=====
      } P1      P2      F1      F2      BC1      BC2
N      } 24      24      23      240     117      120
Sum    } 101     32      71      719     478      243
Mean   } 4.21    1.33    3.09    3.00    4.09    2.03
Sum SQR } 437     50      233    2489    2044    593
CF     } 425.04  42.67  219.17 2154.00 1952.85 492.08
cSS    } 11.96    7.33    13.83  335.00  91.15   100.93
sVar   } 0.520    0.319   0.628  1.402   0.786   0.848
SE(Mean) } 0.147   0.115  0.165  0.076  0.082  0.084
pVar   } 0.498    0.306  0.601  1.396  0.779  0.841

=====
Parameter      Value Std Error      Heritability      Value
@SQR E          0.489  0.083              Broad              0.651
@SQR G          0.913  0.153              Narrow             0.834
@SQR A          1.169  0.296

=====
Generation Mean Comparison by Student's t Test
(with unequal variances) (Steel & Torrie)

S(M1-M2)      M1-M2      t' Value Effective df
P1 vs. P2      0.187      2.875      15.379      43.5
P1 vs. F1      0.221      1.121      5.066      44.2
P1 vs. F2      0.166      1.213      7.311      36.8
P1 vs. BC1     0.168      0.123      0.729      38.7
P1 vs. BC2     0.170      2.183      12.881      39.6
P2 vs. F1      0.202      1.754      8.702      39.6
P2 vs. F2      0.138      1.663      12.021      46.8
P2 vs. BC1     0.141      2.752      19.460      49.6
P2 vs. BC2     0.143      0.692      4.848      51.4
F1 vs. F2      0.182      0.091      0.500      32.3
F1 vs. BC1     0.184      0.999      5.412      33.8
F1 vs. BC2     0.185      1.062      5.726      34.4
F2 vs. BC1     0.112      1.090      9.724      296.6
F2 vs. BC2     0.114      0.971      8.545      296.2
BC1 vs. BC2    0.117      2.060      17.551      235.0
F1 vs. P1 & P2 0.190      0.316      1.665      22.6
F2 vs. P1 & P2 0.121      0.225      1.864      259.3
F2 vs. BC1 & BC2 0.096      0.046      0.481      241.4
    
```

Fig. 1. Sample output from QUANPLUS.WK1 with a discrete variable.

Received for publication 7 Sept. 1990. The research reported in this publication was funded, in part, by the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service (NCARS), Raleigh. The use of trade names in this publication does not imply endorsement by NCARS of the products mentioned nor criticism of those omitted. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper therefore must be hereby marked *advertisement* solely to indicate this fact.

¹Graduate Research Assistant. Present address: Dept. of Horticulture, The Ohio State Univ., Columbus, OH 43210.

²Professor of Genetics.

³Associate Professor.