Contribution of Root and Shoot Tissues of *Phaseolus vulgaris* to *Meloidogyne incognita* Resistance

B.A. Mullin and G.S. Abawi
Department of Plant Pathology, Cornell University, New York State Agricultural Experiment Station, Geneva, NY 14456

M.A. Pastor-Corrales and J.L. Kornegay
Bean Program, Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical, Apartado Aéreo 6713, Cali, Colombia

Additional index words. bean, stem graft

Abstract. A stem grafting technique was used to determine the contribution of root and shoot tissues of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to the resistance response to the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White, 1919) Chitwood 1949. Stem-grafts were prepared between resistant (line A 211 or cultivar Nemasnap) and susceptible (Canario Divex) bean cultivars in all possible scion-rootstock combinations. Graft combinations in which the rootstock was resistant resulted in a resistant response to *M. incognita*, and those combinations in which the rootstock was susceptible resulted in an susceptible response, regardless of scion component. Resistance factors were therefore either localized within roots or not translocated basipetally through the stem graft union.

Disadvantages to standard nematode resistance screening procedures are the long period needed to obtain resistance information and the large amount of greenhouse or field space required for this duration. Additionally, standard procedures are destructive; thus, when seeds from resistant plants are desired, evaluations must be postponed until seed maturity. Root explants have been used to study host-parasite relationships in some nematode-plant systems (Guy and Lewis, 1987; Laurits et al., 1982). For these reasons, an alternative procedure for root-knot nematode resistance screening using root explants was attempted (Mullin, 1990). However, reactions of resistant and susceptible bean germplasm were less distinct with this technique than with pot tests. Stem grafts of bean plants have been successfully used to study the influence of root and shoot genotypes on bean yield under drought stress or for other purposes (White and Castillo, 1989). We used a stem graft technique to determine whether shoot tissues influence the expression of resistance in root tissues.

Stem grafts were prepared according to White and' Castillo (1989): Bean seeds were sown individually in cell packs within flats in a growth chamber (12-h photoperiod, 21 C). Shoot portions of 7- to 9-day-old bean seedlings were excised 1 to 2 cm below cotyledonary nodes using a single-edged razor. Two additional diagonal cuts were made in the stem of the scion to form a wedge. Rootstock were prepared by excising shoot portions as for scions, and a vertical incision was made in the stem to accommodate the prepared stem of the scion. The graft unions were sealed with strips of parafilm. Plants were allowed to harden for 2 to 3 days under low light conditions. Bean germplasm included the resistant line A 211 and the resistant 'Nemasnap', and susceptible 'Canario Divex' (Mullin et al., 1991 b). Treatments consisted of susceptible and resistant germplasm in all scion-rootstock combinations, self grafts, and nongrafted plants. Where the treatment was a self graft (e.g., both scion and rootstock from A 211), the scion was obtained from a different rootstock plant than

**Table 1.** Reaction of stem-grafted resistant (A 211 and 'Nemasnap') and susceptible ('Canario Divex') beans to *Meloidogyne incognita*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graft combination</th>
<th>Galling rating</th>
<th>Egg mass rating</th>
<th>Resistance index*</th>
<th>Reaction class**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 211/Canario Divex</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canario Divex/A 211</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Im</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemasnap/Canario Divex</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>128.5</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canario Divex/Nemasnap</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Im</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 211/A 211</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 211</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Im</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemasnap/Nemasnap</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canario Divex/Canario Divex</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canario Divex</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>170.0</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ucontrol</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Graft combination where numerator represents scion and denominator represents rootstock, or nongrafted plant.

*Based on a scale where 1 = no root galling or no egg masses produced, 9 = 76%-100% roots galled or >100 egg masses per root system.

*Resistance index = (root galling rating + egg mass rating)*. 2

*Im, HR, and HS refer to immune, highly resistant, and highly susceptible reactions to *Meloidogyne incognita*, respectively.
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that to which it was grafted. Each treatment was replicated four times. Ten days after grafting, seedlings were transplanted into 0.5-liter pots, and a suspension of 10,000 eggs of *M. incognita* was applied as a drench to each root system. Eggs had been extracted with NaOCl (Hissey and Barker, 1973), and were obtained from a population collected near Popayán, Colombia, that had been increased on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) in the greenhouse. Roots were then covered with soil and plants were grown in the chamber for an additional 8 weeks. Plants were harvested by removing shoot portions and carefully washing soil from roots. Root galling severity was assessed by estimating the proportion of roots galled: 1 = no gall-, 2 = <5% roots galled, 3 = 6%-10%, 4 = 11%-18%, 5 = 19%-25%, 6 = 26%-50%, 7 = 51%-65%, 8 = 66%-75%, and 9 = 76%-100% roots galled. Egg mass production was also assessed on a scale where: 1 = no egg masses detected, 2 = one to two egg masses, 3 = three to six, 4 = seven to 10, 5 = 11-20, 6 = 21-30, 7 = 31-60, 8 = 61-100, and 9 = >100 egg masses per plant. A resistance index (RI) was calculated to incorporate both parameters of resistance (reduced root galling severity and nematode egg mass production) into a single value, according to: RI = (root galling severity rating + egg mass rating). In this scheme, the reaction of a plant to root-knot nematodes was classified as follows: RI of 2 = immune, RI of 3-8 = highly resistant, RI of 9-18 = resistant, RI of 19-32 = moderately resistant, RI of 33-50 = intermediate, RI of 51-72 = moderately susceptible, RI of 73-98 = susceptible, and RI of 99-162 = highly susceptible (Mullin et al., 1991b).

Root galling severity and egg mass ratings were concurrently high or low for any graft combination (Table 1). All scion-roots were grafted with the resistant source (A 211 or 'Nemasnap') in the rootstock resulted in a resistant reaction to *M. incognita*, regardless of scion source. Where the rootstock was the susceptible ‘Canario Divex’, the resulting response to *M. incognita* was susceptible. Non-grafted susceptible and resistant bean pure lines responded accordingly for root galling and nematode egg mass production. Graft combinations of susceptible scion on resistant rootstock also resulted in dwarf phenotypes. Representative individuals of these graft combinations are illustrated in Fig. 1.

The factor(s) conditioning resistance to root galling caused by *M. incognita* in both A 211 and ‘Nemasnap’ were apparently localized within plant roots; bean root tissues alone provided the resistance to *M. incognita*. Either the shoot portion of resistant plants did not affect resistance expression in the root tissues, or resistance factors were not transmitted basipetally through the stem graft union.

Stem galling of ‘Nemasnap’ and A 211 due to root-knot nematodes has been noted (Fassuliotis and Deakin, 1973; B.A.M., unpublished), suggesting that the resistance factor(s) is root-borne. Data strongly support this conclusion for ‘Nemasnap’ and advanced line A 211. A similar root-localized effect has been noted for resistance to *M. incognita* in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) (McClure et al., 1974) and tomato (Riggs and Winstead, 1958). A dosage-dependent dwarfing phenotype as previously reported in the literature (Singh, 1989; Singh and Gutierrez, 1984) was also observed in all graft combinations involving the susceptible ‘Canario Divex’ as scion and the resistant A 211 or ‘Nemasnap’ as rootstock. Although the dwarfing phenotype resulted in restricted root and shoot growth, the expression of resistance to *M. incognita* was not affected by this factor.

These results suggest that it should be possible to select for resistance to root-knot nematodes in beans using root explants. Factors other than presence of shoot tissue, such as incubation temperature (Mullin et al., 1991a) and culture media selection may have contributed to the lower levels of resistance exhibited by bean root explants relative to whole-plant studies. Further studies in these areas are likely to result in a suitable method by which excised bean root tissues can be used for root-knot nematode resistance screening.
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